

Role of the feral pig (*Sus scrofa*) in  
the dissemination of *Phytophthora*  
*cinnamomi* in south-western  
Australia

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Murdoch University

2012

Submitted by

Andrew Yufa Li

BSc Honours (Murdoch University)



# DECLARATION

The work described in this thesis was undertaken while I was an enrolled student for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at Murdoch University, Western Australia. I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains, as its main content, work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

-----

Andrew Yufa Li



## ABSTRACT

*Phytophthora cinnamomi* is a soil-borne plant pathogen that causes dieback, a disease that devastates many native vegetation ecosystems in Australia, particularly in south-west Western Australia. Feral pigs have long been implicated as vectors in the spread of this introduced plant pathogen due to their contact with infested soil and foraging habits. This study aimed to investigate the potential for feral pigs to disseminate *P. cinnamomi* and to determine their role in the spread of dieback.

Feral pigs trapped in three sampling areas within the northern jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*) forest of south-west Western Australia were sampled for the presence of *P. cinnamomi*. Faecal (n=208) and soil samples (n= 140) were collected from trapped pigs. In addition, 374 faecal and 36 soil samples were also collected from sites frequented by feral pigs. *Phytophthora cinnamomi* was not recovered from any of the faecal or soil samples. However saprophytic pathogens such as *Mucor* and *Fusarium* spp. were detected in the faeces and *Pythium* spp. was also detected in the soil samples, suggesting that feral pigs can act as vectors for the spread of soil-borne pathogens.

Stomach contents from 100 feral pigs trapped across the three sampling areas were analysed to investigate the proportion of *P. cinnamomi* susceptible plant matter present in the feral pig diet. A high frequency of plant material (85%) was found in the pig stomachs, of which 25.8% consisted of subterranean plant structures such as roots and tubers. Underground fruiting bodies of ectomycorrhizal fungi belonging to the genus *Rhizopogon* were also a significant food item. There was no statistically significant preference detected for food items by pigs between the three sampling areas, regardless

of sex and/or month of capture. However, older and larger pigs consumed significantly more bark ( $p= 0.0002$ ).

To further investigate the potential for *P. cinnamomi* to survive passage through the pig digestive tract a feeding trial was undertaken. *Phytophthora cinnamomi* inoculated millet (*Panicum miliaceum*) seeds, pine (*Pinus radiata*) plugs, and *Banksia leptophylla* roots were fed to pigs and subsequently recovered after passage. The viability of *P. cinnamomi* inoculated plant materials post digestion ranged from 25.5% to 98.3%. Detection for *P. cinnamomi* presence in the materials via qPCR confirmed a decrease in *P. cinnamomi* DNA with increasing time to passage. These investigations demonstrated that plant material infected with *P. cinnamomi* can remain viable following passage through the pig digestive tract suggesting that the plant material may provide protection for *P. cinnamomi* against the adverse conditions of the pig digestive tract. Subsequently, plant infection trials using infected pine plugs passaged through the pig digestive tract highlighted that material passaged 7 days after initial consumption was capable of infecting healthy susceptible plants. This provides evidence that feral pigs have the ability to act as a vector for *P. cinnamomi* through the ingestion of infected plant materials.

A species-specific fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) assay was developed to enable the examination of *P. cinnamomi* within plant tissues. The probe was found to be specific for *P. cinnamomi* when tested against other *Phytophthora*, *Pythium* and enteric bacteria species. Using the FISH assay, the location of *P. cinnamomi* structures were detected within a variety of plant materials such as millet seeds, pine sections and root samples. *Phytophthora cinnamomi* structures such as hyphae and chlamydo spores were found in the epidermal layer of millet seeds and within the axial rays of pine that were recovered after passage from the feeding trial. This aided understanding of how

viable *P. cinnamomi* were able to survive passage within these plant materials. In addition, the FISH assay was also successfully applied to both laboratory-cultured and naturally infected plant roots enabling detection of the pathogen in the intracellular and intercellular spaces of roots. The assay has proven to be a useful tool in the detection of *P. cinnamomi* structures within plant tissues.

In conclusion, this study provides evidence that, whilst the potential consequences of pig-vectored dispersal of *P. cinnamomi* are high, the likelihood of feral pigs dispersing the pathogen through transport of infested soil is low. Investigations of their diet composition and the passage of viable *P. cinnamomi* has established the additional threat that feral pigs could spread ingested *P. cinnamomi* within organic substrates. This study has also highlighted the fact that there is still much to be learned about the interaction between the feral pig and the plant pathogen. Further research is therefore required to ensure that appropriate management decisions for both species can be made.





# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to both my immediate supervisors, Doctors Peter Adams and Nari Williams for their valuable supervision. I will forever be indebted for their boundless ideas, patience, guidance and support throughout the course of this project. My deepest thanks go to Professors Stan Fenwick and Giles Hardy who kept me motivated with their enthusiasm and encouragements, but especially for reviewing drafts of my thesis and providing support and feedback.

I would like to thank Diane White and Doctors Trudy Paap and Bill Dunstan for the technical support and advice on plant pathogens, not forgetting the morning coffees that helped me get through the day. The assistance I received from Dr Treena Burgess on the molecular aspect of this project was much appreciated. Thank you to Prof Jen McComb for valuable lessons which shed light on plant tissue structures.

Special acknowledgements go to Doctors Trish Fleming, Robert Dobson and Michael Banazis for assistance with statistics and mathematical modelling, Gordon Thompson for advice and technical support with tissue cross-sectioning and fluorescent microscopy. Thank you to Michael Crone for the wonderful partnership and providing valuable samples for the FISH assay. My sincere thanks must also go to Kim Thomas and Mike Stukely for their support and help in facilitating aspects of the project.

I would also like to thank the staff from Department of Environment Conservation (DEC) and Water Corporation, especially Ralph Staines and John Liddington for their involvements in the collection of feral pigs, without which this project would not be available. I am a grateful recipient of the International Endeavour Post-Graduate Research Scholarship and thank them very much for the financial assistance.

Acknowledgements also go to the Australian Research Council and Murdoch University Graduate Centre for providing financial support for this project.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family and friends for their love and support through the ups and downs of the PhD. Thank you for being understanding and patient through the difficult times, especially through times when I seemed to have lost my mind. Thanks to all who stood by me and kept me on track through this arduous journey. Brutus thank you for being a part of my life, arriving at the right blessed moment, and for accompanying me to saunter and swagger through the darkest moments of my PhD. You will always have a special place in my heart and mind. Thank you.

“Through a study in da...best, dirty south-WEST...

**PhD = *Phytophthora* Dieback; Pig hog Dung; Pig harbour Dieback”**

Thesis is dedicated to all ma fallen soldiers...Rise up, persevere and battle on...

“Do not be pushed by your problems; be led by your dreams...

Dear Tomorrow, do whatever you want to do...

I have already lived my Today and...

I am not afraid of you...

Anymore...”

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	I
ABSTRACT.....	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	VII
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	IX
LIST OF FIGURES .....	XIII
LIST OF TABLES .....	XV
CHAPTER 1-----	
General introduction.....	1
1.1 The feral pig as an invasive species in Australia .....	2
1.1.1 Description of the Australian feral pig.....	3
1.1.2 Origin and history of the Australian feral pig .....	4
1.1.3 Distribution of the feral pig in Australia .....	6
1.1.4 Home range and movement pattern of the feral pig in Australia.....	9
1.1.5 Control measures for feral pig populations.....	11
1.1.6 Impacts of feral pigs.....	12
1.1.7 Feral pigs as vectors for microbial pathogens.....	15
1.2. <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> as an invasive pathogen in Australia .....	17
1.2.1. <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in Western Australia.....	19
1.2.2. History of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in the jarrah forest .....	19
1.2.3. Biology of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in the jarrah forest .....	21
1.2.4. Distribution of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in Australia .....	25
1.2.5. Impacts of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> on the environment.....	27
1.2.6. Detection methods for <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> .....	28
1.3. <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> and feral pigs .....	31
1.3.1. Dissemination of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> by feral pigs.....	33
1.3.2. Dissemination of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> via the intestinal tract.....	35
1.4 Thesis aims.....	38
CHAPTER 2-----	
Environmental sampling for <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> and the potential role of feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) in disseminating the pathogen .....	39
2.1 Introduction .....	39
2.2 Materials and methods .....	42
2.2.1 Trapping of feral pigs.....	42
2.2.2 Soil samples from animals .....	45
2.2.3 Soil samples from sites .....	47
2.2.4 Faecal samples from animals .....	50
	IX

2.2.5 Faecal samples from the environment.....	50
2.2.6 Quantitative PCR screening of samples .....	53
2.3 Results .....	55
2.3.1 Soil samples from animals .....	55
2.3.2 Soil samples from sites .....	55
2.3.3 Faecal samples from animals .....	55
2.3.4 Faecal samples from transect sites .....	55
2.4 Discussion .....	57
CHAPTER 3-----	
Diet analysis of the feral pig ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) in the northern jarrah ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> ) forest of Western Australia .....	65
3.1 Introduction .....	65
3.2 Materials and methods .....	69
3.2.1 Trapping of pigs and collection of pig stomachs .....	69
3.2.2 Stomach content analysis .....	70
3.2.3 Identification of hair samples .....	71
3.2.4 Statistical analysis .....	73
3.3 Results .....	75
3.3.1 Food items identified from the feral pig stomachs.....	75
3.3.2 Statistical analysis of the food items .....	76
3.4 Discussion .....	80
CHAPTER 4-----	
Potential for dissemination of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> by feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) via ingestion of infected plant material.....	87
4.1 Introduction .....	88
4.2 Materials and methods .....	90
4.2.1 Determining pig gastrointestinal passage rate and maintenance of pigs during experimental feeding trial .....	90
4.2.2 Culturing of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> infected plant materials.....	92
4.2.2.1 Millet ( <i>Panicum miliaceum</i> ) seeds inoculation.....	92
4.2.2.2 Pine ( <i>Pinus radiata</i> ) plugs inoculation .....	92
4.2.2.3 <i>Banksia leptophylla</i> roots inoculation.....	93
4.2.3 Feeding of inoculated materials .....	93
4.2.4 Faecal sample analysis and recovery of passaged inoculated materials .....	95
4.2.5 Analysis of recovered plant materials .....	96
4.2.6 Pooling of recovered plant materials .....	96
4.2.7 Testing viability of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> .....	97
4.2.7.1 Baiting of inoculated plant materials .....	97
4.2.7.2 Direct plating of inoculated plant materials .....	98

4.2.7.3 Plant infection bioassay trial .....	98
4.2.8 DNA extraction from plant materials.....	99
4.2.9 PCR and DNA sequencing.....	100
4.2.10 DNA analysis with qPCR .....	100
4.3 Results.....	102
4.3.1 Passage rates of dye and plant materials .....	102
4.3.2 Viability of inoculated plant materials.....	103
4.3.3 Plant infection bioassay trial .....	105
4.3.4 DNA Sequencing .....	106
4.3.5 DNA analysis with qPCR .....	107
4.4 Discussion .....	108
CHAPTER 5-----	
Fluorescent <i>in situ</i> hybridization (FISH) assay to microscopically view <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> growth <i>in planta</i> : a new tool for <i>in situ</i> studies of oomycete plant pathogens. ....	115
5.1 Introduction.....	116
5.2 Materials and methods .....	118
5.2.1 Design of fluorescent <i>in situ</i> hybridization probe.....	118
5.2.2 Specificity of the probe .....	118
5.2.3 Overcoming auto-fluorescence from plant tissues.....	120
5.2.3.1 Sodium hydroxide treatment .....	121
5.2.3.2 Evans blue treatment.....	121
5.2.3.3 Toluidine blue treatment .....	121
5.2.4 Fluorescent <i>in situ</i> hybridization (FISH) assay methodology.....	121
5.2.5 Plant materials recovered from the feeding trial.....	123
5.2.6 <i>In vitro</i> infection of plant material .....	124
5.2.6.1 Apple tissue.....	124
5.2.6.2 Root tissues .....	125
5.2.7 Analysis of naturally infected plant materials.....	125
5.2.8 Fluorescent <i>in situ</i> hybridization (FISH) assay for plant materials .....	126
5.2.9 Mounting of plant material onto slides .....	127
5.2.10 Microscopy and image acquisition.....	127
5.3 Results.....	128
5.3.1 Specificity of probes .....	128
5.3.2 Treatments to overcome auto-fluorescence from plant tissues.....	129
5.3.2.1 Sodium hydroxide treatment .....	129
5.3.2.2 Evans blue treatment.....	130
5.3.2.3 Toluidine blue treatment .....	131

5.3.3 Fluorescent <i>in situ</i> hybridization (FISH) assay on plant materials .....	132
5.3.3.1 Plant materials recovered from feeding trial .....	132
5.3.3.2 <i>In vitro</i> infected plant materials .....	133
5.3.3.3 Naturally infected plant materials .....	133
5.4 Discussion .....	135
CHAPTER 6-----	
General discussion .....	141
REFERENCES.....	153
APPENDICES-----	
Appendix 1 Discriminant analysis to determine the significance of age group, sex, area and period of capture on diet selection.....	169
Appendix 2 Multiple regression analysis of individual food items to determine the factors influencing the consumption of each food item. ....	170
Appendix 3 Sequences and alignment of the internal transcribed spacer ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA from <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> isolate MP94.48 and isolates from the pine ( <i>Pinus radiata</i> ) plugs recovered from the feeding trial. ....	171
Appendix 4 ANOVA and means comparison analyses of substrate type and passage time in feeding trial as an independent factor. ....	174

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 The members of the Suidae family.....	3
Figure 1.2 The distribution and abundance of feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) in Australia. ....	8
Figure 1.3 Damage to the environment caused by feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ).....	13
Figure 1.4 Digging and wallowing activities of feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) near a watercourse. ....	15
Figure 1.5 The asexual disease cycle of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in soil leading to infection within plants.....	22
Figure 1.6 The distribution of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in Australia.....	26
Figure 1.7 The distribution of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> disease centres (□) in south-west Western Australia. ....	27
Figure 1.8 Semi-digested/undigested plant material in the faeces of feral pig ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) seen in the jarrah ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> ) forest.....	37
Figure 2.1 Trapped feral pigs showing apples used as bait.....	42
Figure 2.2 The locations of the sampling areas used in this study within the jarrah ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> ) forest, Western Australia.....	43
Figure 2.3 The jarrah ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> ) forest with a uniform overstorey of jarrah trees and a diverse range of understorey species. ....	44
Figure 2.4 In-field necropsy of a euthanised feral pig ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ).....	45
Figure 2.5 Soil lodged between the hooves of feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) .....	46
Figure 2.6 Collection of soil from wooden stakes accumulated from feral pig ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) rubbing .....	48
Figure 2.7 Collection of soil from wallow sites.....	49
Figure 2.8 Location of the sampling areas for transects, traps, wallow and digging sites, and known infestation areas where <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> has been previously isolated .....	51
Figure 2.9 Baiting of faecal samples collected from transects with <i>Lupinus angustifolius</i> cotyledons.....	53
Figure 2.10 <i>Fusarium</i> species isolated from baiting of faecal samples collected from Harris Dam. ....	56
Figure 2.11 A conceptual model for seasonal presence of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> levels within soil and plant material in the jarrah forest compared to feral pig ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) trapping efficiency .....	62
Figure 3.1 Removal of the stomach from a feral pig ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) during necropsy. ...	69
Figure 3.2 Dissection of a feral pig ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) stomach to remove contents.....	70
Figure 3.3 Hair samples recovered from stomachs of feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) mounted on microscope slides for identification .....	72
Figure 3.4 The set up of a hair sample cross-section .....	73

Figure 3.5 The average consumption of root material by the feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) in different trapping areas. ....	78
Figure 3.6 No correlation was observed between metabolic weight of the feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) and bark consumption. ....	79
Figure 3.7 No correlation between metabolic weight of the feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) and invertebrate consumption.....	79
Figure 4.1 Housing of pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ; Large White) in individual pens during the feeding trials.....	90
Figure 4.2 The pig feed (Baconer pellets) dyed with Sudan III dye prior to feeding ..	91
Figure 4.3 Pig feed (Baconer pellets) mixed with infected plant material prior to feeding.....	94
Figure 4.4 Wet sieving of faecal samples to recover the infected plant material. ....	95
Figure 4.5 The plant infection bioassay trial with <i>Banksia baxteri</i> plants in a glasshouse .....	99
Figure 4.6 Daily recovery of different ingested plant materials (g) within pig faeces over time (days).....	102
Figure 4.7 Testing of the viability of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in the recovered plant materials. ....	104
Figure 4.8 <i>Banksia baxteri</i> plants showing symptoms of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> infection.....	106
Figure 4.9 Amount of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> DNA concentration (ng) detected by quantitative PCR assay from <i>P. cinnamomi</i> colonised plant substrates passaged through pig digestive tract over time (days) compared to Day 0, the control unfed material.....	107
Figure 5.1 Micrographs of <i>Phytophthora</i> species stained with 1% toluidine blue and tested for probe specificity. ....	129
Figure 5.2 Ultraviolet micrographs of non-infected <i>Trachymene pilosa</i> root (A, B, C and D) and <i>Lupinus angustifolius</i> root (E and F) treated with Evans blue solution. ..	130
Figure 5.3 Micrographs of non-infected <i>Trachymene pilosa</i> root (A and B) and <i>Lupinus angustifolius</i> root (C and D) assayed with FISH and AlexaFluor350-labelled, <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> -specific (Alcin5F) probe further stained with 1% toluidine blue.....	131
Figure 5.4 Micrographs of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> hyphae in the epidermal layer of a millet ( <i>Panicum miliaceum</i> ) seed section (A and B) and <i>P. cinnamomi</i> chlamydospore in the axial ray of a pine ( <i>Pinus radiata</i> ) plug section (C and D). ....	132
Figure 5.5 Micrographs of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in plant tissue from laboratory-cultures and field samples based on FISH assays with AlexaFluor350-labelled, <i>P. cinnamomi</i> -specific (Alcin5F) probe and stained with 1% toluidine blue. ....	134



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 The conditions and seasons for the survival and persistence of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> in the jarrah ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> ) forest. ....	24
Table 2.1 Collection of faecal samples from transects. ....	52
Table 3.1 The proportion of root material within pig ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) diets worldwide. ..	66
Table 3.2 Susceptibility of plants to <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> infection, and parts of the plants, consumed by feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) in the jarrah ( <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> ) forest of Western Australia. ....	67
Table 3.3 Dry weight analysis of stomach contents from 100 feral pigs ( <i>Sus scrofa</i> ) across all three catchments.....	75
Table 3.4 Index of relative importance of the identified food items.....	77
Table 4.1 Pooling of recovered materials from pig faeces for the detection of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> using different analyses. ....	97
Table 4.2 Viability of <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> from the plant substrates recovered after passage through pig digestive tracts as assessed by direct plating onto NARPH agar plates and baiting with <i>Lupinus angustifolius</i> cotyledons.....	105
Table 5.1 <i>Phytophthora</i> , <i>Pythium</i> and bacterial isolates used for confirming the probe specificity. ....	119

