

**ACTIVE DISEASE SURVEILLANCE IN KANGAROOS
UTILISING THE COMMERCIAL HARVESTING INDUSTRY**

Presented by

Abbey Potter, BSc. BVMS (Hons)

Submitted in total fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences

Department of Public Health and Epidemiology

Division of Health Sciences

Murdoch University

Western Australia

July, 2011

Declaration

This thesis constitutes work carried out by the candidate unless otherwise stated and does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree at any tertiary institution. The thesis is less than 100,000 words in length, exclusive of tables, figures, bibliography and appendices and complies with the stipulations set out for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by Murdoch University.

Abbey Potter, BSc. BVMS (Hons)

School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences

Murdoch University

Murdoch, Western Australia 6150

Australia

Table of Contents

List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xvii
Acknowledgements	xx
Aims	xxi
Thesis Abstract	xxii
Abbreviations	xxiv
1. Literature Review	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Global Emergence of Infectious Disease	1
1.2.1. Factors Influencing the Emergence of Infectious Diseases	2
1.2.2. Disease Surveillance in Wildlife	3
1.2.2.1. Disease Surveillance in Wildlife in Australia	4
1.2.2.2. Disease Surveillance in Wildlife in Western Australia	5
1.3. Natural History and Ecology of the Kangaroo	6
1.3.1. Distribution of the Red and Western Grey Kangaroo in Western Australia	7
1.3.2. Home Range and Habits of Kangaroos in Western Australia	7
1.3.3. Sex Structure	10
1.3.4. Age Structure	11
1.3.5. Reproduction in Kangaroos	13
1.4. The Kangaroo Harvesting Industry.....	14
1.4.1. Management of the Kangaroo Harvesting Industry in Australia.....	15
1.4.1.1. Legislation Governing the Kangaroo Harvesting Industry	16

1.4.1.2.	Licensing of Shooters, Chillers, Processors and Skin Dealers	17
1.4.2.	The Geographical Boundaries of the Kangaroo Harvesting Industry in Western Australia	19
1.4.3.	Population Monitoring Methods	20
1.4.4.	Kangaroo Population Trends	21
1.4.5.	Quota Setting	25
1.4.6.	Monitoring of Harvest Returns	27
1.4.7.	Mandatory Tagging of Kangaroos	28
1.4.8.	Harvest Activity of Professional Kangaroo Shooters in Western Australia	33
1.5.	Disease in Macropods	35
1.5.1.	<i>Salmonella</i>	38
1.5.1.1.	Evidence of <i>Salmonella</i> in Live Kangaroos	39
1.5.1.2.	<i>Salmonella</i> Contamination of Kangaroo Meat	40
1.5.1.3.	National Enteric Pathogens Surveillance Scheme	41
1.5.2.	<i>Coxiella burnetii</i>	47
1.5.2.1.	<i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Kangaroos	48
1.5.3.	Ross River Virus	49
1.5.3.1.	Clinical Incidence of Ross River Virus in Western Australia	50
1.5.3.2.	Transmission of Ross River Virus	54
1.5.3.3.	Vectors of Ross River Virus in Western Australia ...	54
1.5.3.4.	Mosquito Surveillance in Predicting Ross River Virus Activity	55
1.5.3.5.	Environmental Factors Determining Ross River Virus Activity	58
1.5.3.6.	Vertebrate Hosts of Ross River Virus	59
1.5.3.6.1.	Ross River Virus Isolation from Potential Vertebrate Hosts	60
1.5.3.6.2.	Experimental Infection of Potential Vertebrate Hosts with Ross River Virus	60
1.5.3.6.3.	Serological Evidence of Ross River Virus Infection in Potential Vertebrate Hosts	62

1.5.3.6.4.	Blood Meal Analysis in Mosquitoes	63
1.5.3.6.5.	Vertebrate Host Immunity	64
1.6.	Conclusion	65
2.	Utilising the Kangaroo Harvesting Industry for Disease Surveillance	67
2.1.	Introduction	67
2.1.1.	Aims of the Study	68
2.2.	Materials and Methods	68
2.2.1.	Recruitment of Professional Kangaroo Shooters	68
2.2.2.	Sample Collection	70
2.2.2.1.	Geographic Locations	70
2.2.2.2.	Animal Data	70
2.2.2.3.	Harvest Data	70
2.2.2.4.	Blood Sample Collection	72
2.2.2.5.	Faecal Sample Collection	73
2.2.2.6.	Diaphragm Sample Collection	73
2.2.2.7.	Meat Juice Collection	74
2.2.3.	Regional Classification of Western Australia	75
2.2.4.	Analysis of Harvest Data	77
2.3.	Results	78
2.3.1.	Recruitment of Professional Shooters	78
2.3.2.	Professional Shooter Profiles	79
2.3.3.	Sample Collection	81
2.3.4.	Transportation of Samples	82
2.3.5.	Selection Bias	84
2.3.5.1.	Comparison of the Number of Male and Female Kangaroos Harvested	84
2.3.5.2.	Comparison of the Number of Adult and Subadult Kangaroos Harvested	87
2.3.6.	Comparison of the Cost of Cardiac Puncture and the Free Catch Techniques for Blood Collection	87
2.3.7.	Comparison of the Cost of Blood Collection using an Investigator or a Professional Shooter	89

2.3.8.	Meat Juice Sample Extraction	90
2.3.9.	Analysis of Department of Environment and Conservation Data Pertaining to Disease Surveillance using a Geographical Information System	90
2.4.	Discussion.....	94
2.4.1.	Practicality of Utilising the Kangaroo Harvesting Industry for Disease Surveillance.....	94
2.4.2.	Recruiting and Maintaining the Assistance of Shooters in Sample Collection for Surveillance	95
2.4.3.	Comparison of Blood Collection Techniques	97
2.4.4.	Sampling Kangaroo Carcasses at the Processor and Chiller	98
2.4.5.	Transportation of Samples	100
2.4.6.	Determining the Size of the Sample Population Available for Surveillance through the Kangaroo Harvesting Industry	101
2.4.7.	Traceability within the Harvesting Industry	103
2.4.8.	Difficulties Associated with Inconsistent Geographical Classification of Western Australia in Surveillance	103
2.4.9.	Selection Bias	104
2.4.9.1.	Gender-Based Selection Bias	105
2.4.9.2.	Age-Based Selection Bias	106
2.4.9.3.	Species-Based Selection Bias	108
2.4.9.4.	Geographic Selection Bias.....	108

3. Prevalence of *Salmonella* Infection in Wild Kangaroos in Western

Australia	111
3.1. Introduction	111
3.1.1. Aims of the Study	113
3.2. Materials and Methods	113
3.2.1. Animal Data	113
3.2.2. Faecal Sample Collection	113
3.2.3. Faecal Sample Culturing	114
3.2.4. Environmental Data	114
3.2.5. Data Analysis	115

3.3. Results	116
3.3.1. Distribution of Samples Across Sex, Age, Rainfall and Quarter Categories for all Locations	116
3.3.2. <i>Salmonella</i> Serotypes Isolated from Kangaroos in Western Australia	120
3.3.3. Association Between Location and the Prevalence of Faecal <i>Salmonella</i> in Western Grey Kangaroos from all Sample Collection Locations	120
3.3.4. Association Between Accumulated Rainfall, Quarter, Age and Sex and the Prevalence of Faecal <i>Salmonella</i> in Western Grey Kangaroos from all Sample Collection Locations	121
3.3.5. Association Between Accumulated Rainfall, Quarter, Age and Sex and the Prevalence of Faecal <i>Salmonella</i> in Western Grey Kangaroos from Capel	123
3.4. Discussion	125
3.4.1. Validity of Results	125
3.4.2. Significance of the Kangaroo as a Reservoir Host of <i>Salmonella</i> in Western Australia	125
3.4.3. <i>Salmonella</i> Serotypes Isolated from Kangaroos	128
3.4.4. Association Between Rainfall and Quarter and the Prevalence of <i>Salmonella</i> in Kangaroo Faeces	130
3.4.5. Association Between Location and the Prevalence of <i>Salmonella</i> in Kangaroo Faeces	133
4. A Survey of Western Australian Kangaroos to Determine the Prevalence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i>	134
4.1. Introduction	134
4.1.1. Aims of the Study.....	135
4.2. Materials and Methods.....	135
4.2.1. Animal Data.....	135
4.2.2. Sample Collection.....	136
4.2.3. Isolation of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> Whole Genomic DNA from Faeces.....	137

4.2.4.	Quantitative PCR Detection of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> DNA Isolated from Faecal Samples.....	138
4.2.5.	Detection of Antibodies to <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Serum from Kangaroos in Western Australia using an ELISA	139
4.2.6.	Environmental Data	141
4.2.7.	Data Analysis	142
4.3.	Results.....	143
4.3.1.	Distribution of Samples Across Sex, Age, Rainfall and Quarter Categories for all Collection Locations.....	143
4.3.2.	Association Between Location and the Seroprevalence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Kangaroos in Western Australia	147
4.3.3.	Association Between Accumulated Rainfall, Quarter, Age and Sex and the Seroprevalence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Kangaroos from all Collection Locations.....	148
4.3.4.	Results of Testing Faeces for the Presence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> DNA using Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction	151
4.3.5.	Association Between Accumulated Rainfall, Quarter, Age and Sex and the Seroprevalence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Kangaroos from Capel, Western Australia	152
4.3.6.	Association Between Accumulated Rainfall, Quarter, Age and Sex and the Prevalence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> DNA in Kangaroo Faeces in Capel, Western Australia	152
4.3.7.	Correlation Between Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction and Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay Results	153
4.3.8.	Correlation Between the Serological Status of Mother and Pouch Young	153
4.4.	Discussion.....	153
4.4.1.	Validity of Results.....	153
4.4.2.	Disagreement Between the Seroprevalence and Prevalence of Faecal <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Western Grey Kangaroos	155
4.4.3.	Significance of the Kangaroo as a Reservoir Host of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Western Australia.....	156

4.4.4.	The Association Between Rainfall and Quarter and the Seroprevalence and Faecal Prevalence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Western Grey Kangaroos	158
4.4.5.	The Association Between Location and the Seroprevalence and Faecal Prevalence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Western Grey Kangaroos	160
4.4.6.	The Association Between Sex and Age and the Seroprevalence and Faecal Prevalence of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> in Western Grey Kangaroos	161

5. Monitoring the Seroprevalence of Ross River Virus Neutralising

Antibodies in Kangaroos in Western Australia	165
5.1. Introduction	165
5.1.1. Aims of the Study	166
5.2. Materials and Methods	167
5.2.1. Animal Data	167
5.2.2. Sample Collection	167
5.2.3. Vero Cell Culture Maintenance	167
5.2.4. Growth of Ross River Virus Stocks	168
5.2.5. Harvesting Ross River Virus Stocks	168
5.2.6. Calculating Tissue Culture Infective Dose of Virus Stocks..	169
5.2.7. Serum Neutralisation Test	170
5.2.8. Human Case Data	171
5.2.9. Mosquito Surveillance Data	173
5.2.10. Environmental Data.....	173
5.2.11. Data Analysis	175
5.3. Results	177
5.3.1. Distribution of Samples Across Sex, Age, Rainfall and Quarter Categories for all Locations	177
5.3.2. Seroprevalence of Ross River Virus Neutralising Antibodies in Western Grey Kangaroos	183
5.3.3. Association Between Accumulated Rainfall and the Seroprevalence of Ross River Virus Neutralising Antibodies in Western Grey Kangaroos	185

5.3.4.	Association Between Quarter and Seroprevalence of Ross River Virus Neutralising Antibodies in Western Grey Kangaroos	187
5.3.5.	Association Between Age and Sex and the Seroprevalence of Ross River Virus Neutralising Antibodies in Western Grey Kangaroos	189
5.3.6.	Correlation Between Seroprevalence and the Average Log Transformed Ross River Virus Neutralising Antibody Titre in Western Grey Kangaroos	191
5.3.7.	Temporal Changes in Seroprevalence and the Average Log Transformed Neutralising Antibody Titre in Western Grey Kangaroos	194
5.3.8.	Association Between Accumulated Rainfall and the Average Log Transformed Neutralising Antibody Titre in Western Grey Kangaroos	195
5.3.9.	Association Between Quarter and the Average Log Transformed Neutralising Antibody Titre in Western Grey Kangaroos	198
5.3.10.	Association Between Age and the Average Log Transformed Neutralising Antibody Titre in Western Grey Kangaroos ...	200
5.3.11.	Maternal Immunity	201
5.3.12.	Human Attack Rates	201
5.3.13.	Correlation Between Ross River Virus Disease in Humans and Infection in Kangaroos in Western Australia	204
5.3.14.	Association Between Local Mosquito Populations and the Seroprevalence of Ross River Virus Neutralising Antibodies in Western Grey Kangaroos from Capel	206
5.3.15.	Association Between Age and Sex and the Seroprevalence of Ross River Virus in Kangaroos at Capel	208
5.3.16.	Correlation Between Monthly Attack Rates of Ross River Virus Disease in People and the Seroprevalence and Neutralising Antibody Titre in Kangaroos From Capel	208
5.4.	Discussion	209
5.4.1.	Validity of the Data	209

5.4.2.	The Role of the Kangaroo as Reservoir Host of Ross River Virus in Western Australia	209
5.4.3.	The Association Between Location and the Seroprevalence and Neutralising Antibody Titre of Ross River Virus in Western Grey Kangaroos	211
5.4.4.	The Association Between Accumulated Rainfall and the Seroprevalence and Neutralising Antibody Titre of Ross River Virus in Western Grey Kangaroos	212
5.4.5.	The Association Between Quarter and the Seroprevalence and Neutralising Antibody Titre of Ross River Virus in Western Grey Kangaroos	215
5.4.6.	The Association Between Age and the Seroprevalence and Neutralising Antibody Titre of Ross River Virus in Western Grey Kangaroos	218
5.4.7.	The Association Between Sex and the Seroprevalence and Neutralising Antibody Titre of Ross River Virus in Western Grey Kangaroos	220
5.4.8.	Correlation Between Seroprevalence and the Neutralising Antibodies Titre of Ross River Virus in Western Grey Kangaroos	220
5.4.9.	The Association Between Mosquito Populations and the Seroprevalence and Neutralising Antibody Titre of Ross River Virus in Western Grey Kangaroos	222
5.4.10.	The Maternal Transfer of Immunity from Doe to Pouch Young	223
5.4.11.	Seroprevalence in Kangaroos as an Indicator of the Background Risk of Ross River Virus in Different Geographic Locations	224
5.4.12.	Using Seroprevalence and Neutralising Antibody Titres in Kangaroos to Improve the Capacity to Predict Future Ross River Virus Epidemics in People in Capel	226

6. General Discussion 228

7. Appendices	232
APPENDIX A Request for kangaroo shooter assistance in blood sample collection	232
APPENDIX B Professional shooter questionnaire	234
APPENDIX C Introductory and thank you letter to participating kangaroo shooters.....	235
APPENDIX D Kangaroo blood sampling instructions	236
APPENDIX E Recipe for media solutions used in cell culture	237
APPENDIX F Total number of <i>Aedes Camptorhynchus</i> mosquitoes, Ross River virus isolates and the minimum infection rate per (MIR) 1000 mosquitoes from the “CALM Village” trap site	238
APPENDIX G Total number of <i>Aedes Camptorhynchus</i> mosquitoes, Ross River virus isolates and the minimum infection rate (MIR) per 1000 mosquitoes from the “Intersection of Stirling and Higgins Road” trap site	240
APPENDIX H Total number of mosquitoes, Ross River virus isolates and the minimum infection rate (MIR) per 1000 mosquitoes from the “CALM Village” trap site	242
APPENDIX I Total number of mosquitoes, Ross River virus Isolates and the minimum infection rate (MIR) per 1000 mosquitoes from the “Intersection of Stirling and Higgins Road” trap site	244
8. References	246

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Macropod species currently under harvest management in Australia	15
Table 1.2	Annual quotas and harvest data for the red and western grey kangaroo (1992 – 2007)	26
Table 1.3	Average number of red and western grey kangaroos harvested in each management region (1990 – 2006)	31
Table 1.4	Proportion of total harvest yield taken by top ten per cent of professional shooters in Western Australia	34
Table 1.5	List of microorganisms and parasites found to infect macropods	36
Table 1.6	<i>Salmonella</i> spp. isolated from live kangaroos in Australia (1981 – 2006)	43
Table 1.7	<i>Salmonella</i> spp. isolated from kangaroo meat in Australia (1981 – 2006)	45
Table 1.8	Suspected/confirmed mosquito vectors of Ross River virus in development regions of Western Australia relevant to sample collection locations	56
Table 2.1	The number of kangaroos sampled in each age and sex category by Professional Shooters A, B, C and D from fifteen collection locations in Western Australia	83
Table 2.2	Cost of the free catch and cardiac puncture methods of blood collection from kangaroos post mortem.....	88
Table 2.3	Cost of collection of blood samples by a dedicated investigator and a professional shooter	89

Table 3.1	Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) weather station number from which rainfall data was obtained for each sample collection site	115
Table 3.2	The age and sex of kangaroos sampled at each study location	117
Table 3.3	Number of samples collected in each accumulated rainfall category at each location	118
Table 3.4	Number of sample collected at each location in each quarter	119
Table 3.5	<i>Salmonella</i> isolates cultured from kangaroo faecal samples	120
Table 3.6	Estimate of <i>Salmonella</i> prevalence for kangaroos from each sample collection location	121
Table 3.7	Association between quarter and accumulated rainfall categories and the prevalence of faecal <i>Salmonella</i> in kangaroos from all locations	122
Table 3.8	Prevalence of <i>Salmonella</i> isolation at Capel for each quarter and accumulated rainfall category	124
Table 4.1	Primer and probe sequences used in qPCR to detect <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> DNA in kangaroo faeces	139
Table 4.2	Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) weather station number from which rainfall data was obtained for each sample collection site	142
Table 4.3	The age and sex of kangaroos sampled at each study location	144
Table 4.4	Number of samples collected in each accumulated rainfall category at each location	145
Table 4.5	Number of samples collected at each location in each quarter	146
Table 4.6	Estimate of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> seroprevalence for kangaroos from each sampling location	147

Table 4.7	<i>Coxiella burnetii</i> seroprevalence for each RainCat30 category in all sample collection locations	149
Table 4.8	<i>Coxiella burnetii</i> seroprevalence for each RainCat60 category in all sample collection locations	150
Table 4.9	Proportion of qPCR-positive faecal samples in kangaroos from each sample collection location	151
Table 5.1	Regional, local government and suburb/town classification of each sample collection location according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics	172
Table 5.2	Bureau of Meteorology weather station number from which rainfall data was obtained for each sample collection site	174
Table 5.3	Rainfall categories for each accumulated rainfall variable	174
Table 5.4	Distribution of samples in age and sex categories for all collection locations	178
Table 5.5	Number of samples collected in each accumulated rainfall category at each location	179
Table 5.6	Number of samples collected at each location in each quarter	182
Table 5.7	Estimate of RRV neutralising antibody seroprevalence for western grey kangaroos from each sampling location	184
Table 5.8	Ross River virus neutralising antibody seroprevalence for each RainCat360 category in all sample collection locations	186
Table 5.9	Ross River virus neutralising antibody seroprevalence (%) for each quarter in all sample collection locations	188
Table 5.10	Seroprevalence of Ross River virus neutralising antibodies for each age group of kangaroos from all sample collection locations	190

Table 5.11	Seroprevalence and average log transformed Ross River virus neutralising antibody titres for all samples and positive samples only collected at each location (ranked according to mean log transformed antibody titre of all samples)	192
Table 5.12	Significance of association between rainfall variables and the average log transformed Ross River virus neutralising antibody titres across all locations	197
Table 5.13	Average log transformed Ross River virus neutralising antibody titre for each RainCat360 category in all sample collection locations ...	197
Table 5.14	Average log transformed Ross River virus neutralising antibody titre for each quarter in all sample collection locations	199
Table 5.15	Average log transformed Ross River virus neutralising antibody titre for each sex and age group category	200
Table 5.16	Ross River virus disease attack rates reported at the Local Government level for each collection location.....	202
Table 5.17	Ross River virus disease attack rates reported at the Suburb level for each collection location	203

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Distribution of the western grey kangaroo (<i>M. fuliginosus</i>) in Western Australia	7
Figure 1.2	Distribution of the red kangaroo (<i>M. rufus</i>) in Western Australia	7
Figure 1.3	Geographic boundaries of the kangaroo harvesting industry in Western Australia	19
Figure 1.4	Population monitoring zones and flight lines used to survey kangaroo populations in Western Australia.....	22
Figure 1.5	Annual population estimates of the western grey kangaroo (<i>M. fuliginosus</i>) in Western Australia.....	23
Figure 1.6	Annual population estimates of the red kangaroo (<i>M. rufus</i>) in Western Australia	24
Figure 1.7	Geographical breakdown of Western Australia into management regions for kangaroo harvest monitoring.....	29
Figure 1.8	Geographical breakdown of Western Australia in management blocks for harvest monitoring	30
Figure 1.9	Geographical breakdown of the South Western management zone into management blocks for harvest monitoring	30
Figure 1.10	Number of shooters responsible for each 10 th percentile of the annual harvest yield (2001 – 2006).....	34
Figure 1.11	Monthly incidence of Ross River virus disease in people in Western Australia (1984 – August 2006)	52
Figure 2.1	Geographic locations of kangaroo sampling sites in Western Australia	71

Figure 2.2	Regional Zones of Western Australia defined by the Department of Local Government and Regional Development	76
Figure 2.3	Proportion of male to female, western grey kangaroos (<i>Macropus fuliginosus</i>) harvested by all professional shooters in Western Australia (1997 – 2006)	85
Figure 2.4	Proportion of male and female, red kangaroos (<i>Macropus rufus</i>) harvested by all professional shooters in Western Australia (1997 – 2006)	86
Figure 2.5	The average harvest figures per management region in Western Australia (1990 – 2006)	92
Figure 2.6	The density of kangaroos harvested in each management block in Western Australia (2006)	93
Figure 5.1	Correlation between the seroprevalence and average log transformed Ross River virus neutralising antibody titre for all samples collected at each location	193
Figure 5.2	Correlation between the seroprevalence and average log transformed Ross River virus neutralising antibody titre for all positive samples collected at each location	193
Figure 5.3	Temporal changes in seroprevalence and the average log transformed Ross River virus neutralising antibody titre in western grey kangaroos (<i>Macropus fuliginosus</i>) at Capel	196
Figure 5.4	Correlation between the annual attack rate of Ross River virus disease in humans, reported at the Local Government level, and the average log transformed neutralising antibody titre in kangaroos (lag period of 6 months in kangaroo data)	205

Figure 5.5	Correlation between the annual attack rate of Ross River virus disease in humans, reported at the Local Government level, and the seroprevalence in kangaroos (lag period of 6 months in kangaroo data)	205
Figure 5.6	Total number of <i>Aedes camptorhynchus</i> mosquitoes recorded at “CALM Village” and the “Intersection of Stirling and Higgins Road” trap sites compared to the seroprevalence of Ross River virus neutralising antibodies in Capel kangaroos at each collection date	207

Acknowledgements

The work presented here was conducted using funding from the Australian Biosecurity cooperative research centre (ABCRC) and Murdoch University. Experiments crucial to this study were performed in the Arbovirus Surveillance and Research Laboratory at the University of Western Australia, the State Agricultural Biotechnology Centre (SABC) at Murdoch University and the Animal Health Laboratory at the WA Department of Agriculture and Food.

I would like to thank each of my supervisors A/Prof. Stan Fenwick, Dr. Simon Reid, Dr. Cheryl Johansen and Dr. Michael Lindsay for their undying support, enthusiasm and encouragement over the course of this research. Your patience was a virtue! To the girls from the Arbovirus Surveillance and Research Laboratory, your assistance in the laboratory was invaluable as has been the friendship we have developed.

I would like to thank the kangaroo shooters (and their partners), processor employees and industry representatives at DEC who each donated their time, assistance and knowledge to this project. The hospitality and midnight muffins supplied by Professional Shooter A and his wife, were a welcome treat after a long night of sample collecting!

Finally, I would like to make a special mention of Ryan and my family for their undying love and support over the past five years. To my two incredible children, Riley and Layla, who endured this experience with me - it was a challenge little ones, but we did it!

Aims

1. To develop a framework for active disease surveillance in kangaroos using the commercial harvesting industry.
2. To determine the prevalence of naturally acquired *Salmonella* infection in wild kangaroos in Western Australia.
3. To further define the role of the kangaroo as reservoir host of *Coxiella burnetii* in Western Australia.
4. To further define the role of the western grey kangaroo as reservoir host of Ross River virus and determine whether ongoing surveillance will improve the capacity to predict periods of increased viral activity.

Thesis Abstract

The aim of this study was to develop a framework for disease surveillance in one of the Australia's most abundant macropods using the kangaroo harvesting industry. The impetus for this work arose because wildlife species are considered to play a significant role in the introduction, maintenance and spread of a majority of the world's emerging infectious diseases yet active disease surveillance is rarely undertaken in these free-ranging populations. The framework developed was trialled by collecting samples and testing them for a number of significant emerging infectious diseases, including *Salmonella*, *Coxiella burnetii* and Ross River virus (RRV).

Kangaroos have long been suspected of carrying high levels of *Salmonella*, yet no definitive study has been undertaken to determine the true prevalence of infection in their natural habitat. Faecal samples were collected from 645 western grey kangaroos (*Macropus fuliginosus*) from ten different geographical locations throughout Western Australia over a period of 18 months and cultured for *Salmonella* spp. The estimated prevalence in the animals surveyed was approximately 3.6%. Faecal shedding was greatest following increased periods of rainfall in the April to June quarter. The relatively low prevalence of faecal shedding suggests that kangaroos in their natural habitat support the organism but are unlikely to pose any greater risk of zoonotic infection than other domestic livestock species. Whilst kangaroos have not yet been associated with food-borne outbreaks of disease, serotypes known to cause salmonellosis were isolated in this study, such as *Salmonella enterica* serovar Muenchen, Kiambu and Saintpaul.

Few studies have investigated the role of macropods in the maintenance and transmission of *C. burnetii*. Paired faecal and serum samples were collected from approximately 1000 western grey kangaroos from across twelve locations throughout Western Australia. An indirect ELISA was used to detect *C. burnetii* antibodies in serum, whilst quantitative PCR was used to detect *C. burnetii* DNA in faecal material. The estimated seroprevalence across all sample collection sites was 24.1%, whilst *C. burnetii* DNA was detected in the faeces of 4.1% of animals surveyed. Seroprevalence was significantly higher following increased periods of rainfall in the 60 days prior to sample collection ($p < 0.05$), with seroprevalence lowest in the October to December quarter. These results suggest that kangaroos are likely reservoirs of the organism in Western Australia, posing a zoonotic threat to industry workers and animal handlers.

Ross River virus is Australia's most common mosquito-borne disease and the western grey kangaroo is suspected of being a significant vertebrate host in the southwest of Western Australia. A total of 2605 serum samples, collected from across fourteen locations throughout the state, were tested for RRV neutralising antibodies. The seroprevalence varied significantly between geographical regions but was estimated to be 44.0% across all sample collection locations. Despite difficulties associated with age-based selection bias introduced through the kangaroo harvesting industry, surveillance within western grey kangaroo populations appears to provide a means of assessing the background risk of RRV for any given location and may assist in improving the capacity to predict future RRV activity.

Abbreviations

<	less than
>	greater than
≤	less than or equal to
≥	greater than or equal to
±	plus or minus
%	percent
°C	degrees Celcius
µl	microlitre
AB-CRC	Australian Biosecurity Cooperative Research Centre
ABS	Australia Bureau of Statistics
ADE	antibody dependent enhancement
Apr	April
ASRL	Arbovirus Surveillance and Research Laboratory
AWHN	Australian Wildlife Health Network
BOM	Bureau of Meteorology
CALM	Conservation and Land Management
CFT	complement-fixation test
CI	confidence interval
cm ²	centimetres squared
Dec	December
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation
dd	double distilled
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	ethylenediamine-tetra acetic acid, tri-potassium salt
EID	emerging infectious disease
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
et al.	and others
EVS	encephalitis vector survey
FBS	foetal bovine serum
FMD	Foot and mouth disease
g	grams
<i>g</i>	unit of gravitation field

G	gauge
GIS	geographical information system
H ₂ O	water
HI	haemagglutination-inhibition
IgA	immunoglobulin A
IgE	immunoglobulin E
IgG	immunoglobulin G
IgM	immunoglobulin M
Jan	January
Jun	June
Jul	July
kg	kilogram
KMAC	Kangaroo Management Advisory Committee
L	litre
LCV	large cell variant
m	metre
M	molar concentration
Mar	March
MBDC	Mosquito-Borne Disease Control Branch (Department of Health)
min	minute
MIR	minimum infection rate
ml	millilitre
mm	millimetre
n	number of animals
NC	not calculated
ND	not detected
NDVI	normalised difference vegetation index
NEPSS	National Enteric Pathogens Surveillance Scheme
NSW	New South Wales
NT	neutralisation test
NTC	no template controls
Oct	October
OD	optical density
OR	odds ratio

PP	percent positive
QLD	Queensland
qPCR	quantitative polymerase chain reaction
RainCat30	accumulated rainfall in the previous 30 days
RainCat60	accumulated rainfall in the previous 60 days
RRV	Ross River virus
SA	South Australia
Sept	September
SCV	small cell variant
SDC	small dense cell
TCID ₅₀	50% tissue culture infectious dose
TEN-T	TE and NaCl with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20
VIC	Victoria
v/v	volume in volume
WA	Western Australia
WGK	wester grey kangaroo (<i>Macropus fuliginosus</i>)
w/v	weight in volume