

---

**The Detection of *Coxiella burnetii* (Q fever) in  
Clinical and Environmental Samples**

Michelle Lockhart

BSc (Honours) University of Newcastle 2004

This Thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,  
Murdoch University 2010

---

## **Declaration**

---

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

Michelle Lockhart

## Abstract

---

The zoonotic intracellular bacterium *Coxiella burnetii* is the cause of the human disease Q fever. *Coxiella burnetii* can be shed by infected animals, can survive harsh environments and has been shown to persist within the human host. The detection and isolation of this bacterium is difficult due to its intracellular nature. In order to detect minimal concentrations of this bacterium in various clinical and environmental samples, highly sensitive assays were needed. A duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assay was developed to detect *C. burnetii* DNA (targeting the *ComI* gene and the *IS1111a* gene). This assay was then tested on a variety of environmental and clinical sample types.

Samples (such as water, soil, aerosols, blood and bone marrow) were spiked with *C. burnetii* (either living cell cultures or formalin killed cells) to determine the optimal method for extracting and detecting *C. burnetii* DNA. The silica column method followed by qPCR assay of the *ComI* gene was shown to have a sensitivity of approximately 1100 copies/litre in water, 1900 copies/kg in soil, 870 copies/litre in milk, and seven copies/litre of air. When the same technique was applied to clinical samples the silica column method proved to be the most effective in purifying DNA from the small cell variant of *C. burnetii* and effectively removed potential PCR inhibitors from mock clinical samples of blood, plasma, serum and bone marrow. However, because the qPCR cannot differentiate between viable and non-viable *C. burnetii* DNA it was important to establish a sensitive assay for the detection of viable *C. burnetii* in order to investigate persistent infections and to obtain isolates of the bacteria from cases of Q fever for further studies.

As isolation of *Coxiella* can be achieved using cell culture or animal inoculation these methods were compared for their sensitivity for *C. burnetii* detection. Vero and DH82 cell lines were the most sensitive for cell culture isolation of the Arandale and Henzerling isolates of *C. burnetii* respectively. When cell culture was compared to PCR and inoculation of severely combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice it was found that inoculation of SCID mice followed by euthanasia (at day 42) and removal and analysis of the spleen was the most sensitive method for the detection of viable *C. burnetii*.

It has recently been hypothesised that genetic differences between isolates of *C. burnetii* are responsible for differences in pathogenicity and disease outcomes. Hence the differences between Australian isolates were investigated. Seven new Australian isolates of *C. burnetii* were genetically analysed by conventional PCR of insertion sequences and detection of the acute disease antigen A (*adaA*) gene. Six Australian isolates of *C. burnetii* were placed in geno-group III but were negative for the *adaA* gene. One new Australian isolate (Poowong) was placed in geno-group II and was positive for the *adaA* gene. The Poowong isolate was from a seronegative asymptomatic patient, with bacteraemia detected by PCR in four initial samples as well as all 12 blood samples taken over a one month period. Through sequencing of 468bp of the *ankyrin* gene (*ankH* sequenced in triplicate) it was shown that the Poowong isolate had two base pair differences compared to the Henzerling isolate (also geno-group II) and the Nine Mile isolate (geno-group I). This demonstrates that the Poowong isolate can be distinguished from the other isolates within the laboratory.

The optimal methods of detection as determined in this study were used to analyse and evaluate clinical specimens. Blood samples (serum, plasma and peripheral blood mononuclear cells) from 12 patients infected during an outbreak of Q fever in Newport UK in 2002 were examined. Cell culture of the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) demonstrated that no viable *C. burnetii* cells were present. In contrast, six of the spleens from SCID mice inoculated with the PBMCs were positive for *C. burnetii* DNA (by *Com1* qPCR) and six were positive for *C. burnetii* antigen (by IFA). However, only two were positive for both. This suggests that in some patients low numbers of viable *C. burnetii* cells persist and in others *C. burnetii* persist as non-viable antigen.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated sensitive and specific optimal methods for the detection of *C. burnetii* in clinical and environmental samples, the optimal method for isolation of *C. burnetii*, the application of these methods on a number of clinical samples and the characterisation of seven new isolates, including an isolate from a highly unusual asymptomatic case that is genetically unique from the others. This study has also shown that the pathogenesis of *C. burnetii* infection in humans and the effect of genetic differences in isolates on pathogenesis are far from adequately understood. The optimal methods of detection, isolation and grouping determined in this study will have an effect on future studies and will allow a greater understanding of *C. burnetii* and its persistence, both in the environment and in Q fever infections.

# Table of Contents

---

<b>Title page</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>xv</b>
<b>Preface</b> .....	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>xix</b>
<b>Chapter 1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1    Q Fever.....	1
1.1.1    History.....	1
1.1.2    The disease .....	1
1.2 <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> .....	5
1.2.1 <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> phases.....	6
1.2.2 <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> Forms .....	7
1.2.3    The Large Cell Variant.....	9
1.2.4    The Small Cell Variant.....	9
1.2.5    Pathogenesis.....	9
1.3    Diagnosis.....	10
1.3.1    Serology .....	10
1.3.2    Culture.....	11
1.3.3    Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).....	12
1.4    Genetics.....	13
1.4.1    The <i>ComI</i> gene .....	13
1.4.2    The Insertion Sequence .....	13
1.5    Classification and grouping.....	14
<b>Chapter 2. Methods</b> .....	<b>15</b>
2.1    Laboratory conditions .....	15
2.2    Immunological Methods .....	15

2.2.1	IFA for detecting antibodies in serum.....	16
2.2.2	IFA for detecting antigen in samples .....	17
2.3	Cell Culture .....	18
2.3.1	Cell culture types.....	18
2.3.2	Maintenance of cell cultures .....	19
2.3.3	Bacterial isolation.....	20
2.4	DNA methods .....	21
2.4.1	DNA extraction .....	22
2.4.2	<i>Com1</i> PCR.....	23
2.4.3	<i>IS1111a</i> PCR.....	25
2.4.4	Duplex of <i>Com1</i> and <i>IS1111a</i> .....	26
2.4.5	DNA precipitation.....	26
2.4.6	Cloning.....	27
2.4.7	Sequencing .....	27
2.4.8	Animal Infection .....	28
<b>Chapter 3. Detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> in environmental samples including soil, water, milk and air .....</b>		<b>30</b>
3.1	Abstract .....	30
3.2	Introduction .....	31
3.3	Methods.....	32
3.3.1	Titration and spiking.....	33
3.3.2	Water .....	33
3.3.3	Soil .....	34
3.3.4	Milk.....	34
3.3.5	Air .....	34
3.4	Results .....	36
3.4.1	<i>Com1</i> standard curve.....	36
3.4.2	Detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> in environmental samples .....	38
3.4.3	Detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> in milk.....	41
3.4.4	Detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> in aerosols .....	43
3.5	Discussion .....	46
<b>Chapter 4. Extraction of <i>C. burnetii</i> DNA from human diagnostic samples and its detection by qPCR.....</b>		<b>55</b>
4.1	Abstract .....	55

4.2	Introduction .....	55
4.3	Methods.....	58
4.3.1	DNA extraction from blood (method 1) .....	58
4.3.2	DNA extraction from bone marrow .....	58
4.3.3	Controls .....	61
4.3.4	Statistical analysis .....	61
4.4	Results .....	62
4.4.1	Buffy Coat, Plasma and Serum samples .....	62
4.4.2	Bone Marrow samples.....	63
4.4.3	DNA extraction from the SCV of <i>C. burnetii</i> .....	66
4.4.4	DNA extraction from bone marrow spiked with SCV enriched cultures of <i>C. burnetii</i> .....	67
4.5	Discussion .....	73
<b>Chapter 5. Cell culture method for isolation and growth of <i>C. burnetii</i> .....</b>		<b>80</b>
5.1	Abstract .....	80
5.2	Introduction .....	80
5.3	Methods.....	82
5.3.1	Sensitivity of four different cell cultures for growing two isolates of <i>C. burnetii</i> .....	83
5.3.2	Maximum yield of four isolates of <i>C. burnetii</i> in four different cell culture types.....	83
5.3.3	Analysis.....	84
5.4	Results .....	84
5.4.1	The sensitivity of four different cell culture lines to amplify low numbers of viable <i>C. burnetii</i> .....	84
5.4.2	Maximum yield of <i>C. burnetii</i> in four different tissue culture cell lines .....	87
5.5	Discussion .....	90
<b>Chapter 6. Optimal assay for the detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> .....</b>		<b>94</b>
6.1	Abstract .....	94
6.2	Introduction .....	94
6.3	Methods.....	96
6.3.1	Sensitivity of real time PCR (qPCR) .....	97
6.3.2	Sensitivity of cell culture .....	97



6.3.3	Sensitivity of SCID mice inoculation .....	98
6.3.4	Analysis.....	98
6.4	Results .....	99
6.4.1	Mouse organ bacterial load .....	99
6.4.2	PCR .....	99
6.5	Discussion .....	105
<b>Chapter 7. Asymptomatic chronic <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> bacteraemia without seroconversion 110</b>		
7.1	Abstract .....	110
7.2	Introduction .....	111
7.2.1	Case history .....	114
7.3	Methods.....	114
7.3.1	Serology .....	114
7.3.2	PCR .....	115
7.3.3	Cell culture .....	115
7.3.4	SCID mice.....	115
7.3.5	qPCR duplex .....	115
7.3.6	qPCR specificity .....	116
7.4	Results .....	117
7.4.1	<i>IS1111a</i> standard curve .....	117
7.4.2	Initial Samples.....	118
7.4.3	Month long surveillance.....	119
7.4.4	Sensitivity of the duplex qPCR.....	120
7.5	Discussion .....	121
<b>Chapter 8. Classification of Australian isolates of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> ..... 126</b>		
8.1	Abstract .....	126
8.2	Introduction .....	126
8.2.1	Case histories: .....	130
8.3	Methods.....	133
8.3.1	Differentiation by conventional PCR.....	133
8.3.2	LCD-array gene chip.....	134
8.3.3	<i>Ankyrin</i> gene sequencing.....	136
8.4	Results .....	137
8.4.1	<i>IS1111</i> genotyping .....	138

8.4.2	LCD-array gene chip.....	138
8.4.3	Sequencing .....	139
8.5	Discussion .....	140
<b>Chapter 9.</b>	<b>Newport Q fever Study .....</b>	<b>144</b>
9.1	Abstract .....	144
9.2	Introduction .....	145
9.3	Methods.....	145
9.4	Results .....	146
9.4.1	Serology .....	146
9.4.2	<i>ComI</i> qPCR.....	146
9.4.3	Cell Culture .....	147
9.4.4	SCID mouse inoculation .....	147
9.5	Discussion .....	148
<b>Chapter 10.</b>	<b>Concluding remarks .....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>157</b>

## List of Figures

---

Figure 1 Notified Cases of Q Fever in Australia 1991-2009 .....	4
Figure 2 Distribution of notified Q Fever Cases in Australia 2005-2009.....	5
Figure 3 Mouse L cell with phagolysosome filled with <i>C. burnetii</i> <sup>22</sup> .....	6
Figure 4 Forms of <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> <sup>24</sup> .....	8
Figure 5 Developmental cycle of <i>C. burnetii</i> (adapted from <sup>9</sup> ).....	8
Figure 6 IgM and IgG antibody responses and bacteraemia in Acute Q Fever .....	11
Figure 7 MAS-100 impactor air sampler .....	36
Figure 8 <i>ComI</i> PCR standard curve.....	37
Figure 9 DNA ( $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ ) and <i>C. burnetii</i> bacterial numbers (detected by <i>ComI</i> PCR) in ten fold dilutions of <i>C. burnetii</i> (clone 4) in potable water.....	39
Figure 10 DNA ( $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ ) and <i>C. burnetii</i> bacterial numbers detected by <i>ComI</i> PCR in ten fold dilutions of <i>C. burnetii</i> (clone 4) in soil (further diluted 1:10 post DNA extraction), compared to water .....	40
Figure 11 DNA ( $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ ) and <i>C. burnetii</i> bacterial numbers (detected by <i>ComI</i> PCR) in ten fold dilutions of <i>C. burnetii</i> (clone 4) in PBS and milk homogenised (A) or unhomogenised (B) .....	42
Figure 12 DNA ( $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ ) and <i>C. burnetii</i> bacterial numbers detected by <i>ComI</i> PCR of dilutions of the vaccine Q Vax in aerosol .....	45
Figure 13 <i>C. burnetii</i> DNA detected in spiked normal clinical samples or PBS .....	62
Figure 14 <i>C. burnetii</i> (Nine Mile Clone 4) DNA ( $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ ) detected in 10 fold dilutions in either PBS or bone marrow .....	64
Figure 15 DNA extracted from SCV enriched cultures of <i>C. burnetii</i> .....	66
Figure 16 DNA extracted from bone marrow spiked with SCV enriched <i>C. burnetii</i> culture.....	68
Figure 17 DNA extracted from bone marrow spiked with Q-Vax® .....	70
Figure 18 <i>C. burnetii</i> DNA ( $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ ) detected in bone marrow samples spiked post DNA extraction.....	71
Figure 19 <i>C. burnetii</i> (Arandale isolate) yield from different cell culture lines after six weeks in culture.....	88
Figure 20 <i>C. burnetii</i> (Henzerling isolate) yield from different cell culture lines after six weeks in culture.....	88

Figure 21 <i>C. burnetii</i> (Cumberland isolate) yield from different cell culture lines after six weeks in culture.....	89
Figure 22 <i>C. burnetii</i> (Timony isolate) yield from different cell culture lines after six weeks in culture.....	89
Figure 23 Vero cell line uninfected (A) and infected (B) with <i>C. burnetii</i> (clone 4) (×100 magnification).....	90
Figure 24 Bacterial Load of <i>C. burnetii</i> DNA in SCID mouse organs.....	99
Figure 25 Calculated copy numbers in the Henzerling dilutions.....	100
Figure 26 Calculated copy numbers in the Arandale dilutions.....	101
Figure 27 Cumulative sensitivity of PCR and serology <sup>39</sup> .....	112
Figure 28 Diagnostic strategy for the early diagnosis of acute Q fever <sup>39</sup> .....	112
Figure 29 <i>IS1111a</i> PCR standard curve.....	118
Figure 30 PCR results over one month in surveillance of an asymptomatic “patient”	120
Figure 31 Method for grouping by <i>IS1111</i> differences <sup>30</sup> .....	134
Figure 32 LCD-array chip and well layout.....	136
Figure 33 Hydrophobicity plot of Dugway and Poowong translated <i>ankyrin</i> sequences.....	140

## List of Tables

---

Table 1 <i>ComI</i> primers and probe sequences.....	24
Table 2 <i>ComI</i> PCR mix .....	24
Table 3 <i>ComI</i> cycling parameters.....	24
Table 4 <i>IS1111a</i> primers and probe sequences .....	25
Table 5 <i>IS1111a</i> PCR mix .....	26
Table 6 <i>IS1111a</i> cycling parameters .....	26
Table 7 Big dye terminator mix for Sequencing.....	28
Table 8 Big dye terminator cycling parameters .....	28
Table 9 <i>ComI</i> C <sub>t</sub> deviation between spiked controls and spiked samples (post extraction) .....	39
Table 10 DNA (µg/µl) detected in air samples with aerosolised Q Fever vaccine sprayed from different heights from the air sampler.....	43
Table 11 Percentage of <i>C. burnetii</i> aerosolised collected by the air sampler .....	45
Table 12 <i>C. burnetii</i> detected on the air sampler itself that failed to be captured by the liquid medium .....	46
Table 13 Detection dose and percentage of control DNA detected in each sample type .....	46
Table 14 Methods used in this chapter and their codes .....	60
Table 15 Difference from control (shift) in DNA (µg/µl) detected in 88 negative samples spiked with <i>C. burnetii</i> DNA .....	63
Table 16 <i>C. burnetii</i> DNA (µg/µl) detected in either PBS or bone marrow extracted by 2 or 3 different methods .....	65
Table 17 Summary of DNA ( µg/ l) detected when extracted by the column (method 1a) and chloroform method (method 2 or 2a) .....	72
Table 18 Detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> (Arandale isolate) DNA (µg/µl) in serial ten fold dilutions inoculated into four different cell lines after six weeks incubation .....	85
Table 19 Detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> (Henzerling isolate) DNA (µg/µl) in serial ten fold dilutions inoculated into four different cell lines after six weeks incubation .....	86
Table 20 TCID <sub>50</sub> of <i>C. burnetii</i> (Arandale and Henzerling isolates) in different cell lines .....	86

Table 21 Amplification (detected by <i>ComI</i> PCR) of <i>C. burnetii</i> in DH82 cell cultures (in triplicate) six weeks after inoculation with 10-fold dilutions of <i>C. burnetii</i> suspension (Henzerling isolate) .....	101
Table 22 Amplification (detected by <i>ComI</i> PCR) of <i>C. burnetii</i> in Vero cell cultures (in triplicate) six weeks after inoculation with 10-fold dilutions of <i>C. burnetii</i> suspension (Arandale isolate) .....	102
Table 23 Day of death or euthanasia (post-infection) of SCID mice inoculated with 10-fold dilutions of a suspension of <i>C. burnetii</i> (Henzerling isolate) .....	102
Table 24 Day of death or euthanasia (post-infection) of SCID mice inoculated with 10-fold dilutions of a suspension of <i>C. burnetii</i> (Arandale isolate). .....	103
Table 25 Summary of sensitivity of detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> (Henzerling isolate) by direct qPCR, cell culture (at day 42) and SCID mouse inoculation after 49 days (death or spleen qPCR positivity). .....	104
Table 26 summary of sensitivity of detection of <i>C. burnetii</i> (Arandale isolate) by direct qPCR, cell culture (at day 42) and SCID mouse inoculation after 42 days (death or spleen qPCR positivity). .....	104
Table 27 Published methods of genotyping <i>C. burnetii</i> .....	128
Table 28 Published group, plasmid and <i>adaA</i> gene in several isolates of <i>C. burnetii</i> .	130
Table 29 <i>IS1111</i> primer sequences for genotyping.....	134
Table 30 LCD-array primer sequences .....	135
Table 31 LCD-array PCR mix .....	135
Table 32 <i>Ankyrin</i> PCR mix .....	137
Table 33 Insertion sequence conventional PCR results .....	138
Table 34 Summary of LCD-array results.....	138
Table 35 Nucleotide sequence differences in a 468bp section of the <i>Ankyrin</i> gene ....	139
Table 36 Serology Results .....	146
Table 37 SCID mouse spleen results; of testing for <i>C. burnetii</i> DNA (qPCR) and <i>C. burnetii</i> antigen (IFA).....	147
Table 38 Example calculation of TCID <sub>50</sub> .....	156

## Acknowledgements

---

The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without the help, assistance and guidance of my supervisors. I would like to thank Stephen Graves for giving me the opportunity, John Stenos for his advice and Stan Fenwick for his support. To all my supervisors thank you for your wisdom, ideas and patience over the past few years and for the way each meeting made me more enthusiastic and eager to do more work. Thanks to the Australian Rickettsial Reference Laboratory (ARRL) and Murdoch University for their financial support.

I would like to thank Chelsea Nguyen for her assistance with all serology, for isolation of some *C. burnetii* used in chapter 8 and teaching me cell culture but mostly for befriending me when I had moved so far away from home. Thanks to Leonard Izzard for all your help with chapter 7, for brainstorming with me, distracting me and everything in between. Thanks to all the staff at the ARRL for their help and friendship.

I would like to thank colleagues and collaborators who have helped complete certain chapters of this thesis. To Michael Banazis for the *IS1111a* real-time PCR assay and for sharing the frustrations of a PhD. To Aminul Islam for cell culture and animal inoculation in chapters 5, 6, 7 and 9 and for the isolation of some *C. burnetii* used in chapter 8. For Dr Dimitrios Frangoulidis for the LCD-array gene chip (Coxiella 2.5), the method of PCR for the gene chip the MST and MLVA results of the Arandale and Cumberland isolates in chapter 8, to Dr Anders Omsland for the formulation of the cell free media and Dr. Brenda Govan for the data on the pathological effects of two isolate of *C. burnetii* in guinea pigs in chapter 8. To Olga Sukocheva for the haematology in

chapter 9. To Dr Brendan Healy and colleagues in Wales (UK) for the serum, plasma and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) used in chapter 9. Thank you to Professor Barrie Marmion for your advice and wisdom and also to Mr Paul Storm for supplying the details of the chloroform method of DNA extraction and for the *ComI* PCR primer and probe sequences.

Thanks to my family and friends, especially Mum, Dad, Jenny, Aunty Gayle, Alissa, Nathan, Timna, Nicola and Nadine for your support, for trying to understand all that science stuff, for forgiving my unavailability, for your faith in me and your constant urging to get me to finish. Thanks to Nanna Norma my biggest fan.

Most importantly thanks to Wesley Martin who has been my rock. Thanks for your tremendous support; I could not have done this without you. With you by my side I know I can handle anything.



## Preface

---

During the development of this thesis the following conference abstracts and publications were prepared.

### Original Manuscript Drafts

**Lockhart, M.,** Graves, S., Banazis, M., Fenwick, S., and Stenos, J., “Extraction of DNA from *C. burnetii* in human diagnostic samples and it’s detection by a duplex qPCR” Submitted to Letters in Applied Microbiology.

**Lockhart, M.,** Islam, A., Graves, S., Fenwick, S., and Stenos, J., “Sensitivity of Isolation of two strains of *Coxiella burnetii* in four different cell lines” To be submitted to Journal of Microbiological Methods.

**Lockhart, M.,** Islam, A., Graves, S., Fenwick, S., and Stenos, J., “Yeild of four strains of *Coxiella burnetii* in four different cell lines” To be submitted to Journal of Microbiological Methods.

**Lockhart, M.,** Islam, A., Graves, S., Fenwick, S., and Stenos, J., “Detection of *Coxiella burnetii* by PCR, cell culture and SCID mice inoculation” To be submitted to Journal of Clinical Microbiology.

**Lockhart, M.,** Graves, S., Izzard, L., Nguyen, C., Islam, A., Fenwick, S., and Stenos, J., “Genotyping of Australian isolates of *Coxiella burnetii*” To be submitted to BMC Miocrobiology.

### Original Published Abstracts

**Lockhart, M.,** Graves, S., Fenwick, S., and Stenos, J “Q fever: The detection of *Coxiella burnetii* in clinical and environmental samples” Oral presentation at the Australian Society of Microbiology, Tropical diseases, Townsville, QLD (2006)

**Lockhart, M.,** Islam, A., Fenwick, S., Graves, S., and Stenos, J., “Amplification of new Australian Isolates of *Coxiella burnetii* in four different cell lines” Oral presentation at the 20th meeting of the American Society for Rickettsiology, Colorado, USA (2007)

**Lockhart, M.,** Islam, A., Fenwick, S., Graves, S., and Stenos, J., “Amplification of new Australian Isolates of *Coxiella burnetii* in four different cell lines” Poster presentation at Murdoch University (2007) and at the Research and Innovation Expo, Barwon Health, Geelong Hospital, VIC (2008)

**Lockhart, M.,** Izzard, I., Nguyen, C., Fenwick, S., Stenos, J., and Graves, S., “Asymptomatic chronic *Coxiella burnetii* bacteremia without seroconversion” Oral

presentation at the 5th international meeting on Rickettsiae and Rickettsial Diseases, Marseille, France (2008)

**Lockhart, M.,** Izzard, I., Nguyen, C., Fenwick, S., Stenos, J., and Graves, S., “Asymptomatic chronic *Coxiella burnetii* bacteremia without seroconversion” Poster presentation at Murdoch University (2008) and at the Research and Innovation Expo, Barwon Health, Geelong Hospital, VIC (2009).

**Lockhart, M.,** Graves, S., Izzard, L., Nguyen, C., Islam, A., Fenwick, S., and Stenos, J., “Detection of *Coxiella burnetii* in clinical samples” Poster presentation at Murdoch University (2009)

### **Co-Authored Manuscripts**

Marmion, B. P., Sukocheva, O., Storm, P. A., **Lockhart, M.,** Turra, M., Kok, T., Ayres, J., Routledge, H., and Graves, S., (2009) “Q fever: persistence of antigenic non-viable cell residues of *Coxiella burnetii* in the host - implications for post Q fever fatigue syndrome and other chronic sequelae” Quarterly Journal of Medicine **102**:673-684

Sukocheva, O., Marmion, B. P., Storm, P. A., **Lockhart, M.,** Turra, M., and Graves, S., (2009) “Long-term persistence after acute Q fever of non-infective *Coxiella burnetii* cell components, including antigens” Quarterly Journal of Medicine **103**:847-863

Stenos, J., Graves, S., and **Lockhart, M.,** “Detection of *Coxiella burnetii* by nucleic acid amplification” Submitted to Springer as a chapter of the book “PCR for clinical Microbiology - An Australian and International Perspective”

### **Co-Authored Published Abstracts**

Graves, S., Islam, A., Ferguson, J., **Lockhart, M.,** Nguyen, C., and Stenos, J., “Q fever in Australia and the USA connection” Oral presentation at the 20th meeting of the American Society for Rickettsiology, Colorado, USA (2007)

## Abbreviations

---

-	Negative
+	Positive
A	Adenine
ACT	Australian Capital Territory
AQIS	Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service
C	Cytosine
CFS	Chronic Fatigue syndrome
CPE	Cytopathic effect
Ct	Cycling threshold
DD	Detection dose
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DPI	Death Post Infection
EDTA	Ethylene-Diamine-Tetra-Acetic acid
ELISA	Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay
FITC	Fluorescent-Labeled anti-human Conjugate
G	Guanine
H&E	Hematoxylin and Eosin
HBSS	Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution
HEPES	4-(2-HydroxyEthyl)-1-PiperazineEthaneSulfonic acid
ID	Infectious Dose
IF	Immunofluorescence
IFA	IF assay
IgA	Immunoglobulin A

IgG	Immunoglobulin G
IgM	Immunoglobulin M
IRS	Infrequent Restriction Site
IS	Insertion Sequence
LCD	Low Cost and Density
LCN	Light Cycler Nested
LCV	Large Cell Variant
LD	Lethal Dose
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
MLVA	Multiple-Locus VNTR Analysis
MST	Multi-Spacer Sequence Typing
ND	Not Done
NP	No Plasmid - plasmid sequence integrated into genome
NSW	New South Wales
NT	Northern Territory
NTC	No Template Control
OD	Optical Density
OMP	Outer Membrane Protein
PAGE	Poly Acrylamide Gel Electrophoresis
PBMC	Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells
PBS	Phosphate Buffered Saline
PC1	Physical Containment level 1
PC2	Physical Containment level 2
PC3	Physical Containment level 3
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PFGE	Pulse Field Gel Electrophoresis

QFS	Post Q Fever Fatigue Syndrome
QLD	Queensland
qPCR	Quantitative Real-Time PCR
RBC	Red Blood Cell
RBCL	RBC Lysis buffer
RFLP	Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism
RPMI	Roswell Park Memorial Institute media
rRNA	Ribosomal Ribonucleic acid
SA	South Australia
SCID	Severe Combined Immuno-Deficient mice
SCV	Small Cell Variant
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
T	Thymine
TCID	Tissue Culture Infectious Dose
UK	United Kingdom
USA	United States of America
VIC	Victoria
VNTR	Variable Number Tandem Repeats
WA	Western Australia