

**Why are the symbioses between some genotypes
of *Sinorhizobium* and *Medicago* suboptimal for N₂
fixation?**

by

Jason James Terpolilli

This thesis is presented for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

of

Murdoch University

2009

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that, unless otherwise stated, the work presented in this thesis is my own and has not been submitted for a degree at any other institution.

Jason James Terpolilli

*To all my family who
left their homes many years ago
with hopes, dreams and fears,
in search of a better life.*

We are too much accustomed to attribute to a single cause that which is the product of several, and the majority of our controversies come from that.

Marcus Aurelius

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Publication arising from the thesis.....	xi
Acknowledgments.....	xii
Abbreviations.....	xiv
Summary.....	xvi
Chapter 1: Introduction and literature review.....	1
1.1 Introduction: Legumes in agriculture and N ₂ fixation.....	1
1.2 Effectiveness of N ₂ fixation in symbiotic interactions.....	5
1.3 The <i>Medicago-Sinorhizobium</i> symbiosis.....	9
1.3.1 <i>Medicago</i> spp.....	9
1.3.2 <i>Sinorhizobium meliloti</i> and <i>S. medicae</i>	10
1.3.3 Diversity of infection and nodulation.....	13
1.3.4 Initial signalling events.....	14
1.3.5 Infection and infection thread development.....	18
1.3.6 Nodule development and invasion by bacteria.....	19
1.3.7 The N ₂ -fixing indeterminate nodule.....	21
1.4 Bacterial polysaccharides and symbiosis.....	27
1.4.1 EPS in Sm1021.....	27
1.4.2 EPS in other rhizobia.....	30
1.4.3 EPS and the <i>Medicago-Sinorhizobium meliloti</i> symbiosis.....	32
1.5 Aims of this thesis.....	35

Chapter 2: Characterisation of N₂ fixation effectiveness of the model *M. truncatula*

-Sm1021 symbiosis and other strain-host interactions.....39

2.1 Introduction.....40

2.2 Materials and Methods.....42

2.2.1 Bacterial strains and plant accessions.....42

2.2.2 Glasshouse experimental design.....42

2.2.3 Experiments to assess N₂ fixation.....43

2.2.4 Statistical analysis.....44

2.2.5 Sequencing of 16S rDNA gene of WSM1022.....45

2.3 Results47

2.3.1 N₂ fixation across a broad range of *Medicago* hosts.....47

2.3.2 *M. truncatula* and *M. sativa* as hosts for Sm1021.....50

2.3.3 Nodule development.....52

2.3.4 16S rDNA sequence of WSM1022.....57

2.4 Discussion.....59

2.4.1 Identification of WSM1022 as belonging to the species *Sinorhizobium meliloti*.....59

2.4.2 N₂ fixation in the model *M. truncatula*-Sm1021 symbiosis is partially effective60

2.4.3 Implications for studies of the model indeterminate symbiosis.....62

2.4.4 Further investigating the *M. truncatula*-Sm1021 symbiosis63

Chapter 3: Investigation of the relationship between *expR* and effectiveness of

N₂ fixation in *M. truncatula* and *M. sativa* with Sm1021.....65

3.1 Introduction.....66

3.2 Materials and Methods.....	68
3.2.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids, media and plant accessions.....	68
3.2.2 Glasshouse experimental design.....	69
3.2.3 Experiments to assess N ₂ fixation.....	69
3.2.4 Production of the <i>expR</i> -complemented Sm1021(pJNexpR).....	69
3.2.5 PCR screening for plasmid pJNexpR.....	70
3.2.6 Gel electrophoresis.....	71
3.2.7 Assessment of nodule occupancy by the complemented Sm1021(pJNexpR).....	72
3.2.8 Statistical analysis.....	72
3.3 Results	74
3.3.1 The effect of media on culture appearance.....	74
3.3.2 N ₂ fixation effectiveness of galactoglucan-producing Rm8530 with <i>M. truncatula</i> and <i>M. sativa</i>	80
3.3.3 Mobilisation of pJNexpR into Sm1021.....	82
3.3.4 Effectiveness of <i>expR</i> complemented Sm1021 with <i>M. truncatula</i> and <i>M. sativa</i>	84
3.3.5 Nodule isolation and identification of Sm1021(pJNexpR).....	85
3.4 Discussion.....	88
3.4.1 Colony morphology, Calcofluor and <i>expR</i>	88
3.4.2 Effectiveness of Rm8530 and Sm1021(pJNexpR) on <i>M. truncatula</i>	90
3.4.3 Effectiveness of SU47 on <i>M. truncatula</i> accessions.....	92
3.4.4 The role of <i>expR</i> in Rm8530.....	93
3.4.5 Rm8530 and symbiosis.....	95
3.4.6 Concluding remarks.....	99

Chapter 4: Investigation of timing of nodulation in <i>M. truncatula</i> and <i>M. sativa</i>	
inoculated with Sm1021 and WSM419.....	100
4.1 Introduction.....	101
4.2 Materials and Methods.....	103
4.2.1 Bacterial strains, media and plant accessions.....	103
4.2.2 Measurement of bacterial growth curves.....	103
4.2.3 Glasshouse experimental design.....	103
4.2.4 Experiments to compare timing and nodule morphology	104
4.2.5 Nodule sectioning, nodule initial staining and light microscopy.....	104
4.2.6 Statistical analysis.....	106
4.3 Results	107
4.3.1 Growth curve of Sm1021 and WSM419 in TY broth.....	107
4.3.2 Comparison of timing of nodulation.....	109
4.3.3 Nodule sections and light microscopy.....	112
4.4 Discussion.....	119
4.4.1 Inoculant cell numbers.....	119
4.4.2 Early nodulation events.....	119
4.4.3 Later nodulation events.....	124
4.4.4 Concluding remarks	127
Chapter 5: Development of a system to assay the response of the Sm1021	
transcriptome to roots and root exudates of <i>M. truncatula</i> and	
<i>M. sativa</i>.....	128
5.1 Introduction.....	129
5.2 Materials and Methods.....	134

5.2.1 Bacterial strains, plant accessions and growth media.....	134
5.2.2 Chamber for the exposure of Sm1021 to the roots and root exudates of <i>M. truncatula</i> and <i>M. sativa</i> seedlings	135
5.2.3 Sterilisation of apparatus and seed sterilisation	136
5.2.4 Inoculation of chambers with bacterial culture.....	137
5.2.5 Assessment of <i>nod</i> gene induction with Sm1021(pRmM57).....	138
5.2.6 Assaying response of Sm1021 transcriptome to <i>M. truncatula</i> and <i>M. sativa</i> root and root exudates.....	140
5.2.7 RNA extractions.....	141
5.2.8 Phenol-chloroform extractions.....	143
5.2.9 Ethanol precipitation.....	144
5.2.10 RNA purification using RNeasy (Qiagen) columns.....	144
5.2.11 Assay of RNA quality and quantity.....	145
5.2.12 Slide printing, sample labelling, hybridisation and scanning.....	145
5.2.13 Array data analysis.....	147
5.3 Results	148
5.3.1 Development of a suitable exposure chamber	148
5.3.2 Creating an axenic system for the germination of seeds.....	150
5.3.3 Growth of bacteria within the chamber system.....	152
5.3.4 Assessment of <i>nod</i> gene induction with Sm1021(pRmM57).....	154
5.3.5 Development of an RNA extraction protocol.....	155
5.3.6 Microarray analysis of Sm1021 transcriptome exposed to the roots of <i>M. truncatula</i> and <i>M. sativa</i>	159
5.4 Discussion.....	168
5.4.1 Exudate exposure chambers.....	168

5.4.2 Induction of <i>nodC-lacZ</i> fusion by luteolin and <i>M. sativa</i> roots and root exudates.....	169
5.4.3 Gene expression of Sm1021 in contact with root exudates of <i>M. sativa</i> and <i>M. truncatula</i>	170
5.4.4 Concluding remarks.....	176
Chapter 6: General Discussion.....	178
6.1 Analysis of effectiveness in <i>Medicago-Sinorhizobium</i> systems.....	179
6.2 Model symbioses need to be effective.....	188
Bibliography.....	191

PUBLICATION ARISING FROM THE THESIS

Terpolilli, J. J., O'Hara, G. W., Tiwari, R. P., Dilworth, M. J. & Howieson, J. (2008). The model legume *Medicago truncatula* A17 is poorly matched for N₂ fixation with the sequenced microsymbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 1021. *New Phytologist* **179**, 62-66.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the many people who have made it possible for me to undertake my PhD.

Firstly, I would like to acknowledge the generous support of the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) of Australia who kindly provided me with my post-graduate research scholarship.

My sincerest gratitude to my supervisors John Howieson, Graham O'Hara and Ravi Tiwari for their knowledge, enthusiasm and support throughout this project. During the many years that we have worked together, I have benefited from your knowledge and experience and what I have learned has put me in good stead for the years to come. I would also like to thank Michael Dilworth for his active involvement and continued interest in this project from its inception. I am sincerely grateful for all your input and guidance throughout my studies.

To my good friend and work colleague Giovanni Garau, who has been an invaluable resource and an "ideas man" during his stay in Australia and even now back at home. I thank you for all your help and our discussions. My gratitude also to Regina Carr for her technical support in my numerous glasshouse experiments and to Heidi Nistelberger and Howard Langer for helping with my first "big" glasshouse experiment. To Gordon Thompson for his histological expertise and Michael Calver for his valuable assistance with the statistical analysis of my data. Thanks to Matthew McIntosh for kindly supplying pJNexpR and to Sharon Long for supplying and clearing-up some of the

history of Sm1021. In addition, I would like to acknowledge the valuable contributions made by Michael Bunce and Wayne Reeve to the project, Lambert Bräu and Lesley Mutch for assistance in preparing this thesis and Elizabeth Watkin for ensuring that I didn't go mad writing my thesis by providing me with the opportunity to work with some really recalcitrant bacteria.

To William Lee for being ready to listen to a rant when things weren't going well (and for providing appropriate X-box-related diversions when required), Sharon Fox for our lively discussions and for always finding that extra paper I didn't want to know about, and to Julie Ardley and Macarena Gerding for our frequent chats and for making sure that there was always a hot pot of coffee on the brew in the office. To all the CRS research team my sincerest thanks – in particular Adam Gunn, Robert Walker and Peta Locke – you have all made studying at the CRS not only a valuable learning experience but an enjoyable one as well.

I would especially like to thank my parents for their support and their faith in me. Their lessons of hard work, perseverance and the importance of seeking challenges is a big part of why I was able to get this far. Your love and example are a constant strength to me.

Finally, my deepest thanks to Yvette who has been my best friend and my confidante. Over the past three years, your love, support and positive attitude have helped me to overcome many hurdles. Your understanding and technical assistance in preparing this thesis have been immensely appreciated....rest assured, I will return the favour when your turn comes.

ABBREVIATIONS

A ₂₆₀	Absorbance at $\lambda = 260$ nm
A ₂₈₀	Absorbance at $\lambda = 280$ nm
AM3	Antibiotic medium 3
AHL	Acyl homoserine lactone
BLAST	Basic local alignment search tool
BP	Base pair
CR-YMA	Congo red-yeast mannitol agar
DEPC	Diethylpyrocarbonate
DI	Deionised
dpi	Days post inoculation
DsRed	<i>Discosoma</i> sp. red fluorescent protein
EDTA	Ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
EPS	Exopolysaccharide
EPS I	Exopolysaccharide I, also known as succinoglycan
EPS II	Exopolysaccharide II, also known as galactoglucan
GFP	Green fluorescent protein
Gm	Gentamycin
HMW	High molecular weight
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
IS	Insertion sequence
LA	Lupin agar
LB	Luria-Burtani
LBMC	Luria-Burtani with Mg ²⁺ and Ca ²⁺

LMW	Low molecular weight
LSD	Least significant difference
MES	Morpholinoethanesulfonic acid
MGT	Mean generation time
MW	Molecular weight
NA	Nutrient agar
OD ₄₂₀	Optical density at $\lambda = 420$ nm
OD ₆₀₀	Optical density at $\lambda = 600$ nm
ONPG	O-Nitrophenyl- β -galactoside
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
Rpm	Revolutions per minute
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
Sp	Spectinomycin
SSC	Saline-sodium citrate
Sm	Streptomycin
Tn5	Transposon 5
Tc	Tetracycline
Tp	Trimethoprim
Tris	Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
TY	Tryptone yeast (equivalent to TYC)
YMA	Yeast mannitol agar

SUMMARY

The conversion of atmospheric dinitrogen (N₂) into plant available nitrogen (N), by legumes and their prokaryotic microsymbionts, is an integral component of sustainable farming. A key constraint to increasing the amount of N₂ fixed in agricultural systems is the prevalence of symbioses which fix little or no N. The biotic factors leading to this suboptimal N₂ fixation have not been extensively analysed. Using the widely studied and cultivated perennial legume *Medicago sativa* and the model indeterminate annual legume *Medicago truncatula* with the sequenced bacterial microsymbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 1021 (Sm1021) as a basis, the work presented in this thesis examined the effectiveness of N₂ fixation in these associations and in other comparable systems and investigated factors which lead to the establishment of suboptimally effective symbioses.

The ability of Sm1021, *S. medicae* WSM419 and the uncharacterised *Sinorhizobium* sp. WSM1022 to fix N with *M. truncatula* A17, *M. sativa* cv. Sceptre and a range of other *Medicago* spp. was evaluated in N-limited conditions. As measured by plant shoot dry weights and N-content, Sm1021 was partially effective with *M. truncatula* A17 whereas WSM1022 and WSM419 were both effective with this host in comparison to nitrogen-fed (N-fed) control plants. In contrast, Sm1021 and WSM1022 were effective with *M. sativa* while WSM419 was only partially effective. Nodules induced by Sm1021 on *M. truncatula* A17 were more numerous, paler, smaller in size and more widely distributed over the entire root system than in the two effective symbioses with this host. On the contrary, nodule number, size and distribution did not differ between these three strains on *M. sativa*. WSM1022 was effective on *M. littoralis*, *M. tornata* and two other

cultivars of *M. truncatula* (Jemalong and Caliph) but Sm1021 was only partially effective on these hosts. These data indicate that the model indeterminate legume symbiosis between *M. truncatula* and Sm1021 is not optimally matched for N₂ fixation and that Sm1021 possesses broader symbiotic deficiencies. In addition, the interaction of WSM1022 with *M. polymorpha* (small white nodules but does not fix N), *M. murex* (does not nodulate), *M. arabica* (partially effective N₂ fixation) and *M. sphaeocaropus* (partially effective N₂ fixation), and the sequence of the 16S rDNA, are all consistent with this isolate belonging to the species *S. meliloti*.

The colony morphology of TY, half-LA and YMA agar plate cultures of Sm1021, WSM419 and WSM1022 suggested differences in EPS profiles between these strains. Sm1021 is very dry, compared to the mucoid WSM419 and extremely mucoid WSM1022. Sm1021 is known to carry an insertion in *expR* rendering the gene non-functional and resulting in the dry colony phenotype. WSM419 has an intact copy of *expR*, while the *expR* status of WSM1022 is not known. Rm8530, a spontaneous mucoid derivative of Sm1021 with an intact *expR*, was significantly less effective with *M. truncatula* than Sm1021, but there was no difference in effectiveness between these strains on *M. sativa*. The effectiveness of Sm1021, when complemented with a plasmid-borne copy of *expR* from Rm8530, was significantly reduced on *M. truncatula* but not *M. sativa*, implicating a functional *expR* as being the cause of reduced N₂ fixation observed with Rm8530 on *M. truncatula*. ExpR could reduce the effectiveness of Rm8530 by acting as a negative regulator of genes essential for symbiosis with *M. truncatula*, or by altering the quantity or structure of succinoglycan and/or galactoglucan produced. These data support the emerging view of ExpR being a central regulator of numerous cellular processes.

The timing of nodulation between Sm1021 and WSM419 on *M. truncatula* and *M. sativa* was investigated. Compared to the other symbioses analysed, the appearance of nodule initials and nodules was delayed when *M. truncatula* was inoculated with Sm1021 by 3 and 4 days, respectively. To explore whether events during early symbiotic signalling exchange could account for these observed delays, leading to the establishment of a suboptimal N₂-fixing symbiosis, a novel system was developed to compare the response of the Sm1021 transcriptome to roots and root exudates of *M. truncatula* A17 and *M. sativa* cv. Sceptre. This system consisted of a sealed 1 L polycarbonate chamber containing a stainless steel tripod with a wire mesh platform on which surface-sterilised seeds could be placed and allowed to germinate through the mesh, into a hydroponic medium below. After germination, Sm1021 cells were inoculated into the hydroponic solution, exposed to the roots and root exudates for 16 h, harvested and their RNA extracted. Comparison of Sm1021 mRNA from systems exposed to *M. truncatula* or *M. sativa* revealed marked differences in gene expression between the two. Compared to the no plant control, when *M. sativa* was the host plant, 23 up-regulated and 40 down-regulated Sm1021 genes were detected, while 28 up-regulated and 45 down-regulated genes were detected with *M. truncatula* as the host. Of these, 12 were up-regulated and 28 were down-regulated independent of whether *M. truncatula* or *M. sativa* was the host. Genes expressed differently when exposed to either *M. truncatula* or *M. sativa* included *nex18*, *exoK*, *rpoE1* and a number of other genes coding for either hypothetical proteins or proteins with putative functions including electron transporters and ABC transporters. Characterisation of these differentially expressed genes along with a better understanding of the composition of *M. truncatula* root exudates would yield a clearer insight into the contribution of early signal exchange to N₂ fixation.

Comparison of the regulation of nodule number between Sm1021 and WSM419 on *M. truncatula* and *M. sativa* revealed nodule initials at 42 days post-inoculation (dpi) on *M. truncatula* inoculated with Sm1021. In contrast, no new nodule initials were present 21 dpi on any of the other interactions examined. Moreover, analysis of nodule sections revealed that the number of infected cells in *M. truncatula*-Sm1021 nodules was less than for comparable symbioses. These data suggest that nodule number is not tightly controlled in the *M. truncatula*-Sm1021 association, probably due to N₂ fixation being insufficient to trigger the down regulation of nodulation. Quantification of N₂ fixation activity in this and other more effective symbioses is required.

The poor effectiveness of the *M. truncatula*-Sm1021 symbiosis makes these organisms unsuitable as the model indeterminate interaction and the implications for legume research are discussed. The recently sequenced WSM419 strain, revealed here to fix N₂ more effectively with *M. truncatula* than Sm1021, may be a better model microsymbiont, although WSM419 is only partially effective for N₂ fixation with *M. sativa*. The sequencing of *S. meliloti* WSM1022, a highly effective strain with both *M. truncatula* and *M. sativa*, would provide a valuable resource in identifying factors which preclude the establishment of effective symbioses.