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ABSTRACT

Muscle fatigue is characterised by a transient (reversible with sufficient rest) decline in force generating capacity of the active musculature. This deterioration in force production capacity is associated with impaired neuromuscular function integrity, which includes both central and peripheral factors. An oxygen-deprived environment (hypoxia) accelerates and/or exacerbates development of muscle fatigue and ultimately hampers exercise tolerance. However, it is unclear how hypoxia of different severity during an initial exercise bout may influence recovery and performance of a subsequent exercise bout. The overarching aim of this thesis was to assess the impact of graded hypoxia during an initial intermittent exercise bout, on subsequent performance and neuromuscular and perceptual responses during closed-loop (*i.e.*, pre-determined number of repeated cycling sprints; Study 1) and open-loop (*i.e.*, exhaustive intermittent cycling bouts; Study 2) tasks. Results from Study 1 (Chapter 3) showed that single sprint performance was restored during the subsequent set of repeated sprints despite substantial impairments in muscle contractility (~45% decrease in quadriceps potentiated peak twitch from baseline). The restoration of sprint performance during the subsequent sprint set coincided with the recovery in exercise-related sensations and quadriceps muscle activation, which suggests that the central nervous system plays an important role in the recovery of sprint performance. However, the relatively brief repeated sprint (with a known endpoint) may have consciously or subconsciously influenced participants’ pacing strategy to “overcome” the impaired neuromuscular function for short duration, and increase power output. Therefore, Study 2 (Chapter 4) investigated the effects of graded hypoxia during an exhaustive intermittent cycling bout on subsequent performance and associated neuromuscular fatigue characteristics. It was observed that the number of efforts performed during the second bout was substantially lower compared to the first bout at sea-level, despite 30 min of passive recovery. This suggests that the residual effect of fatigue may only become apparent when
exercise is performed until exhaustion during an “open-loop” exercise task. Increasing hypoxia severity reduced the number of efforts completed during the initial cycling bout, but did not influence performance or neuromuscular fatigue characteristics during the second bout. The effects of prior high intensity intermittent exercise at graded hypoxia on subsequent performance and neuromuscular fatigue characteristics were essentially minimal. The residual effect of fatigue was task dependent. Specifically, when the subsequent exercise is brief, compensatory process associated with central factors (e.g. perceptual recovery) may aid in sustaining exercise performance. However, where exercise is prolonged, for instance till exhaustion, performance decrements associated with residual fatigue becomes evident. An important and consistent finding across studies was that using the fraction of inspired oxygen as a marker of “hypoxic dose” elicited large inter-individual differences in response to hypoxia, and consequently performance. As such, Chapter 5 proposed an individualised approach to implementing hypoxia, using SpO\(_2\) to FiO\(_2\) ratio as a marker of dose. Collectively, our findings showed that neuromuscular fatigue during high intensity intermittent exercise in hypoxia and normoxia were largely peripheral in nature. However, prior high intensity exercise in graded hypoxia does not influence performance and associated neuromuscular functions during subsequent exercise.
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION
Introduction

Repetitive high intensity efforts leads to development of fatigue, characterised by a transient exercise-induced reduction in force production capacity that is reversible with sufficient rest (Girard et al. 2011a; Bishop 2012). Strength loss is associated with impaired neuromuscular function integrity, resulting from biochemical changes within the active musculature (i.e., peripheral fatigue) and/or an suboptimal muscle activation (i.e., central fatigue) (Amann 2011). An experimental approach to understand the interplay between central and peripheral fatigue during high intensity intermittent exercise (HIIE) is to modify the prior level of fatigue. This can be achieved, for instance, by completing an initial exercise bout (Amann and Dempsey 2008) or through hypoxic manipulation (Girard et al. 2015), and examining performance during a subsequent bout.

Using this approach, limiting factors of performance can be identified during the subsequent bout of exercise. For instance, Mendez-Villanueva et al. (2007) showed that prior exercise (inducing pre-fatigue) exacerbates performance decrement during subsequent repeated cycling sprints. Larger decrements in total work (~20% vs. 14%) were observed during five successive repeated 6-s sprints after the completion of an initial set of ten sprints of similar duration (Mendez-Villanueva et al. 2007). This was accompanied by a ~12% decline in the Root Mean Square (RMS) of the electromyographic (EMG) signal of the vastus lateralis (VL) muscle during the initial effort of the second set of sprints. This suggests that neural factors may partly explain performance decrement during a subsequent set of sprints.

Few studies have used hypoxic exposure during an initial set of exercise to manipulate fatigue levels incurred at the start of a subsequent exercise in order to identify key neuromuscular determinants of HIIE. The use of hypoxia is based on the premise that decreasing fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) exacerbates neuromuscular fatigue and perceptual responses (and
therefore recovery requirements). Specifically, the severity of hypoxia influences the contribution of central and peripheral factors to neuromuscular fatigue, and is likely an important determinant of exercise tolerance (Amann and Kayser 2009). During high intensity cycling with mild to moderate hypoxia (FiO₂ ~0.17-0.15), neuromuscular fatigue development is predominantly of peripheral origin (Amann et al. 2007b; Amann et al. 2006a), presumably associated with accelerated muscle acidosis and phosphocreatine (PCr) hydrolysis (Bowtell et al. 2014; Hogan et al. 1999). Conversely, severe hypoxia (FiO₂ <0.10, equivalent to arterial oxygen saturation [SpO₂] of ~70-75%) imposes substantial cerebral deoxygenation (Goodall et al., 2012), inducing earlier and greater down-regulation of skeletal muscle recruitment, and consequently larger performance decrements (Amann et al. 2007b).

Current research using FiO₂ as the “dose” marker to assess neuromuscular fatigue responses during high intensity performance, reports large variability in responses (Goodall et al. 2012; Mira et al. 2020). This is likely due to large inter-individual variation in response to hypoxia. Additionally, the design of the exercise bout is also likely to contribute to variability both within and between studies. Most studies manipulating hypoxia severity during an initial exercise bout have used a pre-determined number of efforts or a “closed-loop” design (Girard et al. 2016; Townsend et al. 2020). However, during such brief repeated sprints (where the exercise end-point is set), individuals may be able to “overcome” impaired neuromuscular function for brief duration and modulate their performance and the resulting neuromuscular fatigue via pacing strategy (Billaut et al. 2011). As such, to resolve the issue of pacing, an “open-loop” exercise task, where exercise is performed at a constant work-rate up to exhaustion should be considered.

Collectively, the available evidence suggests that both peripheral and central alterations may contribute to the impairment of HIIE in graded hypoxia (i.e., hypoxia at different severity). Additionally, the relative contribution of central and peripheral factors is likely to be
determined by the severity of hypoxia. However, it is unclear how hypoxia of different severity during an initial exercise bout may influence performance recovery during a subsequent exercise bout. Furthermore, the type of exercise task (open- vs. closed-loop) may also consciously or subconsciously influence an individual’s pacing strategy, and in turn, alter neuromuscular characteristics and eventually exercise performance. Thus, the overarching aim of this thesis is to assess the impact of graded hypoxia during an initial intermittent exercise bout on subsequent performance as well as neuromuscular and perceptual responses during closed-loop and open-loop tasks. To achieve this aim, this thesis is divided into six chapters: Chapter 2 briefly reviews the available literature surrounding this research question; Chapter 3 assesses the impact of graded hypoxia during an initial intermittent exercise bout on subsequent performance and neuromuscular/perceptual responses during a closed-loop task; Chapter 4 assesses the impact of graded hypoxia during an initial intermittent exercise bout on subsequent performance and neuromuscular and perceptual responses during an open-loop task. Given the aforementioned inter-individual variability in response to hypoxia when using the conventional $\text{FiO}_2$ as a “dose” metric, Chapter 5 discusses the use of a clinical index that integrates both the external ($\text{FiO}_2$) and internal ($\text{SpO}_2$) stimuli to characterise individual responses to hypoxia as an alternate approach. Finally, Chapter 6 summarise the findings and provide suggestions for future research as well as practical applications of the studies.
2.1 Exercise-induced fatigue

Exercise-induced muscle fatigue can be defined as a decline in force generating capacity of the exercising muscle, and is evidenced by a decrease in exercise performance (e.g. reduced power output) (Gandevia 2001; Collins et al. 2018). Exercise-induced fatigue has been broadly attributed to central and/or peripheral factors. Central fatigue is characterised by an incomplete neural drive to the active musculature, whereas peripheral fatigue is caused by biochemical changes occurring within the exercising muscles (Amann 2011). The force generating capacity of a muscle group is restored with sufficient rest, although the degree and rate of recovery depend on the preceding activity (e.g. intensity of exercise) (Carroll et al. 2016). The manifestation of fatigue during exercise is complex – since changes occurring at any sites within the neuromuscular system may contribute to or compensate for fatigue (Carroll et al. 2016) – but is ultimately evidenced by a reduction in maximal voluntary force.

2.2 Measuring neuromuscular fatigue of the quadriceps muscles

Electrical and/or magnetic stimulations are typically used to assess the contributions of peripheral and central factors responsible for impaired neuromuscular function. Peripheral fatigue can be assessed by evoking a potentiated peak twitch ($Q_{\text{tw-pot}}$) through supramaximal stimulation of a motor nerve in the relaxed state. A decrease in resting twitch from pre- to post-exercise is indicative of impaired muscle contractility. Additionally, the muscle compound action potential (or M-wave) following nerve stimulation can be recorded using the surface electromyography technique with electrodes fixed over the contracting muscle. Studying M-wave characteristics is used to determine if fatigue is associated with altered muscle excitability (Girard et al. 2011a). For instance, a reduction in M-wave amplitude suggests that action potential synaptic transmission may be impaired (Girard et al. 2011a).

The contributions of central factors to impaired neuromuscular function can be examined using peripheral motor nerve (PMN) stimulations, for instance from the twitch interpolation
technique. Briefly, voluntary activation (VA) is quantified by comparing the amplitude of the superimposed twitch (evoked during a maximal voluntary contraction; MVC) to that of a twitch evoked from the same muscle at rest. The evoked twitch during MVC typically increases with fatigue, indicating that muscle activation capacity of the exercising muscle became incomplete. Recently, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) over the motor cortex has also been used to determine if supraspinal factors contribute to fatigue. Specifically, a single TMS pulse is delivered via a concave double-cone coil over the vertex of the scalp, producing a superimposed twitch similar to that of PMN stimulation. In this instance, it is necessary to estimate, rather than measure directly, the resting twitch because corticospinal excitability increases during voluntary contraction (Rothwell 1997). Nonetheless, (Goodall et al. 2009) has shown that TMS provides highly reliable estimates of VA_{TMS} and resting twitch. Reductions in VA_{TMS} indicate impairments in neural drive that is located at or above the level of motor cortical output.

2.3 Neuromuscular fatigue responses to high intensity intermittent exercise

Generally, short duration, HIIE induces fatigue that is primarily of peripheral origin. For consistency in this thesis, we define high intensity exercise as maximal or near maximal efforts performed at an intensity that elicits at least 80% of maximal heart rate (HR) (MacInnis and Gibala 2017; Weston et al. 2014). An example of a HIIE is repeated sprint exercise (RSE). RSE is characterised by short duration, maximal sprints (<10 s) that are performed repeatedly with brief recovery (<60 s) between efforts. In this regard, Goodall et al. (2015) showed that most reductions in potentiated peak twitch (~15% after only 2 sprints) occur during the early stages of a RSE (12 × 30-m sprints with 30 s recovery). However, the magnitude of contribution of central fatigue to performance decrements during HIIE remains inconclusive. In this instance, relatively small decrements in VA_{PMN} (~3-9%) (Goodall et al. 2015; Racinais et al. 2007) typically occur during the latter stage of RSE. Further, studies (Girard et al. 2013;
Goodall et al. (2015) have attempted to determine if decreased muscle activation in response to repeated sprinting is associated with supraspinal factors. For instance, Goodall et al. (2015) demonstrated a ~9% decrease in VA\textsubscript{TMS} of the knee extensors during brief MVC immediately after a repeated-sprint running protocol. Girard et al. (2013) reported that VA\textsubscript{TMS} decreased substantially (from ~90% to ~70%) during 30-s sustained, but not during brief, MVC following ten 6-s sprints (interspersed with 30 s of recovery). These findings seem to highlight the task dependency of fatigue, where the impairments in supraspinal factors increases with the duration of contraction. Taken together, these findings suggest that muscle contractile function is substantially reduced during the early period of high intensity exercise, whereas central factors may also develop during the later stages.

The concept of an “individual critical threshold” of peripheral muscle fatigue was first proposed by Amann (2011) to explain how exercise performance is regulated by the interactions between peripheral and central fatigue. Specifically, high intensity exercise results in the accumulation of intramuscular metabolites (e.g. hydrogen ions [H\textsuperscript{+}], inorganic phosphate [P\textsubscript{i}]), which increases group III/IV-mediated afferent feedback to the central nervous system (CNS) (Amann 2011). Consequently, it was hypothesised that descending neural drive to the active musculature is regulated to limit the development of peripheral fatigue beyond an “individual critical threshold” (i.e., to prevent long-lasting harmful consequences) (Amann 2011). In other words, exercise performance could be regulated based on the magnitude of peripheral alterations (i.e., metabolic perturbation, impairments in contractile functions) of the exercising muscle.

Ultimately, the active muscle mass engaged during exercise (rather than the magnitude of peripheral fatigue \textit{per se}) and the associated disruption in homeostasis of the regulatory systems may influence fatigue tolerability and performance (Thomas et al. 2018; Hureau et al. 2018). This is exemplified during high intensity exercise in hypoxia where elevated inspiratory
muscle work (and perceived exertion for breathing) increases competition between respiratory and exercising muscles for oxygen delivery (Amann et al. 2007a; Rodriguez et al. 2020). In the context of high intensity exercise, the exercise-induced stress is not restricted to the exercising muscle *per se* (*e.g.* cardiovascular strain). This is observed by a multimodal sensation of effort (*e.g.* breathlessness, heaviness of legs), which suggest that the regulation of high intensity exercise is determined by the summation of exercise demands on the physiological systems, rather than muscle contractile function *per se* (Morales-Alamo et al. 2015).

### 2.4 Effect of hypoxia on high intensity exercise and neuromuscular fatigue

It is well established that reduced tissue oxygenation (*i.e.*, hypoxia) elicits detrimental effects (*e.g.* slower on-transient O$_2$ response, failure to fully activate exercising muscle) (Girard et al. 2017b), which impair HIIE performance (Billaut et al. 2013; Goods et al. 2014). In addition to exacerbating physiological (*e.g.* increased blood lactate, hyperventilation) (Goods et al. 2014) and perceptual responses (*e.g.* breathing difficulty) (Amann et al. 2007a), exercising in hypoxia also elevates exercise-induced demands on the CNS (Amann and Kayser 2009). Importantly, the severity of hypoxia influences the contribution of central and peripheral factors to neuromuscular fatigue, and is likely an important determinant of exercise tolerance (Amann and Kayser 2009).

Reportedly, neuromuscular fatigue development during high intensity cycling at moderate hypoxia (FiO$_2$ ~0.15) is predominantly of peripheral origin (Amann et al. 2007b; Amann et al. 2006a). This is in part due to accelerated muscle acidosis and PCr hydrolysis as a consequence of reduced oxygen transport (Bowtell et al. 2014; Hogan et al. 1999). In this regard, findings from Amann et al. (2006a) showed that the magnitude of muscle contractility impairments following a 5 km time-trial was similar, despite varying FiO$_2$ (0.15-1.0). This suggests that
peripheral muscle fatigue (via its effect on central neural drive) is the dominant determinant of exercise performance across normoxic to moderate hypoxic conditions.

In contrast to moderate hypoxia, severe hypoxia (FiO$_2$ <0.10, equivalent to SpO$_2$ of ~70-75%) imposes substantial cerebral deoxygenation (e.g. assessed with near infrared spectroscopy; NIRS) (Goodall et al., 2012). Increases in systemic and tissue deoxygenation induce earlier and greater down-regulation of skeletal muscle recruitment, and consequently hampered performance (Amann et al. 2007b). For instance, Amann et al. (2007b) investigated the effect of hypoxic severity (FiO$_2$ ~0.21, 0.15, and 0.10) on constant-load cycling and showed that muscle activation, and therefore performance, was prematurely down-regulated (with smaller extent of muscle contractile impairments) at severe hypoxia (i.e., FiO$_2$ ~0.10). However, at task failure, acute O$_2$ supplementation (FiO$_2$ ~0.30) enabled participants to continue exercising (Amann et al. 2007b). In other words, severe hypoxia elicits a shift from a predominantly peripheral origin of fatigue to a hypoxia-sensitive source of inhibition within the CNS. That said, the proposed hypoxic threshold of FiO$_2$ <0.10 or average SpO$_2$ response of <75% (Amann et al. 2007b) for a shift toward a predominant CNS hypoxia on exercise performance has not been supported by recent findings (Goodall et al. 2012; Mira et al. 2020), possibly due to inter-individual variations in response to hypoxia.

2.5 Recovery after high intensity intermittent exercise hypoxia

The reliance on anaerobic processes during HIIE induces metabolic strain, evident by substantial reductions in energy substrate availability, and increases in intramuscular H$^+$ and P$_i$ post-exercise (Girard et al. 2011a). As such, the concept of “residual fatigue” and the recovery of performance following HIIE has been linked to peripheral mechanisms including muscle blood flow and clearance of metabolic wastes (Minett and Duffield 2014; Mendez-Villanueva et al. 2012). However, indirect evidence also show an apparent differential rate of recovery for force generating capacity and neuromuscular (Pointon et al. 2012) and/or physiological
markers (Minett et al. 2014) following intermittent sprint exercise. In particular, force generating capacity is restored in the presence of impaired muscle contractile properties (Pointon et al. 2012). This may suggest that the recovery in performance is driven by compensatory mechanisms associated with central factors (Pointon et al. 2012). Accordingly, the importance and role of the CNS in the recovery of HIIE performance warrants further considerations.

Surface EMG has been used to assess fatigue associated with muscle activation patterns (i.e., motor unit recruitment and/or firing frequency) in the neuromuscular system. The decrement in power output during HIIE is accompanied by decreases in EMG activity (Girard et al. 2015), and has been suggested to be due to reduced neural drive to the exercising muscles (i.e., central fatigue). Importantly, the decrease in surface integrated EMG of the VL correlates positively ($r^2 = 0.83; p < 0.05$) with the reduction in mechanical work during a RSE (twenty 5-s sprints with 25 s recovery) (Billaut and Smith 2010). Thus, the recovery of performance following HIIE may well depend on central factors associated with descending neural drive and muscle activation.

Additionally, the influence of hypoxia on central factors of fatigue highlights a context where the recovery of central factors might be equally important as the recovery of peripheral factors. In this regard, it has been proposed that subconscious or conscious factors at the start of exercise is integral to exercise regulation (e.g. muscle recruitment activity) and performance (Noakes 2012; Tucker 2009). Indeed, Billaut et al. (2011) demonstrated that when the number of sprints to be performed is known, muscle activation, and in turn sprint performance is higher. Accordingly, although yet to be verified, it is tenable that perceptual recovery (i.e., perception of recovery from exercise-induced fatigue), which in turn influence central factors, may be important for performance restoration.
2.6 Research studies, aims and hypotheses

The aforementioned evidence suggests that both peripheral and central alterations may contribute to the impairment of HIIE in graded hypoxia. The relative contribution of central and peripheral factors is likely to be determined by the severity of hypoxia. In this instance, the influence of hypoxia on central fatigue highlights a context where the recovery of central factors might be equally important as the recovery of peripheral fatigue. That said, it remains unclear how hypoxia of different severity during an initial exercise bout may influence recovery and performance of a subsequent exercise bout. Furthermore, the type of exercise task (open- vs. closed-loop) may also consciously or subconsciously influence an individual’s pacing strategy, and in turn, alter neuromuscular characteristics and eventually exercise performance. Accordingly, two research studies (Chapter 3 and 4) are presented in this thesis. The titles, aims and hypothesis are listed below.

Finally, a prominent finding from Chapter 3 and 4, as well as observations during pilot work (of the initial Master research) was the large inter-individual variation in response to hypoxia, and consequently exercise performance/tolerance. Accordingly, Chapter 5 highlights the large inter-individual variation in response to hypoxia when using the conventional FiO$_2$ as a “dose” metric and proposes the use of a clinical index that integrates both the external (FiO$_2$) and internal (SpO$_2$) stimuli to characterise individual responses to hypoxia as an alternate approach.
1.1.1 Study 1 (Chapter 3)

Title: Neuromuscular and perceptual responses during repeated cycling sprints – Usefulness of a “hypoxic to normoxic” recovery approach.

Aim: To manipulate hypoxic severity during an initial set of repeated sprints (i.e., “closed-loop”), and to examine the effects on sprint performance, magnitude and aetiology of neuromuscular fatigue, as well as exercise-related sensations during a subsequent set of repeated sprints performed in normoxia.

Hypothesis: It is hypothesised that severe hypoxia during an initial set of repeated sprints exaggerates neuromuscular, physiological and perceptual responses, resulting in larger recovery requirements. In turn, this would lead to larger decline in RSA as a consequence of higher-than normal exercise-related sensations and muscle fatigability, during a second set of repeated sprints in normoxia.

1.1.2 Study 2 (Chapter 4)

Title: Effects of graded hypoxia during prior exhaustive intermittent cycling on subsequent exercise performance and neuromuscular responses.

Aim: To examine the effects of hypoxia severity during an initial exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise (i.e., “open-loop”) on subsequent performance and associated neuromuscular fatigue characteristics in normoxia.

Hypothesis: It is hypothesised that the most severe hypoxic condition will limit exercise capacity during the initial exercise bout due to CNS alterations, thus minimising the extent of peripheral fatigue development when compared to normoxia or less severe hypoxia. It is further anticipated that the premature fatigue (i.e., less mechanical work), due to an initial exercise bout at severe hypoxia, may in turn increase subsequent exercise performance in normoxia and the magnitude of accompanying muscle fatigue.
CHAPTER 3

NEUROMUSCULAR AND PERCEPTUAL RESPONSES DURING REPEATED CYCLING SPRINTS – USEFULNESS OF A “HYPOXIC TO NORMOXIC” RECOVERY APPROACH
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3.1 Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study was to investigate the consequence of varying hypoxia severity during an initial set of repeated cycling sprints on performance, neuromuscular fatigability and exercise-related sensations during a subsequent set of repeated sprints in normoxia.

Methods: Nine active males performed ten 4-s sprints (recovery = 30 s) at sea level (SL; FiO$_2$ ~0.21), moderate (MH; FiO$_2$ ~0.17) or severe normobaric hypoxia (SH; FiO$_2$ ~0.13). This was followed, after 8 min of passive recovery, by five 4-s sprints (recovery = 30 s) in normoxia.

Results: Mean power decrement during Sprint 10 was exacerbated in SH compared to SL and MH (-34 ± 12%, -22 ± 13%, -25 ± 14%, respectively, p < 0.05). Sprint performance during Sprint 11 recovered to that of Sprint 1 in all conditions (p = 0.267). Compared to SL, the averaged MPO value for Set 2 was 5.5 ± 3.0% (p = 0.003) lower in SH. All exercise-related sensations at Sprint 11 recovered significantly compared to Sprint 1, with no difference for Set 2 (p > 0.05). Ratings of overall perceived discomfort, difficulty breathing, and limb discomfort were exacerbated during Set 1 in SH versus SL (p < 0.05). Maximal voluntary force (-12.1 ± 8.5%) and twitch torque (-46.6 ± 17.7%) decreased similarly in all conditions immediately after Set 1 (p < 0.05), without further alterations after Set 2.

Conclusion: Exercise-related sensations, rather than neuromuscular function integrity may play a pivotal role in influencing performance of repeated sprints and its recovery.
3.2 Introduction

A consequence of repetitive “all-out” efforts is the development of fatigue, defined as the inability to reproduce performance during subsequent efforts (Girard et al. 2011a). Fatigue is typically characterized by disabling symptoms in which physical and cognitive functions are limited by interactions between performance-induced fatigability and brain-perceived fatigability (i.e., sensations that regulate the integrity of the athlete) (Enoka and Duchateau 2016). Previous studies have largely discussed the role of peripheral disturbances in the aetiology of fatigue when sprinting repeatedly, while decreased neural drive to active musculature is more circumstantial (Collins et al. 2018). However, it is less clear how exercise-related sensations (e.g. limb discomfort, difficulty breathing) may interact, via feedforward and feedback pathways, with the neuromuscular function, and eventually influence performance of repeated sprints (Noakes 2012).

An important determinant of repeated-sprint ability (RSA) is the initial power output (i.e., first sprint) that correlates significantly with power decrement during sprint repetitions (Bishop et al. 2003; Billaut and Bishop 2012). In the RSA literature, it has been demonstrated that prior exercise (inducing pre-fatigue) exacerbates performance decrement during subsequent sprinting (Mendez-Villanueva et al. 2012; Mendez-Villanueva et al. 2007). When sprint performance was matched for initial power output, Mendez-Villanueva et al. (2007) reported larger decrement in total work (~20% vs. 14%) during five successive repeated sprints after the completion of an initial set of ten, 6-s repeated cycling sprints. This was accompanied by a ~12% decline in the Root Mean Square (RMS) of the electromyographic (EMG) signal during the initial effort of the second set of sprints, suggesting that neural factors may also be involved in impaired RSA during the subsequent set of sprints.

Attempts to explain decreased muscle activation in response to repeated sprinting have included an evaluation of the supraspinal factors, demonstrating a reduction in voluntary
activation (VA) measured with transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) after exercise (Collins et al. 2018). For instance, following a repeated-sprint running protocol (12 × 30-m sprints, rest = 30 s), Goodall et al. (2015) reported that over half of the decline in maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) force of the knee extensors could be explained by factors acting from upstream of the motor cortex. To date, it is unclear if the magnitude of neuromuscular adjustments would be larger during a subsequent set of sprints if pre-existing fatigue is exacerbated, for instance, by manipulating oxygen availability during an initial set of sprints.

Few studies have utilized hypoxic exposure during an initial set of sprints to experimentally incur different fatigue levels when starting a subsequent set of sprints in order to shed more light on key neuromuscular RSA determinants. This reasoning is based on the premise that reduction in inspired oxygen fraction (FiO₂) may exacerbate fatigue development and induce higher than normal exercise-related sensations (Girard et al. 2017a; Christian et al. 2014a), consequently leading to impeded performance. Accordingly, it has been proposed that the perceived effort during exercise has an important influence on central motor drive, and consequently, in the regulation of exercise performance (Noakes 2012). During an initial set of repeated sprints (8 × 5-s sprints with 25 s of recovery) under severe hypoxia, Girard et al. (2015) reported reductions in neural indices and running performance compared to sea level or moderate hypoxia. Hypoxia had no negative “carry-over” effects during the subsequent set of sprints in normoxia since EMG indices and performance outcomes did not differ across conditions. However, the contribution of central versus peripheral factors dictating neuromuscular fatigability and perceptual cues, which may well be altered by the severity of hypoxia (Billaut et al. 2013), was not assessed in this later study. Accordingly, the use of non-invasive measures such as TMS or exercise-related sensations might provide further insights about the role of the brain in the regulation of neuromuscular fatigue, and consequently performance, during repeated sprints.
Therefore, our aim was to manipulate hypoxia severity during an initial set of repeated sprints, and examine the effects on cycle performance, the magnitude and aetiology of neuromuscular fatigue, as well as exercise-related sensations during a subsequent set of repeated sprints performed in normoxia. We hypothesized that severe hypoxia during an initial set of repeated sprints exaggerates neuromuscular, physiological and perceptual responses, resulting in larger recovery requirements. In turn, this would lead to larger decline in RSA as a consequence of higher-than normal exercise-related sensations and, to a lesser extent, larger muscle fatigability of knee extensors, during a second set of repeated sprints in normoxia.

3.3 Methods

3.3.1 Participants

Nine physically active men volunteered for this study (mean ± SD age 31.3 ± 4.1 y, stature 1.81 ± 0.05 m, body mass 81.9 ± 6.8 kg). Each participant completed a minimum of three individual 90-min sessions of high-intensity intermittent exercise training per week. They gave written informed consent before the commencement of the study after all the experimental procedures, associated risks, and potential benefits of participation had been explained. The study was approved by the Victoria University Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC 11/173). All procedures conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki.

3.3.2 Experimental protocol

All participants performed one familiarization session and three experimental trials in a randomized, single-blind design (Figure 1). The efficacy of the blinding procedure was evaluated after each experimental session by questionnaires in which participants were asked whether they believed to be exercising in NM, MH or SH. The observation that only 13 out of a possible 27 sessions were correctly identified indicates that the blinding procedure was effective. All trials (including the familiarization session) were completed in a normobaric hypoxic chamber (Colorado Mountain Room System: Colorado Altitude Training, Boulder,
Trials were separated by at least 5 days and performed at the same time of day. Participants were asked to avoid vigorous exercise for 24 h, caffeine for 12 h, and food for 2 h, before each trial. All testing procedures were conducted in temperate ambient conditions (air temperature: ~23 °C; relative humidity: 40%).

### 3.3.3 Familiarization session

During the first visit, participants were accustomed with the testing procedures [i.e., habituation of the electrical stimulation to the peripheral motor nerve (PMN) and TMS of the motor cortex] used to assess muscle function. Optimal levels of stimulation intensities to the motor cortex and femoral nerve were then determined (see below), and these levels remained constant during the rest of the protocol. Participants also performed the complete neuromuscular function test procedure.

Thereafter, participants were familiarized with cycling on the cycle ergometer (SRM, Schoberer Rad Meßtechnik, Jülich, Germany) and had their optimal cycling sprint cadence determined (i.e., the pedalling rate that would allow participants to produce the greatest amount of mechanical work during the maximal sense of effort 4-s bout; (Martin and Spirduso 2001). The procedure for the determination of optimal cycling sprint cadence has been previously reported (Christian et al. 2014a).

Finally, participants were familiarized with the various modified Borg CR10 scales which include ‘sense of effort’ (i.e., for the perceptually regulated warm-up) and perceptual responses (i.e., rating of overall perceived discomfort, perceived lower-limb heaviness and perceived difficulty breathing after each sprint). Briefly, the ‘sense of effort’ scale was assessed from the question: ‘How hard are you trying?’ (i.e., with the anchor points provided ranging from 0 or ‘no effort’ to 10 or ‘maximum effort’). Furthermore, participants were instructed that the perceptual scales were used to evaluate their ‘degree of heaviness and strain experienced in the...
task” or subjective perception of (1) overall perceived discomfort, (2) specific lower limb (quadriceps only) heaviness and (3) difficulty breathing. The questions: “What is your overall perceived exertion?”, “How difficult does it feel to breathe?” and “How heavy do your legs feel?” were printed above modified Borg CR10 scales (i.e., with the anchor points provided ranging from 0 or “nothing at all” to 10 or “maximal”) and visible to participants at all times (Christian et al. 2014a).

3.3.4 Experimental session

3.3.4.1 Warm-up procedure

Following entry to the hypoxic chamber, participants rested in a seated position for 10 min (wash-in period) while all equipment was attached. Afterwards, they completed a warm-up consisting of 5 min of continuous cycling on the SRM ergometer in the open-end mode at a subjective ‘sense of effort’ of 3 using a modified Borg CR10 scale (Christian et al. 2014a). This was followed after 1 min of rest in a seated position by five progressive 4-s submaximal cycling bouts in the isokinetic mode at the individual pre-determined optimal sprinting cadence (group average: 120 ± 2 rpm). For each of the five submaximal bouts participants were instructed to work at a subjective ‘sense of effort’ of 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 on the modified Borg CR10 ‘sense of effort scale’ (Christian et al. 2014a), respectively, with 40 s of recovery interspersing each bout (15 s of passive rest and 25 s of cycling at ~100 W). Following the warm-up procedure, participants rested passively for 2 min. After an additional 3 min of recovery (2 min of passive rest and 1 min of cycling at ~100 W), two 4-s cycling bouts at a subjective “sense of effort” of 10 (i.e., maximal) were completed, with each bout separated by 3 min of recovery (2 min of passive rest and 1 min of cycling at ~100 W). After 2 min of rest, the repeated-sprint exercise was completed. Strong verbal encouragement was given during all maximal efforts.
3.3.4.2 Repeated-sprint exercise

The exercise protocol consisted of performing first ten, 4-s isokinetic “all out” cycle sprints interspersed with 30 s of recovery (15 s of passive rest and 15 s of cycling at ~100 W), and randomly conducted near sea level (SL; simulated altitude/FiO$_2$ ~0.21), at moderate and severe simulated altitudes (normobaric hypoxia) of 2000 m (MH; FiO$_2$ ~0.17) and 4000 m (SH; FiO$_2$ ~0.13), respectively (Set 1). This was followed, after 8 min of passive rest by five, 4-s sprints also interspersed by 30 s of recovery (similar to first set) but always performed at SL (Set 2). Cycle sprints were completed in the isokinetic mode at the individual pre-determined optimal sprinting cadence. The isokinetic mode allows the participant to pedal without resistance up to the fixed cadence, while resistance is automatically and proportionally increased when participants try to overcome it (Fernández-Pena et al. 2009). All bouts were initiated from a rolling start, with participants instructed to progressively increase to a cadence within 2-5 rpm of their optimal sprinting cadence 10 s prior to each bout. This procedure was used to ensure that all bouts began with the same kinetic energy, while minimizing any jolting sensation as participants reached their optimal sprint cadence and the breaking resistance of the ergometer was applied.

Participants were routinely provided (~15 s before each bout) with identical instructions to perform “all-out” exercise bouts. Heart rate (HR), arterial oxygen saturation (SpO$_2$), as well as difficulty breathing, lower-limb heaviness and overall perceived exertion were reported and recorded in an invariant order at exactly 10 s following each 4-s bout. Participants were instructed to reflect on their subjective perceptions during the preceding exercise bout.

3.3.4.3 Neuromuscular evaluation

The neuromuscular assessment consisted first of a 4-s MVC of the knee extensors with a superimposed 80 Hz doublet (Db) applied to the PMN during the isometric plateau. This was followed after 3 s by (1) one 80 Hz Db, (2) one 20 Hz Db and (3) three single twitches on the
relaxed state (all separated by 3 s). Afterwards, one set of three brief contractions (~5 s, MVC, 50% MVC and 75% MVC, recovery = 6 s) of the knee extensors was also used with application of TMS. The intensities for the sub-maximal contractions were calculated from the preceding MVC, and the feedback of the target force was provided via a computer monitor. During brief contractions, PMN or TMS stimulations were alternatively delivered ~1.5 s after the plateau.

This neuromuscular test sequence was conducted three times pre-exercise (Pre 1) under SL conditions, once beginning ~45 s after Set 1 (Post 1) under the same environmental conditions as the exercise bout, once ~2 min prior to Set 2 (i.e., 6 min after the first set of sprints; Pre 2) bout under SL conditions, twice beginning ~45 s after Set 2 (Post 2) under SL conditions and twice at 10 min following Set 2 (Post 10) under SL conditions. Prior to the Pre 1 neuromuscular assessment participants were warmed-up by completing 5 × 4-s voluntary isometric contractions with progressively increasing subjective effort (starting at 50% of subjective effort with increments of 10%; 15 s of passive rest separated each contraction) followed by 2 × 4-s MVC (separated by 30 s of passive rest).

3.3.4.4 EMG and force recordings

Isometric knee extensor force of the right leg was measured during both voluntary and evoked contractions on a custom-made dynamometric chair. Participants were seated with both the hip and the knee at 100º (full extension represents 180º), one strap around the chest and one other around the hip, and the ankle tied to a strain gauge (Captels, St Mathieu de Treviers, France) connected to a stationary bench. Participant position information was recorded to ensure identical positioning for each test occasion.

During the repeated-sprint exercise, EMG signals from superficial vastus lateralis (VL), vastus medialis (VM) and rectus femoris (RF) muscles of the left lower limb were recorded using pre-amplified bi-polar surface EMG (Delsys, Trigno Wireless, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) with
an inter-electrode (center-to-center) distance of 20 mm and placed according to SENIAM’s recommendations. During tests of neuromuscular function, surface EMG activity of the right VL, VM and RF muscles were recorded using bipolar Ag/AgCl electrodes (Ambu Blue sensor T, Ambu A/S, Denmark; diameter = 9 mm; inter-distance electrode = 30 mm) fixed lengthwise over the muscle belly. Before electrode placement, the skin was lightly abraded and washed to remove surface layers of dead skin, hair, and oil. The reference electrode was attached to the right wrist. The position of the EMG electrodes was marked with indelible ink (and pictures of the locations were taken) to ensure that they were placed in the same location during subsequent trials. The myoelectric signal (sampling frequency = 2000 Hz) was amplified (gain = 1000 ×) and filtered (bandwidth frequency = 30–500 Hz) to minimize extraneous noise and possible movement artefacts in the low-frequency region and to eliminate aliasing and other artefacts in the high-frequency region. EMG signals were recorded by i) using a dedicated analysis system (Spike2 v3.21; Cambridge Electronic, Cambridge Design, Cambridge, UK) during repeated-sprint exercise and ii) commercially-available hardware (Biopac MP35, systems Inc., Santa Barbara, CA) and its dedicated software (Acqknowledge 3.6.7, Biopac Systems Inc., Santa Barbara, CA) during tests of neuromuscular function.

3.3.4.5 Motor nerve stimulation

Single supramaximal electrical stimuli (max voltage 400 V, rectangular pulse of 200 ms) were delivered to the right femoral nerve using a high-voltage, constant-current, stimulator (Digitimer DS7AH, Welwyn Garden City, Hertfordshire, UK). The cathode ball electrode was manually pressed into the femoral triangle (i.e., 3–5 cm below the inguinal ligament) by the experimenter (Verges et al. 2009) and the anode (5 × 9 cm) was located in the gluteal fold opposite the cathode. The intensity of stimulation was determined at the beginning of the session by delivering single stimuli with increments of 10 mA until plateaus occurred in twitch
amplitude and M-wave. Supramaximal stimulation was ensured by increasing the final intensity by 30% (mean current: 123 ± 31 mA; range 60–140 mA).

### 3.3.4.6 Transcranial magnetic stimulation

A magnetic stimulator (Magstim 200, The Magstim Company, Dyfed, UK) was used to stimulate the motor cortex. A single TMS pulse (1-ms duration) was delivered via a concave double-cone coil (13 cm diameter) maintained manually over the vertex of the scalp. The procedure for the determination of motor threshold is similar to the protocol by Girard et al. (2013). Motor threshold occurred at 54 ± 4% of maximum stimulator output, and during each of the experimental trials TMS was delivered at 140% of the motor threshold (76 ± 6% of maximum stimulator output; range: 70–85%).

![Diagram of the repeated sprint protocol](image)

**Figure 3.1: Schematic diagram of the repeated sprint protocol.**

Participants performed 10 × 4-s sprints (recovery = 30 s) in either normoxia near sea level (SL; FiO2 ~0.21), moderate (MH; FiO2 ~0.17) or severe normobaric hypoxia (SH; FiO2 ~0.13). This was followed, 8 min later, by 5 × 4-s sprints (recovery = 30 s) always performed in normoxia. Neuromuscular testing was performed pre-exercise (Pre 1) under SL conditions, ~45 s after the first set of ten sprints (Post 1) under the same environmental conditions as the exercise bout, ~2 min prior to the second exercise (Pre 2) bout under SL conditions, ~45 s after the second set of five sprints (Post 2) under SL conditions and 10 min following the second set of sprints (Post 10) under SL conditions. Blood lactate measurements were assessed before the warm-up, 4 min after the first set of 10 sprints and 4 min after the second set of 5 sprints. HR, SpO2, and ratings of difficulty breathing, limb discomfort and overall perceived exertion, were recorded at exactly 10 s following each 4-s bout.
3.3.5 Data analysis

3.3.5.1 Repeated-sprint exercise

While participants performed a total of 15 sprints, only responses to exercise, power output and surface EMG data collected for sprint number 1, 5, 10, 11, and 15 were considered for the main analysis. The average of sprints 1–5, 6–10, and 11–15 have also been compared.

All power data were analyzed using SRM torque analysis software (SRM Torque Win 1.1.0, SRM, Jülich, Germany), while all torque and EMG data (repeated-sprint exercise and neuromuscular function test) post-processing was performed in Spike2 (Version 3.21; Cambridge Electronic, Cambridge Design, Cambridge, UK).

During the maximal 4-s cycle efforts, mean power output (MPO) and RMS EMG activity for the 8 highest cycle revolutions was calculated for each muscle. The average sum of RMS EMG activity of the VL, VM and RF muscles was calculated (i.e., quadriceps RMS EMG activity) to provide an index of overall quadriceps neural drive, and was expressed as a percentage of the maximal RMS EMG activity produced during the initial sprint bout achieved in each condition (Billaut et al. 2013).

To prevent pacing effects occurring during the repeated-sprint exercise protocol, participants were required to achieve at least 95% of their criterion score (determined from the best of the two reference sprints at the end of the warm-up procedure). Mean power during the best of the reference sprints was 1164 ± 152, 1162 ± 142 and 1124 ± 140 W for the SL, MH and SH conditions, respectively. All participants satisfied the 95% criteria during the first sprint of the repeated-sprint exercise protocol for each testing session (see below), which suggests the participants did not adopt an anticipatory pacing prior to exercise in both trials.
Heart rate and SpO\textsubscript{2} were monitored and estimated, respectively, via a wireless monitoring system (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland) and non-invasive pulse oximetry using a finger probe (Palmsat 2500, NONIN Medical Inc., Plymouth, MI, USA). A capillary blood sample was taken from a fingertip and analyzed for lactate concentration ([La]) using an automated analyzer (Lactate Pro LT-1710, Arkray, Japan) before the warm-up, 4 min after Set 1 and 4 min after Set 2.

**3.3.5.2 Neuromuscular function test**

Voluntary torque and EMG activity (RMS) were recorded during 1-s of plateau before delivering PMN or TMS stimulation for all maximal contractions. For VL, VM and RF muscles, raw RMS data were also normalized to the resting M-wave as an index of neural drive (i.e., RMS/M ratio).

Peripheral VA was assessed using twitch interpolation. Briefly, the force produced during a superimposed twitch during the MVC was compared with the force produced by a potentiated twitch: Peripheral VA (%) = (1 – [superimposed twitch/potentiated twitch]) × 100. Cortical VA was assessed by measuring the force responses to motor cortex stimulations during submaximal and maximal contractions (Todd et al. 2007). Because corticospinal excitability increases during voluntary contraction (Rothwell 1997) it was necessary to estimate, rather than measure directly, the amplitude of the resting twitch evoked by motor-cortex stimulation. During the sets of brief maximal and submaximal contractions (100% MVC followed by 50% and 75% MVC), TMS was delivered, and the resting twitch was estimated by extrapolation of the linear relation between the amplitude of the superimposed twitch and voluntary force.

Cortical VA (%) was subsequently quantified using the equation: (1 – [superimposed twitch/estimated resting twitch]) × 100. The reliability of TMS for the assessment of cortical VA and estimated resting twitch for the knee extensors has been established elsewhere (Goodall et al. 2009).
Muscle contractility was assessed from the electrically-evoked resting twitch as peak twitch amplitude (e.g. the highest value of twitch tension production), time to peak twitch (e.g. the time from the origin of the twitch to the peak twitch amplitude), one half-relaxation time (e.g. the time to obtain half of the decline in maximal force), maximal rate of force development (e.g. maximal value of the first derivative of the force signal) and maximal rate of force relaxation (e.g. the lowest value of the first derivative of the force signal). The estimated resting twitch evoked by TMS was also used as an index of the force-generating capacity of the knee extensors. When several neuromuscular test sequences were performed (Pre, Post 2 and Post 10), trials were averaged for further data analysis.

### 3.3.6 Statistical Analysis

Values are expressed as means ± SD. Two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVAs) [Time (Sprints 1, 5, 10, 11 and 15 or Sprints number 1-5, 6-10 and 11-15) × Condition (SL, MH and SH)] were used to compare sprint-related variables. Two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVAs) [Time (Pre 1, Post 1, Pre 2, Post 2 and Post 10) × Condition (SL, MH and SH)] were used to compare neuromuscular variables. To assess assumptions of variance, Mauchly’s test of sphericity was performed for all ANOVA results. A Greenhouse-Geisser correction was performed to adjust the degree of freedom if an assumption was violated, while post hoc pairwise-comparisons with Bonferroni-adjusted P values were performed if a significant main effect was observed. For each ANOVA, partial eta-squared was calculated as measures of effect size. Effect size values of 0.01, 0.06 and values above 0.14 were considered as small, medium and large, respectively. All statistical calculations were performed using SPSS statistical software V.24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was set at P ≤ 0.05.
3.4 Results

3.4.1 Repeated-sprint performance

Changes in MPO and EMG activity are presented in Figure 2. MPO at Sprint 1 did not differ between conditions (SL: 1113 ± 122 W; MH: 1092 ± 143 W; SH: 1071 ± 136 W; p > 0.05). MPO decreased to a larger extent in SH as compared to SL and MH, as evidenced by larger decline in MPO at Sprint 5 (SL: -13.7 ± 9.6%; MH: -13.8 ± 7.4%; SH: -22.7 ± 14.9%; p < 0.05) and Sprint 10 (SL: -22.2 ± 12.9%; MH: -25.0 ± 13.6%; SH: -34.0 ± 11.6%; p < 0.05) in reference to Sprint 1. MPO at Sprint 11 did not differ between conditions (pooled conditions: 1027 ± 140 W) and was not significantly different from Sprint 1 (p > 0.05). Average sprint performance of the five sprints of Set 2 was comparable to that of the first five sprints (i.e., Sprint 1-5 vs. Sprint 11-15; SL: 1027 ± 139 vs. 972 ± 166 W; MH: 1006 ± 148 vs. 950 ± 175 W; SH: 925 ± 150 vs. 917 ± 156 W; p > 0.05) in all 3 conditions. Sprint decrement score for Sprints 11-15 was 15.7 ± 8.7%, 12.5 ± 11.3% and 17.8 ± 8.0% for SL, MH and SH, respectively. Compared to SL, MPO for the five sprints of Set 2 was on average 5.5 ± 3.0% and 2.3 ± 5.8% lower in SH (p = 0.003) and MH (p = 0.729), respectively.

3.4.2 Electromyography responses during repeated sprints

There was a significant global reduction in RMS activity at Sprint 5 (pooled conditions: -8.9 ± 9.6%; p = 0.036) and a further reduction at Sprint 10 (-16.2 ± 12.4%; p = 0.009) in reference to Sprint 1, irrespective of condition (Figure 2-A). After 8 min of passive rest, RMS activity for Sprint 11 demonstrated significant recovery (+8.6 ± 9.9%; p = 0.05) when compared to Sprint 10 with no further change thereafter (p = 0.762). When all conditions were compounded, the decrement in RMS activity for Sprints 11-15 was 4.2 ± 6.9%.
Values are means ± SD, n = 9. The repeated sprint protocol included a first set of ten sprints performed at sea level (SL), moderate (MH) or severe hypoxia (SH), while the second set of five sprints was always performed at SL. C, T and I, respectively, refer to ANOVA main effect of condition, time and interaction between the two factors with p-value and partial eta-squared in brackets. a, b, c and d significantly different from sprint 1, 5, 10 and 11, respectively (p ≤ 0.05). 1 and 2 significantly different from SL and MH, respectively (p ≤ 0.05)
3.4.3 **Physiological responses**

Heart rate was significantly higher at Sprint 5 (163 ± 4 bpm; p = 0.002), Sprint 10 (167 ± 3 bpm; p = 0.004) and Sprint 15 (165 ± 3 bpm; p = 0.009) in reference to Sprint 1 (147 ± 6 bpm), irrespectively of conditions (Figure 3-A). Following 8 min of passive rest, heart rate at Sprint 11 (149 ± 5 bpm) was significantly lower compared to Sprint 5, 10 and 15 (p < 0.001). SpO\textsubscript{2} for Sprints 1-10 was significantly reduced with increasing severity of hypoxic exposure (SL: 95.4 ± 0.6%, MH: 91.9 ± 0.8%, SH: 86.6 ± 0.5%; p < 0.001). Following 8 min of passive rest, SpO\textsubscript{2} values were similar between conditions during Set 2 (all conditions compounded: 94.7 ± 6.4%; p > 0.05) (Figure 3-B). Changes in blood lactate values were similar across conditions (p = 0.096; η\textsuperscript{2} = 0.296). When the results from the 3 conditions were pooled, blood lactate concentration increased from pre-exercise (1.5 ± 0.4 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1}) to post-Set 1 (10.8 ± 2.4 mmol.L\textsuperscript{-1}; p < 0.001), with no further changes at post-Set 2 (10.1 ± 2.6 mmol.L\textsuperscript{-1}; p = 0.059).

**3.4.4 Perceptual responses**

Following Set 1, perceptual responses (*i.e.*, ratings of overall discomfort, breathing difficulty and limb discomfort) increased significantly, irrespectively of conditions (p ≤ 0.04) (Figure 4). During Sprint 5, values for exercise-related sensations in SH were significantly higher compared to SL (p ≤ 0.005). During Sprint 11, following 8 min of passive rest, exercise-related sensations recovered significantly in relation to Sprint 10 (p ≤ 0.01). Ratings of overall discomfort, breathing difficulty and limb discomfort increased significantly from Sprint 11-15, irrespective of conditions (p ≤ 0.03). The increase in exercise-related sensations for Sprints 11-15 was not significantly different to that for Sprints 1-5 (p > 0.05).
Figure 3.3: Heart rate (HR; A) and arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂; B).

The repeated sprint protocol included a first set of ten sprints performed at sea level (SL), moderate (MH) or severe hypoxia (SH), while the second set of five sprints was always performed at SL. Values are expressed as means ± SD, n = 9. C, T and I, respectively, refer to ANOVA main effect of condition, time and interaction between the two factors with p-value and partial eta-squared in brackets. a, b, c and d significantly different from sprint 1, 5, 10 and 11, respectively (p ≤ 0.05). 1 significantly different from SL (p ≤ 0.05).
Figure 3.4: Overall discomfort (A), difficulty breathing (B), and limb discomfort (C).

The repeated sprint protocol included a first set of ten sprints performed at sea level (SL), moderate (MH) or severe hypoxia (SH), while the second set of five sprints was always performed at SL. Values are expressed as means ± SD, n = 9. C, T and I, respectively, refer to ANOVA main effect of condition, time and interaction between the two factors with p-value and partial eta-squared in brackets. a, b, c and d significantly different from sprint 1, 5, 10 and 11, respectively (p ≤ 0.05). † significantly different from SL (p ≤ 0.05).
3.4.5 Neuromuscular functions

Compared to Pre 1, MVC torque values decreased at Post 1 (all conditions compounded: -12.1 ± 8.5%; p = 0.013), with no further modifications at Pre 2 (-13.3 ± 9.3%; p = 0.007), Post 2 (-16.4 ± 10.3%; p = 0.008) and Post 10 (-12.1 ± 9.3%; p = 0.022).

At Pre 1, VA measured via PMN and TMS was 97 ± 2% and 99 ± 1%, respectively. Neither peripheral nor cortical VA values differed between conditions (p = 0.673 and p = 0.391, respectively) or changed significantly with time (p = 0.062 and p = 0.007, respectively) (Figure 5-B). Compared with Pre 1 values, Q_{tw-pot} (-46.6 ± 17.7%) along with maximal rate of force development (-46.2 ± 17.3%) and relaxation (-38.6 ± 23.9%) were significantly reduced at Post 1 (p ≤ 0.017) and remained depressed at Pre 2 and Post 2 in all conditions (p > 0.05) (Figure 5-D, Table 2). Torque associated with 20 Hz and 80 Hz stimulations was significantly reduced following Set 1 (-27.4 ± 15.4% and -36.6 ± 19.6%, respectively; p ≤ 0.006), with no further changes across time in all conditions (p > 0.05) (Table 2).

Maximal M-waves for VL and VM muscles (all conditions compounded: 5.1 ± 0.4 and 4.2 ± 1.2 mV, respectively) did not differ between conditions (p > 0.05) or changed with time (p > 0.05). Changes in M-waves for RF muscle displayed a main effect of time (p < 0.001; η² = 0.573) but not of condition (p = 0.701; η² = 0.044). Compared to Pre 1, a significant decline in M-wave for the RF muscle was observed at Post 1 (-9.5 ± 13.5%; p = 0.033), Post 2 (-11.7 ± 15.8%; p = 0.016) and Post 10 (-10.4 ± 15.9%; p = 0.028).
Figure 3.5: Maximal voluntary control (MVC; A), peripheral motor nerve voluntary activation (PMN VA; B), transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS VA; C) and quadriceps potentiated twitch (Q_{tw-pot}; D).

The repeated sprint protocol included a first set of ten sprints performed at sea level (SL), moderate (MH) or severe hypoxia (SH), while the second set of five sprints was always performed at SL. Values are expressed as means ± SD, n = 9. MVC and Q_{tw-pot} are expressed as a percentage of Pre 1 values. C, T and I respectively refer to ANOVA main effect of condition, time and interaction between the two factors with p-value and partial eta-squared in brackets. $^a$ and $^d$ significantly different from Pre 1 and Post 2, respectively, (p ≤ 0.05).
Table 3.1: Effects of repeated sprints on quadriceps neuromuscular fatigue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pre 1</th>
<th>Post 1</th>
<th>Pre 2</th>
<th>Post 2</th>
<th>Post 10</th>
<th>Anova p-value (partial eta squared)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Twitch variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q\text{tw-pot} (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>154±24</td>
<td>88±33*</td>
<td>86±33*</td>
<td>84±34*</td>
<td>85±30*</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>159±19</td>
<td>86±28*</td>
<td>85±25*</td>
<td>82±26*</td>
<td>87±27*</td>
<td>(0.032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>160±28</td>
<td>80±34*</td>
<td>84±29*</td>
<td>77±28*</td>
<td>86±25*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct (ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>138±41</td>
<td>123±43</td>
<td>102±34*</td>
<td>106±40</td>
<td>107±39</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>134±27</td>
<td>129±58</td>
<td>103±41*</td>
<td>115±47</td>
<td>119±35</td>
<td>(0.181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>144±23</td>
<td>148±46</td>
<td>115±27*</td>
<td>140±36</td>
<td>108±42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT (ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>70±8</td>
<td>63±15</td>
<td>59±9</td>
<td>63±25</td>
<td>58±11*</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>70±13</td>
<td>70±20</td>
<td>60±17</td>
<td>59±20</td>
<td>60±18*</td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>71±11</td>
<td>72±33</td>
<td>61±10</td>
<td>61±16</td>
<td>61±16*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTD (N./s.(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>3052±493</td>
<td>1811±533*</td>
<td>1952±570*</td>
<td>1855±664*</td>
<td>1973±583*</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>3187±454</td>
<td>1720±516*</td>
<td>1795±403*</td>
<td>1733±511*</td>
<td>1963±535*</td>
<td>(0.181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>3164±708</td>
<td>1465±627*</td>
<td>1670±608*</td>
<td>1615±552*</td>
<td>1980±559*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTR (N./s.(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>-1534±314</td>
<td>-966±356*</td>
<td>-912±279*</td>
<td>-1025±388*</td>
<td>-993±303*</td>
<td>0.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>-1569±264</td>
<td>-901±296*</td>
<td>-931±212*</td>
<td>-947±249*</td>
<td>-1038±263*</td>
<td>(0.026)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>-1540±329</td>
<td>-886±358*</td>
<td>-921±295*</td>
<td>-945±336*</td>
<td>-1022±261*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doublets variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20Hz (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>266±36</td>
<td>199±50*</td>
<td>197±47*</td>
<td>195±50*</td>
<td>193±42*</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>265±21</td>
<td>195±41*</td>
<td>195±29*</td>
<td>194±36*</td>
<td>195±35*</td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>269±29</td>
<td>187±45*</td>
<td>196±38*</td>
<td>190±43*</td>
<td>199±34*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80Hz (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>262±41</td>
<td>177±60*</td>
<td>173±57*</td>
<td>171±62*</td>
<td>170±51*</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>277±35</td>
<td>171±49*</td>
<td>175±61*</td>
<td>169±47*</td>
<td>177±45*</td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>277±52</td>
<td>165±56*</td>
<td>175±51*</td>
<td>164±52*</td>
<td>179±43*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/80Hz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>1.02±0.08</td>
<td>1.19±0.22</td>
<td>1.19±0.18</td>
<td>1.20±0.20</td>
<td>1.17±0.13</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>0.96±0.05</td>
<td>1.18±0.18</td>
<td>1.15±0.16</td>
<td>1.19±0.18</td>
<td>1.13±0.13</td>
<td>(0.160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>0.99±0.12</td>
<td>1.18±0.17</td>
<td>1.15±0.14</td>
<td>1.20±0.16</td>
<td>1.13±0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q\text{tw-pot}, quadriceps potentiated twitch force; Ct, contraction time; HRT, one-half relaxation time; MRTD, maximal rate of force development; MRTR, maximal rate of force relaxation; DB20Hz, doublets at 20Hz; DB80Hz, doublets at 80Hz; 20/80Hz, ratio of 20Hz to 80Hz measured pre-exercise (Pre 1), 45 s after the first set of ten sprints (Post 1), 6 min after the first set of sprints (Pre 2), 45 s after the second set of five sprints (Post 2) and 10 min following the second set of sprints (Post 10). All variables are expressed in absolute units. Values are mean ± SD, \( n = 9 \).

\(^{a}\), significantly different from Pre 1 (\( p < 0.05 \))
### Table 3.2: Effects of repeated sprints on surface EMG responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMS VL (mV)</td>
<td>0.27±0.0.086</td>
<td>0.26±0.107</td>
<td>0.271±0.101</td>
<td>0.235±0.075</td>
<td>0.231±0.076</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.26±0.109</td>
<td>0.233±0.097</td>
<td>0.209±0.064</td>
<td>0.207±0.079</td>
<td>0.202±0.097</td>
<td>(0.085)</td>
<td>(0.402)</td>
<td>(0.177)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>0.225±0.073</td>
<td>0.232±0.093</td>
<td>0.229±0.093</td>
<td>0.231±0.101</td>
<td>0.223±0.087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS VM (mV)</td>
<td>0.189±0.103</td>
<td>0.170±0.102</td>
<td>0.179±0.112</td>
<td>0.166±0.100</td>
<td>0.204±0.092</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.191±0.06</td>
<td>0.171±0.075</td>
<td>0.168±0.074</td>
<td>0.162±0.069</td>
<td>0.159±0.063</td>
<td>(0.091)</td>
<td>(0.188)</td>
<td>(0.134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>0.175±0.086</td>
<td>0.164±0.084</td>
<td>0.164±0.096</td>
<td>0.168±0.108</td>
<td>0.166±0.098</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS RF (mV)</td>
<td>0.299±0.068</td>
<td>0.279±0.060</td>
<td>0.291±0.085</td>
<td>0.251±0.076</td>
<td>0.275±0.082</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.298±0.069</td>
<td>0.268±0.064</td>
<td>0.267±0.072</td>
<td>0.268±0.092</td>
<td>0.248±0.095</td>
<td>(0.053)</td>
<td>(0.562)</td>
<td>(0.141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>0.295±0.059</td>
<td>0.263±0.064</td>
<td>0.265±0.059</td>
<td>0.262±0.061</td>
<td>0.258±0.069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS/M wave VL</td>
<td>0.55±0.022</td>
<td>0.56±0.030</td>
<td>0.55±0.024</td>
<td>0.50±0.020</td>
<td>0.51±0.024</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.55±0.016</td>
<td>0.55±0.021</td>
<td>0.41±0.012</td>
<td>0.45±0.021</td>
<td>0.45±0.020</td>
<td>(0.055)</td>
<td>(0.118)</td>
<td>(0.098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>0.048±0.021</td>
<td>0.046±0.018</td>
<td>0.046±0.019</td>
<td>0.049±0.020</td>
<td>0.052±0.027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS/M wave VM</td>
<td>0.043±0.018</td>
<td>0.039±0.021</td>
<td>0.041±0.019</td>
<td>0.039±0.016</td>
<td>0.052±0.012</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.047±0.015</td>
<td>0.041±0.017</td>
<td>0.041±0.015</td>
<td>0.040±0.017</td>
<td>0.038±0.014</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.148)</td>
<td>(0.168)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>0.041±0.016</td>
<td>0.041±0.015</td>
<td>0.041±0.016</td>
<td>0.041±0.018</td>
<td>0.042±0.018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS/M wave RF</td>
<td>0.063±0.023</td>
<td>0.066±0.026</td>
<td>0.070±0.030</td>
<td>0.061±0.027</td>
<td>0.065±0.027</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.064±0.028</td>
<td>0.063±0.030</td>
<td>0.063±0.034</td>
<td>0.065±0.035</td>
<td>0.061±0.037</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.124)</td>
<td>(0.109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>0.061±0.019</td>
<td>0.064±0.022</td>
<td>0.068±0.027</td>
<td>0.068±0.032</td>
<td>0.063±0.027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RMS VL, Root mean square EMG activity of the vastus lateralis; RMS VM, Root mean square EMG activity of the vastus medialis; RMS RF, Root mean square EMG activity of the rectus femoris; RMS/M wave VL, normalized root mean square of the vastus lateralis/M wave ratio; RMS/M wave VM, normalized root mean square of the vastus medialis/M wave ratio; RMS/M wave RF, normalized root mean square of the rectus femoris/M wave ratio measured pre-exercise (Pre 1), 45 s after the first set of ten sprints (Post 1), 6 min after the first set of sprints (Pre 2), 45 s after the second set of five sprints (Post 2) and 10 min following the second set of sprints (Post 10). Values are mean ± SD, n = 9.

a significantly different from Pre 1 (p < 0.05)

### 3.5 Discussion

The aim of this study was to manipulate hypoxia severity during an initial set of repeated cycling sprints and investigate the effects on performance, muscle contractility and activation, as well as exercise-related sensations, during a subsequent set of repeated sprints performed in normoxia. As expected, SH resulted in larger performance decrement during the first set, which was accompanied by exaggerated sensations of overall peripheral discomfort, difficulty breathing and limb discomfort, although significant differences were only observed at Sprint 5. Conversely, muscle contractility at the end of Set 1 was similar across conditions, whereas
VA reductions – estimated from both PMN stimulation and TMS – were not meaningful. Following 8 min of passive rest, single-sprint performance (i.e., Sprint 11) and accompanying exercise-related sensations recovered almost completely in all conditions, despite persistent muscle contractility impairments. However, compared to SL, when Set 1 was performed in SH, this resulted in a negative “carry-over” effect as shown by a decline in RSA during Set 2. Accordingly, our novel observation was that recovery of exercise-related sensations, rather than neuromuscular function integrity per se, has an integral role in influencing subsequent sprint performance.

3.5.1 Effects of O₂ availability on repeated-sprint performance and exercise responses (Set 1)

In line with previous studies that have examined the effects of graded hypoxia on repeated cycling (Billaut et al. 2013; Girard et al. 2017a) and running (Goods et al. 2014; Sweeting et al. 2017) sprints, our Set 1 results demonstrate that short-term (40 s of sprinting) RSA was significantly impaired with 13% O₂ compared to normoxia and moderate hypoxia (i.e., 17% O₂). Additionally, the decline in RMS EMG across sprints in all conditions suggest that reduced neural drive to the active musculature probably contributed to the progressive decline in sprint performance. A reduced RMS EMG would indicate that less motor units were recruited and/or firing rates of the recruited motor units were lower, without the possibility to distinguish between the two mechanisms.

Our study also highlights that neural drive – estimated from peripheral (PMN stimulation) and cortical (TMS) VA values before and after each set of sprint – was minimally affected by sprint repetitions and/or exposure to hypoxia. Available literature regarding whether suboptimal cortical output contributes to a decline in performance during repeated cycling sprints under varying severity of hypoxia remains limited and inconclusive. For instance, Goodall et al. (2015) reported a ~9% decrease in cortical VA of knee extensors immediately after completion
of twelve, 30-m sprints with 30 s of recovery. Conversely, Girard et al. (2013) reported that cortical VA decreased substantially (from ~90% to ~70%) during 30-s sustained, but not during brief, MVC when ten 6-s sprints interspersed with 30 s of recovery followed 6 min later by five 6-s sprints were performed. In our study, however, both peripheral and cortical VA findings obtained during brief contractions indicate that no meaningful changes in muscle activation were evident.

There was a substantial yet similar decline in $Q_{tw-pot}$ (~46 ± 18%) across all conditions after Set 1. A similar magnitude of $Q_{tw-pot}$ reductions has been reported following repeated-sprint cycling protocols (Billaut et al. 2013; Hureau et al. 2016). This is consistent with the view that muscle disturbances account for a greater proportion of performance decreases that occur rapidly after the first few repetitions of a repeated-sprint bout (Pearcey et al. 2015). For instance, Hureau et al. (2016) reported that $Q_{tw-pot}$ decreased by ~47% and ~50%, respectively, after the sixth and tenth sprints of a series of ten, 10-s sprints with 30 s of recovery. Our results are also consistent with previous evidence showing that acute hypoxia (i.e., even more severe than in the present study) has minimal influence on muscle contractility (Amann and Kayser 2009; Perrey and Rupp 2009). Taken together, this suggests that the decrease in sprint performance during Set 1 was predominantly of peripheral origin and that factors other than muscle contractility would explain the larger RSA decrements observed with more severe hypoxia (e.g. a more hypoxic brain effect on cerebrovascular adjustment) (Millet et al. 2012; Amann et al. 2007b).

Decreasing $O_2$ availability resulted in lower $SpO_2$ values, while cardiovascular responses (i.e., heart rate) did not increase proportionally. This may be due, at least partially, to the lower work performed under SH and/or the “all-out” nature of the exercise protocol minimizing potential cardiovascular differences between conditions (Girard et al. 2015). Yet, sensations of overall peripheral discomfort, difficulty breathing, and limb discomfort tended to be exaggerated under SH compared to SL during Set 1, although significant differences were only observed at Sprint
Despite being constantly reminded to produce a maximal effort for each sprint, we cannot exclude the possibility that participants in SH may have progressively “disengaged” from the task as they perceived the effort required to exceed their individual perceived ability (Marcora and Staiano 2010). Accordingly, exercise-related sensations are undoubtedly not the only potential source of inhibitory influence on RSA. Although not examined here, factors such as increased respiratory muscle work (Amann et al. 2007a) resulting in reduced muscle blood flow and tissue oxygenation (via sympathetic vasoconstriction of the exercising limb) may also have a significant impact on performance of repeated sprints.

3.5.2 Consequence of pre-existing locomotor muscle fatigue on recovery of single sprint performance (Set 2- Sprint 11)

The recovery of single sprint performance (i.e., Sprint 11) in all conditions occurred in parallel with the restoration of quadriceps EMG. This is consistent with the results previously reported by Girard et al. (2015) using a similar sprint protocol, reaffirming that neural drive to active muscles plays a role in dictating performance recovery from repeated sprinting (Amann and Dempsey 2008). Additionally, the recovery of single sprint performance coincided with significant recovery in all exercise-related sensations, whereas muscle contractile impairments showed no sign of recovery. Specifically, Qtw-pot remained depressed during Set 2 (i.e., Pre 2 and Post 2 time points), following 8 min of passive recovery, despite alleviation in SpO2 values (Sprint 11: ~95%, all conditions compounded). These data question the influence that the magnitude of change in muscle contractile impairments (Qtw-pot; from neuromuscular function test batteries using PMN stimulations) may have on RSA. Nonetheless, the importance of peripheral recovery in influencing sprint performance was previously demonstrated by Mendez-Villanueva et al. (2012) who observed a positive correlation between phosphocreatine re-synthesis and performance recovery during repeated-sprint exercise.
3.5.3 Effects of neuromuscular fatigue and exercise-related sensations on subsequent repeated-sprint performances (Set 2 – Sprint 11-15)

Whilst MPO at Sprint 11 (i.e., initial sprint of Set 2) was not significantly different to that of Sprint 1 in all conditions, a novel finding of this study was the lower RSA performance during subsequent sprint performance (i.e., Sprints 11-15) in SH versus SL. This suggests that prior repeated cycling exercise in SH had a negative “carry-over” effect during a subsequent sprint performance in normoxia. It has been suggested that reductions in O₂ availability during repeated sprints in more severe hypoxia may attenuate the sensitivity of type III/IV muscle afferents, thereby increasing preferential recruitment of type II muscle fibers (Karatzaferi et al. 2001; Arbogast et al. 2000). Such a greater and earlier reliance on type II muscle fibers may cause the larger decline in subsequent sprint performance despite of the similar EMG responses.

Interestingly, the larger decline in sprint performance in SH during Set 2 was not met with worsened exercise-related sensations. Indeed, the increase in all exercise-related sensations from Sprints 11-15 were similar across all conditions, with no discernible differences to the changes from Sprints 1-5. Remarkably, absolute values for exercise-related sensations reached at Sprint 15 (i.e., 7 and 8 on a CR10 Scale for overall or limb discomfort and difficulty breathing) were relatively similar to those achieved at Sprint 10. Nevertheless, these values are considered submaximal despite the “all-out” nature of our exercise protocol involving a total of 15 sprints.

The finding that peripheral VA and cortical VA remained unchanged following both sets of sprints suggests that the muscle contractile impairments had minimal influence on central motor output and subsequently on sprint performance in normoxia. This is in agreement with a study by Hureau et al. (2014) demonstrating minimal reductions in VA after a repeated-sprint cycling protocol (ten, 10-s sprints with 30 s recovery in between) even when the quadriceps muscle was pre-fatigued by neuromuscular electrical stimulation. Conversely, previous studies
(Billaut et al. 2013; Hureau et al. 2016) have also shown reductions in VA in response to repeated cycling sprints, which has been interpreted by these authors to reflect neural adjustments to limit the development of locomotor muscle fatigue. Further research is required to delineate the interaction between central and peripheral factors and how this influences the regulation of sprint performance and its recovery.

Our finding of a negative “carry-over” of SH on subsequent repeated sprints is in contrast to the results of Girard et al. (2015). When eight 5-s sprints with 25-s recovery were performed at FiO\textsubscript{2} 0.21, 0.17 or 0.13, followed 6 min later, by four 5-s sprints at normoxia, these authors observed that recovery of sprint performance at Sprint 9 was accompanied by higher RPE values as compared to Sprint 1 (Girard et al. 2015). Of note, exercise-related sensations were limited to the assessment of overall peripheral discomfort. Although methodological differences (i.e., number of sprints) may have contributed to the overall perceived exertion or RPE values, this also likely highlights the task dependency of fatigue. For instance, the larger decline in sprint performance at the end of Set 1 (-34.0% vs. -11.7%) compared to the aforementioned study by Girard et al. (2015) may have also been due to adoption of different exercise modes (i.e., cycling vs. running) (Girard et al. 2011a).

3.5.4 Additional considerations and limitations

This study is not without limitations. The use of EMG as an index of neural drive to active musculature should be interpreted with caution given that previous studies have suggested a weak association between EMG estimates and motor unit recruitment (Del Vecchio et al. 2017). Additionally, there is an on-going debate with regards to the validity of the twitch interpolation technique for the assessment of peripheral and/or cortical VA and thus central fatigue. Whilst VA (as measured from twitch interpolation technique) does quantify the drive by the motoneurons to the muscle (Taylor 2009), it does not take into account the nonlinear input-output relationship of the motoneuron pool (Herbert and Gandevia 1999). Nevertheless, the
use of twitch interpolation (with TMS) has been shown to be a valid and reliable method for the assessment of VA in the knee extensors (Sidhu et al. 2009). However, further studies using concomitant measurements of TMS-induced cortical and/or cervico-medullar motor evoked potentials would be required for the purpose of distinguishing fatigue of spinal and supraspinal origins and their relationship with exercise-related sensations (e.g. perceptions of overall discomfort, breathing difficulty and limb discomfort).

In this study, fatigue measurements were assessed ~45 s after the completion of exercise, which is notably quicker than most of neuromuscular assessments in other similar studies that usually only start 2-3 minutes after exercise cessation. Ideally, such neuromuscular function integrity measurements should be performed during the actual exercise and/or within few seconds immediately after termination of exercise since previous studies have indicated substantial recovery of corticospinal excitability after less than 1 min of rest (Goodall et al. 2012). Assessment of neuromuscular function should also be extended beyond 10 min of recovery since both MVC and muscle contractile impairments were still depressed at Post 10 in all conditions.

Finally, our conclusions are likely confined to the specificities of this study and should be verified with different RSA protocols and participants with specific training backgrounds. Whilst closed-loop RSA protocols have been used here and in previous experiments (Girard et al. 2015; Mendez-Villanueva et al. 2007), further investigations including exercise performed to failure tasks (i.e., open-loop design) and involving more than two sets of repeated sprints are needed. Given the increasing popularity of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia interventions typically involving 3-5 sets of sprints (Brocherie et al. 2017), shedding more light on the fatigue-causing mechanisms may be useful to refine best practice in this area.
3.6 Conclusion

In this study, we manipulated hypoxia severity during an initial set of repeated sprints, and examined the residual effects on alterations in performance, neuromuscular, physiological and perceptual responses during a subsequent set of sprints performed in normoxia. Although a link of causality cannot be established, our novel findings suggest that recovery of exercise-related sensations of fatigue and discomfort, rather than neuromuscular function integrity *per se*, may play a pivotal role in influencing subsequent sprints performance.
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New findings:

- What is the central question of this study?

Does hypoxia severity during a prior exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise alter subsequent performance and neuromuscular responses during identical exercise in normoxia?

- What is the main finding and its importance?

Increasing hypoxic severity limits performance during an initial exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise task. However, hypoxic severity had no influence on performance and neuromuscular fatigue characteristics during a subsequent exercise of similar nature in normoxia. Understanding how hypoxic severity influences performance and neuromuscular responses will be useful in improving training periodization.
4.1 Abstract

**Purpose:** This study examined the effect of graded hypoxia during exhaustive intermittent cycling on subsequent exercise performance in normoxia and associated neuromuscular fatigue characteristics.

**Methods:** Fifteen well-trained cyclists performed an exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise (EICE; 15 s at 30% of anaerobic power reserve [618 ± 32 W] interspersed with 45 s of passive recovery) at sea level (SL; FiO$_2$ ~0.21), moderate (MH; FiO$_2$ ~0.16) and severe hypoxia (SH; FiO$_2$ ~0.12) (*i.e.*, EICE 1). This was followed, after 30 min of passive recovery (in normoxia), by an identical exercise bout in normoxia (EICE 2). Neuromuscular function of the knee extensors was assessed at baseline, and after EICE 1 (Post-EICE 1) and 2 (Post-EICE 2).

**Results:** The number of efforts decreased with increasing hypoxic severity during EICE 1 (SL: 39 ± 30, MH: 22 ± 13, SH: 13 ± 6; p ≤ 0.02). A larger number of efforts was performed in SH during EICE 2 than EICE 1 (p = 0.01), yet there was no statistical difference between conditions for EICE 2 (SL: 16 ± 9, MH: 20 ± 14, SH: 24 ± 17; p ≥ 0.09). Compared to baseline, maximal torque during brief and sustained contractions (–8.5 ± 10.3% and –7.9 ± 14.0%; p = 0.01), peripheral (–1.9 ± 3.0% and –1.3 ± 1.3; p ≤ 0.02) and cortical voluntary activation (–3.8 ± 3.7% and –8.6 ± 6.5%; p < 0.001), and twitch torque (–53.2 ± 10.0%; p < 0.001) were reduced post-EICE 1, independent of conditions. Maximal torque (brief contractions) and peripheral voluntary activation (sustained contractions) declined in all conditions at post-EICE 2 in reference to post-EICE 1, whereas twitch torque was further reduced only in SH (p = 0.02).

**Conclusion:** Increasing hypoxia severity during exhaustive intermittent cycling decreased exercise performance. However, exercise performance and associated neuromuscular responses did not differ between conditions during a subsequent bout of exercise in normoxia.

**Keywords:** Altitude, neuromuscular fatigue, intermittent exercise, exhaustion.
4.2 Introduction

Muscle fatigue can be defined as a decline in force generating capacity of the exercising musculature that is reversible with sufficient rest (Gandevia 2001). The decrease in force can be attributed to the interaction between the biochemical changes within the exercising muscle (i.e., peripheral fatigue) and an incomplete neural drive to the active musculature (i.e., central fatigue) (Amann 2011). An experimental approach to understand the interplay between central and peripheral factors is to manipulate the fatigue level, either by completing an initial exercise bout (Amann and Dempsey 2008) or through hypoxic manipulation (Girard et al. 2016; Soo et al. 2020).

Most studies manipulating hypoxia severity during an initial exercise bout have selected exercises with a pre-determined number of efforts or a “closed-loop” design (Girard et al. 2016; Soo et al. 2020). However, when the exercise end-point is set (e.g., number of “all-out” efforts [Townsend et al. (2020); Girard et al. (2015)] or distance [(Girard et al., 2016)] to be completed), participants may modulate their power production, through the use of pacing strategies, and the resulting neuromuscular fatigue (Billaut et al. 2011). In this instance, using an “open-loop” design, in which exercise is performed at a fixed work rate until exhaustion (Amann et al. 2007b) may resolve the issue of pacing.

Reductions in oxygen availability negatively influence fatigability during the completion of exhaustive “open-loop” whole body exercise (i.e., cycling time to exhaustion at a constant work-load), with severe hypoxia triggering earlier exercise cessation (Amann et al. 2007b; Goodall et al. 2012). Specifically, concomitant increases in hypoxic severity (fraction of inspired oxygen [FiO₂] <0.10, equivalent to arterial oxygen saturation [SpO₂] of ~70-75%) and cerebral deoxygenation (e.g., assessed with near infrared spectroscopy; NIRS) (Goodall et al. 2012) may elicit a shift from predominantly peripheral origins of fatigue to a hypoxia-sensitive
source of inhibition within the central nervous system (Amann et al. 2007b). Since neuromuscular fatigue characteristics resulting from exhaustive exercise likely differ according to the severity of hypoxic conditions, this makes the expectation tenable that it will also influence the requirement for recovery, and ultimately subsequent exercise performance.

Therefore, this study examined the effects of hypoxia severity during an initial exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise on subsequent performance and associated neuromuscular fatigue characteristics in normoxia. We hypothesized that the most severe hypoxic condition will limit exercise capacity during the initial exercise bout due to central nervous system alterations, thus minimizing the extent of peripheral fatigue development when compared to normoxia or less severe hypoxia. We further anticipated that the premature fatigue (i.e., less mechanical work) due to an initial exercise bout at severe hypoxia may in turn, increase subsequent exercise performance in normoxia and the magnitude of accompanying muscle fatigue.

4.3 Methods

4.3.1 Ethical approval

The experimental protocol was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by Shafallah Medical Genetics Center Ethics Committee, Doha Qatar (institutional review board project number no. 2011-011). All participants gave their informed, written consent prior to the commencement of the experiment.

4.3.2 Participants

Fifteen well-trained male cyclists (38.4 ± 7.1 years; 181.7 ± 7.7 cm; 81.9 ± 13.8 kg; 8.1 ± 2.5 h cycling per week) participated in the study. All participants were born and raised at <1500 m and had not travelled to elevations >1000 m in the 3 months prior to investigation.
4.3.3 Experimental design

Each participant completed one familiarization session and three experimental trials in a randomized, double-blind design. All tests were completed in a normobaric hypoxic chamber (Colorado Mountain Room System; Colorado Altitude Training, Boulder, CO). The experimental trials were separated by at least 5 days, performed at the same time of the day (±2 h), and conducted in temperate ambient conditions (air temperature: ~24°C; relative humidity: 40%). Participants avoided vigorous exercise for 24 h, caffeine for 12 h, and food for 2 h, before each trial. They were permitted to drink ad libitum during testing.

The experimental session was conducted as follows: (1) participants were seated for 15 min to rest and allow for instrumentation; (2) standardized warm-up (i.e., 5 min of continuous cycling at 50% of power associated with \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \), immediately followed by 2 min at 100% of power associated with \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) [357 ± 46 W] and, after 2 min of rest, 15 s of cycling at 30% of the anaerobic power reserve [618 ± 123 W] with a fixed pedalling frequency of 110 rpm) in normoxia; (3) climatic chamber entrance and 2 min seated rest on the cycle ergometer (wash-in period) prior to the beginning of exercise; (4) an exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise (EICE 1) conducted near sea level (SL; simulated altitude/FiO\(_2\) 0 m/~0.21), at moderate (MH; ~2200 m/~0.16) or severe (SH; ~4200 m/~0.12) simulated altitudes (normobaric hypoxia) in random order (see below), (5) 30 min of passive rest (normoxia) including neuromuscular function assessment (post-EICE 1) initiated 7 min after exercise cessation of EICE 1 (5) completion of an identical exercise test (EICE 2) always performed in normoxia (6) neuromuscular function assessment (post-EICE 2) initiated 7 min after exercise cessation of EICE 2.
4.3.4 **Familiarization session**

During the first visit, participants were accustomed to all neuromuscular testing procedures. Optimal levels of stimulation intensities to the motor cortex and femoral nerve were determined (see below), and kept constant during the subsequent experimental sessions. Baseline neuromuscular function assessment was subsequently performed after ~15 min of rest, and served as the pre-tests (Baseline) assessment for all conditions. Thereafter, participants performed an incremental cycling test on an electromagnetically braked cycle ergometer (Excalibur Sport, Lode, Groningen, The Netherlands), while breathing room air for the determination of maximal aerobic power output (last completed stage in full) and maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$). Workload increased at a ramped rate of 25 W.min$^{-1}$ until participants reached exhaustion, as indicated by volitional cessation of exercise, or failure to maintain a pedal cadence of 70 rpm despite strong verbal encouragement. Finally, after 20 min of passive rest, participants performed three single 10-s cycling sprints (peak power output = 1202 ± 262 W), with 2 min of rest between efforts.

4.3.5 **Exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise**

The exercise protocol for EICE 1 and EICE 2 consisted of performing intermittent cycling until exhaustion at supra-maximal intensity; 15 s at 30% of the anaerobic power reserve (618 ± 123 W) with a pedalling frequency of 110 rpm (visual and verbal feedback and reached after ~3-4 s), interspersed with 45 s of passive rest. A 30-min recovery period was allowed between EICE 1 and EICE 2. This duration was chosen to allow significant perceptual recovery from EICE 1, whilst keeping recovery time short enough for only a partial recovery of neuromuscular function, both likely to influence completion of subsequent efforts (Minett and Duffield 2014). Exercise was terminated by the investigators when pedal cadence dropped below 70 rpm for > 5 s. Unfinished sprints (participants not being able to turn the pedals) were not taken into consideration.
4.3.6 Responses to exercise

Heart rate (HR), monitored via a wireless monitoring system (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland), pulse oxygen saturation (SpO$_2$), estimated non-invasively via pulse oximetry using a finger probe (Palmsat 2500, NONIN Medical Inc., Plymouth, MI, USA) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE), obtained using the 6-20 Borg scale, were recorded at exactly 10 s following each exercise bout. Exercise data were time-normalized over the individual total exercise duration for each trial because the total exercise duration of the exhaustive intermittent cycling test was different between participants and condition. For each individual, HR, SpO$_2$ and RPE were time normalized on a scale 0-100% using 20% intervals (1-20, 21-40, 41-60, 61-80 and 81-100% of the time to exhaustion).

4.3.7 Prefrontal cortex and muscle oxygenation responses

Uninterrupted measurements of cerebral and muscle tissue oxygenation trends were obtained via NIRS (Oxymon MkIII, Artinis, The Netherlands). One NIRS emitter-detector pair was placed over the left prefrontal lobe, between Fp1 and F3 (international EEG 10–20 system). A second emitter-detector pair was placed on the distal part of the right vastus lateralis (VL), approximately 15 cm above the proximal border of the patella. Spacing between optodes was fixed at 45 mm using a black, plastic spacer held in place via double-sided tape. A modified form of the Beer–Lambert Law was used to assess muscle oxygenation as the percentage of tissue saturation index (TSI; oxyhemoglobin/[oxyhemoglobin + deoxyhemoglobin] × 100). For each individual, NIRS signals were determined at the beginning of exercise and near task failure (1-20 and 81-100% of the time to exhaustion, respectively) for EICE 1 and EICE 2. Differential path length factors were fixed at 5.93 for cerebral and at 3.83 for muscle tissues. NIRS data were acquired at 10 Hz and down sampled to 1 Hz for analysis.
4.3.8 Neuromuscular function

4.3.8.1 Neuromuscular test battery

Isometric knee extensor force of the right leg was measured during both voluntary and evoked contractions on an isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex; Isokinetic Dynamometer, Shirley, NY). Participants were seated with their hip joint angles set at 90° (0° is full extension) and their chest and working leg tightly fixed against the chair. The axis of the dynamometer was aligned with the knee flexion-extension axis, and the lever arm was attached to the shank around the ankle with a strap. Participant position information was recorded to ensure identical positioning for each test occasion.

Neuromuscular assessment included six sets (recovery = 1 min) of three brief contractions (~5 s, MVC, 50% MVC and 75% MVC, recovery = 6 s) of the knee extensors (Girard et al. 2013). The intensities for the sub-maximal contractions were calculated from the preceding MVC, and the feedback of the target force was provided via a computer monitor. During brief contractions, transcranial magnetic stimulations (TMS) or peripheral motor nerve (PMN) stimulations were alternatively delivered ~1.5 s after the plateau (3 sets with TMS and 3 sets with PMN). In addition, a potentiated twitch was evoked 5 s after each MVC with PMN. Thereafter, participants performed a 30-s sustained MVC including PMN and TMS, delivered 2 s apart, at the beginning and the end of the sustained MVC (~5 and ~25 s, respectively) (Girard et al., 2013).

4.3.8.2 Torque recordings

Isometric knee extensor force of the right leg was measured on an isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex; Isokinetic Dynamometer, Shirley, NY). Participants were seated with their hip joint angles set at 90° (0° is full extension) and their chest and working leg tightly fixed against the chair. The axis of the dynamometer was aligned with the knee flexion–extension axis, and the
lever arm was attached to the shank around the ankle with a strap. Participant position information was recorded to ensure identical positioning for each test occasion.

4.3.8.3 Motor nerve stimulation

Single supramaximal electrical stimuli (max voltage 400 V, rectangular pulse of 200 ms) were delivered to the right femoral nerve using a high-voltage, constant-current, stimulator (Digitimer DS7A, Welwyn Garden City, Hertfordshire, UK). The cathode ball electrode was manually pressed into the femoral triangle (i.e., 3–5 cm below the inguinal ligament) by the experimenter and the anode (5 × 9 cm) was located in the gluteal fold opposite the cathode. The intensity of stimulation was determined during the familiarization session by delivering single stimuli with increments of 10 mA until plateaus occurred in twitch amplitude and M-wave. Supramaximal stimulation was ensured by increasing the final intensity by 50% (mean current: 116 ± 54 mA; range: 40–220 mA).

4.3.8.4 Transcranial magnetic stimulation

A magnetic stimulator (Magstim 200, The Magstim Company, Dyfed, UK) was used to stimulate the motor cortex. A single TMS pulse (1-ms duration) was delivered via a concave double-cone coil (13 cm diameter) maintained manually over the vertex of the scalp. The coil was slightly moved to preferentially activate the left motor cortex (contralateral to the right leg) until the largest motor evoked potential (MEP) in the VL during 50% MVC contractions were observed with a stimulation intensity of 60% of the maximal stimulator power output Girard et al. (2013). Motor threshold occurred at 41 ± 10% of maximum stimulator output, and during each of the experimental trials, TMS was delivered at 140% of the motor threshold (61 ± 10% of maximum stimulator output; range: 49–77%).
4.3.9 Analysis of neuromuscular parameters

Voluntary torque were recorded during 1-s of plateau. Peripheral motor nerve voluntary activation (VA_{PMN}) was assessed using the twitch interpolation method. Briefly, the force produced during a superimposed twitch during the MVC was compared to the force produced by a potentiated twitch: \( VA_{PMN} (\%) = (1 - \frac{\text{superimposed twitch}}{\text{potentiated twitch}}) \times 100. \) Voluntary activation was also assessed using transcranial magnetic stimulation (VA_{TMS}) by measuring the force responses to motor cortex stimulations during submaximal and maximal contractions (Goodall et al. 2009). \( VA_{TMS} (\%) \) was subsequently quantified using the equation: \( (1 - \frac{\text{superimposed twitch}}{\text{estimated resting twitch}}) \times 100. \) Muscle contractility was assessed from the electrically-evoked resting potentiated twitch as peak twitch amplitude \( (Q_{tw-pot}, \text{the highest value of twitch tension production}). \)

4.3.10 Statistical analysis

Values are expressed as means ± SD. Two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare (1) changes in the number of cycling efforts completed [Time (EICE 1 and EICE 2) × Condition (SL, MH and SH)]; (2) changes in neuromuscular variables [Time (Baseline, post-EICE 1 and post-EICE 2) × Condition (SL, MH and SH)] and (3) differences in cerebral and muscle oxygenation [Time (Beginning of exercise-EICE 1, Task failure-EICE1, Beginning of exercise-EICE 2, and Task failure-EICE 2) × Condition (SL, MH and SH)]. Three-way repeated-measures ANOVAs [Time (baseline, post-EICE 1 and post-EICE 2) × Contraction duration (onset and end) × Condition (SL, MH and SH)] were used to assess neuromuscular variables during the 30-s sustained MVCs. To assess assumptions of variance, Mauchly’s test of sphericity was performed for all ANOVA results. A Greenhouse-Geisser correction was performed to adjust the degree of freedom if an assumption was violated, while post-hoc comparisons with Bonferroni-adjusted \( p \) values were performed if a significant main effect was observed. For each ANOVA, partial eta-squared (\( \eta^2 \)) was calculated as
measures of effect size (presented in parentheses in figures). Effect size values of 0.01, 0.06 and >0.14 were considered as small, medium and large, respectively. All statistical calculations were performed using SPSS statistical software V.24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The significance level was set at \( P \leq 0.05 \).
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**Figure 4.1: Schematic diagram of the exhaustive intermittent cycling protocol.**

Participants performed EICE 1 (15 s of cycling at 30% of anaerobic power reserve, interspersed with 45 s of passive recovery) in either normoxia (SL; FiO2 ~0.21), moderate (MH; FiO2 ~0.16) or severe hypoxia (SH; FiO2 ~0.12). This was followed, after 30 min of passive recovery, by an identical exercise bout in normoxia (EICE 2). Neuromuscular function of the knee extensor was assessed 7 min after EICE 1 and 2.

4.4 **Results**

4.4.1 **Exercise capacity**

Compared with SL (39 ± 30), a smaller number of cycling efforts were completed during EICE 1 in MH (22 ± 13; \( p = 0.02 \)) and SH (13 ± 6; \( p = 0.003 \)) (Figure 2). The total number of efforts completed in EICE 2 did not differ between conditions (SL: 16 ± 9, MH: 20 ± 14, SH: 24 ±17; \( p \geq 0.09 \)). The total number of efforts completed during EICE 2 with reference to EICE 1 was lower for SL (–23 ± 25; \( p = 0.003 \)), unchanged in MH (–2 ± 6; \( p = 0.13 \)) and higher in SH (+11 ± 15; \( p = 0.01 \)). The overall total number of efforts (i.e., sum of EICE 1 and EICE 2) was
significantly lower in SH than SL (36 ± 21 vs. 55 ± 36; p = 0.02), whereas it was not different in MH (42 ± 27; p ≥ 0.08) compared to the other conditions.

4.4.2 Muscle contractility

Compared with baseline (40.5 ± 9.3 Nm), $Q_{tw\text{-pot}}$ was equally reduced (pooled values: $-53.2 ± 10.0\%$; $p < 0.001$) post-EICE 1 in all conditions (Figure 2). There was a further decline in $Q_{tw\text{-pot}}$ at post-EICE 2 compared with post-EICE 1 in SH ($-12.7 ± 17.5\%$, $p = 0.02$), but not in SL ($p = 0.68$) and MH ($p = 0.17$).

4.4.3 MVC torque

Compared with baseline (290.4 ± 69.2 Nm), voluntary torque (Figure 4 A) during brief MVC was significantly reduced in all conditions at post-EICE 1 (pooled values: $-8.5 ± 10.3\%$; $p = 0.01$). Voluntary torque declined further at post-EICE 2 when compared with post-EICE 1 ($-3.6 ± 7.7\%$; $p = 0.05$). When maximal contraction was prolonged, mean torque produced $\sim5$ s and $\sim25$ s into the sustained contraction was significantly reduced below baseline in all conditions at post-EICE 1 (all conditions compounded, onset: 263.5 ± 55.5 Nm, end: 204.5 ± 56.3 Nm; $-7.9 ± 14.0\%$; $p = 0.01$) and post-EICE 2 (onset: 255.4 ± 56.1 Nm, end: 194.2 ± 60.0 Nm; $-11.8 ± 14.9\%$; $p = 0.001$). The magnitude of decline in voluntary torque from the onset to the end of the 30-s MVC (268.5 ± 61.4 vs. 210.0 ± 63.3 Nm) was similar in all conditions (pooled values: $-23 ± 11\%$; $p < 0.001$).

4.4.4 Voluntary activation

With reference to baseline ($VA_{PMN}: 97.7 ± 3.4\%$; $VA_{TMS}: 98.8 ± 1.5\%$), both $VA_{PMN}$ ($-1.9 ± 3.0\%$; $p = 0.02$) and $VA_{TMS}$ ($-3.8 ± 3.7\%$; $p < 0.001$) during brief contractions were globally reduced post-EICE 1 (Figure 4 B). When contraction was prolonged, $VA_{PMN}$ ($99.2 ± 0.8\%$) and $VA_{TMS}$ ($98.3 ± 2.2\%$) were reduced below baseline at post-EICE 1 ($-1.3 ± 1.3\%$ and $-8.6$
± 6.5%; p < 0.001) with no further changes in VA\textsubscript{TMS} at post-EICE 2 (−2.0 ± 2.2%; p < 0.001). VA\textsubscript{PMN} was further decreased at post-EICE 2 (−0.7 ± 2.0; p = 0.04) compared with post-EICE 1. Reduction in VA\textsubscript{PMN} from the onset to end of the 30-s MVC were of similar magnitude (−3.9 ± 2.0%; p < 0.001) across all time-points. Contrastingly, the magnitude of decline from the onset to the end of the 30-s MVC for VA\textsubscript{TMS} was significantly larger at post-EICE 1 and post-EICE 2 compared with baseline (−9.4 ± 6.8% and −8.9 ± 9.9% vs. −4.1 ± 3.7%; p ≤ 0.04) (Figure 4 C).

Figure 4.2: Number of high intensity intermittent cycling efforts completed until exhaustion during EICE 1 (at sea level [SL], moderate [MH] and severe hypoxia [SH]) and EICE 2 (always at SL).

Participants performed EICE 1 (15 s of cycling at 30% of anaerobic power reserve, interspersed with 45 s of passive recovery) in either normoxia (SL; FiO₂ ~0.21), moderate (MH; FiO₂ ~0.16) or severe hypoxia (SH; FiO₂ ~0.12). This was followed, after 30 min of passive recovery, by an identical exercise bout in normoxia (EICE 2). Neuromuscular function of the knee extensor was assessed 7 min after EICE 1 and 2.
* significantly different from SL (p < 0.05). ‡ significantly different from MH (p < 0.05) for EICE 1. ‡significantly different from EICE 1 performance in SL (p < 0.05). # significantly different from EICE 1 performance in SH (p < 0.05).

Figure 4.3: Quadriceps potentiated twitch torque ($Q_{\text{tw-pot}}$) at baseline, after the first (post-EICE 1 at sea level [SL], moderate [MH] or severe hypoxia [SH]) and the second (post-EICE 2 [always at SL]) exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise.

C, T, and C×T, respectively refer to ANOVA main effects of condition, time, and interaction between these two factors with p-value and partial eta-squared presented in the brackets. Data are mean ± SD for 15 participants.

* significantly different from SL (p < 0.05). ‡ significantly different from MH (p < 0.05) for EICE 1. ‡significantly different from EICE 1 performance in SL (p < 0.05). # significantly different from EICE 1 performance in SH (p < 0.05).
Figure 4.4: Voluntary torque (A), peripheral motor nerve (VAPMN; B) and transcranial magnetic stimulation (VATMS; C) voluntary activation during brief (5-s; left panels) and sustained (30-s; right panels) maximal isometric voluntary contractions (MVC) at baseline, after the first (post-EICE 1 at sea level [SL], moderate [MH] or severe hypoxia [SH]) and the second (post-EICE 2 [always at SL]) exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise.
Neuromuscular function tests were performed 7 min after EICE 1 and EICE 2, always in normoxia near SL. During the 30-s sustained MVC, measurements were obtained at the beginning (~5 s) and at the end (~25 s) of the contraction. C, T, CD, C×T, C×CD, T×CD and C×T×CD, respectively refer to ANOVA main effects of condition, time, contraction duration and interaction between the factors with p-value and partial eta-squared presented in the brackets. Data are mean ± SD for 15 participants.

* and † significantly different from baseline and post-EICE 1 (p < 0.05), respectively. ‡ significantly different between 5 s and 25 s (p < 0.05). δ magnitude of decrease from 5 s to 25 s significantly different from SL (p < 0.05).

### 4.4.5 Cerebral and muscle oxygenation

At the beginning of EICE 1, cerebral TSI was significantly lower with increasing hypoxia severity (67.9 ± 9.2%, 61.9 ± 6.7% and 52.9 ± 12.3% in SL, MH and SH, respectively; p ≤ 0.04) (Figure 5 A). Cerebral TSI was significantly lower in MH and SH compared with SL at near task failure in EICE 1 (45.0 ± 10.0% and 36.7 ± 13.7% vs. 54.4 ± 12.5%; p ≤ 0.04), with no difference between MH and SH (p = 0.20). Cerebral TSI declined from the beginning of EICE 2 to near task failure (62.3 ± 11.1% vs. 49.5 ± 15.3%; p ≤ 0.01), with no differences between conditions (p > 0.05).

Muscle TSI at the beginning of EICE 1 did not differ between conditions (pooled values: 79.7 ± 4.9%, p > 0.05) (Figure 5 B). However, muscle TSI near task failure became significantly lower in SH compared with SL (54.6 ± 14.8% vs. 62.0 ± 11.6%; p = 0.02). During EICE 2, muscle TSI decreased from the beginning of EICE 2 to near task failure (pooled values: 80.6 ± 5.6% vs. 64.3 ± 10.5%, p < 0.001), with no differences between conditions (p > 0.05).

### 4.4.6 Physiological and perceptual responses

At the beginning of EICE 1, SpO₂ was significantly lower with increasing hypoxia severity (SL: 96.1 ± 2.0%, MH: 90.7 ± 1.2%, SH: 82.5 ± 3.3%; p < 0.001) (Figure 6 A). During EICE 2, SpO₂ remained unchanged across all time points in all conditions (p > 0.05). During EICE 1, HR increased similarly at each time point, except for the 21-40% interval where HR was
significantly lower in SH (121 ± 15 bpm) than in SL (138 ± 18; p = 0.003) and MH (136 ± 17 bpm; p = 0.03) (Figure 6 B). During EICE 2, HR significantly increased similarly at each time point from the beginning to near task failure (pooled values: 113 ± 20 vs. 152 ± 15 bpm).

Higher RPE occurred at each time point from the beginning to near task failure during both EICE 1 (pooled values: 13.0 ± 1.9 vs. 19.5 ± 0.5) and EICE 2 (14.1 ± 2.2 vs. 19.6 ± 0.4), with no significant differences between conditions (p = 0.88) (Figure 6 C).

Figure 4.5: Cerebral (A) and muscle (B) tissue saturation index (TSI) during EICE 1 (at sea level [SL], moderate [MH] and severe hypoxia [SH]) and EICE 2 (always at SL). Data were obtained at the beginning of exercise and near task failure (1-20% and 81-100% of time to exhaustion, respectively). C, T, and C×T, respectively refer to ANOVA main effects of condition, time, and interaction between these two factors with p-value and partial eta-squared presented in the brackets. Data are mean ± SD for 12 participants.

* significantly different from sea level (p < 0.05). † significantly different from MH (p < 0.05). ‡ and § significantly different from the beginning of exercise (EICE 1) in SL and SH, respectively.
Figure 4.6: Arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂; A), heart rate (HR; B) and ratings of perceived exertion (RPE; C) during EICE 1 (at sea level [SL], moderate [MH] and severe hypoxia [SH]) and EICE 2 (always at SL).

Data were time normalized on a scale 0-100% using 20% intervals (1-20, 21-40, 41-60, 61-80 and 81-100% of total exercise duration). C, T, and C×T, respectively refer to ANOVA main effects of condition, time, and interaction between these two factors with p-value and partial eta-squared presented in the brackets. Data are mean ± SD for 11 participants.

* significantly different from sea level (p < 0.05). † significantly different from MH (p < 0.05).
4.5 Discussion

This study examined the effects of graded hypoxia during prior exhaustive intermittent cycling on subsequent performance and associated neuromuscular consequences during an identical normoxic exercise. Our main findings were: (1) despite shorter exercise duration with graded hypoxia during EICE 1, the magnitude of central and peripheral alterations post-EICE 1 did not differ across conditions; (2) the number of efforts completed during EICE 2 were not different between conditions; (3) with the exception of a slightly (and significantly) larger peripheral fatigue level in SH, muscle fatigue characteristics were essentially similar between EICE 1 and 2. Despite differences in the relative and absolute number of cycling efforts completed across conditions, the associated neuromuscular fatigue characteristics between conditions at post-EICE 1 and post-EICE 2 were remarkably similar.

4.5.1 Graded hypoxia limits exercise performance during exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise

As expected, increasing hypoxic severity limited exercise capacity during EICE 1. Interestingly, the reduction in $Q_{\text{tw-pot}}$ ($-53 \pm 10\%$) post-EICE 1 was similar between conditions. This may appear, at first sight, to support the concept of an individual critical threshold of peripheral fatigue (Thomas et al. 2018; Amann 2011). That said, it should also be noted that the challenge to homeostasis (decrease in $\text{SpO}_2$ to ~80%) during exhaustive intermittent exercise in $\text{O}_2$-deprived conditions likely exert disruptions to other physiological regulatory systems (e.g., respiratory). Indeed, we observed larger decrease in muscle TSI values at task failure in SH compared with SL and MH during EICE 1. This is indicative of the accentuated competition between respiratory and exercising muscles for oxygen delivery, caused by increased inspiratory muscle work and metabolic demands (Rodriguez et al. 2020). The increased physiological solicitation to restore homeostasis during exercise when oxygen availability is
challenged would then combine to increase the sensations of fatigue (Thomas et al. 2018). This is evidenced in our study by near maximal RPE values (i.e., ~19) reached at task failure in all conditions. Since hypoxia exerts an additional systemic stress during exhaustive intermittent cycling, it is likely that both physiological (e.g., HR) and perceptual factors (higher-than-normal exercise sensations), rather than peripheral fatigue *per se*, influenced an individual’s tolerance limit and exercise capacity during EICE 1 (Thomas et al. 2018).

Despite a significant decline in SpO₂ and cerebral TSI during EICE 1 in SH, the decrease in VA₉PMN and VA₉TMS from baseline to post-EICE 1 were not different between conditions during both brief and sustained MVCs. Of note, central factors significantly contributed to fatigue following post-EICE 1 in SH despite significantly shorter exercise duration. It was previously reported that decreased cerebral oxygenation, induced by hypoxic exposure, during constant load cycling (at ~80% of maximal work rate) is associated with an increased component of supraspinal fatigue (Thomas et al. 2018). Contrastingly, recent studies also found no differences in muscle activation capacity (i.e., VA₉PMN and VA₉TMS) during the course of an exhaustive continuous cycling task when exercise was performed at different hypoxic severity (SpO₂ clamped at ~98%, ~85% and ~70%) (Mira et al. 2020). This uncertainty regarding the influence of hypoxia on central fatigue may be due to the severity of hypoxemia (Amann et al. 2007b), the type and/or intensity of exercise. Consequently, the magnitude of reduction in cerebral oxygenation and physiological demands imposed on the locomotor muscles and cardiorespiratory system determine the magnitude of central fatigue (Amann et al. 2007b). In this instance, decrements in VA₉TMS and VA₉PMN during brief and sustained MVCs at post-EICE 1 were generally modest. Nonetheless, this implies that exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise (duration of exercise excluding passive rest: ~3 min) induced central fatigue consisting of a supraspinal component, independent of hypoxia severity.
4.5.2 Exhaustive intermittent cycling at graded hypoxia does not influence exercise performance in normoxia

We observed that the number of efforts completed during EICE 2 in SH was greater relative to EICE 1, but not different between conditions. This is in contrast to our hypothesis that, compared to either normoxia or moderate hypoxia, earlier exercise termination (less work being completed) in severe hypoxia during EICE 1 would in turn increase the number of cycling efforts completed during EICE 2. Our initial assumption was based on previous work showing that increasing hypoxic severity shifts the primary locus of neuromuscular fatigue from the muscle to the central nervous system (Goodall et al. 2010), explaining earlier task failure in severer hypoxic conditions. In support, Amann et al. (2007b) demonstrated that constant load cycling to exhaustion was prematurely terminated (as a result of a direct effect of lower SpO2 values, likely <70-75%, on central motor output) in severe hypoxia (FiO2 0.10) when compared with normoxia. In our study, however, although SpO2 were on average slightly greater than 80% throughout exercise in SH, the significant decrease in cerebral TSI (as a proxy for cerebral hypoxia) was not accompanied by additional central and supraspinal fatigue development. Additionally, HR, RPE (i.e., close to maximal values) and Qtw-pot values in SH were not different than either SL or MH, suggesting that participants terminated EICE 1 at or close to their maximal limit of tolerance. It should be highlighted, however, that unlike the aforementioned study (Amann et al. 2007b), our exercise protocol was intermittent in nature. In particular, exercise interspersed with rest periods tends to be performed for longer duration compared with continuous exercise of the same intensity (Grossl et al. 2012). Thus, compared with continuous exercise where the severe strain in SH during EICE 1 would have stopped exercise earlier, the rest intervals (i.e., partial recovery) between efforts might have allowed participants to persist longer. Consequently, the greater than anticipated physiological strain
and neuromuscular fatigue, minimized differences in exercise performance between conditions during EICE 2.

In the SL condition, the number of efforts completed during EICE 2 (always in normoxia) was lower than during EICE 1. This suggests that the 30-min recovery period was insufficient to fully restore exercise capacity. Whilst HR, RPE, SpO₂ and muscle TSI had fully recovered to values similar to the beginning of EICE 1, cerebral TSI remained lower in SL at the start of EICE 2. It is, however, unlikely that the decrease in cerebral TSI (near task failure) *per se* limited exercise capacity during EICE 2 since participants were able to exercise in SH during EICE 1 at comparable (*i.e.*, lower) cerebral TSI values. Recently, we examined the effect of ten, 4-s repeated-sprint cycling at graded hypoxia (FiO₂ of 0.21, 0.16 and 0.13) on a subsequent set of five, 4-s sprints (Soo *et al.* 2020). We showed that maximal power output was restored during the first sprint of the second set, after 8 min of rest, regardless of hypoxic severity of the initial set of sprints. Following prior exercise in severe hypoxia, however, repeated sprint ability was impaired compared with sea level and moderate hypoxia. In this instance, the recovery duration would likely influence exercise performance during EICE 2. Additionally, the present findings also suggest that the relative effect of residual fatigue may only become more prominent when the subsequent exercise task is performed to the limit of exhaustion, using an “open-loop” design as in this study.

**4.5.3 Central and peripheral fatigue patterns following EICE 2**

Despite lower absolute mechanical work (*i.e.*, sum of the number of efforts completed during EICE 1 and EICE 2) performed in MH and SH, the magnitude of peripheral fatigue was similar in all conditions post-EICE 2. This finding supports previous studies (Amann *et al.* 2013; Amann *et al.* 2007b) suggesting that peripheral fatigue is regulated to a task specific individual critical threshold. That said, our finding also showed that the number of efforts completed during EICE 2 in SH (when compared with EICE 1) was twice greater, concomitant with an
additional decrease in $Q_{tw-pot}$ that averaged ~12%. Similar observations have been reported by Christian et al. (2014b) showing that evoked peak twitch was reduced to a greater extent in severe hypoxia ($\text{FiO}_2 0.10$) than normoxia and moderate hypoxia following the completion of intermittent, maximal intensity leg extensions. Accordingly, these findings question the extent to which peripheral fatigue influences exercise performance since factors including cardiovascular strain (as evident by near maximal HR values near exhaustion) may also be implicated during such whole-body exhaustive cycling. Nevertheless, whether peripheral fatigue is regulated to an individual critical threshold, and its influence on exercise performance remains contentious. Nonetheless, our finding of a substantial decrease in $Q_{tw-pot}$ at post-EICE 2 do suggest that neuromuscular alterations were largely of peripheral origins.

4.5.4 Additional considerations and limitations

A limitation of conducting muscle assessments during whole body exercise is the difficulty in measuring neuromuscular function integrity during and/or immediately (within seconds) after exercise completion. In this study, the intermittent cycling test was performed to the limit of exhaustion. As such, additional time was required to allow participants to move from the cycle ergometer to the neuromuscular test ergometer that was located outside the climatic chamber in normoxia. Nonetheless, the time taken (exactly 7 min after reaching exhaustion) to assess neuromuscular fatigue was consistent between the first and second exercise trial in all conditions. Whilst a partial recovery of neuromuscular parameters cannot be overlooked (Krüger et al. 2019), we were still able to detect significant central and peripheral alterations after completion of the two exercise tasks.

There has been increased interest in the use of high intensity intermittent training in combination with hypoxia to maximize physical performance and/or health benefits (Li et al. 2020). As such, a better understanding of the neuromuscular consequences associated with
different exercise designs (e.g., number of efforts, exercise-to-rest ratio) and methods of administrating the hypoxic stimulus (i.e., SpO$_2$ vs. FiO$_2$) will be useful to optimize training periodization (Buchheit and Laursen 2013b). In particular, while we defined moderate and severe hypoxia severity based on FiO$_2$, the large interindividual variability in SpO$_2$ to a given FiO$_2$ (Hamlin et al. 2010) may have influenced exercise performance (Chapman et al. 2011) and possibly neuromuscular responses.

4.6 Conclusion

This study examined the effect of graded hypoxia during prior exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise on subsequent performance and associated neuromuscular responses during exercise of an identical nature in normoxia. Neuromuscular fatigue pattern (with large peripheral fatigue development) was not different across conditions at post-EICE 1, despite performance being hypoxia severity-dependent (less work being completed) during EICE 1. Exercise performance during EICE 2 in SH was greater relative to EICE 1, but not different between conditions. Additionally, neuromuscular fatigue characteristics (except for a larger peripheral fatigue in the most severe hypoxia condition) following EICE 2 were essentially similar between conditions. We conclude that prior exhaustive intermittent cycling performed at increasing hypoxia severity did not influence performance, and associated neuromuscular responses, during completion of a subsequent exercise of similar nature in normoxia.
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5.1 Background

Human responses to hypoxia (*i.e.*, reduced O\textsubscript{2} supply) range from immediate adjustments (minutes to hours) to prolonged adaptations (several weeks) within various physiological regulatory systems (Guillemin and Krasnow 1997). Over the last 50 years, numerous altitude/hypoxic training modalities have been developed to capitalize on these hypoxic responses, with a view to improve athletic performance. Today, the use of hypoxia extends to therapeutic interventions (also known as ‘hypoxic conditioning’) (Millet et al. 2016b), an application dating back to the former Soviet union era (Serebrovskaya 2002).

Traditional forms of altitude training include live high-train high, live high-train low and live low-train high (LLTH) (Wilber 2007). With the widespread availability of hypoxic chambers and portable hypoxicators, the LLTH paradigm has gained significant popularity over the last decade. This model involves exposure to hypoxia at rest or combined with exercise, while residing near sea level (Girard et al. 2020; Wilber 2007). Altitude simulation (normobaric hypoxia) with the LLTH method is typically achieved by reducing the inspired oxygen fraction (FiO\textsubscript{2}), while atmospheric pressure remains unchanged. An example in professional sport, is repeated-sprint training sessions with multiple athletes, conducted at a fixed FiO\textsubscript{2} of 0.145 to simulate an altitude equivalent to 3000 m (Faiss et al. 2013).

Responses to hypoxia vary in magnitude between individuals (Friedmann et al. 2005; Chapman et al. 2011). For example, Friedmann et al. (2005) showed in 16 elite junior swimmers that the increase in erythropoietin concentration after 4 h in normobaric hypoxia (FiO\textsubscript{2} 0.15) averaged ~58%, but remarkably ranged from 10% to 185%. In this regard, alternative approaches to implementing hypoxia have been proposed (Bassovitch and Serebrovskaya 2009; Mira et al. 2020). For instance, the ‘arterial oxygen saturation (SpO\textsubscript{2}) clamp’ approach (Mira et al. 2020), whereby SpO\textsubscript{2} is clamped to a target/range by altering the FiO\textsubscript{2} presented to each individual has been proposed as a step towards reducing variability in the responses to hypoxia.
This paper first discusses the inter-individual variability in response to hypoxic stress when using ‘fixed FiO₂’ as a marker of ‘dose’, and then examines the ‘SpO₂ clamp’ as an alternate approach. We then consider the usefulness of a clinical index that integrates both the external (FiO₂) and internal (SpO₂) stimuli to characterize individual responses to hypoxia (Rice et al. 2007), and propose its application in exercise and sport science settings.

5.2 Defining the hypoxic ‘dose’

The fundamental variables that define the hypoxic ‘dose’ include the severity, duration, frequency, type (normobaric or hypobaric hypoxia) and pattern of hypoxic presentation (Navarrete-Opazo and Mitchell 2014a; Wilber et al. 2007). An optimal ‘dose’ should maximize chronic physiological benefits, whilst minimizing potential harmful consequences (e.g., headaches, dizziness). Currently, there are limited quantitative means to describe the optimal hypoxic ‘dose’ required for planned physiological responses. Further, there is an incomplete understanding of the link between the immediate and chronic responses to hypoxia.

The environmental stress (e.g. elevation) has often been used as a predictor of the total physiological stress imposed on an individual. For example, Garvican-Lewis et al. (2016) introduced the metric termed ‘kilometre hours’ to quantify the overall ‘external stress’ during altitude sojourns based on the terrestrial/simulated altitude level and duration of exposure. One critique is that external load metrics does not consider the physiological stress or internal load imposed on an individual. In response, the ‘saturation hours’ metric was suggested as a measure reflecting internal load (i.e., SpO₂) which considers the duration at which a particular SpO₂ is sustained during hypoxic exposure (Millet et al. 2016a).

5.3 Nature of the problem

A typical LLTH hypoxic training session entails a group of athletes exercising at a simulated altitude of 2500 – 3500 m (through manipulation of FiO₂). Whilst it is tenable to expect that
reduced ambient oxygen availability should decrease in vivo oxygenation, regulatory responses to hypoxia (e.g. increased ventilation) can influence events along the oxygen cascade to attenuate the decline in SpO₂ (Richardson et al. 2006). Reductions in SpO₂ at a fixed FiO₂ vary widely due to differences in hypoxic chemosensitivity, pulmonary ventilatory limitation, hypoxic ventilatory response, arterial-venous shunting, ventilatory perfusion mismatch and/or diffusion limitation (Chapman 2013; Weil 2003). Furthermore, determining an ideal hypoxic severity based on FiO₂ per se is challenging since the hypoxic range falls on the steep portion of the oxyhemoglobin curve (Chapman 2013). In other words, a small decline in partial pressure of oxygen (PO₂) would result in a disproportionate SpO₂ decrease. Remarkably, the variability in SpO₂ response becomes more pronounced with increasing hypoxia severity. For instance, the SpO₂ response of 15 healthy individuals decreased from 95 – 98% to 74 – 95% when FiO₂ was lowered from 0.21 to 0.12 (Albert and Swenson 2014). The heterogeneity in response to a given FiO₂ may also result in disparity in exercise performance. For example, at an altitude of 2100 m, elite athletes who demonstrated greater reductions in SpO₂ also experienced larger declines in performance compared with athletes with smaller SpO₂ fluctuations (Chapman et al. 2011). Collectively, the variability in SpO₂ response at a given FiO₂ suggests that some individuals may attain the planned hypoxia-induced response (i.e., those close to the average), whereas others may receive a stimulus either ‘too small or ‘too large’. From a training perspective, a stimulus that is ‘too large’ may inadvertently diminish beneficial gains (i.e., catabolic effect of hypoxia) from exercise training (Etheridge et al. 2011). Further, this variability in hypoxic response is reported within relatively homogenous groups (i.e., healthy and trained). It stands to reason that greater variability in hypoxic responses would be expected in clinical cohorts. This includes type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic pulmonary obstructive disease, where varying degrees of mitochondrial dysfunction (Sangwung et al. 2020; Lowell and Shulman 2005) and hypoxic ventilatory response (Weil 2003) are evident,
respectively. Considering the adoption of hypoxia training in clinical cohorts (Verges et al. 2015) along with the established variability in SpO₂ responses to hypoxia in non-clinical cohorts, the use of FiO₂ as a marker of ‘dose’ requires reconsideration.

5.4 Hypoxia exposure – towards an individualized approach

Support for the use of SpO₂ in setting the hypoxic ‘dose’ comes from research demonstrating that many hypoxia-induced outcomes (e.g. angiogenesis, neuromuscular adaptations) are ultimately governed by downstream events of the oxygen cascade (Ameln et al. 2005; Manimmanakorn et al. 2013). Consequently, these physiological outcomes occur in response to decreased arterial oxygen saturation, measured using SpO₂, rather than FiO₂ per se (Manimmanakorn et al. 2013). Indeed, elevated skeletal muscle adaptations (e.g. transcript expression of mitochondria biogenesis) to hypoxic training are proportional to the magnitude of SpO₂ decrease (Schmutz et al. 2010). Methods of clamping SpO₂ include prior oxygen titration to predetermine the optimal FiO₂ (McKeown et al. 2019) and manual (Mira et al. 2020) or automatic adjustments (Ng et al. 2016; Bayer et al. 2017) (requiring a biofeedback mode) during the actual session. A possible concern of the ‘SpO₂ clamp’ approach – particularly when oxygen delivery is manually adjusted – is the accuracy of SpO₂ responses. This is because SpO₂ does not decrease proportionally with FiO₂, due to the sigmoidal relationship between PO₂ and SpO₂. That said, studies which have attempted to clamp SpO₂ to a specific target, or within a 3 – 10% range, report standard deviation values of less than 5% during both passive (Törpel et al. 2019) and active (Törpel et al. 2020; Mira et al. 2020) hypoxic exposure.

5.5 SpO₂ to FiO₂ index

Oxygen therapy is routinely prescribed for patients with lung conditions (e.g. in severe COVID-19 cases) experiencing hypoxemia (Alhazzani et al. 2020). To mitigate risks associated with hypoxemia and hyperoxia-related lung injury, oxygen delivery is individually titrated within a tight range. The calculation of the pulmonary shunt fraction is the preferred
clinical assessment of the oxygenating capacity of the lungs, although the arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) and SpO₂ have been proposed as surrogate measurements of oxygenation (Zetterstrom 1988). In order to assess the severity of hypoxemia in ventilated patients (where supplemental oxygen is used to maintain SpO₂ within a normal/safe range) the PaO₂ to FiO₂ ratio, and later the SpO₂ to FiO₂ ratio (SF), were proposed (Horovitz et al. 1974; Rice et al. 2007). To illustrate, a healthy individual at sea level with a SpO₂ of 98% would have a SF value of 467 (i.e., 98/0.21). Lower SF values are indicative of reduced oxygenating capacity, and is used, for instance, to diagnose patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (SF values ≤ 235) and acute lung injury (SF values ≤ 315) (Rice et al. 2007). Unlike previous approaches, the SF ratio considers both the internal and external stimuli which allows for comparison between individuals/groups. Furthermore, the SF index is readily accessible and easy to interpret, which therefore represents an appealing tool for the early assessment of patients with potential respiratory disorders.

5.6 Future directions

Moving beyond the conventional ‘fixed FiO₂’ approach, an individualized approach to administering hypoxia may consist of a combination of strategies such as 1) a prior hypoxia test to elucidate variability in responsiveness to hypoxia, 2) altering severity of hypoxia individually to regulate SpO₂ within a tightly defined range and 3) reporting the inter-individual variability based on the SF index.

A hypoxia test can be used to estimate the trajectory of SpO₂ to hypoxia, and in turn, inform decisions on the hypoxic ‘dose’. Figure 1 depicts the hypothetical SpO₂ responses of participants A, B and C during a decremental titration using FiO₂ of 0.17, 0.15, and 0.13. As illustrated, the corresponding responses form an abbreviated individual-specific oxyhemoglobin curve. In this example, with a lower SpO₂ response to a given FiO₂, participant
C displays the highest response to hypoxia compared to participants A and B; this is represented by rightward and downward shifts of the abbreviated oxyhemoglobin curve. Participant C would likely require a higher FiO$_2$ \textit{(i.e., milder hypoxia)} to record similar SpO$_2$ values as participants A and B. For instance, if the target SpO$_2$ is 85\%, the approximate FiO$_2$ for participants A, B and C would be 0.11 (SF: $85/0.11 = 773$), 0.15 ($85/0.15 = 567$) and 0.16 ($85/0.16 = 531$), respectively. The corresponding SF values may then provide clarity on the inter-individual variability in response to hypoxia, wherein a low SF value indicates a high sensitivity to hypoxia.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{hypoxia_response.png}
\caption{Individual arterial oxygen saturation (SpO$_2$) response of participants A, B and C at fractional inspired oxygen (FiO$_2$) of ~0.11.}
\end{figure}

Hypothetical SpO$_2$ response to a hypoxia test at FiO$_2$ of 0.13, 0.15 and 0.17. Corresponding SpO$_2$ to FiO$_2$ ratio (SF) are presented at each data point.
Where a ‘fixed FiO₂’ approach is used to administer hypoxia, the SF index may also provide similar information about inter-individual variability. At a FiO₂ of ~0.11, for instance, the SpO₂ response of participants A, B and C are 85%, 78% and 71%, equating to SF values of 773, 709 and 645, respectively (Figure 1). By establishing threshold values for SF, distinct groups can be identified and clustered for training purposes, to increase likelihood of achieving similar physiological responses.

5.7 Challenges for implementation

The appeal of the ‘fixed FiO₂’ approach, is the ease of implementation, for example, in an environmental chamber where a group of athletes can train together. Comparatively, whilst an individualized approach may produce a more consistent hypoxic response, such an approach would likely require personalized equipment and/or prior preparations (e.g. titration of ‘dose’). That said, an individualized approach to administering hypoxia would be applicable across the spectrum from clinical cohorts to elite-level athletes. However, it should be highlighted that the SF index is a measurement that does not consider the type of hypoxia exposure (i.e., hypobaric vs. normobaric). Since greater desaturation is associated with hypobaric than normobaric hypoxia for a matched inspired PO₂ (Saugy et al. 2014), SF values may not be strictly equivalent between terrestrial and simulated hypoxia, and therefore should not be used interchangeably.

5.8 Conclusion

Traditionally, hypoxic training has adopted a universal approach, wherein all individuals receive the same absolute hypoxia stress (i.e., FiO₂). Whilst highly practical, substantial inter-individual variability in response to a given FiO₂ is indisputable. The implication being, that some individuals attain the appropriate hypoxia-related adaptations, whereas others may receive potentially harmful or ineffective stimuli. Similar to the individual tailoring of training variables, we suggest that the administration of hypoxia requires an individualized approach.
We therefore propose that the SF index (i.e., SpO$_2$ to FiO$_2$ ratio) – which is already widely adopted in clinical settings – can also be used by exercise physiologists and sport scientists to gauge an individual’s response to hypoxia. This may ultimately offer a more pragmatic approach towards defining physiologically distinct groups of individuals and enable a tailored level of FiO$_2$. 
CHAPTER 6

GENERAL DISCUSSION
6.1 Main findings

The overarching aim of this thesis was to examine the effect of graded hypoxia during an initial HIIE bout on subsequent exercise performance and associated neuromuscular and perceptual responses. Specifically, the aim of the first study (Chapter 3) was to manipulate hypoxic severity during an initial set of repeated sprints (pre-determined number of efforts or “closed-loop”) and examine the effects on sprint performance, magnitude and aetiology of neuromuscular fatigue, as well as exercise-related sensations during a subsequent set of repeated sprints performed in normoxia. Since individuals may be able to transiently “overcome” impaired neuromuscular function and increase power output during short bouts of exercise, Study 2 (Chapter 4) adopted an “open-loop” exercise task. Hypoxic severity was manipulated during an initial intermittent cycling exercise task to exhaustion, and subsequent cycling performance and neuromuscular responses during an exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise in normoxia were assessed. Finally, a prominent finding from Chapter 3 and 4, as well as observations during pilot work (of the initial Master research) was the large inter-individual variation in response to hypoxia, and consequently exercise performance/tolerance. Accordingly, Chapter 5 highlights the large inter-individual variation in response to hypoxia when using the conventional FiO$_2$ as a “dose” metric and proposes the use of a clinical index that integrates both the external (FiO$_2$) and internal (SpO$_2$) stimuli to characterise individual responses to hypoxia as an alternate approach.

High-intensity exercise in hypoxia exacerbates physiological (Goods et al. 2014) and perceptual responses (Amann et al. 2007a). Further, the influence of hypoxia on the CNS highlights a possibility that the recovery of central factors may be as important as the recovery of peripheral factors for subsequent exercise performance. In Chapter 3, our novel findings showed that sprint performance was restored during the subsequent set of repeated sprints despite substantial impairments in muscle contractility (~45% decrease in Q$_\text{tw-pot}$ from baseline).
Interestingly, the restoration of sprint performance coincided with the recovery of exercise-related sensations and quadriceps muscle activation. This finding seems to support the aforementioned assumptions that the CNS plays an important role in the recovery of sprint performance. That is, the recovery in muscle activation (possibly due to improved exercise-related sensations) compensated for the impairments in muscle contractility and facilitated recovery in sprint performance. However, it should be noted that an association between muscle activation patterns and perceptual recovery was not examined. Furthermore, it is possible that knowledge of the relatively brief (five, 4-s sprints) exercise bout in Set 2 may have consciously or subconsciously influenced the participants’ pacing strategy to “overcome” the impaired neuromuscular function and increase power output (Amann 2011; Billaut et al. 2011).

Accordingly, to resolve the issue of pacing, Chapter 4 sought to examine how graded hypoxia during an initial HIIE bout may influence subsequent performance and neuromuscular responses during an exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise. It was observed that the number of efforts performed during the second bout was substantially lower than the first bout in SL despite 30 min of passive recovery. This suggests that the residual effect of fatigue may only become apparent when exercise is performed until exhaustion during an “open-loop” exercise task. It was also observed that varying hypoxic severity during exhaustive intermittent cycling had minimal influence on subsequent performance (i.e., number of efforts completed) and associated neuromuscular fatigue characteristics. This finding did not align with the initial hypothesis. That is, prior exhaustive intermittent cycling in severe hypoxia would result in earlier and greater down-regulation of muscle recruitment, and consequently hampered performance. The reduced mechanical work performed (and possibly smaller extent of muscle contractile impairment) would in turn increase subsequent performance and magnitude of muscle fatigue in normoxia. However, our results did demonstrate a trend for increased efforts
completed (during the second bout) in SH as compared to SL and MH. The large inter-individual differences in response to hypoxia may explain why statistical significance was not reached. Specifically, when exposed to a “fixed FiO₂” (~0.12), underlying differences in regulatory responses (e.g. hypoxic ventilatory response) (Chapman 2013) may result in varying SpO₂ (and tissue desaturation) and associated neuromuscular responses across participants. Additionally, participants with greater reductions in SpO₂ are likely to experience larger declines in performance compared with participants with smaller SpO₂ fluctuations (Chapman et al. 2011). Indeed, the previously proposed hypoxic threshold of FiO₂ <0.10 or average SpO₂ response of <75% (Amann et al. 2007b) for a shift toward a predominant CNS hypoxia on exercise performance has not been supported by recent findings (Goodall et al. 2012; Mira et al. 2020). Accordingly, the conventional method of implementing hypoxia (i.e., based on a “fixed FiO₂”) requires further considerations.

In Chapter 5, the usefulness of SpO₂ (in contrast to FiO₂) as a marker to individualise the “hypoxic dose” was discussed. The use of SpO₂ is based on the premise that physiological outcomes are induced in response to decreased oxygen saturation, rather than FiO₂ per se. In addition, Chapter 5 proposed using the SpO₂ to FiO₂ (SF) index to quantify the “hypoxic dose”. As mentioned above, determining a hypoxic threshold based on FiO₂ generates large variation in SpO₂ and associated neuromuscular responses. The SF index, which takes into account both the internal and external stimuli, may be a useful tool for determining if a hypoxic threshold truly exists.

Collectively, our findings suggest that neuromuscular fatigue during HIIE in hypoxia and normoxia was largely peripheral in nature, as evident by the 40-50% reductions in Qtw-pot. The effects of prior HIIE at graded hypoxia on subsequent performance and neuromuscular fatigue characteristics were limited. It was apparent that the residual effect of fatigue was task
dependent. That is, when the subsequent exercise is brief, central factors (possibly associated with perceptual responses) may aid in sustaining exercise performance. However, during prolonged exercise, an increasing contribution from central motor drive (which ultimately plateau or decline) is required to compensate for the progressive peripheral muscle contractile impairment. Accordingly, residual fatigue elicited from the previous exercise bout was evidenced by an earlier termination of exercise.

6.2 Practical implications

High intensity intermittent training is one of the most effective intervention for enhancing cardiorespiratory and metabolic functions, and ultimately exercise performance (Buchheit and Laursen 2013a). The manipulation of exercise characteristics (e.g. open- vs. closed-loop task, sprints interval vs. high intensity interval) and hypoxia severity not only influences acute physiological and perceptual responses, and by extension chronic adaptations, but also implicates careful daily and weekly training periodisation. In this regard, the findings from Study 1 and 2 show that increasing hypoxic severity reduces exercise performance. Specifically, severe hypoxia (FiO₂ ~0.12) substantially reduces performance (i.e., lower external load) during repeated sprints as well as intermittent exhaustive cycling, while incurring relatively similar cardiometabolic stimulation (i.e., similar internal load) and neuromuscular fatigue characteristics compared to sea-level. The reduced power output at severe hypoxia (observed in Study 1) suggest that the physiological adaptations are not as strong (as compared to exercise at sea-level) due to hypoxia-induced reduction in external load (Faiss et al. 2013; Levine 2002). Additionally, the large inter-individual variation in response to hypoxia observed from Study 1 and 2 suggest that certain athletes are able to better maintain performance in hypoxia (similar to sea-level), while others perform poorly. In this instance, it is possible that the training quality can be optimised (for individuals responding less efficiently) if hypoxia is implemented based on an individualised approach. This may include a hypoxic test (prior to training) where
athletes are exposed to hypoxia at decreasing FiO$_2$ (e.g. 0.17, 0.15 and 0.13) to assess their response to hypoxia. The athlete’s response to hypoxia can be assessed using the SF ratio, and hypoxic “dose” can then be fine-tuned during training sessions based on a “SpO$_2$ clamp” approach (i.e., by manipulating FiO$_2$) to ensure a more consistent physiological response.

Elite athletes training twice a day typically perform HIIE towards the end of the training session (i.e., in a fatigued state) (Buchheit and Laursen 2013b). As such, understanding the neuromuscular load incurred when training in hypoxia becomes important, since any potential carry-over effect (fatigue) may negatively affect subsequent training quality. Although our original findings show that prior HIIE in hypoxia does not exaggerate neuromuscular fatigue or performance reduction, it should be noted that substantial peripheral fatigue (elicited from prior HIIE) impedes subsequent exercise performance. As such, it is likely that HIIE in hypoxia should be undertaken in an “unfatigued” state for achieving optimal training adaptations.

6.3 Future directions

Most studies examining the effects of hypoxia on exercise performance and neuromuscular function have implemented hypoxia using a “fixed FiO$_2$” approach (Goodall et al. 2012; Amann et al. 2006a). However, a “fixed FiO$_2$” generates individual variations in SpO$_2$, exercise performance and associated neuromuscular response. As such, future studies investigating the effects of graded hypoxia on HIIE should consider defining hypoxia severities based on an individual’s SpO$_2$ response.

Our findings demonstrated that sprint performance recovered after 8 min of passive rest (Chapter 2), whereas endurance performance during the exhaustive intermittent cycling exercise remained depressed despite 30 min of rest (Chapter 3). This suggests that the rate of recovery of sprint performance may be faster than endurance performance. That said, we did not monitor the time-course changes in neuromuscular function and exercise performance.
Furthermore, while neuromuscular fatigue characteristics were not impacted by the severity of hypoxia immediately after exercise, it remains unclear if performing HIIE in hypoxia hampers recovery kinetics of neuromuscular function. Accordingly, understanding the time-course recovery in peripheral and central factors of fatigue would be valuable for optimising training periodization.

Numerous studies have investigated the influence of locomotor muscle fatigue on performance during high intensity exercise in hypoxia based on the hypothesis that peripheral factors of fatigue are the predominant determinants of exercise performance (Amann et al. 2006b; Billaut et al. 2013). However, active muscle mass such as the respiratory muscles may also contribute to fatigue and performance decrements (Amann et al. 2007a). Indeed, elevated work of breathing during high intensity exercise in hypoxia contributes to fatigue development and performance decrements (Amann et al. 2007a). Additionally, pre-induced respiratory muscle fatigue may impair performance (Mador and Acevedo 1991) and breathing patterns (i.e., excessive ventilation) (Sliwinski et al. 1996) during subsequent high intensity exercise. That said, fatigue development of the respiratory muscles is often assessed based on perceptual responses and may not be representative of respiratory muscle function and fatigue status. Accordingly, assessments of ventilatory responses and inspiratory muscles via phrenic nerve stimulation (Millet et al. 2011) may shed light on the influence of respiratory muscle fatigue on HIIE performance in hypoxia and normoxia.

These studies demonstrated how graded hypoxia during an initial exercise bout may acutely influence subsequent exercise performance and associated neuromuscular responses in normoxia. Acclimatisation or exercise training in hypoxia may enhance regulatory systems (e.g. hypoxic ventilatory response, muscle blood flow and oxygenation) (Twomey et al. 2017; Goodall et al. 2014) that may attenuate the magnitude of neuromuscular fatigue during exercise.
in normoxia. Accordingly, future studies should examine if high intensity training in hypoxia induces neuromuscular adaptations (e.g. attenuated central fatigue associated with increased corticospinal excitability), and the extent to which these adaptations depend on hypoxic severity. In this context, the SF index (i.e., $SpO_2$ to $FiO_2$ ratio) could be used to monitor or prescribe hypoxia based on an individualised response to hypoxia.
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8.2 Literature Review of Proposed Master Research

1. Introduction

Short duration, maximal sprints (<10 s) interspersed with brief recovery (<60 s) are an inherent characteristic of most team sports (Girard et al. 2011b). Consequently, a substantial decrease in performance is inevitable during repeated-sprint exercise (RSE) due to the incomplete recovery periods between sprints. Fatigue during RSE is associated to an exercise-induced decrease in maximal force production capacity, manifested externally by longer sprint times for a given distance (running) (Girard et al. 2015; Perrey et al. 2010) or lower power outputs for a given effort duration (cycling) (Girard et al. 2013; Hureau et al. 2016), that is reversible with sufficient rest (Gandevia 2001).

Previous research suggests that underpinning mechanisms contributing to neuromuscular fatigue are complex (Amann 2011). This include biochemical changes within the exercising muscle (peripheral fatigue) and incomplete neural drive to the active musculature (central fatigue) (Amann 2011). This makes the expectation tenable that interventions that can upregulate neuromuscular and metabolic regulatory systems may improve fatigue tolerance during RSE (Weavil and Amann 2018). Here, recent studies (Aebi et al. 2019; Wiggins et al. 2019) have explored whether exposure to a pre-conditioning stimulus prior to RSE may confer subsequent protection (to a similar stress) or acutely enhance repeated-sprint ability (RSA).

One pre-conditioning stimulus is intermittent hypoxia (IH). IH is characterized by repeated intervals of transient reduction in oxygen availability (systemic hypoxia) interspersed with normoxic recovery. Promising functional benefits including increased cortical transmission (Christiansen et al. 2018) and ankle flexion strength (Trumbower et al. 2012) have been reported with moderate-to-severe acute IH exposure (5-15 hypoxia/normoxia cycles of 1-5
Whether such favorable physiological alterations can be harnessed to enhance RSA remains unclear.

The engagement in warm-up activities prior to exercise as a ‘physiological primer’ is almost universal to every athlete. Reportedly, a prior high intensity (i.e., above lactate threshold) exercise bout increases muscle oxygen utilization during a subsequent high intensity exercise bout (DeLorey et al. 2007). Arguably, it is possible that IH exposure and whole body exercise (cycling) when combined may act as an effective pre-conditioning modality by inducing more favorable adjustments in muscle hemodynamic (e.g. increased muscle flow and oxygen availability) compared to exercise (in normoxia) or IH (passive condition) interventions alone. However, the application of these strategies has not been previously explored in RSE.

The aim of this literature review is to provide evidence for the potential benefits of IH exposure and/or prior exercise as pre-conditioning strategies to improve subsequent RSA.

2. Determinants of repeated-sprint exercise performance

2.1 Central factors

During exercise involving maximal efforts, failure to fully activate the exercising musculature [as assessed indirectly by electromyogram (EMG)] would likely reduce force production and consequently decrease RSE performance (Girard et al. 2011b). When fatigue is mild (<10% sprint decrement score), studies suggest that neural activation during RSE can be sustained (Girard et al. 2011b). However, with substantial fatigue (>10%), a concomitant decrease in power and amplitude of EMG activity has been consistently observed (Perrey et al. 2010; Girard et al. 2013). Taken together, when substantial fatigue is incurred during RSE, a failure to fully activate exercising musculature may contribute to the decrease in RSE performance. A proposed explanation is that an accumulation of exercise-induced intramuscular metabolites
[e.g. hydrogen ions (H\(^+\)), inorganic phosphate (P\(_i\))] would increase group III/IV-mediated afferent feedback to the central nervous system (CNS) (Amann 2011). Consequently, descending neural drive to the active musculature is regulated to limit the development of peripheral fatigue beyond an ‘individual critical threshold’ (i.e. long-lasting harmful consequences) (Amann 2011). While it may seem evident that the decreased power output during RSE is a consequence of reduced EMG activity, whether the decreased power is also a cause of reduced EMG activity remains unclear.

2.2 Peripheral factors

The peripheral factors limiting sprint performance originate from the metabolic pathways supporting RSE, implicating substrate availability and metabolites accumulation (Girard et al. 2011b). Phosphocreatine (PCr) degradation predominates in the contribution of energy supply (i.e. adenosine triphosphate [ATP]) in short maximal bouts of exercise (Gaitanos et al. 1993). Furthermore, due to the brief recovery intervals (usually not exceeding 60 s) during RSE, PCr stores typically do not restore fully before the onset of the following sprint (Gaitanos et al. 1993). Observations of a similar recovery time course of PCr and power output suggest that RSE performance is primarily limited by PCr availability (Mendez-Villanueva et al. 2012). Taken together, it is possible that sprint performance can be better maintained with a faster rate of PCr resynthesis during recovery intervals between sprints.

When sprinting repetitively, an increasing aerobic contribution as much as 40% of the total ATP supply is recorded during the final sprint of a 5 x 6-s repeated sprint bout (McGawley and Bishop 2015). As such, previous studies have highlighted the importance of oxidative phosphorylation in modulating PCr resynthesis (Haseler et al. 1999), implicating muscle oxygen availability. In this regard, muscle oxygen availability during RSE would likely dictate
the relative contribution of oxidative metabolism to energy supply, and consequently, RSE performance.

Muscle hemodynamic status during exercise (i.e. muscle blood flow and oxygenation) can be non-invasively estimated using near-infrared spectroscopy technique (NIRS). Briefly, the combined concentration changes in oxy- and deoxy-haemoglobin can be used to infer changes in tissue oxygenation and oxygen extraction capacity, respectively. Additionally, the summation of oxy- and deoxy-haemoglobin values (i.e., total haemoglobin concentration) provides an estimate of changes in muscle blood flow. A concurrent decrease in sprint performance and muscle oxygenation have been observed during RSE (Racinais et al. 2007; Smith and Billaut 2010). In particular, Smith and Billaut (2010) reported an earlier and larger decrement in muscle oxygenation and sprint performance.

Subsequent studies (Dupont et al. 2010; Dupont et al. 2005) have also evaluated the influence of oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2}) kinetics (i.e. the rate at which VO\textsubscript{2} adjusts to the new energy demands with the onset of exercise) on muscle fatigue development and RSE performance. Arguably, the rate of VO\textsubscript{2} would influence the magnitude of the ‘oxygen deficit’, and thereby perturbations to the muscle homeostasis (Jones et al. 2003). In partial support, Dupont et al. (Dupont et al. 2005) reported a significant correlation between VO\textsubscript{2} kinetics (i.e., time constant of the primary phase; \( \tau_1 \)) and the relative decrease in speed during fifteen 40-m sprints with 25 s of recovery between sprints (\( r = 0.8, p<0.01 \)).

3. Pre-conditioning

Pre-conditioning is a procedure whereby repeated bouts of potentially deleterious (but non-lethal) stimulus are applied to a target tissue (Dirnagl et al. 2009; Franz et al. 2017). Consequently, the conditioned target tissue develops tolerance or resistance to a similar
noxious stimulus that is beyond the threshold of damage (Dirnagl et al. 2009; Franz et al. 2017). An example is the use of ischemic pre-conditioning (IPC), a technique whereby brief periods of ischemia are administered to a limb to increase the muscle tissue’s tolerance to subsequent ischemic stress. It is purported that IPC improves muscle blood flow (Bailey et al. 2012) and tissue oxygen extraction (Wiggins et al. 2019), while also reducing blood lactate accumulation (Bailey et al. 2012). Despite increased oxygen extraction following IPC, a recent study investigating the effect of IPC on RSE, however, showed no difference in sprint performance (Aebi et al. 2019).

Another possible pre-conditioning stimulus is the use of IH. Whilst both IH and IPC induce physiological stress by reducing oxygen concentration in tissues, a distinct feature of IH is its systemic effect, implicating physiological responses from multiple regulatory systems (e.g. cardiovascular and nervous systems). The following paragraphs discuss the effects of IH and prior exercise as pre-conditioning strategies for subsequent exercise performance.

3.1 Intermittent hypoxia – dose effect

IH protocols are characterized by repeated episodes of exposure to low oxygen (i.e., hypoxia) interspersed with intervals of normal air breathing (Navarrete-Opazo and Mitchell 2014b). Currently, there is no consensus regarding best practice when implementing IH protocols. In the literature, protocols vary widely in terms of severity of hypoxia (frequently reported as the fraction of inspired oxygen, i.e. FiO₂), duration of hypoxia within episodes, number of hypoxia/reoxygenation cycles and regulation of accompanying physiological responses (e.g. PCO₂, acidosis/alkalosis) (Navarrete-Opazo and Mitchell 2014b). Specifically, the severity of hypoxia typically vary between 2% to 16% O₂, with the duration and number of hypoxia/reoxygenation cycles of hypoxia ranging from 30 s to 12 h and 3 to 2400 cycles,
respectively (Navarrete-Opazo and Mitchell 2014b). Importantly, the manipulation of these factors determines the treatment ‘dose’ and therefore physiological consequences of IH.

Most studies have typically refrained from protocols of severe IH (<8% O₂) with high number of cycles, known to induce discomf

For instance, high dose of IH (e.g. 2-8% O₂ and 40-2400 cycles/day) causes profound dysregulations in multiple systems including systemic hypertension and increased oxidative stress and systemic inflammation (Lavie 2005; Navarrete-Opazo and Mitchell 2014b). In contrast, mounting evidence indicates that a moderate dose of IH (9-12% O₂ and <15 cycles/day) may result in beneficial health outcomes (Navarrete-Opazo and Mitchell 2014b). This includes reduced arterial hypertension (Serebrovskaya et al. 2008), increased respiratory control (i.e., hypercapnic ventilatory sensitivity) in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Haider et al. 2009) and facilitated recovery of respiratory and non-respiratory motor functions (Trumbower et al. 2012; Lovett-Barr et al. 2012). Importantly, studies using low-moderate dose of IH (e.g. eliciting arterial oxygen saturation of ~80% for 1h/day) did not report any adverse effects such as systemic inflammation (Querido et al. 2012). Taken together, current evidence indicates that low dose IH interventions are safe and likely effective therapeutic interventions for enhancing physiological functions and improving symptoms of numerous clinical disorders.

3.1.1 Effects of IH on neuromuscular responses – neural plasticity

Neuroplasticity is defined as a long lasting functional change in the neural system as a result of prior experience such as injury, neural activity and physiological stress (hypoxia) (Mitchell and Johnson 2003). In this instance, one neuroplasticity model that is often studied is phrenic long term facilitation, defined as a sustained increase in phrenic nerve activity after exposure to IH (Hayashi et al. 1993). Hayashi et al. (1993) first demonstrated that IH exposure increased
ventilatory activity and its neural correlates (i.e., phrenic neural discharge), which was sustained for at least 30 min after the IH stimulus was removed. Subsequent studies have shown that the IH-induced long term facilitation requires activation of the spinal serotonin receptors (Gonzalez-Rothi et al. 2015). It was further hypothesized that the induced plasticity can also be manifested in other non-respiratory motor systems given that hypoxia activates raphe serotoninergic neurons, which are projected throughout the spinal cord. This includes non-respiratory regions where most motoneurons express the same serotonin receptors (Gonzalez-Rothi et al. 2015). The lasting neural changes induced by IH raises the possibility that such a strategy can be used to attenuate the impact of neuromuscular fatigue development during RSE performance.

It was first demonstrated by Trumbower et al. (2012) that patients with spinal cord injuries (SCI) who received a single IH presentation (15 cycles of 1 min 9% O₂ with 1 min of ambient air breathing) reported increased ankle plantar flexor strength and gastrocnemius EMG activity of ~80% and ~40% (as compared to baseline), respectively. Increase in muscle strength was maintained for at least 30 min after IH exposure leading these authors to suggest that the observed functional improvement implied underlying IH-induced neural plasticity. Current evidence suggests that the recovery of motor function is a result of enhanced synaptic strength, motor excitability and consequently improved muscle activation (Trumbower et al. 2012). This claim is supported by Christiansen et al. (2018) who demonstrated that an acute IH session (15 cycles of 1 min 9% O₂ with 1 min of ambient air breathing) resulted in an increase in neural transmission in the corticospinal pathway (i.e. increased amplitude of cortically evoked motor evoked potentials), which was sustained for 75 min. Collectively, these results would indicate that IH is a promising therapeutic intervention for improving muscle functions as well as driving neural plasticity.
In a subsequent study by Hayes et al. (2014), it was demonstrated that daily IH exposure (5 consecutive days of 15 cycles of 1 min 9% O\textsubscript{2} with 1 min of ambient air breathing) in SCI patients improved 10-m and 6-min walk abilities. Importantly, greater improvements in walking speed and endurance were observed when IH was followed by a 30-min walking bout. This reinforces that functional improvements (i.e., walking) are task-dependent (i.e., dependent on the specificities of the training regimen). In other words, the observed plasticity (i.e. long lasting neural and functional changes) to the walking task was a result of repetitive training, which actively engaged the neural circuits involved in walking (Wolpaw and Tennissen 2001; Kleim and Jones 2008).

Taken together, these studies suggest that prior IH exposure improves efficiency in neural transmission along the corticospinal pathways that consequently enhances muscle activation patterns. Yet, to maximize performance of more complex motor skills, task specific training seems to be crucial in eliciting plasticity to the specific neural circuits. In the context of RSE, it is possible (but unknown) that prior IH exposure may induce favorable neural responses helping to mitigate the decrease in neural efficiency (as aforementioned) during sprints repetition.

3.2 Prior exercise

The performance of warm-up activities prior to exercise performance is a common and necessary routine for athletes in most sports. In this instance, warm-up activities act as a ‘physiological primer’ to ensure optimal performance. However, it is unclear how a prior exercise may alter the associated physiological mechanism(s) that influence muscle VO\textsubscript{2} response during subsequent bout of exercise.

During the early phases of exercise, PCr degradation accounts for most of the energy demands (Jones and Burnley 2009). Consequently, an ‘oxygen deficit’ is produced (Jones and Burnley
The term ‘oxygen deficit’ is defined as the difference between oxygen uptake during the initial phase and an equal time period after steady state has been achieved (Medbo et al. 1988). Accordingly, the magnitude of ‘oxygen deficit’ is used to estimate the extent to which ATP is produced anaerobically (Medbo et al. 1988). A faster VO$_2$ response reduces the magnitude of ‘oxygen deficit’ and hence ATP supplied anaerobically. As a result, there is a reduced accumulation of fatigue-associated metabolites including P$_i$ and H$^+$ stemming from PCr degradation and glycolysis, respectively. The extent of metabolites accumulation would then influence exercise tolerance (Jones and Burnley 2009). For instance, increases in H$^+$ and P$_i$ have been associated to impaired contractile properties of the exercising muscle (Allen et al. 2008). During RSE, this may explain why larger performance decrement typically occurs with an increasing number of sprint repetitions (Girard et al. 2011b). The following paragraphs attempt to discuss the effects of prior exercise on the gas exchange and metabolic responses during subsequent exercise and the potential mechanisms underpinning these effects.

### 3.2.1 Oxygen uptake response to exercise – VO$_2$ kinetics

During the onset of exercise, the increase in ATP turnover is supported by acceleration in PCr degradation and glycolytic rate (Jones and Burnley 2009). Consequently, changes in the muscle phosphorylation potential [increased adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and P$_i$] stimulate an increase in oxidative phosphorylation rate, and hence VO$_2$ response (Jones and Burnley 2009). In this instance, the extent of contribution from oxidative phosphorylation would thus determine the magnitude of ‘oxygen deficit’ and perturbations to muscle homeostasis (Jones and Burnley 2009).

During moderate exercise (i.e., work rate that does not induce significant increase in blood lactate), the VO$_2$ response can be characterized by a mono-exponential curve with three distinct phases. Phase I represents the early increase in VO$_2$ that is mainly attributed to an increase in
cardiac output and pulmonary blood flow (Whipp et al. 1982; Xu and Rhodes 1999). Phase II (primary phase) is described as the exponential increase in VO₂ to steady state level (i.e., Phase III) and is typically used to infer the rate of VO₂ in the muscles (Xu and Rhodes 1999; Whipp et al. 1982). During exercise at higher intensities (e.g. 70-80% VO₂max), the VO₂ response is supplemented by a delayed onset ‘slow component’, elevating VO₂ above values expected for the given work rate and delaying the attainment of steady-state values (Jones and Burnley 2009; Xu and Rhodes 1999). The slow component appears to coincide with the onset of accumulation of blood lactate and indicates that an increasing energy turnover is required to maintain the same work rate (Jones et al. 2003; Xu and Rhodes 1999). As such, the ‘slow component’ has been linked to the development of muscle fatigue (Jones and Burnley 2009). In this instance, increasing the rate of VO₂ response and/or attenuating the ‘slow component’ would likely lead to improved exercise tolerance and RSE performance.

3.2.2 Effects of prior exercise on VO₂ kinetics

Results from several studies suggest that prior exercise, when performed at sufficiently high intensity (e.g. above lactate threshold), significantly accelerates VO₂ kinetics during performance of a subsequent high intensity exercise (Gerbino et al. 1996; Rossiter et al. 2001). Gerbino et al. (1996) demonstrated that participants who performed prior heavy intensity exercise exhibited accelerated VO₂ kinetics during subsequent heavy exercise. Importantly, a faster VO₂ kinetics implied a greater ATP contribution from oxidation metabolism (i.e. reduced reliance on non-oxidative metabolism), a smaller ‘oxygen deficit’, and consequently a reduced increase in blood lactate concentration (i.e., muscle disturbances) during the second exercise bout. In support, a study from Rossiter et al. (2001) showed that a faster phase II VO₂ kinetics facilitated a ~10% ‘sparing’ in intramuscular PCr concentration, as assessed by magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Taken together, current evidence suggests that prior exercise accelerates VO₂ kinetics during a subsequent bout of exercise. Potentially, an accelerated VO₂
kinetics during RSE may reduce reliance on anaerobic contributions and thereby, attenuate an increase of fatigue-associated metabolites.

3.3 Potential mediators that accelerates VO₂ kinetics

3.3.1 Muscle lactic acidosis

On the assumption that improved muscle perfusion accelerates VO₂ kinetics, it was initially proposed that prior exercise should be of a sufficiently high intensity to facilitate acidosis-induced vasodilation (Gerbino et al. 1996; Macdonald et al. 1997). Furthermore, a rightward shift in the haemoglobin dissociation curve as a result of metabolic acidosis (i.e., Bohr effect) might also increase oxygen availability of the active musculature (Gerbino et al. 1996). However, isolated studies (Koppo and Bouckaert 2000; Koppo and Bouckaert 2002) showing that prior moderate exercise (that does not significantly increase blood lactate) may also reduce the VO₂ ‘slow component’ amplitude suggest that metabolic acidosis may not be necessary to speed the VO₂ response (Campbell-O'Sullivan et al. 2002).

3.3.1 Improved muscle oxygen availability

Previous studies suggest that the performance of a prior exercise increases muscle blood flow and oxygenation (as assessed by NIRS) during the subsequent exercise bout (Fukuba et al. 2002; DeLorey et al. 2007). For instance, Fukuba et al. (2002) showed that prior leg cycling resulted in a reduction of the ‘slow component’ concomitant with increased muscle blood flow at the onset of the second bout of exercise. DeLorey et al. (2007) extended these findings by demonstrating that prior high intensity knee extension exercise increased oxygen extraction (~20%) and muscle blood flow during a subsequent exercise bout. Taken together, prior high intensity exercise increases oxygen extraction and/or induces a better matching of oxygen availability and demand at the onset of subsequent exercise. Consequently, the faster VO₂ kinetics results in the development of a smaller VO₂ ‘slow component’ amplitude.
3.3.2 Changes in motor unit recruitment patterns

It is generally accepted that, compared to type II fibers (i.e., fast twitch), type I fibers (i.e., slow twitch) contain a larger number of oxidative enzymes with large capacity for aerobic metabolism and have high resistance to fatigue. In this instance, Barstow et al. (1996) reported that the percentage of type I fibers is negatively correlated to the VO$_2$ slow component amplitude and positively correlated to the fast component during high intensity exercise. Prior exercise may influence motor unit recruitment patterns during the subsequent exercise bout, contributing to alterations in VO$_2$ kinetics (Burnley et al. 2000). For instance, prior high intensity exercise may induce an increase in muscle fibers recruitment that better represent the workload demands at the onset of the subsequent bout. Hence, there is reduced requirements to recruit more muscle fibers as exercise proceeds so that a smaller ‘slow component’ is the result of an increased in amplitude of the phase II VO$_2$ response (Jones et al. 2003).

By recording integrated electromyogram (iEMG) of the gluteus maximus, vastus lateralis and vastus medialis muscles during two bouts of exercise, Burnley et al. (2002) demonstrated that previous high intensity exercise (i.e. above lactate threshold) resulted in a 19% increase in iEMG during the first 2 min of the subsequent bout, with reduced motor unit recruitment during the latter stage. In this instance, the increase in iEMG was accompanied by an increase in amplitude of phase II VO$_2$ kinetics. Accordingly, the faster VO$_2$ response during the subsequent exercise bout was likely facilitated by an increase in oxygen extraction rate due to the increase in motor unit recruitment.

In summary, evidence suggests that the mediators accelerating VO$_2$ response tend to share similar pathways of increased muscle blood and/or oxygen extraction rate. In this instance, the performance of prior high intensity exercise appears to be a necessary stimulus to accelerate VO$_2$ kinetics. This is facilitated by acidosis-induced vasodilation and greater oxygen extraction.
as a result of increased motor unit recruitment. Yet given an athlete’s finite exercise capacity (e.g. limitations in energy supply), whether such strategies (i.e. prior high intensity exercise) are meaningful for improving subsequent intense exercise performance (i.e., RSE) requires further evaluation. Alternatively, it is possible that an additional physiological stimulus (e.g. hypoxia) when paired with lower intensity exercise may provide similar changes in muscle blood flow and/or oxygen extraction rate. For example, increased muscle blood flow can also be induced by other vasodilatory factors (e.g. nitric oxide) that is triggered when muscle oxygen homeostasis is challenged (Verges et al. 2015).

4. Conclusion

The use of pre-conditioning offers a practical strategy in the ‘real world’ sport settings. Reportedly, passive moderate IH (e.g. 10-15% O₂, 5-15 cycles) produces functional health benefits. Specifically, IH can improve neural transmission, facilitating increase in ankle plantar flexion strength and walking performance in patients with spinal cord injuries. In the context of RSE, it is possible that prior IH exposure may induce favorable neural responses that may help mitigate the decrease in neural efficiency as neuromuscular fatigue develops.

The performance of prior exercise as a ‘physiological primer’ for subsequent exercise performance is universal to most athletes. Numerous studies suggest that prior exercise when performed at high intensity significantly accelerates the VO₂ response during a subsequent exercise bout. Consequently, the faster VO₂ response results in a smaller magnitude of ‘oxygen deficit’, and therefore, reduced perturbations to the muscle homeostasis. However, the accompanying fatigue with high intensity exercise means that such a strategy may not be applicable for RSE. Alternatively, by combining a hypoxic stimulus with lower intensity exercise, it is possible that similar hemodynamic responses (e.g. increase muscle blood flow), as observed with high intensity exercise, can be achieved. Taken together, the use of IH and
exercise may therefore facilitate both central and peripheral adjustments that enhance force production as well as better maintenance of performance during RSE (as compared to one stimulus alone). However, application of these strategies has never been tested before to eventually improve RSE performance. Importantly, understanding the separate and combined physiological effects of IH and prior exercise may provide further information on how pre-conditioning strategies may be optimized for maximizing RSE performance.
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Figure 8.1: A summary of central and peripheral factors which may be upregulated by pre-conditioning (i.e., intermittent hypoxia with prior exercise).

PCr, Phosphocreatine.
8.3 Research Proposal of Proposed Master Research

Introduction
Repeated-sprint exercise (RSE) induces biochemical disturbances within the exercising muscles (peripheral fatigue) and incomplete neural drive to active musculature (central fatigue) (Amann 2011). Additionally, the increasing dependence on aerobic energy-yielding pathways when short/incomplete recovery periods occur between sprints highlights the importance of muscle tissue reoxygenation capacity (Billaut and Buchheit 2013; McGawley and Bishop 2015).

Exposure to a physiologic stress prior to RSE (i.e. pre-conditioning) may confer subsequent protection (to a similar stress) or acutely enhance performance (Aebi et al. 2019; Wiggins et al. 2019). Hypothetically, pre-conditioning strategies that acutely enhance neuromuscular and metabolic regulatory systems may improve RSE performance (Weavil and Amann 2018).

Intermittent hypoxia (IH) is characterised by repeated episodes of exposure to low oxygen (i.e. hypoxia) interspersed with intervals of normoxia (Navarrete-Opazo and Mitchell 2014b). Factors including the pattern, duration and severity of hypoxia determine the nature/magnitude of accompanying physiological adjustments and ultimately the effectiveness of IH (Navarrete-Opazo and Mitchell 2014b). Moderate-to-severe acute IH exposure (5-15 hypoxia/normoxia cycles of 1-5 min) increases corticospinal drive (Christiansen et al. 2018) and muscle strength (Trumbower et al. 2012). However, whether these IH-induced responses can be harnessed to improve RSE performance remain undetermined.

The engagement of warm-up activities as a ‘physiological primer’ is almost universal to athletes. Reportedly, a prior heavy intensity (i.e. above lactate threshold) exercise bout increases muscle oxygen utilisation, detected by near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), during
subsequent exercise (DeLorey et al. 2007). Whilst most RSE studies have incorporated various forms of warm-up protocols, surprisingly, the underlying physiological responses and/or effectiveness of warm-up have received little attention.

Collectively, it is possible that IH and whole body exercise (cycling) when combined, may act as a more effective pre-conditioning by inducing favourable adjustments in muscle haemodynamic (e.g. increased muscle flow) and neuromuscular responses compared to exercise (in normoxia) or IH (passive condition) interventions alone.

**Research question**

To determine the separate and combined effects of IH and exercise on RSE performance and accompanying neuromuscular and muscle haemodynamic responses.

**Hypothesis**

The combination of two stressors (IH and exercise) will induce a greater challenge to homeostasis, in the form of metabolic (muscle oxygenation; blood lactate) and neuromuscular (muscle activation) functions, leading to improved RSE performance in reference to each stressor alone.

**Methods**

**Participants**

The sample size was determined using the G*Power software. Sixteen participants will provide sufficient power (0.8) to detect a small-moderate ($f = 0.15$) effect of the intervention at $\alpha = 0.05$. Due to the short time frame of the study, we do not anticipate any dropouts. Consequently, sixteen moderately trained male, aged between 18 to 40 years old will be recruited from the university in this study. Before commencement of the study, participants will be informed of the risks associated with participation. They will also be required to give their written informed
Experimental design

A randomised, crossover, counterbalanced and single-blind design will be used. Participants will visit the lab on five occasions, consisting of a familiarisation session and four experimental sessions, all separated by at least 4-7 days, conducted at the same time of day (± 2 hours). Each experimental session will include a 30-min pre-conditioning intervention where participants will be exposed to IH or normoxia at rest (passive) or during exercise (active), followed by a warm-up and a repeated-sprint cycling protocol. The four pre-conditioning interventions will be: 1) passive/hypoxia (P+H), 2) passive/normoxia (P+N), 3) active/hypoxia (A+H) and 4) active/normoxia (A+N). Participants will be instructed to avoid vigorous physical activity, alcohol and caffeine 24 h before the experimental sessions. Further, they will be asked to record and maintain their normal diet on the day preceding each experimental session.

Familiarisation

During the preliminary visit, participants will be habituated to breathing through the mask, albeit with the hypoxic system turned off (i.e. ambient air) to ensure participants remain blinded to the condition. They will also perform three to four maximal single cycle sprints on an air-braked cycle ergometer (Wattbike Nottingham, UK), during which optimal resistance will be determined (i.e. air resistance that would achieve maximal power output). Seat and handlebar configurations will be recorded and replicated for the subsequent experimental sessions. Additionally, an incremental test will be performed on an electro-magnetically braked cycle ergometer (Velotron, Racermate, USA) in normoxia. This test will start with 3 min of baseline cycling at 50W, after which work rate will be increased by 25W every minute until volitional exhaustion. Pulmonary gas exchanges will be measured breath-by-breath, while VO2peak and
ventilatory threshold 1 (VT1) and 2 (VT2) will be determined. All subsequent exercise tests will be performed using the Wattbike cycle ergometer.

Participants will be familiarised with the various modified Borg CR10 scales. Participants will be instructed that the “sense of effort” scale is used to set the level of subjective awareness of mental or physical effort expended during the exercise task (Abbiss et al. 2015) and will be assessed from the question: ’How hard are you trying?’ (with 0 = “no effort” to 10 = “maximum effort”). Sense of effort as well as rating of overall perceived exertion, perceived lower-limb heaviness, and perceived difficulty breathing, will be recorded using a modified Borg CR10 scales (Christian et al. 2014a). Specifically, the questions: “What is your overall perceived exertion?”, “How difficult does it feel to breathe?” and “How heavy do your legs feel?” will be printed above modified Borg CR10 scales (i.e., with 0 = “nothing at all” to 10 = “maximal”) and visible to participants at all times (Christian et al. 2014a).

Pre-conditioning

Participants will be fitted with a facemask connected to a portable hypoxic generator and will breathe either normoxic (N; FiO₂ = 20.9%) or hypoxic (H; FiO₂ = 11.0%, equivalent to ~4500m above sea level) air. The hypoxic exposure consists of 6 episodes of alternating 3-min bouts of hypoxia with 2-min bouts of normoxia exposure. During the passive conditions, participants will seat quietly on the cycle ergometer. During the active pre-conditioning treatment, participants will perform a low intensity cycling exercise at power corresponding to 30% VO₂peak at a cadence of ~80 rpm throughout the IH exposure period (i.e. 30 min).

Warm-up

Participants will perform a standardised warm up 5 min after the pre-conditioning session. The warm-up will commence with two 6-min cycling trials: one at a constant power output corresponding to 15% below the VT1 and one at 95% of VT2, with 8 min passive recovery in
between. After 3 min of passive rest, participants will perform five, 5-s submaximal cycling bouts at incremental intensity (~40%, 50%, 60%, 70% and 80% of perceived maximal effort with 30-s rest). Following another 3 min of passive rest, participants will perform two 5-s maximal sprint bout with each bout separated by 2 min of passive recovery for the determination of the criterion sprint score.

**Repeated-sprint protocol**

The RSE protocol will consist of four sets of five, 5-s “all-out” sprint efforts, interspersed with 25 s of passive recovery between sprints and 3 min of passive recovery between sets. To prevent pacing, participants will be required to produce a power output of at least 95% of the criterion sprint score on the first sprint of Set 1. If this criterion is not reached, participants will be required to rest for a further 2 min before restarting Set 1. All sprints will be initiated from a similar initial pedal position (i.e. front pedal crank approximately 45° to the horizontal). Strong verbal encouragements will be provided throughout the sprints. Water will be provided *ad libitum* throughout the protocol.

**Figure 8.2:** Schematic representation of the repeated sprint cycling protocol.

**Physiological responses**

Heart rate (HR) response will be continuously monitored with a HR monitor (Polar 810i, Polar, Finland). Additionally, HR values will be averaged over the final 30 s of each hypoxic and
normoxic phase during the pre-conditioning session. During the RSE, HR response will be recorded at exactly 10 s following each 5-s sprint bout.

The arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂) will be recorded from a finger probe at 5-s intervals with an oximeter (8000Q2 Sensor, Nonin Medical Inc., The Netherlands). Measurements will be analysed at the final 30 s of each hypoxic and normoxic phase during the pre-conditioning phase. Additionally, during RSE, SpO₂ will be recorded at exactly 10 s following each 5-s sprint bout.

**Near-infrared spectroscopy**

*Vastus lateralis* tissue oxygenation will be monitored continuously with a NIRS probe (Portalite, Artinis Medical System, Netherlands). The probe will be secured on the skin surface of the right *vastus lateralis* with tape and then covered with an optically dense strap to minimize movement and intrusion of extraneous light. Changes in oxygenated haemoglobin (O₂Hb), deoxygenated haemoglobin (HHb) and total haemoglobin (tHb = O₂Hb + HHb) will be assessed together with tissue saturation index (TSI; %) expressed as (O₂Hb/tHb) × 100. Test-retest reliability [Coefficient of variance (CV): ~4%] of NIRS-derived parameters (e.g. tHb) during rest/exercise has been previously reported (Lucero et al. 2018).

**Ventilatory and pulmonary gas exchanges**

Pulmonary gas exchanges will be measured breath-by-breath during the two bouts of constant load cycling (i.e. warm up). Participants will wear a facemask connected to an automated system (TrueOne, Parvomedics) for the measurement of pulmonary gas variables. During the 6-min warm-up cycling, VO₂ response will be modelled using non-linear least-squares regression techniques using previously described methods (Barstow and Molé 1991). For the first 6-min exercise bout (i.e. 15% below VT1), phase II VO₂ kinetics will be plotted using a
single-exponential model (Equation 1). For the second 6-min bout (i.e. 95% of VT2), a double-
exponential curve (Equation 2) model will be used:

$$\text{VO}_2(t) = \text{VO}_2(b) + A_1[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t-TD_1}{\tau_1}\right)}] \quad [\text{Equation 1}],$$

$$\text{VO}_2(t) = \text{VO}_2(b) + A_1[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t-TD_1}{\tau_1}\right)}] + A_2[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t-TD_2}{\tau_2}\right)}] \quad [\text{Equation 2}],$$

where $A_1$ and $A_2$ represent the asymptote amplitudes for the exponential curves; $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ are the time constants and $TD_1$ and $TD_2$ represent the time delays. The mean response time (MRT) will be determined for each exercise. MRT is defined as the time it takes to reach ~63% of the total amplitude of the response from baseline to the final plateau value (Whipp and Ward 1990). The NIRS-derived HHb (as an indicator muscle oxygen extraction) will also be fitted with the exponentials model of the form in Equation 1 and 2. Additionally, cycling economy during the 6 min warm-up cycling will be calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Cycling economy} = \frac{\text{Workload}}{\text{VO}_2} \quad [\text{Equation 3}],$$

with $\text{VO}_2$ measured in l/min.

**Blood lactate concentration ([La]).** A capillary blood sample will be taken from the fingertip and analysed for [La] with a lactate analyser (Lactate Pro, Arkay, Tokyo, Japan) before the pre-conditioning session, immediately before and after each bout of constant load cycling (during warm-up), immediately before and 2 min after the first set of sprints, and 2 min after the completion of the last sprint set. The test-retest reliability (CV: ~5%) and validity (CV: ~9%) of the Lactate Pro analyser has been previously reported for [La] value between 1.0 to 18.0 mmol/L (Tanner et al. 2010).

**Perceptual responses.** Perceptual ratings of breathing difficulty will be obtained after each cycle during the pre-conditioning. During the RSE, participants’ perceptual ratings of breathing
difficulty, limb discomfort and overall perceived discomfort (i.e. RPE) will be recorded before the start and 10 s after each set of sprints using a modified Borg CR10 scale.

**Repeated-sprint exercise:** Peak and mean power output (W) will be determined for each sprint. Mean values for each set of sprints will then be calculated for each condition. Sprint decrement score will be calculated using the formula: \( \text{Sprint decrement score} (%) = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5}{S_{\text{best}} \times 5} \right) \right] \times 100 \), where \( S \) corresponds to sprint performance (e.g. mean power output) and \( S_{\text{best}} \) is the best sprint time (usually the first repetition).

**Electromyography**

Surface EMG of the right *vastus lateralis* (VL), *vastus medialis* (VM), and *rectus femoris* (RF) muscles will be recorded using bipolar Ag/AgCL electrodes. The root mean square (RMS) EMG activity for each of the muscle will be calculated. The average sum of the VL, VM and RF will be used as an index of overall quadriceps neural drive (i.e. quadriceps RMS EMG activity). RMS EMG activity will be expressed as a percentage of the maximal values achieved during the initial sprint bout (i.e. Sprint 1) in each condition.

---

**Figure 8.3:** Schematic representation of an experimental session including a pre-conditioning session, a warm-up and a repeated-sprint exercise.
Statistical analysis

Value will be expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). For the pre-conditioning phase, a one-way repeated measures analysis of variance [ANOVA; 4 Conditions (P+H, P+N, A+H, A+N)] will be applied on single (i.e., averaged) dependent variables (i.e. SpO₂, HR and perceptual variables). Two-way repeated measures ANOVAs [Time (Set 1, 2, 3, and 4) × Condition (P+H, P+N, A+H, A+N)] will be used to compare sprint-related variables. To assess assumptions of variance, Mauchly’s test of sphericity will be performed for all ANOVA analysis. A Greenhouse-Geisser correction will be performed to adjust the degree of freedom if an assumption was violated, while post hoc pairwise-comparisons with Bonferroni-adjusted p-values will be performed if a significant main effect was observed. For each ANOVA, partial eta-squared calculation will be use as a measure of effect size. All statistical calculations will be performed with the SPSS software V.24.0 (IBM Corp., Armokn, NY, USA). Statistical significance will be set at $p \leq 0.05$.  
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