

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access



# Parasites and vector-borne diseases disseminated by rehomed dogs

Ian Wright<sup>1\*</sup>, Frans Jongejan<sup>2</sup>, Mary Marcondes<sup>3</sup>, Andrew Peregrine<sup>4</sup>, Gad Baneth<sup>5</sup>, Patrick Bourdeau<sup>6</sup>, Dwight D. Bowman<sup>7</sup>, Edward B. Breitschwerdt<sup>8</sup>, Gioia Capelli<sup>9</sup>, Luís Cardoso<sup>10</sup>, Filipe Dantas-Torres<sup>11</sup>, Michael J. Day<sup>12</sup>, Gerhard Dobler<sup>13</sup>, Lluís Ferrer<sup>14</sup>, Luigi Gradoni<sup>15</sup>, Peter Irwin<sup>12</sup>, Volkhard A. J. Kempf<sup>16</sup>, Barbara Kohn<sup>17</sup>, Friederike Krämer<sup>18</sup>, Michael Lappin<sup>19</sup>, Maxime Madder<sup>20</sup>, Ricardo G. Maggi<sup>8</sup>, Carla Maia<sup>21</sup>, Guadalupe Miró<sup>22</sup>, Torsten Naucke<sup>23</sup>, Gaetano Oliva<sup>24</sup>, Domenico Otranto<sup>25</sup>, Maria Grazia Pennisi<sup>26</sup>, Barend L. Penzhorn<sup>2</sup>, Martin Pfeiffer<sup>27</sup>, Xavier Roura<sup>28</sup>, Angel Sainz<sup>22</sup>, SungShik Shin<sup>29</sup>, Laia Solano-Gallego<sup>14</sup>, Reinhard K. Straubinger<sup>30</sup>, Séverine Tasker<sup>31</sup>, Rebecca Traub<sup>32</sup> and Susan Little<sup>33</sup>

## Abstract

The Companion Vector-Borne Diseases (CVBD) World Forum is a working group of leading international experts who meet annually to evaluate current scientific findings and future trends concerning the distribution, pathogenesis, clinical presentation, diagnosis and prevention of vector-borne infections of dogs and cats. At the 14th Symposium of the CVBD World Forum in Trieste, Italy (March 25–28, 2019), we identified the need to (i) bring attention to the potential spread of parasites and vectors with relocated dogs, and (ii) provide advice to the veterinary profession regarding the importance of surveillance and treatment for parasites and vector-borne infections when rehoming dogs. This letter shares a consensus statement from the CVBD World Forum as well as a summary of the problem faced, including the role of veterinary professionals in parasite surveillance, causal issues, and the importance of interdisciplinary cooperation in addressing the problem. To limit opportunities for dissemination of parasites and vectors, whenever possible, underlying problems creating the need for dog rehoming should be addressed. However, when it is necessary to rehome dogs, this should ideally take place in the country and national region of origin. When geographically distant relocation occurs, veterinary professionals have a vital role to play in public education, vigilance for detection of exotic vectors and infections, and alerting the medical community to the risk(s) for pathogen spread. With appropriate veterinary intervention, dog welfare needs can be met without inadvertently allowing global spread of parasites and their vectors.

**Keywords:** Relocation, Canine, Importation, Animal welfare, Zoonosis, Parasites, Prevention, Adoption, Shelter

## Letter to the Editor

The continuous relocation of dogs both within and between countries represents a global veterinary and public health concern. At the 14th Symposium of the Companion Vector-Borne Diseases (CVBD) World Forum, held in Trieste, Italy, from March 25th to 28th,

2019, it was acknowledged that the veterinary profession faces considerable challenges in dealing with these issues. Specifically, there is confusion among veterinary professionals regarding the role they play in international rehoming advice, surveillance, and control of parasites and pathogens for imported and exported dogs. It was agreed that a consensus statement would be beneficial in clarifying the view of the group and the role of the veterinary profession regarding relocation of dogs and the associated potential spread of pathogens, vectors and

\*Correspondence: hammondia@hotmail.com

<sup>1</sup> The Mount Veterinary Practice, Fleetwood, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

diseases. Here, the consensus statement is presented alongside a summary of the problem faced, including the role of veterinary professionals in parasite surveillance, causative issues, and the importance of interdisciplinary cooperation in addressing the problem.

### Consensus statement

Economic, cultural and environmental factors are causing global relocation of domestic dogs, which is associated with the risk of dissemination of parasites, pathogens and vectors. Where possible, the underlying problems should be addressed. However, when it is necessary to rehome dogs, this should ideally take place in the country and national region of origin. Where geographically distant relocation is occurring, veterinary professionals have a vital role to play in public education, vigilance for detection of exotic vectors and infections, and alerting the medical community to the risks for pathogen spread. This includes the implementation of appropriate diagnostic tests and parasite or pathogen preventative measures, ideally before relocation, where necessary.

### Summary of the problem

Multiple drivers affect canine welfare worldwide including natural disasters [1], persecution of street dogs [2], the canine meat trade [3], the practice of acquiring pet dogs as puppies bred in high production, commercial facilities, often in geographically remote locations [4, 5] and travelling dogs brought for mating [6]. Public desire to adopt dogs from abroad that have often had their welfare compromised by these events is increasing. In part, this is driven by social media channels in affluent regions [1], and increased awareness of geographically distant homeless dogs. As a result, dogs are often relocated over large geographical distances [7, 8]. However, dog relocation can cause dissemination of pathogen and vector populations [9]. Increased human migration, climate change and pet travel are other factors that favour this expansion [10–12]. Other risks associated with geographically distant rehoming of dogs include behavioural issues and spread of zoonotic parasitic, viral and bacterial infections, such as *Leishmania* spp. [6, 13], rabies [14], *Brucella* spp. [15] or *Leptospira* spp. [16]. Spread of drug-resistant pathogens is an additional concern, e.g. drug-resistant heartworm (*Dirofilaria immitis*) and hookworms (*Ancylostoma caninum*) in North America [17, 18].

### Addressing causal issues

Ideally, driving factors that lead to dog welfare concerns and increased stray dog populations should be directly addressed. Such an approach has a range of benefits beyond a reduction in dog displacement [19]. The

authors acknowledge that in many parts of the world problems are linked to economic factors and cultural attitudes [20]. For example, profit from export of dogs is essential for some communities and, in some cases, meets market demand for rescue dogs in countries where there is incomplete knowledge of the welfare implications of importation. In many countries where canine welfare is compromised, human poverty and suffering can make allocation of resources towards animals less of a priority [20]. Although improving human welfare and infrastructure will help animals indirectly, this process can be slow. Where canine rehoming must occur, dogs should remain in their region of origin whenever possible to reduce pathogen spread but also to keep the dogs in as familiar conditions as possible. Street dogs may experience social stress, for instance, when denied free outdoor access. Some communities keep community dogs that are likely to be less stressed free roaming than in a domestic household, as long as overall welfare *via* interventions such as vaccination, quality of diet and parasite prevention can be improved. The authors acknowledge that addressing underlying issues that affect canine welfare and increasing education regarding these issues are long-term objectives. In the meantime, export of dogs will continue to occur, and veterinary professionals have a vital role to play.

### The role of veterinary professionals

Veterinary professionals have an important role to play in maintaining biosecurity, reducing zoonotic risk to pet owners and the wider public, and improving the health of stray dogs. It is essential that veterinary professionals

(i) educate the public about the risks of adopting dogs from abroad or distant regions within a country and inform them about the benefits of adopting dogs locally. This communication should be compassionate as most charities working in this field, and people adopting pets, do so with the best of intentions but may be unaware of the risks. Social media, practice websites, waiting room leaflets and posters, and discussions can all be utilised to disseminate the message.

(ii) ask about travel history for any recently acquired pet and advise appropriate diagnostic testing and preventative treatments depending on parasites and other pathogens present in the country of origin and relevant clinical signs. Further information can be found at the following websites: <https://www.esccap.org>; <https://capcvet.org>; <https://www.troccap.com>; currently <https://cvbd.bayer.com> – in the future <https://cvbd.elanco.com>; <https://iscaid.org>;

(iii) are vigilant in looking for exotic ticks or other arthropods on imported dogs and clinical signs that may

indicate infection with pathogens not known to be locally endemic in the region of origin;

(iv) report all findings of unusual ticks or arthropods and unusual infections to local health authorities, universities, independent organisations such as those mentioned in point (ii) and through peer-reviewed publications. This helps generate an up-to-date picture of where vectors and pathogens may be emerging. Examples of published reports include *Haemaphysalis longicornis* ticks in North America [21], *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* ticks in northern European households [22], *Babesia canis* in the UK [23, 24], heartworm (*D. immitis*) in Colorado [8], *Ehrlichia canis* in Australia [25] and *Leishmania* spp. transmission to untraveled dogs in the absence of sand fly vectors in the Czech Republic [6] and in the UK [13, 26].

### Importance of interdisciplinary and international cooperation

Government legislation regarding dog importation and exportation varies but has an impact by potentially limiting the numbers of imported dogs and ensuring compulsory vaccine and parasite/pathogen preventative treatment requirements are followed before entry. Dog importation requirements can also vary depending on whether the dog is classified as personal or commercial. Personal import usually has fewer requirements, which are why rescue groups sometimes translocate dogs as owned pets [27]. In both cases, if the administration of highly effective preventative measures such as anti-rabies vaccination, tick control, testing for vector-borne agents, or praziquantel treatment for *Echinococcus multilocularis* is adequately followed, biosecurity against specific pathogens can potentially be maintained. For example, modelling has demonstrated that the introduction of *E. multilocularis* into countries free of the parasite would be inevitable without the compulsory treatment of dogs that have visited or been imported from endemic countries [28]. Other examples include compulsory anti-rabies vaccination of travelling and imported dogs keeping many countries rabies-free, and the success until recently of screening dogs imported into Australia for *E. canis* in preventing its introduction in that country.

Financial aid for projects associated with canine welfare supported by governments and by international charities is helpful in tackling canine welfare issues in countries of origin [19]. This funding, together with increased policing of existing animal welfare laws, is important for implementation of these measures, but it is critically important to be sensitive to accepted norms in different cultures [3]. Engagement of dog rescue organisations is also beneficial for promotion of rehoming of dogs in their region of origin and, wherever possible, to

encourage them to relax their requirements for rehoming where welfare will not be compromised as a result. If rehoming requirements are too stringent, this may deter potential owners from adopting dogs from within their own country. The members of the CVBD World Forum pledge their support to continue to provide data and evidence-based advice on reducing parasites and vector-borne pathogens spread through provision of information on optimal testing, preventative treatments, and increased veterinary and public education.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Bayer Animal Health for organizing and facilitating our discussion at the 14th Symposium of the CVBD World Forum in Trieste, Italy, in March 2019. Bayer Animal Health is now part of Elanco. We thank in memoriam Michael Day for all of his work and commitment to the CVBD World Forum and towards the furtherment of parasite knowledge, understanding and control. To many of us he was more than a brilliant colleague but a friend.

### Authors' contributions

IW, FJ, SL, MM and AP drafted the initial statement. All other co-authors worked on the initial manuscript and contributed to the final document. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

FD-T received a research fellowship from CNPq (Bolsa de Produtividade; grant no. 313118/2018-3). FK is working in a project funded by Bayer Animal Health (TransMIT; Z 2079), which is now part of Elanco, at TransMIT GmbH, Germany. CM has the support of the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia/Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Ensino Superior (Investigator Starting Grant IF/01302/2015).

### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. The authors are members of the CVBD World Forum. The CVBD World Forum was founded during the 1st International CVBD Symposium from April 18th to 20th, 2006, in Billesley, UK, as a consequence of the increasing global threats through vector-borne diseases. The CVBD World Forum is supported by Bayer Animal Health, which is now part of Elanco.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup> The Mount Veterinary Practice, Fleetwood, UK. <sup>2</sup> Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, University of Pretoria, Onderstepoort, South Africa. <sup>3</sup> School of Veterinary Medicine, São Paulo State University, São Paulo, Brazil. <sup>4</sup> Department of Pathobiology, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada. <sup>5</sup> Koret School of Veterinary Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel. <sup>6</sup> Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire, Nantes, France. <sup>7</sup> Department Microbiology & Immunology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA. <sup>8</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA. <sup>9</sup> Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Legnaro, Italy. <sup>10</sup> Department of Veterinary Sciences and Animal and Veterinary Research Centre (CECAV), University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal. <sup>11</sup> Aggeu Magalhães Institute, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (Fiocruz), Recife, Brazil. <sup>12</sup> College of Veterinary Medicine, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA, Australia. <sup>13</sup> Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology, Munich, Germany. <sup>14</sup> Department Animal Medicine and Surgery, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain. <sup>15</sup> Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy. <sup>16</sup> Institute for Medical Microbiology and Infection Control, Goethe-University, Frankfurt, Germany. <sup>17</sup> Clinic of Small Animals,

Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany. <sup>18</sup> TransMIT GmbH, Giessen, Germany. <sup>19</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA. <sup>20</sup> Clinglobal, Tamarin, Mauritius. <sup>21</sup> Global Health and Tropical Medicine, Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal. <sup>22</sup> Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain. <sup>23</sup> LABOKLIN GmbH, Bad Kissingen, Germany. <sup>24</sup> Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy. <sup>25</sup> Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy. <sup>26</sup> Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Messina, Messina, Italy. <sup>27</sup> Institute of Animal Hygiene and Veterinary Public Health, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany. <sup>28</sup> Hospital Clínic Veterinari, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. <sup>29</sup> College of Veterinary Medicine, Chonnam National University, Gwangju, South Korea. <sup>30</sup> Lehrstuhl für Bakteriologie und Mykologie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany. <sup>31</sup> Bristol Veterinary School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. <sup>32</sup> Melbourne Veterinary School, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia. <sup>33</sup> Department of Pathobiology, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA.

Received: 30 September 2020 Accepted: 21 October 2020

Published online: 10 November 2020

## References

1. Heath SE, Linnabary RD. Challenges of managing animals in disasters in the U.S. *Animals*. 2015;5:173–92.
2. Norman C, Stavisky J, Westgarth C. Importing rescue dogs into the UK: reasons, methods and welfare considerations. *Vet Rec*. 2020;186:248.
3. Dugnoille J. From plate to pet: Promotion of trans-species companionship by Korean animal activists. *Anthropol Today*. 2014;30:3–7.
4. McMillan FD, Serpell JA, Duffy DL, Masaoud E, Dohoo IR. Differences in behavioral characteristics between dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores and those obtained from noncommercial breeders. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2013;242:1359–63.
5. Wauthier LM, Scottish SPCA, Williams JM. Using the mini C-BARQ to investigate the effects of puppy farming on dog behaviour. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2018;206:75–86.
6. Svobodova V, Svoboda M, Friedlaenderova L, Drahotzky P, Bohacova E, Baneth G. Canine leishmaniosis in three consecutive generations of dogs in Czech Republic. *Vet Parasitol*. 2017;237:122–4.
7. Simmons KE, Hoffman CL. Dogs on the move: Factors impacting animal shelter and rescue organizations' decisions to accept dogs from distant locations. *Animals*. 2016;6:11.
8. Drake J, Parrish RS. Dog importation and changes in heartworm prevalence in Colorado 2013–2017. *Parasit Vectors*. 2019;12:207.
9. Schäfer I, Volkman M, Beelitz P, Merle R, Müller E, Kohn B. Retrospective evaluation of vector-borne infections in dogs imported from the Mediterranean region and southeastern Europe (2007–2015). *Parasit Vectors*. 2019;12:30.
10. Schäfer I, Volkman M, Beelitz P, Müller E, Merle R, Kohn B. Retrospective analysis of vector-borne infections in dogs after travelling to endemic areas (2007–2018). *Vet Parasitol X*. 2019;2:100015.
11. Pisarski K. The global burden of disease of zoonotic parasitic diseases: top 5 contenders for priority consideration. *Trop Med Infect Dis*. 2019;4:44.
12. Wright I. Parasite prevention in the travelling pet. *Companion Animal*. 2019;24:175–81.
13. Wright I, Baker S. Leishmaniosis in a dog with no history of travel outside the UK. *Vet Rec*. 2019;184:387–8.
14. Klevar S, Høgåsen HR, Davidson RK, Hamnes IS, Treiberg Berndtsson L, Lund A. Cross-border transport of rescue dogs may spread rabies in Europe. *Vet Rec*. 2015;176:672.
15. Brower A, Okwumabua O, Massengill C, Muenks Q, Vanderloo P, Duster M, et al. Investigation of the spread of *Brucella canis* via the U.S. interstate dog trade. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2007;11:454–8.
16. Miotto BA, Guilloux AGA, Tozzi BF, Moreno LZ, da Hora AS, Dias RA, et al. Prospective study of canine leptospirosis in shelter and stray dog populations: Identification of chronic carriers and different *Leptospira* species infecting dogs. *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13:e0200384.
17. Bourguinat C, Keller K, Bhan A, Peregrine A, Geary T, Prichard R. Macrocyclic lactone resistance in *Dirofilaria immitis*. *Vet Parasitol*. 2011;181:388–92.
18. Jimenez Castro PD, Howell SB, Schaefer JJ, Avramenko RW, Gillear JS, Kaplan RM. Multiple drug resistance in the canine hookworm *Ancylostoma caninum*: an emerging threat? *Parasit Vectors*. 2019;12:576.
19. Chadwin R. Evacuation of pets during disasters: a public health intervention to increase resilience. *Am J Public Health*. 2017;107:1413–7.
20. Otranto D, Dantas-Torres F, Mihalca AD, Traub RJ, Lappin M, Baneth G. Zoonotic parasites of sheltered and stray dogs in the era of the global economic and political crisis. *Trends Parasitol*. 2017;33:813–25.
21. Beard CB, Occi J, Bonilla DL, Egizi AM, Fonseca DM, Mertins JW, et al. Multistate infestation with the exotic disease-vector tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis* – United States, August 2017–September 2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2018;67:1310–3.
22. Hansford KM, Pietzsch M, Cull B, Medlock JM. Brown dog tick infestation of a home in England. *Vet Rec*. 2015;176:129–30.
23. Swainsbury C, Bengtson G, Hill P. Babesiosis in dogs. *Vet Rec*. 2016;178:172.
24. Phipps LP, Del Mar Fernandez De Marco M, Hernández-Triana LM, Johnson N, Swainsbury C, Medlock JM, et al. *Babesia canis* detected in dogs and associated ticks from Essex. *Vet Rec*. 2016;178:243–4.
25. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Government of Western Australia: ehrlichiosis in dogs (*Ehrlichia canis*); 2020. <https://www.agric.wa.gov.au/ehrlichiosis>. Accessed 1 Jul 2020.
26. McKenna M, Attipa C, Tasker S, Augusto M. Leishmaniosis in a dog with no travel history outside of the UK. *Vet Rec*. 2019;184:441.
27. Polak K. Dog transport and infectious disease risk: an international perspective. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2019;49:599–613.
28. Torgerson PR, Craig PS. Risk assessment of importation of dogs infected with *Echinococcus multilocularis* into the UK. *Vet Rec*. 2009;165:366–8.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

