

Removing Nitrate from Drinking Water

Salah Abheiri

BSc: Environmental Science

This work is presented for the degree of
Research Master with Training, Murdoch University
School of Environmental Science

July 2010

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not previously been submitted for a degree of any tertiary educational institution.

Salah Abheiri

Abstract

Water resources in many parts around the world are becoming critical because of human activity. This leads many countries around the world to rely more on their groundwater as an essential source of drinking water. The situation in Libya is critical because there is no access to surface fresh water. The rainfall rate is very low, but there is a huge quantity of ground water with good quality (average TDS around 1030 mg/l) and this leads the country to rely on this water source. The Great Man-Made River Authority (GMRA) was established to produce and transfer water from the southern part of the country (desert) where ground water is available to the northern coastal strip where most of the population is concentrated. However, the water in some wells in the East Japal Hassouna (EJH) well-field has a high nitrate concentration, more than 50 mg/L as NO_3^- . To solve this problem and reduce the nitrate content to less than the limits of WHO Guidelines (50 mg/l as NO_3^- and 10 mg/L NO_3^- -N), one of the available techniques of nitrate removal has to be applied. Previous studies have assessed techniques for nitrate removal from drinking water including analysis of their efficiency, ease of operation, impact on the environment and cost of production. Moreover, the characteristics of ground water (good quality) in the EJH well-field and the potential to use solar energy during the whole year was considered. Experimental trial investigated the use of reverse osmosis (RO) powered by solar

energy to remove nitrate from synthetic water similar to water in EJH wellfield by operating a RO unit in a closed system and using a single solar pump to deliver feed water to the RO unit and through the unit as well. A range of nitrate concentrations treated by applying different pressures for each nitrate concentration. Nitrate removal percentages ranged between 78% to 90% depending on the initial nitrate concentration of feed water and the applied pressure. An ion exchange experiment was also conducted to remove nitrate from synthetic water similar to water in the EJH wellfield and from a nitrate solution by performing column and batch test experiments. Several nitrate concentrations and contact times were applied. Nitrate removal for the synthetic water were excellent (100% nitrate removal) but chloride concentration in the produced water increased over the limits of WHO guidelines. When the same nitrate concentration (20 mg/L NO_3^- -N) in feed water was applied in both techniques, both of them gave a good result in terms of nitrate removal capacity as mentioned above. However, the produced water by ion exchange still contained chloride concentrations over the limits of WHO guidelines in contrast to reverse osmosis which did not produce this unintended effect.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For his interest and valued guidance I wish to express my appreciation and thanks to Prof. Goen Ho.

I would like to thank Dr. Stewart Dallas who co-supervised this work for his valuable advice.

I am grateful to Woodside Company for their sponsorship and Murdoch Link for their support during this work.

I would like to thank my employer (GMRA) for their support and enabling me to finish this course.

I acknowledge Geoff and Karin from BW solar company for providing the Lorentz PS 600 solar pump and the Dow Chemical Company for providing me with NSR-1 Anion Exchange Resin.

I am thankful to Prof. Nick Costa for his help and support during my study.

I would like to thank the ETC staff for their support in conducting the RO experiment.

I would like to thank Mr. Ahmed Ammari for his support in computer skills.

Finally, a special thanks to my family for their support in particular my parents, and my wife who has been patient during this study.

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	GREAT MAN-MADE RIVER PROJECT.....	2
	<i>1.2.1 Great Man-Made River project Systems</i>	4
	<i>1.2.2 Hasouna Jeffara System</i>	5
	<i>1.2.3 Location</i>	5
1.3	HEALTH EFFECTS OF NITRATES	6
1.4	THE RELEVANCE AND NEED FOR THE PROJECT	8
1.5	OUTLINE OF THESIS STRUCTURE	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	BACKGROUND	9
2.2	ION EXCHANGE	10
2.3	REVERSE OSMOSIS.....	13
	<i>2.3.1 Reverse Osmosis Membrane</i>	14
2.4	RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCES	15
2.5	ELECTRODIALYSIS	17
2.6	BIOLOGICAL DENITRIFICATION	18
2.7	TREATMENT ASSESSMENT	19
2.8	CONCLUSION	25
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	26
3.1	NITRATE REMOVAL TECHNIQUES	26
3.2	CHEMICALS FOR EXPERIMENTS.....	26
3.3	REVERSE OSMOSIS TECHNIQUE	26
3.4	3.3.1 REVERSE OSMOSIS EXPERIMENT	26
	3.4.1 3.3.2 <i>Typical RO experiment</i>	27
	3.4.2 3.3.3 <i>Flow measurements</i>	30
	3.3.4 <i>Sampling programme</i>	30
	3.3.5 <i>Power regeneration by PV cells</i>	30
	3.3.6 <i>Power consumption</i>	31
3.5	ION EXCHANGE EXPERIMENT.....	31
	3.4.1 <i>Regeneration of NSRI Resin</i>	32
	3.4.2 <i>Batch test</i>	32
	3.4.3 <i>Column experiment</i>	34
4	RESULTS	39
4.1	REVERSE OSMOSIS EXPERIMENT	39

4.1.1	First reverse osmosis experiment 8.3 mg/L NO_3^- -N concentration	39
4.1.2	Second reverse osmosis experiment 15.4 mg/L NO_3^- -N concentration.....	42
4.1.3	Third reverse osmosis experiment 27.6 mg/L NO_3^- -N concentration	44
4.1.4	Fourth reverse osmosis experiment 35.2 mg/L NO_3^- -N concentration	45
4.1.5	Fifth reverse osmosis experiment 9.6 mg/L NO_3^- -N concentration	46
4.1.6	Sixth reverse osmosis experiment 20.5 mg/L NO_3^- -N concentration	48
4.2	ION EXCHANGE EXPERIMENT	49
4.2.1	Column experiment	49
4.2.2	Batch tests	52
5	DISCUSSION	55
5.1	REVERSE OSMOSIS EXPERIMENTS	55
5.1.1	Recovery Ratio.....	55
5.1.2	Quality of results.....	55
5.1.3	Permeation constant	56
5.2	ION EXCHANGE EXPERIMENT	56
5.2.1	Batch tests	56
5.2.2	Column experiment	57
5.2.3	Comparison between batch test and column experimet (breakthrough point).....	58
5.3	DISCUSSION OF BOTH TECHNIQUES	60
5.3.1	Nitrate removal capacity.....	60
5.3.2	Treated water quality.....	60
5.3.3	Power consumption.....	62
5.3.4	Brine disposal.....	62
5.3.5	Cost of treatment.....	62
5.3.6	Sustainable Environment.....	63
5.3.7	Conclusion.....	63
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	64
6.1	CONCLUSION	64
6.2	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	65

List of Tables

TABLE 1: WATER SITUATION IN LIBYA IN 1998	2
TABLE 2 : CURRENT SOURCES MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLY IN WATER SCARCE COUNTRIES	2
TABLE 3: IMPORTANT DETAILS ABOUT GMRA SYSTEMS (SAHLI, 2004)	4
TABLE 4 : COMPARISON BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL AND ADVANCED WATER TREATMENT METHODS TO REMOVE INORGANIC IONS.....	9
TABLE 5: DESALINATION PRODUCTION AND PERCENTAGE OF VARIOUS PROCESSES IN 2000 (HISHAM, 2002).....	21
TABLE 6: ENERGY CONSUMPTION PER UNIT OF PRODUCT WATER FOR VARIOUS DESALINATION TECHNIQUES	22
TABLE 7: NITRATE CONCENTRATION AND NITRATE REJECTION RATIO AGAINST PRESSURE.....	39
TABLE 8 : THE RELATIONSHIP OF PRESSURE AGAINST FLOW RATES AND RECOVERY RATIO.....	40
TABLE 9: PERMEATION CONSTANTS.....	41
TABLE 10: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NITRATE CONCENTRATION AND PRESSURE.....	42
TABLE 11: THE RELATION BETWEEN PRESSURE AND FLOW RATE.....	43
TABLE 12: PERMEATION CONSTANT VALUES	44
TABLE 13 : NITRATE CONCENTRATION AND NITRATE REJECTION RATIO AGAINST PRESSURE	45
TABLE 14: FLOW RATES AND RECOVERY RATIO AGAINST PRESSURE.....	45
TABLE 15: NITRATE CONCENTRATION AND REJECTION RATION AGAINST PRESSURE.....	46
TABLE 16 : FLOWRATES AGAINST PRESSURE.....	46
TABLE 17 : NITRATE CONCENTRATION AND NITRATE REJECTION RATIO AGIANST PRESSURE	47
TABLE 18 : FLOW RATES AND PRESSURE RELATIONSHIPS.....	47
TABLE 19 : NITRATE CONCENTRATION AND NITRATE REJECTION RATIO AGIANST PRESSURE	48
TABLE 20 : FLOW RATES AND PRESSURE RELATIONSHIPS.....	48
TABLE 21 : NITRATE REMOVAL (GR) AND FEED WATER TREATED VOLUMES (L) IN COLUMN EXPERIMENTS.....	52
TABLE 22 : BATCH TEST OF ION EXCHANGE.....	53
TABLE 23 : BATCH TEST OF HIGH NITRATE CONCENTRATION.....	53
TABLE 24 : AMOUNT OF NITRATE REMOVED IN MG/L OF RESIN	54

List of Figures

FIGURE 1: A MAP OF LIBYA SHOWING GMRA WELLFIELDS (ABDELGADER 2009).....	3
FIGURE 2: OSMOSIS AND REVERSE OSMOSIS (ELYANOW, 2005)	13
FIGURE 3: RO EXPERIMENT	27
FIGURE 4: ION EXCHANGE EXPERIMENT.....	34
FIGURE 5 : RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRESSURE AND NITRATE REJECTION RATIO	40
FIGURE 6: RELATION OF RECOVERY RATIO WITH PRESSURE	41
FIGURE 7: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRESSURE AND POWER	41
FIGURE 8: RELATION BETWEEN PRESSURE WITH NITRATE REJECTION RATIO	42
FIGURE 9: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRESSURE AND RECOVERY RATIO	43
FIGURE 10: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRESSURE AND POWER.....	44
FIGURE 11: THE RELATION BETWEEN NITRATE CONCENTRATION OF EFFLUENT AND TIME FOR EXPERIMENTS 1&2	49
FIGURE 12 : ION EXCHANGE EXPERIMENT 3	50
FIGURE 13 : ION EXCHANGE EXPERIMENT 4.....	51
FIGURE 14: ION EXCHANGE EXPERIMENT 5.....	51
FIGURE 15 : A REGRESSION LINEAR BETWEEN DESIRED (PREPARED) AND MEASURED NITRATE CONCENTRATION.....	53
FIGURE 16 : THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NITRATE CONCENTRATION REMAINING IN FEED WATER (C) AND THE NITRATE IN RESIN (S) IN MG/L OF RESIN WHEN SEVERAL CONTACT TIMES WERE APPLIED.	54

List of Equations

EQUATION 1: CATION EXCHANGE REACTION (1).....	10
EQUATION 2: ANION EXCHANGE REACTION (2).....	10
EQUATION 3: NSR1 EXCHANGE REACTION (3)	11
EQUATION 4: REGENERATION REACTION (4)	11
EQUATION 5: DENITRIFICATION REACTION (1)	18
EQUATION 6: DENITRIFICATION REACTION (1).....	18
EQUATION 7: TO CALCULATE NITRATE REJECTION RATIO	29
EQUATION 8: TO CALAULATE RECOVERY RATIO.....	29
EQUATION 9 : TO CALCULATE NITRATE BALANCE.....	29
EQUATION 10: TO CALCULATE PERMEATION CONSTANT.....	29
EQUATION 11 : TO CALCULATE FLOW RATE.....	36
EQUATION 12 : TO CALCULATE CONTACT TIME.....	37