

EXPOSURES AND HEALTH EFFECTS AMONG
FIELD WORKERS USING
THE ORGANOPHOSPHATE CHLORPYRIFOS

BY

MARCUS P.S. CATTANI

M Sc

THIS THESIS IS PRESENTED FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF
PHILOSOPHY AT MURDOCH UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE, PERTH, WESTERN AUSTRALIA 2004

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work that has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary educational institution.

M.CATTANI

DATE

ABSTRACT

Chlorpyrifos, an organophosphate pesticide moderately toxic to humans via inhalation and dermal absorption (LD_{50} oral, rat = 226 mg kg^{-1} , LD_{50} skin, rabbits = 1265 mg kg^{-1}), is widely used to eradicate termites in Australia. A series of 28 surveys totaling 32 separate assessments, or 10% of all professional users in Perth, Western Australia, comprised biological monitoring, exposure assessment techniques, a health symptoms and work practices questionnaire. Chlorpyrifos metabolite 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol and alkyl phosphates were extracted from urine, and serum cholinesterase (SChE) and erythrocyte acetylcholinesterase from blood. Chlorpyrifos was extracted from 24 patches removed from a supplied cotton overall, cotton gloves worn under protective gloves, 7 absorbent patches placed on the skin and an organic vapour collection tube. Surface wipes were collected in the workers vehicle and on the workers forehead. Chlorpyrifos was applied in either 0.5% (n=2) or 1% (n=26) concentration of active ingredient in water solution. Surveys took place at pre-construction sites (n=5) where pesticide was sprayed onto a prepared site, existing buildings with concrete foundations (n=17) where pesticide was injected under pressure around the perimeter of the building and existing buildings with suspended floors requiring the worker to spray under floor (n=6). Combined left and right glove deposition was 9 mg hour^{-1} (SD = $18 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{hour}^{-1}$). Mean deposition on overalls was $14 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{hour}^{-1}$ (SD = $12 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{hour}^{-1}$), on skin patches was $0.2 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}\cdot\text{hour}^{-1}$, on vehicle gear-stick was $3 \mu\text{g}$ (SD = $8 \mu\text{g}$) and, on steering wheels' was $3 \mu\text{g}$ (SD = $3 \mu\text{g}$). The mean protection

factor of overalls, a ratio of outer layer and inner levels, was 75 (SD = 411). Mean air concentration of chlorpyrifos during an application was $30 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, and $17 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ 8 hour TWA (SD = $40 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ 8 hour TWA), and in one group of 17 workers correlated ($p < 0.05$) with ambient air temperature (15 to 38°C). Urinary metabolites and SChE activity were effective indicators of exposure. The health symptoms questionnaire did not highlight significant health effects. A discrepancy between operators' perception of risk and their actual exposure requires addressing, for example the measured high deposition rate to hands was ineffectively controlled, as 48% of workers wore inappropriate or no gloves and only 26% washed their hands after completing their tasks. All workers indicated in the questionnaire they would wash their hands after completing their tasks. The questionnaire also highlighted a high incidence of poor work practices, 58% spilt the concentrate at least once a week, 74% had recently spilt/splashed diluted chlorpyrifos in their eyes and 90% on their boots, and 52% believed they would benefit from more education concerning chlorpyrifos. Observations concluded that workers unnecessarily increased their exposure by poor work practice. Recommendations include modification to pesticide worker education, licencing and health surveillance systems; an improvement in the understanding of the benefits of a health and safety management systems for employers, and pesticide suppliers taking a stewardship role in the usage of their products.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Associate Professor Kris Cena for being an inspiring research supervisor. He fostered a collegial and productive atmosphere that I hope to replicate or find in my future workplaces. I will fondly remember our discussions, his coaching and mentoring.

Particular thanks to those who introduced me to the project, and provided initial funding, Dr. Dino Pisaniello, Adelaide University; and Dr. John Edwards, Flinders University.

Grateful thanks to Curtin University of Technology, my employer during the majority of the study, for their encouragement and support.

I would like to acknowledge the assistance of the volunteer pesticide workers and their employers who took part in the surveys.

To my family, in particular Helen, for their encouragement, support and understanding during all stages of this work.

PUBLICATIONS

The following publications are directly related to the thesis, and are reproduced in Appendix 5:

Cattani, M. P., Pisaniello, D. L., Edwards, J. W. and Cena, K. (1998) Pesticide Exposure Monitoring. In *AIOH 98* Australian Institute of Occupational Hygienists, Canberra, Australia.

Cattani, M., Pisaniello, D., Edwards, J. and Cena, K. (2000) Comparative study of methods of dermal exposure assessment and control. In *IOHA 4th International Scientific Conference* (Ed Manuell, R. W.) International Occupational Hygiene Association, Cairns.

Cattani, M., Cena, K., Edwards, J. and Pisaniello, D. (2001) Pest control operators: risk perception and practice of pest control operators using chlorpyrifos *Journal of Occupational Health and Safety - Australia and New Zealand*, 17, 295-299.

Cattani, M., Cena, K., Edwards, J. and Pisaniello, D. (2001) Potential dermal and inhalation exposure to chlorpyrifos in Australian pesticide workers *Annals of Occupational Hygiene*, 45, 299-308.

ABBREVIATIONS & SYMBOLS

µg	microgram
AchE	Acetylcholinesterase
AS #	Australian Standard reference number #
BCA	Building Code of Australia
°C	Degrees centigrade
CAS #	Chemical Abstract Service number
Cm	Centimetre
DDT	1,1'-(2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)bis[4-chlorobenzene]
DEP	Diethylphosphate
DETP	Diethyl-thiophosphate
EACHe	Erythrocyte Acetylcholinesterase
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
h.p.	horse power
Hb	Haemoglobin
Hr	Hour
ILO	International Labour Organisation
Kg	Kilogram
KPa	Kilo Pascal's
L	Litre
LD ₅₀	lethal dose required for 50% of individuals in a sample
Log	Logarithm
M	Metre
Mg	Milligram
Min	Minute
ml	Millilitres
mm	Millimetre
MmHg	millimetres Mercury
N	number of subjects
NIOSH	US National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
nmol	Nanomole
NOHSC	National Occupational Health and Safety Commission
NRA	National Registration Authority
NTE	Neurotoxic target esterase
OSHA	(US) Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OVS	Organic Vapour Sorbent
PchE	Plasma cholinesterase
PCO	Pest Control Operator/Operative, also known as pesticide applicator or pest controller.
Ppb	parts per billion
Ppm	parts per million
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride

SCE	Sister Chromatid Exchange
SD	standard deviation
TAFE	Tertiary and Further Education College
TCP	3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol
US	United States (of America)
w/v	water to volume ratio

Note: The term “researcher” in this thesis refers to the candidate.

CONTENTS

DECLARATION	2
ABSTRACT	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	5
PUBLICATIONS	6
ABBREVIATIONS & SYMBOLS	7
CONTENTS	9
TABLES	14
FIGURES	16
1. INTRODUCTION	17
1.1 BACKGROUND	17
1.2 CHLORPYRIFOS	19
1.3 AIMS AND HYPOTHESES	21
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	22
2.1 INTRODUCTION	22
2.2 TERMITE CONTROL	22
2.2.1 <i>Building design</i>	25
2.2.2 <i>Stainless steel mesh</i>	25
2.2.3 <i>Graded stone</i>	25
2.2.4 <i>Termiticides</i>	25
2.3 REGULATION OF PEST CONTROL	26

2.4	CHLORPYRIFOS PROFILE	29
2.5	CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	29
2.6	MODE OF ACTION	29
2.7	METABOLISM	31
2.8	TOXICOLOGY	32
2.8.1	<i>Inhalation</i>	33
2.8.2	<i>Ingestion</i>	34
2.8.3	<i>Dermal absorption</i>	35
2.9	DOSE RESPONSE	36
2.9.1	<i>Acute cholinergic syndrome</i>	36
2.9.2	<i>Intermediate syndrome</i>	37
2.9.3	<i>Delayed polyneuropathy</i>	37
2.9.4	<i>Chronic</i>	38
2.10	OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT	40
2.10.1	<i>Atmospheric monitoring</i>	42
2.10.2	<i>Dermal exposure assessment</i>	43
2.10.3	<i>Biological and biological effect monitoring</i>	47
3.	METHOD	51
3.1	RECRUITMENT OF SUBJECTS	51
3.2	STUDY POPULATION	53
3.2.1	<i>Industry response</i>	53
3.2.2	<i>Worker response</i>	54
3.3	WORK PATTERNS AND PRACTICES.....	57
3.3.1	<i>Pre-construction</i>	57
3.3.2	<i>Post-construction</i>	58
3.3.3	<i>Under-floor</i>	59
3.4	STUDY PROTOCOL	60
3.4.1	<i>Information collection and ethics considerations</i>	60

3.4.2	<i>Work practice and health symptoms questionnaire</i>	61
3.4.3	<i>Work practices</i>	64
3.4.4	<i>Clothing</i>	65
3.4.5	<i>Gloves</i>	66
3.4.6	<i>Patches</i>	67
3.4.7	<i>Inhalation</i>	67
3.4.8	<i>Surface wipes</i>	68
3.4.9	<i>Urinary metabolites</i>	68
3.4.10	<i>Biomarkers</i>	69
3.4.11	<i>Erythrocyte acetylcholinesterase</i>	69
3.4.12	<i>Serum cholinesterase</i>	70
3.5	ANALYSIS OF RESULTS	70
3.5.1	<i>Overalls and skin patches</i>	70
3.5.2	<i>Gloves and surface wipes</i>	70
3.5.3	<i>Inhalation exposure sample</i>	71
3.5.4	<i>Estimated daily exposure</i>	71
4.	RESULTS	77
4.1	QUESTIONNAIRE	77
4.1.1	<i>Personal information</i>	77
4.1.2	<i>Health information</i>	78
4.1.3	<i>Work practices</i>	80
4.2	WORKER EXPOSURE OBSERVATIONS	81
4.3	DEPOSITION ON OVERALL	92
4.4	DEPOSITION ON GLOVES.....	94
4.5	DEPOSITION ON PATCHES	95
4.6	INHALATION EXPOSURE	96
4.7	SURFACE DEPOSITION	97
4.8	URINARY METABOLITES	98

4.9	BIOMARKERS	98
5.	DISCUSSION.....	104
5.1	SUMMARY	104
5.2	RECRUITMENT.....	105
5.2.1	<i>Governmental review.....</i>	<i>105</i>
5.2.2	<i>Professional bodies</i>	<i>107</i>
5.2.3	<i>Manufacturers and suppliers.....</i>	<i>108</i>
5.2.4	<i>Business owners.....</i>	<i>110</i>
5.3	PARTICIPATION	111
5.4	WORK PATTERNS	112
5.5	STUDY PROTOCOL	114
5.6	EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT.....	118
5.6.1	<i>Questionnaire</i>	<i>119</i>
5.6.2	<i>Inhalation exposure</i>	<i>125</i>
5.6.3	<i>Potential dermal exposure.....</i>	<i>128</i>
5.6.4	<i>Gloves indicative of requirement for increased exposure control.....</i>	<i>129</i>
5.6.5	<i>Overalls</i>	<i>131</i>
5.6.6	<i>Ingestion.....</i>	<i>134</i>
5.6.7	<i>Biological monitoring of exposure</i>	<i>135</i>
5.7	CONCLUSION.....	138
6.	CONCLUSIONS.....	141
7.	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	144
7.1	CULTURAL CHANGE	144
7.2	EDUCATION AND LICENCING	145
7.3	HEALTH SYSTEMS	146
7.4	SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	149
7.5	PRODUCT STEWARDSHIP	150

8. APPENDICES.....152

9. REFERENCES267

TABLES

Table 1: Australian Standard for Termite Management	27
Table 2: Severity and prognosis of acute organophosphate intoxication at different levels of AChE inhibition.....	27
Table 3: Identification, physical and chemical properties of chlorpyrifos.....	28
Table 4: Details of the company distribution and types of application included in the study	55
Table 5: Details of the recruitment of operators to the study assessment techniques.....	56
Table 6: Summary of recruitment of workers to each assessment technique	57
Table 7: Health status, neuropsychological symptoms and work practices questionnaire for pesticide applicators.	63
Table 8: Deposition rate ($\mu\text{g cm}^{-2} \text{ hour}^{-1}$) of chlorpyrifos on sections removed from workers overalls	99
Table 9: Deposition of chlorpyrifos on overalls.	100
Table 10: Deposition rate of chlorpyrifos (mg hour^{-1}) on cotton gloves worn under protective gloves (if any).....	101
Table 11: Deposition rate ($\mu\text{g cm}^{-2} \text{ hour}^{-1}$) of chlorpyrifos on patches attached to the skin of workers.....	101
Table 12: Percentage penetration ¹ of chlorpyrifos through clothing (all application types)	101
Table 13: Comparison of total deposition ¹ (mg hr^{-1}) on overalls and skin patches	102

Table 14: Pesticide applicator breathing-zone chlorpyrifos concentrations during application ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$).....	102
Table 15: Urinary metabolite values for pesticide workers	103
Table 16: Biomarker values for pesticide workers	103

FIGURES

Figure 1: Live termites in partially consumed timber.....	24
Figure 2: Partially consumed timber.....	24
Figure 3: Termite mounds near Onslow (north Western Australia)	24
Figure 4: Structure of Chlorpyrifos.....	27
Figure 5: Summary of the Schneider et al (1999) Conceptual model.....	50
Figure 6: A new pair of cotton overalls and gloves was provided for each worker.....	71
Figure 7: Photograph of worker wearing sampling equipment.....	72
Figure 8: Diagram with legend showing the position of the sections removed from the overall.....	73
Figure 9: Location of the plastic-backed paper patches used to collect deposited chlorpyrifos, taped to the participant's skin at 7 locations indicated by the grey square.	74
Figure 10: Photograph of a worker showing the position of arm skin patches.....	75
Figure 11: Photograph of the protective holder and glass OVS sampling tube	75
Figure 12: Photograph of a portable sampling pump, connecting tube, protective holder and glass OVS sampling tube.....	76
Figure 13: Photograph of blood sampling equipment.....	76