

**Bat community structure and habitat use across logging  
regimes in jarrah eucalypt forests of south-western Australia**

**Paul W. Webala**

**School of Biological Sciences**

**Faculty of Sustainability, Environment and Life Sciences**

**Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia**

**Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of**

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**August 2010**

## Abstract

---

In many parts of the world, the increasing demand for timber and other forest products has led to loss, fragmentation, degradation or modification of natural forest habitats. The consequences of such habitat changes have been well studied for some animal groups, however not much is known of their effects on bats. In Australia, logging of native forests is a major threat to the continent's biodiversity and while logging practices have undergone great changes in the past three decades to selective logging (including ecologically sustainable forest management), which is more sympathetic to wildlife, there is still concern about the effects of logging on the habitat of many forest-dwelling animals. The goal of this thesis was to investigate the effects of logging on the bat species assemblages at both community and individual species levels in terms of their foraging and roosting ecology in jarrah forests of south-western Australia. This information is necessary to strengthen the scientific basis for ecologically sustainable forest management in production forests. The outcome of this research may help in the formulation of policy and management decisions to ensure the long-term maintenance and survival of viable populations of forest-dwelling bats in these altered environments. Bats were selected because they comprise more than 25% of Australia's mammal species and constitute a major component of Australia's biodiversity. In addition, bats play key roles in forest dynamics and may act as indicators of disturbance. In the jarrah forests, bats are a significant proportion of the mammal fauna (9 of around 30 native extant species).

As a basis of understanding how bats use modified habitats, nine species of bats were investigated by assessing their foraging and commuting habits (measured as bat

activity) in different forest types (logged, young regrowth and old regrowth forest). To assess patterns of habitat use across a gradient of managed forest conditions, and to help predict impacts of logging on bats, four replicates were selected from each of three distinct post-harvest management treatments, recently logged forest or gaps (<6 years since logging), young regrowth forest (12–30 years since logging) and old regrowth forest (> 30 years old). Sites were monitored for bat activity on two nights, with Anabat detectors placed on track and off-track positions. The relationships between bat species assemblages in terms of their relative use and foraging activity and various forest structural variables, and the relationship between bats and the insect biomass were examined in order to identify the effects on the bat fauna of historical logging practices. Overall, 12 sites were sampled (four sites for each forest type) with bat activity and vegetation structure conducted on-track and off-track at each site and insect abundance sampled only at off-track sites.

Secondly, because roosts are an important resource for bats, and may be a limiting factor in modified landscapes, we investigated the roosting requirements of two sympatric species of jarrah forest-dwelling vespertilionid bats, the Southern forest bat *Vespadelus regulus* and Gould's long-eared bat *Nyctophilus gouldi*. Their sensitivity to the loss of roost sites from logging and the effectiveness of current management practices at conserving appropriate roost sites were examined. As part of the research, tree (age, size, type, condition, presence of hollows, loose bark) and landscape characteristics (elevation, logging history, distance to water holes and creeklines, etc) of roosting sites were compared with random trees and their surrounding forest structure at local roost tree and broader landscape scales to determine whether bats selected roost trees and sites with particular characteristics.

The fieldwork was carried out during 2007 – 2009 and information was gathered through capture, radiotelemetry and passive monitoring using echolocation call detectors. Specifically, harp traps and radiotelemetry were used for roost-selection studies while Anabat bat detectors were used to assess bat activity (commuting, foraging) among different logging histories and in response to forest structural attributes and insect activity. Light traps were used to assess insect availability in relation to bat activity and forest structure.

The activity of different bat species related in different ways to the structural vegetation parameters, generally reflecting bat echolocation ability and manoeuvrability. Bats tended to use tracks more than off-track locations, thereby avoiding clutter at off-track locations. At the same time, tracks recorded similar activity across logging histories. However, off-track activity in old regrowth was significantly greater than either young regrowth or recently logged forest. Two taxa, *Vespadelus regulus* and *Nyctophilus* spp. were more active in old regrowth than other logging histories. Similarly, *V. regulus*, *Nyctophilus* spp., *Chalinolobus gouldii*, *C. morio* and *Falsistrellus mackenziei* activity was significantly greater on-track than off-track, but this activity was similar on-track across forest types, suggesting bats' use of forest tracks was unaffected by logging. As an indication of the association of low bat activity off-track with clutter, negative relationships of under-storey clutter were the most consistent predictors of bat habitat use. Conversely, reduced clutter and abundant roost resources seemed the most likely explanations for greater activity at old regrowth sites.

There were both inter-specific similarities and differences in the selection and location of roost trees and roost sites between *V. regulus* and *N. gouldi*. Both species were highly selective, preferring old large trees (> 80 cm diameter at breast height over bark – DBHOB) at intermediate or advanced stages of decay, crown senescence and deterioration with a lower percent bark cover compared to random trees. Both species also selected hollows for roosting, with *V. regulus* roosting exclusively in hollows but a few *N. gouldi* also used roosts under decorticated bark, cracks and under balga (*Xanthorrhoea preissii*) skirts. *V. regulus* preferred tall trees in the canopy with roost entrances high above the ground with little surrounding vegetation while *N. gouldi* preferred roosting closer to the ground and in dense clutter. In general, little evidence was found of bats roosting in either shelterwood creation or gap release silvicultural treatments, although a few *N. gouldi* bats roosted in retained habitat, or remnant, trees in these silvicultural treatments. Only riparian buffers and structurally mature forests appeared to provide multiple alternate roosts, containing a higher density of trees with hollows required by bats for roosting. In contrast, gap release and shelterwood creation sites contained substantially lower densities of hollow bearing trees. Pockets of mature forest that were previously only lightly and selectively logged before the introduction of Ecologically Sustainable Forest Management (ESFM) were important roosting sites for bats. However, although some *N. gouldi* bats selected roosts in retained or remnant trees in gap release and shelterwood creation silvicultural treatments, it remains unclear if bats can successfully breed in such regrowth forests in the absence of older forest stands and this should be a priority for future studies.

This study demonstrated that unharvested buffer strips surrounding ephemeral streams, and more open mature forests, with reduced midstoreys, were important roosting habitats for bats because they provided a large pool of older and mature trees in a variety of decay classes as roost sites. With short logging rotations in the jarrah forests and with only approximately 39 % total forest area currently reserved from logging in the study area, the roosting requirements of bats may be affected negatively as the abundance of old trees with hollows, exfoliating bark and other forms of senescence may be reduced. Thus, although this study demonstrated the importance of mature forest and buffers as mitigating measures on bat roost sites, it was unclear whether the area of retained habitat is adequate for roosting bats given the dynamics of logging regimes in the jarrah forests, and this should be a priority to address in future research.

As the only mammals capable of true flight, bats may persist in selectively logged forests. However, as this study showed, bats are specialised in their foraging and roosting requirements. Therefore, the maintenance of forest tracks and the protection, and sustained recruitment, of hollow-bearing trees are essential for the conservation of these animals in such modified landscapes. Current management practices in the jarrah forests have created a mosaic of successional stages within logged landscapes that may satisfy the foraging requirements of many bat species. This is especially true because tracks and unlogged buffers and structurally mature forest with reduced clutter provided access to post-disturbance forests such as regrowth areas. The study also demonstrated that habitat retention, as provided by adjacent streamside buffers and mature forest in the jarrah forests were important roost sites for bats, and could mitigate against logging impacts in the long term. However, retained habitat trees in

logged coupes were avoided by roosting bats and further studies are required to demonstrate if these can be used effectively by viable bat populations, especially in the absence mature unlogged forest and unlogged riparian buffers nearby. In addition, further research is required to shed light on bat overwintering and maternity roost sites that are important for the survival of bat populations. In addition, a long-term study to clarify temporal/seasonal and intra-specific variation in bat distribution and roost site selection needs to be undertaken in the jarrah forests of south-western Australia to better determine if current ESFM practices are effective at maintaining bat populations in logged forests.

## Statement of Originality

---

I certify that the thesis entitled

**Bat community structure and habitat use across logging regimes in jarrah  
eucalypt forests of South-western Australia**

submitted for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

is the result of my own work and that where reference is made to the work of others,  
due acknowledgment is given.

I also certify that any material in the thesis which has been accepted for a degree by  
any other university or institution is identified in the text and duly acknowledged.

**Tuesday, March 15, 2011**

---

**Paul W. Webala**



# Table of Contents

---

<i>Bat community structure and habitat use across logging regimes in jarrah eucalypt forests of south-western Australia</i> .....	<i>i</i>
Abstract .....	ii
Statement of Originality .....	viii
Table of Contents .....	ix
List of Tables .....	xii
List of Figures .....	xiv
List of Plates .....	xvi
Preface .....	xvii
Names, addresses and roles of supervisors and/or co-authors (in alphabetical order) .....	xviii
Acknowledgements .....	xix
<i>Chapter 1</i> .....	<i>1</i>
<i>General Introduction</i> .....	<i>1</i>
1.1 Impacts of logging on forest ecosystems, insects and bats .....	1
1.2 Bat responses to logging impacts .....	7
1.3 Roost selection by bats .....	16
1.4 Fidelity of bats to roosts .....	21
1.5 The problem statement .....	22
1.6 Content of the thesis .....	29

1.7 References.....	30
<b>Chapter 2.....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b><i>Study species, study area and logging history.....</i></b>	<b>48</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	49
2.2 Study species.....	49
2.2 Study area and logging history .....	53
2.3 References.....	55
<b>Chapter 3.....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b><i>Bat habitat use in logged jarrah eucalypt forests of south-western Australia.....</i></b>	<b>59</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	61
3.2 Materials and methods .....	63
3.3 Results.....	70
3.4 Discussion .....	83
3.5 References.....	89
<b>Chapter 4.....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b><i>Roost site selection by Southern forest bat <i>Vespadelus regulus</i> and Gould's long-eared bat <i>Nyctophilus gouldi</i> in logged Jarrah forests, south-western Australia .....</i></b>	<b>95</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	100

4.2 Methods.....	104
4.3 Results.....	115
4.3 Discussion.....	126
4.4 References.....	135
<b>Chapter 5.....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b><i>General discussion: Bat general habitat use in logged jarrah forests</i></b>	<b>145</b>
5.1 References.....	155

# List of Tables

---

## Chapter 1

Table 1.1. Definitions of forest structure, function and composition (from Lindenmayer and Franklin, 2002; Franklin <i>et al.</i> , 2002).....	3
Table 1.2. The expected responses of Western Australian bats to the post-logging effects in jarrah forests, south-western Australia, predicted from the eco-morphology and echolocation call attributes.....	13

## Chapter 3

Table 3.1. <i>F</i> -values from repeated measures ANOVAs for effects of logging history and detector position on bat activity, with year as within factor and logging history and detector position as between factors. Significant results are denoted by asterisks after models were rerun without non-significant interactions. ....	74
Table 3.2. <i>F</i> -values from repeated measures ANOVAs for effects of logging history and detector position on bat feeding buzzes, with year as within factor, and logging history and detector position as between factors. Significant results are denoted by asterisks and interactions are not presented as all were non significant. ....	80
Table 3.3. Results of repeated measures ANOVA for effect of logging history on insect dry biomass, with year as within factor, and logging history as between factor. Significant results are denoted by asterisks and interactions are not presented as all were non significant. ....	82

## Chapter 4

Table 4.1. Variables measured for the roost sites of the Southern forest bat ( <i>Vespadelus regulus</i> ) and Gould's Long-eared Bat ( <i>Nyctophilus gouldi</i> ) and comparative random sites in the jarrah forests, south-western Australia. Random trees were measured both locally around roost trees and in the broader forest landscape.....	112
Table 4.2. Number of transmitters attached to each sex and species of bat, the number never located, number of roosts located and the corresponding number of potential roost trees measured in the jarrah forests, south-west Western Australia. The number of individuals found in one roost is the difference between column 3 and the sum of columns 7, 8 and 9. ....	117
Table 4.3. A comparison of continuous and ordinal variables for roost trees and plots of Southern forest bats ( <i>Vespadelus regulus</i> ) roosts with random trees and plots in the jarrah forests, south-western Australia, February – March 2009. Means ( $\pm$ S.E.) are presented, as are Mann-Whitney <i>U</i> -test results with associated probabilities. Significant results at $P = 0.05$ are shown in bold. ....	123
Table 4.4. A comparison of continuous and ordinal variables for roost trees and plots of Gould's long-eared bat ( <i>Nyctophilus gouldi</i> ) roosts with random trees and plots in the jarrah forests, south-western Australia, February – March 2009. Means ( $\pm$ S.E.) are presented; as are Mann-Whitney <i>U</i> -test results with associated probabilities. Significant results at $P = 0.05$ are shown in bold. ....	124
Table 4.5. Differences among continuous and ordinal variables between roosts that were used as roost sites by Southern forest bats ( <i>Vespadelus regulus</i> ; $n = 10$ ) and those used by Gould's long-eared bat ( <i>Nyctophilus gouldi</i> ; $n = 11$ ), at the focal-roost level and in the surrounding plots in the jarrah forests, south-western Australia, February – March 2009. Significant results are shown in bold.....	125

## List of Figures

---

### Chapter 3

- Fig. 3.1. Map showing the spatial arrangement of sampling sites. Inset shows the location of the study area within Western Australia ..... 64
- Fig. 3.2. Differences in vegetation clutter indices (untransformed mean  $\pm$  SE) in three logging histories (young regrowth = black, logged forest = grey and old regrowth = white), on-track and off-track for (a) understorey and (b) overstorey. Different letters denote significant differences at  $P < 0.05$ ..... 71
- Fig. 3.3. Differences in bat activity (untransformed mean  $\pm$  SE) in three logging histories (same key as Fig. 2) in both on-track and off-track positions for (a) overall bat activity, (b) *Chalinolobus gouldii*, (c) *Vespadelus regulus*, (d) *Falsistrellus mackenziei*, (e) *C. morio* and (f) *Nyctophilus* spp. Note that y-axis values vary between species..... 77
- Fig. 3.4. Differences in feeding activity (untransformed mean  $\pm$  SE) in three logging histories (same key as Fig. 2), on-track and off-track for (a) overall feeding buzzes; (b) *Chalinolobus gouldii*; (c) *C. morio*; (d) *Vespadelus regulus*; (e) *Falsistrellus mackenziei*. Note that y-axis values vary between species. .... 79

### Chapter 4

- Fig. 4.1a. General map showing bat roost and capture sites. Inset shows the location of the study area within Western Australia ..... 107
- Fig. 4.1b. Map showing bat roost sites at the northern capture site of the study area. Inset shows the location of the study area within Western Australia ..... 107
- Fig. 4.1c. Map showing bat roost sites at the southern capture site of the study area. Inset shows the location of the study area within Western Australia ..... 108

Fig. 4.2. Two-dimensional ordination (semi-strong hybrid multidimensional scaling ordination) based on measured variables of roost and random trees for *N. gouldi* [A (jarrah; ANOSIM Global test statistic,  $R = 0.64$ ;  $P = 0.001$ ); B (marri; ANOSIM Global test statistic,  $R = 0.67$ ;  $P = 0.001$ )] and *V. regulus* [C (jarrah; ANOSIM Global test statistic,  $R = 0.51$ ;  $P = 0.001$ ) and D (marri; ANOSIM Global test statistic,  $R = 0.94$ ;  $P = 0.002$ )].  
..... 122

## List of Plates

---

### **Chapter 2**

Plate 2.1. The chocolate-wattled bat (*Chalinolobus morio*).....48

### **Chapter 3**

Plate 3.1. The Southern freetail bat (*Mormopterus* species 4).....59

### **Chapter 4**

Plate 4.1. An alert Gould's longeared bat (*Nyctophilus gouldi*). .....95

Plate 4.2. The southern forest bat (*Vespadelus regulus*) in flight. ....96

Plate 4.3. The *Nyctophilus gouldi* roost located under the skirt of a balga grass tree.....97

Plate 4.4. The *Nyctophilus gouldi* roost located in hollow in a burnt jarrah log.....98

### **Chapter 5**

Plate 5.1. Jarrah roost tree for southern forest bat (*Vespadelus regulus*).....145



## Preface

---

During my PhD project, I designed the study in collaboration with my supervisors, Michael Craig, Stuart Bradley, Bradley Law (informally), Kyle Armstrong, and Adrian Wayne. With the help of volunteers, I collected all the data; undertook all statistical analyses with assistance of my supervisors; drafted and revised all chapters (including those accepted for publications and/or already published); and took all photographs included in this thesis, with the exception of a few, which have been acknowledged.

### Structure of the thesis

The main chapters in this thesis have been published as journal articles and are indicated below:

**Chapter 3:** Webala, P. W., Craig, M.D., Law, B.S., Armstrong, K.N., Wayne, A.F. & Bradley,

J.S. (*in press*) Bat habitat use in logged jarrah eucalypt forests, south-western Australia.

*Journal of Applied Ecology*, no. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01934.x

**Chapter 4:** Webala, P. W., Craig, M.D., Law, B.S., Wayne, A.F. & Bradley, J.S. (2010) Roost

site selection by southern forest bat *Vespadelus regulus* and Gould's long-eared bat

*Nyctophilus gouldi* in logged jarrah forests; south-western Australia. *Forest Ecology and Management* **260**, 1780–1790.

The articles were written with the support of some or all of my supervisors, who have therefore been included as co-authors (indicated below).

## **Names, addresses and roles of supervisors and/or co-authors (in alphabetical order)**

- **Adrian F. Wayne**, Science Division, Department of Environment and Conservation, Locked Bag 2, Manjimup, WA 6258, Australia. Overall supervision of thesis, especially with regard to fieldwork and assisted with the drafting and editing of Chapter 4 and the subsequent drafting of the manuscript arising thereof for publication
- **Bradley S. Law**, NSW Department of Primary Industries, West Pennant Hills, PO Box 100, Beecroft, NSW, 2119, Australia. Special support in the thesis write up and guidance in the development of both manuscripts for publication. Brad also provided technical input on aspects of Australian bat ecology, especially with regard to bat foraging and roosting requirements.
- **Kyle N. Armstrong**, University of Adelaide, Darling Building, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, North Terrace Campus, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia. Overall supervision of thesis but special input in the bat activity study
- **J. Stuart Bradley**, Murdoch University, School of Biological Sciences, South St, Murdoch, WA 6150, Perth, Australia. Overall supervision of this thesis but also greatly assisted with problematic data analyses and facilitated with fieldwork.
- **Michael D. Craig**, Murdoch University, School of Biological Sciences, South St, Murdoch, WA 6150, Perth, Australia. Overall supervision of this thesis, but especially data analyses, fieldwork and invaluable guidance in the drafting of the manuscripts for publication.

## Acknowledgements

---

This thesis arose solely out of years of research that has been done since I came to Australia in late 2006. During this period, I have worked with a great number of people whose contribution in assorted ways to the research and the making of the thesis deserve special mention, and I would like to thank all who have helped and inspired me during the long journey that is my doctoral study.

I specially would like to thank my principal supervisor, Prof J. Stuart Bradley, for his support and guidance during my research and study at Murdoch University. First, I thank Stuart for his confidence in me by agreeing to supervise me and subsequently supporting my initial application for admission and scholarship at Murdoch University. More significantly, Stuart provided unflinching encouragement and support in various ways, whilst allowing me the room to work in my own ways. His truly scientific intuition has made him as a constant oasis of ideas and passions in science, which exceptionally inspired and enriched my growth as a student, a researcher and a scientist. I could not have wished for a better or friendlier supervisor. I am indebted to him more than he knows.

Special thanks go to my co-supervisor, Dr Michael Craig, for his advice, supervision, and crucial contribution, which made him a backbone of this research and so too this thesis. His involvement with his originality has triggered and nourished my intellectual maturity that I will benefit from, for a long time to come. I have also benefited by advice and guidance from Mike who also always granted me his time (without appointment) even for answering some of my unintelligent questions about statistical analysis issues and experimental design. Mike is most responsible for

helping me complete the writing of this thesis as well as the challenging research underlying it. Mike has been a friend and mentor. Alongside Brad Law and Adrian Wayne, he taught me how to write academic papers. As a result, research life became smooth and rewarding for me. Mike, I am grateful in every possible way and hope to keep up our collaboration in the future.

Many thanks go also to my other co-supervisors, Dr Adrian Wayne and Dr Kyle Armstrong. I am much indebted to Adrian for his invaluable advice in science discussion, supervision in the field and furthermore, using his precious times to read the many manuscript drafts I sent through and gave his critical comments about them. Adrian also facilitated the fieldwork and despite a very busy schedule with the DEC's often unending conservation and management work, Adrian was there for me whenever I needed his professional and moral support, guidance and advice. Kyle was instrumental in first introducing me to Australia but, more significantly, to the use of Anabat bat detectors, Anabats software and the whole science of bat echolocation. Through several crash programmes, Kyle singlehandedly taught me how to use the bat detectors and the analyses of data arising from them and although the information and downloadable softwares appeared too many to assimilate, these would later be invaluable in my understanding of the ecology of jarrah forest bats.

I gratefully thank Dr Bradley Law, my unofficial supervisor, since I came to Australia. Brad guided me through ecological research on bats and I learned a lot from his vast experience in eastern Australia and elsewhere. Brad now probably understands jarrah forest and its bat fauna, without actually visiting south-western Australia, by reading through and revising the many documents I sent him from my initial proposal through the draft manuscripts. This thesis would not have been possible without his constructive comments, criticisms and suggestions throughout my stay in Australia.

I am also very grateful to Norm Mackenzie of the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), who “introduced” me to bats in Western Australia. It was Norm’s suggestion that there were knowledge gaps regarding bats of south-western Australian forests, which we duly picked up. I would like to thank Brad Barton, also from DEC, for providing additional Anabat detectors that effectively reduced the field workload and considerably reduced the duration of the fieldwork. I am also greatly indebted to Janet Farr and Allan Wills, also from DEC (Manjimup), for providing the light-trapping equipment, space in the insectory and for their invaluable help with the sorting and analyses of insect samples. I am particularly indebted to Allan for making time off his busy programme not only to help with the insect sampling, sorting and analyses and providing tips on how to use the insect-trapping equipment but also making sure that I was doing the right thing according to the standard insect sampling and reporting procedures.

I thank Kellie and Carole Paterson, who volunteered their time and even resources for the entire period of the fieldwork. The often long and arduous data collection process would not have been completed without their support, and I really enjoyed their company and anecdotes of their knowledge of the local fauna and flora. I am also indebted to Dr Holger Eichstaedt who volunteered his time and equipment in helping out with the radiotracking component of the project.

I would also like to acknowledge Ms Ann Butcher (Murdoch University), who unofficially acted like my mother in Australia. Ann facilitated my entire PhD program and rescued me from various red tape situations and bureaucratic processes and was always supportive, even when I was too demanding. As well as keeping me stocked with general supplies and equipment, Ann has also inadvertently, and without fail, provided something much greater in all the years I have

known her: a friendly smile and a hello every time I went to her office for one favour or another, and these were many. Her willingness to help students and make sure everyone has a good time was hitherto unprecedented in my life as a student and wildlife scientist. Thank you so much Ann.

Beyond bats, my deepest gratitude goes to my family in Kenya for their unflagging support throughout my life. I am indebted to my father, Elijah Webala, for his care and love. As a typical father in rural western Kenya, he worked industriously to support the family and spared no effort to provide the best possible environment for us to grow up and attend school. My mother, Robai, played her roles as well and she is simply the best. I feel proud of my sister, Mary, who was my role model during my teenage years and supported me by paying part of my tuition fees through high school and my first degree in Kenya. To my family I owe them enormous gratitude.

I thank my employers in Kenya, the Kenya Wildlife Service, especially its Director, Dr Julius Kipng'etich, for granting me leave for my study and stay in Australia. My immediate boss, the Principal, Kenya Wildlife Service Training Institute, Prof. George Otian'ga Owiti, has been very supportive during the period of my study and I am duly very grateful.

I acknowledge the School of Biological Sciences, Murdoch University, which provided the support and equipment I needed to produce and complete my thesis. My PhD study in Australia was funded by a scholarship from Murdoch University via the Endeavour International Postgraduate Research Scholarship, with the tacit support of my principal supervisor, Prof. J. S. Bradley. My research to study and handle animals got approval from Murdoch University Animal Ethics Committee (Approval W2055/07) and I am duly grateful. My research project received generous grants from the ANZ Holsworth Wildlife Trust, Wildlife Preservation Society

of Australia, Bat Conservation International (USA) and the Department of Environment and Conservation, Science Division (Western Australia). I thank the DEC for providing collecting permits and permission to work in the jarrah forests (permits no. SF6403 and CE 02038). In addition, DEC provided accommodation and logistical support, including office space, for the project during the entire study period.