

The biology of four tuskfish species (*Choerodon*: Labridae)
in Western Australia

By

David Fairclough

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at Murdoch University

2005

DECLARATION

I declare that the information contained in this thesis
is the result of my own research unless otherwise cited

.....
David Fairclough

2005

Abstract

The biology of four species of *Choerodon* (Labridae), the blue tuskfish *C. cyanodus*, the bluespotted tuskfish *C. cauteroma*, the baldchin groper *C. rubescens* and the blackspot tuskfish *C. schoenleinii* was studied in Shark Bay in Western Australia. These species are fished commercially and/or recreationally in this large subtropical marine embayment, which is a world heritage area. The biology of *C. rubescens* was also studied in the Abrolhos Islands, which are located ~ 300 km to the south of Shark Bay, where this labrid is an important commercial and recreational fish species. The broad aims of this project were to determine the following for the above four *Choerodon* species in Shark Bay. (1) Whether they are protogynous hermaphrodites, as is the case with many labrids. (2) The biological variables required for developing management plans for these species, such as the timing of spawning, the lengths and ages at both maturity and sex change, size and age compositions and growth parameters, and (3) the habitat types occupied during their life cycles and also of the purple tuskfish *Choerodon cephalotes*. Finally, comparisons are made between the age and size compositions, growth and reproductive biology of *C. rubescens* in Shark Bay and the Abrolhos Islands. Where relevant, the underlying hypotheses for the individual studies conducted during this PhD are included in the following chapters.

A macroscopic and histological examination of the gonads of the full size range of *C. cyanodus*, *C. cauteroma*, *C. rubescens* and *C. schoenleinii*, together with an analysis of the length and age compositions of female, transitional (individuals changing sex) and male individuals, demonstrated that each of these species is a protogynous hermaphrodite, *i.e.* individuals change sex from female to male during their life cycle. The gonads of all small (< *ca* 100 mm) and young (< *ca* 1 year old) individuals of each species comprised solely ovarian tissue and thus the individuals of each species began life as a female. All individuals subsequently become sexually mature as females and then later in life some will change to males. Since this was found to be the only method of sex change in these species, they are termed monandric. Individuals that were changing sex contained “undelimited type 2” gonads *sensu* Sadovy and Shapiro (1987). These gonads contained both ovarian and testicular tissue that was intermixed and not separated by connective tissue. The males of each species possessed secondary testes, which retained structures of the ovary they had replaced, such as a membrane-lined ovarian lumen, lamellae and ovary wall. Furthermore, histological sections indicated that sperm were transported towards the outer walls of the testes, where the multiple

sperm sinuses present in that region were presumably responsible for transporting sperm to the cloaca, rather than to a singular sperm duct as is the case with gonochoristic species.

The typically large size and different colour of the males of *C. rubescens*, *C. schoenleinii* and *C. cauteroma* and the bias in the sex ratios of their adults towards females suggests that the males of each of these species are either harem, *i.e.* permanently territorial, or form leks, *i.e.* are temporarily territorial during their spawning seasons. In these three species, the presence of ripe testes that are far smaller than ripe ovaries and the release by females of eggs in batches are consistent with a single male spawning with an individual female, as commonly occurs in harem/lekking species. In contrast to the above species, *C. cyanodus* was not sexually dichromatic, the sex ratio was not biased towards either sex and the weight of ripe testes remained relatively constant as body weight increased. The latter implies that the relative investment of energy by males into testicular development during the spawning season declines with increasing fish size. Thus, the males of *C. cyanodus* may be opportunistic spawners when small, possibly spawning in groups, and may tend towards a harem or lek mode of life when larger.

The respective lengths and ages at which 50% of the females of *C. cyanodus*, *C. cauteroma* and *C. schoenleinii* attained sexual maturity (L_{50m} , A_{50m}) in Shark Bay were *ca* 129, 196 and 253 mm and 2.3, 2.0 and 3.5 years of age. The corresponding L_{50m} and A_{50m} for *C. rubescens* in Shark Bay and the Abrolhos Islands were *ca* 274 and 279 mm, respectively, and 2.7 and 4.1 years of age, respectively. The respective lengths and ages at which 50% of the females of *C. cyanodus*, *C. cauteroma* and *C. schoenleinii* changed to males (L_{50c} , A_{50c}) in Shark Bay were 221, 310 and 556 mm and 4.1, 6.4 and 10.4 years of age. The length at which *C. rubescens* changed sex (L_{50c}) was significantly greater in Shark Bay (545 mm) than in the Abrolhos Islands (479 mm), whereas the reverse pertained with respect to the age at sex change (A_{50c}), *i.e.* 10.5 vs 11.9 years of age. Since some females were found in the oldest age classes of each species in Shark Bay and in the population of *C. rubescens* in the Abrolhos Islands, some of the females of each species do not apparently change sex.

The trends exhibited by the gonadosomatic indices of females and males and the stages of ovarian development in sequential months demonstrated that the spawning periods of each species varied. Thus, *C. rubescens* (in both Shark Bay and the Abrolhos Islands) and *C. cauteroma* spawn predominantly in spring, whereas spawning occurs in late spring/early summer in *C. schoenleinii* and in summer in *C. cyanodus*. As

C. schoenleinii, *C. cyanodus* and *C. cauteroma* occur predominantly within the inner gulfs of Shark Bay, the offset in the timing of their spawning periods would be likely to reduce any potential for competition between the larvae of those three species for resources.

The trends exhibited by the mean monthly marginal increments in sectioned otoliths with differing numbers of opaque zones demonstrated that, in each species, those opaque zones were laid down annually. Thus, the numbers of opaque zones in the sectioned otoliths of individuals of each species could be used, in conjunction with the birth date and time of year when those zones are delineated, to determine their approximate ages at capture. The maximum ages recorded for the four *Choerodon* species in Shark Bay ranged only from 12 to 16 years. However, in that environment, the maximum lengths of *C. rubescens* (649 mm) and *C. schoenleinii* (805 mm) were far greater than those of *C. cauteroma* (424 mm) and *C. cyanodus* (382 mm). In contrast to the situation with *C. rubescens* in Shark Bay, this species reached a substantially older maximum age (22 years), but slightly shorter length (629 mm), and grew at a slower rate in the Abrolhos Islands, possibly reflecting the influence of greater productivity in Shark Bay and/or greater densities of this species in the Abrolhos Islands.

Although a few *C. rubescens* and *C. schoenleinii* reach large sizes in Shark Bay, most of the individuals of these species were less than 400 mm, their minimum legal length (MLL) for capture. This raises the possibility that these two sought after species, *i.e.* the seventh and ninth most abundant species in the recreational fishery in Shark Bay, are subjected to substantial fishing pressure. Sampling for *C. cyanodus* was considered representative of the sites that this species occupies in Shark Bay and the sampling methods would have been likely to have captured the full size range of this tuskfish. Thus, the failure to catch any *C. cyanodus* greater than 400 mm indicates that, in Shark Bay, this species does not grow to the far greater lengths of about 600 mm reported for this species as a maximum by Allen (1999). Furthermore, the 400 mm MLL for this species in Western Australia precludes the retention by fishers of this species in this environment. *Choerodon cauteroma* was caught at lengths up to 424 mm, which is greater than the maximum of 360 mm reported for this species (Allen, 1999). Although there is no MLL for *C. cauteroma*, recreational fishers are restricted to a bag limit of four fish per person per day, as is the case with all other tuskfish species.

Since fishers target large fish preferentially and the largest size classes of each of the species of tuskfish are dominated by males, heavy fishing pressure has the potential to remove a large proportion of the males of the *Choerodon* species that are

fished in Shark Bay, *i.e.* *C. rubescens*, *C. schoenleinii* and *C. cauteroma*, and also of *C. rubescens* in the Abrolhos Islands. Since the ratio of females to males in catches of *C. rubescens* taken by the commercial fishery in the Abrolhos Islands are *ca* 1:1 and yet the typical adult sex ratio is heavily biased towards females (*ca* 14:1), that fishery is removing a substantial proportion of the males from the population. Protogynous hermaphroditic species are apparently able to respond to such pressure on the males by initiating a change in sex by the larger females. However, there is evidence from studies of other protogynous species that heavy size-selective fishing can lead to a reduction in the size and age at which a species changes sex and ultimately to a collapse in the stock.

The results of visual surveys, when taken in conjunction with the locations of the catches of each of the five *Choerodon* species, demonstrated that *C. rubescens* lives on reefs in “oceanic” waters along the western boundary of Shark Bay, whereas *C. schoenleinii*, *C. cyanodus*, *C. cauteroma* and *C. cephalotes* are found predominantly in the two inner gulfs of this large embayment. *Choerodon cephalotes* lives almost exclusively in seagrass beds, while *C. schoenleinii* and *C. cyanodus* occupy predominantly inner gulf reefs and rocky shorelines and *C. cauteroma* occurs in all of those three habitats. *Choerodon cauteroma* was the only species that underwent an obvious size-related shift during its life cycle, moving from seagrass to hard substrates, such as inner gulf reefs and rocky shorelines, as it reached adulthood.

The biological and habitat data produced during this thesis will provide fisheries and environmental managers with the types of information that will enable them to develop management plans for conserving tuskfish species and their habitats in Shark Bay. The biological data for *C. rubescens* in the Abrolhos Islands will be able likewise to be used to develop plans for conserving the stock of this species in waters in which it is heavily fished.

Table of Contents

Abstract	3
Table of Contents	7
Acknowledgements	9
1.0 Introduction	10
1.1 General characteristics of the Labridae	11
1.2 Biology of the Labridae	14
1.3 The importance of labrids in fisheries	16
1.4 Labrids of Australia and their fisheries	17
1.5 Commercial and recreational fisheries for labrids in Western Australia	19
1.6 The tuskfishes of Western Australia	23
1.7 Aims of the study	28
2.0 Study regions and general materials and methods	30
2.1 Shark Bay World Heritage Area	31
2.2 Abrolhos Islands	35
2.3 Sampling regime in Shark Bay	36
2.4 Sampling regime in the Abrolhos Islands	37
2.5 Environmental and fish measurements	38
3.0 Reproductive biology of <i>Choerodon cyanodus</i>, <i>Choerodon cauteroma</i>, <i>Choerodon rubescens</i> and <i>Choerodon schoenleinii</i> in Shark Bay	40
3.1 Introduction	41
3.2 Materials and Methods	45
Lengths and ages at sexual maturity	46
Lengths and ages at sex change	47
3.3 Results	48
Macroscopic and histological characteristics of gonads	48
Relationship between lengths and ages at maturity and sex change	55
Sexual dichromatism	55
Sex ratios	64
Lengths and ages at maturity	65
Lengths and ages at and the timing of sex change	65
Comparison of weights of ovaries and testes	71
Water temperatures	71
Spawning periods of the four tuskfish species	74
3.4 Discussion	79
Evidence for protogynous hermaphroditism	79
Biological evidence for different spawning strategies	82
Comparison of lengths and ages at sexual maturity and sex change	84
Spawning periods and location	87
Management implications	89
4.0 Size and age compositions and growth of <i>Choerodon cyanodus</i>, <i>Choerodon cauteroma</i>, <i>Choerodon rubescens</i> and <i>Choerodon schoenleinii</i> in Shark Bay	90
4.1 Introduction	91
4.2 Materials and methods	94
Otolith preparation and precision of annulus counts	94
Validation procedures	97
Analysis of growth	97
4.3 Results	99
Length-weight relationships	99
Validation results	99
Length and age compositions of the four tuskfish species	103
Growth patterns	107
4.4 Discussion	112
Validation	112
Length and age compositions	113
Growth	116
Management implications	118

5.0 Comparisons between the biology of the baldchin groper <i>Choerodon rubescens</i> in Shark Bay and the Arolhos Islands	119
5.1 Introduction	120
5.2 Materials and methods	122
Reproductive biology	122
Ageing methods and growth analysis	124
5.3 Results	124
Water temperatures and reproductive variables.....	124
Fecundity	127
Sex ratios.....	131
Lengths and ages at maturity.....	131
Length and age compositions and lengths and ages at sex change	132
Length and age compositions at different depths	135
Growth	138
5.4 Discussion.....	141
Timing of the spawning period	141
Relationships between growth and the length and age at maturity	142
Size and age at sex change, sex ratios and the impacts of fishing	143
6.0 Distribution and habitat partitioning of <i>Choerodon</i> species in Shark Bay	145
6.1 Introduction	146
6.2 Materials and methods	148
Overall distribution.....	148
Visual surveys.....	148
Analyses.....	151
6.3 Results	153
Overall distribution of <i>Choerodon</i> species	153
Relationships between life cycle stages and habitat type	157
6.4 Discussion.....	164
7.0 Conclusions	168
Traditional considerations and current management practices for the four <i>Choerodon</i> species.....	169
Implications of protogynous hermaphroditism for management	171
Habitat partitioning.....	174
A broader perspective.....	175
References	177

Acknowledgements

In four years of doing a PhD you come across a very long list of helping hands. The biggest thanks go, of course, to my supervisor Professor Ian Potter, thank you so much for your support, guidance, encouragement and belief in me. Thank you for the opportunity to work with you. Hopefully this thesis is some reward for your time and investment in my work. It wouldn't have been possible without you. Shame you didn't make it to Shark Bay for a fishing trip or a transect amongst the tiger sharks! The study was funded by the Australian Fisheries Research and Development Corporation and Murdoch University.

Thanks to all the Murdoch crew who came on those Shark Bay adventures and put up with the wind and chop! A really, really big thanks to Simon de Lestang, William White, Alex Hesp, Matt Pember, Michael Travers, Dan French, Pete Coulson, Justin King, Thea Linke, Bryn Farmer, Steeg Hoeksema, Fiona Valesini and Dave Waayers. And the unwary volunteers: Tim, Ed, Brian, Shawny, Nathan, Alan and Dazza. Sime and Matty, being marooned on the Abrolhos Islands just wouldn't have been the same without you two! I'll never forget the squid stuffed with crayfish!!! Thanks for all your help guys. Mikey, thanks for all the tusky info and data and don't forget that 3 will always be the magic number. Thanks also to Norm Hall for your mathematical and logical genius and for just keeping me going. Tim Meecham, well done mate, you caught the biggest (10kg) bluebone. Cheers for your help and the trip to Bernier. Thank you to Sonja Schubert for your help with the fecundity work. And a big thank you to Colleen Hubbard for the moral support when I needed it the most. Special thanks to Fi for all your help with the habitat chapter and taking me to the land of the bubble and to Hesp for your biological and editing craftsmanship. A huge thanks to Will for your sampling genius (!), the blackspot data wouldn't have been so good without your efforts. To Lynna Beckley, thanks for pushing me and to Yvonne Sadovy for introducing me to the broader purpose of fish research and inviting me to join the IUCN Specialist group for groupers and wrasses. Thank you also to Robert Warner for your helpful comments on the hermaphroditic side of things. Thanks to Barry Hutchins for your help and info on your work in Shark Bay. Thank you to William Eschmeyer, Theodore Pietsch and Martin Gomon for your help with the species descriptions and thanks also to Alan Pearce for the sea surface temperature data.

Thanks to all the helpers from the Department of Fisheries Western Australia who claim that fishing for baldies was just part of their job! Ian Keay, Roy Melville-Smith, Jason How, Jason Mant, Jim 'Zorba' Christianopoulos, Rick Allison, Gary Jackson, Jeff Norris, Brenton Chatfield, Justin King, Corey Wakefield, Kim Nardi, Steve Newman and Craig. Special thanks to Kim Grey of the Shark Bay fisheries office for your assistance, interesting stories and nights out! Keep strumming that guitar, you'll make it one day mate! Hope this thesis helps you sleep at night!

To the fishers and people of Shark Bay that lent a hand and provided the frames and the stories. In particular Steve McCaskie of Fivestar fisheries, Geraldton, Jeremy and Gavin at Festival Fish and to Dean Thorburn and Lou of Seafresh. In Shark Bay itself, many many thanks to Les and Glenys Fewster of Shark Bay Charter Service for your time, support and the effort involved in getting us to Turtle Bay and back (!), to Brian and Johnno of the Shark Bay Hotel, Gary, Dave and Matt at the Heritage Hotel, to Elaine and Rob Crawford-Ferguson of Ray White Real Estate for putting us up (or putting up with us!), Kevin Crane and the staff at CALM Shark Bay, Robbie and Peter Morgan of the Monkey Mia Pearl Farm, Brad, Kath, Brett, Tammy and Skye of Tradewinds for feeding me, John and the staff at the Shell for filling us up and John Glesing of Shark Bay Salt. Thanks also to the many people who I shared a beer and story with in Shark Bay.

To my very special friends, Jason and Sandy How, for their friendship and love during some very topsy turvy times in my life! You guys were always there for me. Thank you.

To Sime. Thanks man. You've been there from the beginning and seen it through with me. Thanks for your ideas and inspiration and thanks for giving me so much help and trying to lift me when it wasn't happening. I couldn't have done it without you or your homebrew. Thanks heaps mate. Let's have a beer!

Thank you to my Mum and Dad, who although I'm sure were somewhat bemused at my continuing desire to be at Uni, believed in me and my need to do what made me happy. Thank you for supporting me, for being there for all those years and for the roast dinners. Hopefully now you can see the light too!!

To my beautiful partner, Lyndsay. Thank you so much for being there, for putting up with me and for supporting me through this. You always believed in me and I could never have done it without you. I love you girl.