

**The population and epidemiological dynamics
associated with recent decline of woylies
(*Bettongia penicillata*) in Australia.**

Carlo Pacioni

DVM, MVS (Cons Med)

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Murdoch University,

2010

Printed on recycled paper



Photo: Sabrina Trocini

To my wife and friend,

Sabrina

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work, which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

.....
Carlo Pacioni

Preface

Chapters 5, 6, 7 and 8 are either published papers or manuscripts intended for publication in scientific journals as stand-alone pieces of work. Consequently, some repetition was unavoidable. In addition, some differences in style are due to the requirements of the targeted journal. The reference style of the remaining chapters follows the current guidelines for the journal of *Conservation Biology*.

The intellectual development and writing of this thesis was carried out by the author. Inclusion of co-authors in the papers is to acknowledge the contributions of collaborators who provided tissue samples, demographic data, preliminary analysis and/or background information, as well as helpful discussions and editorial comments.

Abstract

The woylie or brush-tailed bettong (*Bettongia penicillata ogilbyi*) has recently undergone a dramatic decline (approximately 80% between 2001 and 2006). The Woylie Conservation and Research Project (WCRP) was established to investigate possible causes of this decline. It was hypothesised that predators and/or a disease may be a concomitant cause if not the primary cause(s) of the decline, based on the peculiar temporal and spatial characteristics of the decline and available associative evidence.

This research project is an integrated and collaborative component of the WCRP and its broad aim was to contribute to the knowledge on the general health and ecological attributes of woylie populations that were considered directly relevant for the conservation and recovery of the species.

Initially, the WCRP in collaboration with several researchers supported the investigation of specific pathogens. These projects were ongoing when the research described in this thesis began, however there had been no disease risk assessment prior to these ongoing pathogen studies. Therefore, a formal qualitative assessment of the disease risks potentially relevant to the woylie declines was undertaken in this study to ensure a systematic evaluation and to prioritise allocation of resources. Several pathogens were identified as a high priority for further investigation including, but not limited to, Macropod Herpesvirus (MaHV), Macropod Orbivirus (Wallal and Warrego serogroups), and Encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV).

A haematological investigation was carried out and reference ranges were established. An overall increase of the leukocytic response in animals trapped in Upper Warren (8%, n=23)

compared to woylies in Karakamia (0%) was demonstrated. Gender differences were also recorded, namely males had higher red blood cell, white blood cell and lymphocyte counts than females.

No clear evidence was found that supported an association between changes in the health status of woylies and the decline. Nevertheless, the increased proportion of lymphocytosis ($p < 0.0005$) in Perup, which includes two forest blocks that underwent a decline during sample collection, and the higher prevalence of health problems identified during the physical examinations of animals trapped in Upper Warren (41.3%, $n=557$) as compared to those from Karakamia (10%, $n=80$, $p < 0.0005$. Odds Ratio=6.33, 95% CI 2.99-13.40), justified further disease investigations.

Based on the results of the disease risk assessment and haematological analysis, the serological response to Macropod Herpesvirus (MaHV 1 and 2), Encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) and Orbivirus (Wallal and Warrego serogroups) was investigated. There was no serological evidence of any of these viruses affecting woylie populations. Nevertheless, due to sample size limitations, it was not possible to confirm the absence of these diseases with a high level of confidence (i.e. $>90\%$). Additionally, the absence of detection of seropositive individuals does not necessarily imply absence of the pathogen in the population.

Genetic profiles of indigenous (extant wild populations) and translocated woylie populations were examined in order to assess whether woylie populations were suffering from a reduced genetic "health", as a consequence of the bottleneck that occurred after European settlement. In order to do this a preliminary investigation of the cross-species performance of 32 primer pairs was carried out to assess their suitability for the aims of this study. Twelve microsatellite primer sets were identified as polymorphic and reliable for genetic analysis in woylie.

Additionally, the cross-species performance of the 32 primer pairs was analysed within the potoroines species to facilitate future ecological and genetic studies in bettongs and potoroos. A 50% reduction in amplification success of polymorphic loci for every 1 million years of evolutionary distance from taxa was found and a “priority-list” of markers for use in potoroines was identified.

Genetics does not appear to be a contributing factor to the present woylie decline. Expected heterozygosity (H_E) was around 80%, ranging from 42.3% to 83.6% and the allelic richness (N_{AR}) was around 6, ranging from 2.67 to 9.72. Nevertheless, among the indigenous populations particular concern was raised for woylies at Tutanning Nature Reserve, and for the translocated populations on the South Australian islands. These populations have a substantially reduced genetic diversity (Tutanning: $H_E = 0.64$; St Peter island: $H_E = 0.631$; Wedge island: $H_E = 0.602$; Venus Bay island “A”: $H_E = 0.423$).

Important insights were gained into woylie population structure and dynamics through the analysis of molecular data. Four genetically distinct indigenous populations were identified (i.e. Dryandra woodland and Tutanning Nature Reserve in the wheatbelt region and two discrete populations in the Upper Warren in the south-west forests of Western Australia). The mtDNA analysis showed historical connections between populations in Dryandra and the Upper Warren region (Kingston and Perup). These connections no longer exist as a result of habitat fragmentation caused by agriculture and farming land use. Additionally, substantial gene flow was identified between Kingston and Perup and was supported and quantified by microsatellite analyses in the order of 2-3% migration rate. The evidence of current gene flow within and between populations (i.e. up to 60 km) signifies that direct transmission of an aetiological agent would be possible throughout the whole Upper Warren region within the time frame experienced in the decline.

Analysis of genetic data indicated also that the woylie population in Kingston had already undergone a decline. As a consequence of this change in population abundance, the spatial genetic structure of this population changed, generating a significant correlogram up to 6 km. In other words, in this population, two woylies trapped within a radius of 6 km are likely to be related as opposed to other populations where the genetic signal drops between 1 and 3 km. Additionally, and consistent with previous ecological studies, female philopatry was confirmed and genetic consequences of this behaviour were identified.

Population viability analysis (PVA) demonstrated that the main threatening process for woylie populations is the result of the interaction of various variables (in particular predation and inbreeding) that acquire a considerable strength together, whilst not being greatly significant by themselves. It also quantified the minimum mortality rates necessary for the decline to occur (an average juvenile and subadult mortality rate of 28% and 22% for adults per 91 day time period). The minimum viable population size (MVP) estimated through PVA was consistent with the empirical evaluation based on molecular data (i.e. 1,000-2,000 individuals). As a consequence of the inherent inability of satisfactorily predicting stochastic events and incomplete knowledge on important factors that may affect population size a conservative approach should be adopted. On this basis, a population size of more than 8,000 individuals should be targeted to maximise the likelihood of positive conservation outcomes.

In light of the results of this research project, disease can not be completely dismissed as a possible cause of decline, in particular in association with predation. Haematological, serological and genetic information generated by this study greatly improved the available knowledge on the health and viability of woylie populations and represent baseline data that

will enable monitoring and detection of changes in the health status in these populations, as well as contribute to the refinement of the disease risk assessment and quarantine protocols.

The haematological data will also facilitate and improve the interpretation of disease investigations carried out by the additional collaborative components of the WCRP. Moreover, information obtained on woylie ecology through the analysis of genetic molecular data will assist such interpretations, for example by conveying indications on the frequency and extent of animal movements.

This research also provided suggestions for critical management decisions. For example, the identification of woylie populations at risk of substantial loss of genetic diversity and possibly inbreeding depression calls for appropriate management actions. Where there is no indication of any factor limiting the demographic growth of the populations (i.e. populations on South Australian islands) supplementation was identified as the most suitable management option. Based on the detailed knowledge obtained on the spatial organization of woylie populations, it is now possible to adequately source animals from indigenous populations to augment genetic diversity. Animals should be trapped at a distance of at least 1-3 km in order to maximise the probability that individuals are unrelated. On the other hand, it is critical to identify the causes currently limiting population growth prior to the implementation of these management actions, especially where limited population size (in respect to the carrying capacity) and consequent genetic drift is the main reason for the poor genetic profile of the population rather than isolation.

The PVA also helped to determine critical requirements for the establishment of new, and maintenance of, populations; more specifically that sites should be able to support a minimum

population size of 8,000 individuals and that the average mortality rate should be maintained below 22% for juveniles and 28% for adults per 91 day period.

Finally, this research helped to identify important future areas of investigation. These include longitudinal studies of the health status of individual woylies; epidemiological analysis of the data generated by this study integrated with those generated by other WCRP researchers and a quantification of the influence of ecological factors, such as rainfall and diet, on general health parameters. Additionally, regular genetic monitoring is recommended because the baseline data produced in this study and the associated ecological and demographic data available would provide an optimal opportunity to improve our understanding of genetic consequences of rapid population declines. This monitoring may help to quantify the genetic loss associated with the decline and evaluate the accuracy of PVA predictions. It might be possible to assess the success of management actions (e.g. supplementations) and detect if and when inbreeding depression becomes manifest in populations at lower genetic diversity (e.g. Tutanning).

In addition, the molecular genetic data represents the background work needed to establish the interplay between individual (host) genetic profile and disease susceptibility or fitness (including fecundity and survival). The fact that cross-species primers were used would make the utility of the knowledge acquired easily and directly applicable to other species of the superfamily Macropodoidae.

Acknowledgements

This research project would not have been possible without the support and help from many people. First, I would like to thank my supervisors, Adrian Wayne from the Western Australia Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) and Peter Spencer, Ian Robertson and Kristin Warren of Murdoch University for their support and guidance. I am particularly grateful to Adrian because he openly shared with me the demographic dataset of the populations in Upper Warren and helped in the calculations of several ecological parameters. He also shared with me ideas and opinions about the overall Woylie Conservation and Research Project (WCRP, a DEC Save Our Species project) and always took serious consideration of my suggestions, making me feel an active component of the WCRP. A special word goes also to Peter. Firstly, because he has been so “brave” to take me into his lab despite my lack of experience in molecular biology and guided my first steps into the complex field of molecular ecology. Then, for creating a relaxed and friendly environment that much has contributed to facilitate my learning progress and, let’s say it, for making population genetics so much fun. To these people, I am also deeply grateful for their patience and suggestions that greatly improved my scientific writing style and critical thinking.

I thank the Australian Academy of Science, South Coast Natural Resource Management Inc, the WCRP and DEC Science Division (PhD Student Stipend) for financial support.

A sincere thank you to the many people who contributed in the collection of field data and samples for this study, including Colin Ward, Marika Maxwell, Chris Vellios, Nicki Marlow, Peter Orell, Christine Groom, Fiona Kirkpatrick, from the DEC, Jo Williams from the Australian Wildlife Conservancy and Jason Van Weenen from the Department of Environment and

Heritage (South Australia) as well as all the volunteers of the WCRP. I am much obliged to Paul Davies (DEC) for preparing many of the graphical aids for this thesis.

I also thank Nicky Marlow for providing demographic data and significantly contributing to the analysis of woylie movements for the populations in Dryandra and Tutanning from trapping data (Chapter 8). To Marika Maxwell, I am indebted for the long hours she spent working on the centralised database to provide timely reliable and clean datasets to me, as well as for comments and constructive criticism on different aspects of the demographic analysis (Chapters 3 and 8). I deeply value the time that Mike Calver (Murdoch University) dedicated to advise me on the statistical analysis in the haematological component of this thesis (Chapter 3). Many of the disease aspects of this thesis were discussed in various Woylie Disease Reference Council meetings and I appreciate the insight given by the members, including Andrew Thompson, Alan Lymbery, Andrew Smith, Peter Adams, Peter Irwin, Stan Fenwick, Trevor Ellis, Phil Nicholls, Graeme Knowles, Halina Burmej, Nevi Parameswaran, Unaiza Parker (Murdoch University) and Paul Eden and Andrea Reiss (Perth Zoo). Particularly, Trevor Ellis, Graeme Knowles and Paul Eden contributed to the disease risk assessment with comments and edits to the early draft of the chapter (Chapter 2). I thank Paul Eden and Andrea Reiss for useful discussions and advice on the health assessment (Chapter 3). I am grateful to Trevor Ellis for his help in organising the virological investigation and Peter Kirkland (Elizabeth Macarthur Agriculture Institute, New South Wales) for providing Wallal and Warrego virus isolates and reference positive sera. The Western Australia Department of Food and Agriculture facilitated the serological tests for the Macropod Orbivirus and Encephalomyocarditis virus (Chapter 4). I am grateful to John Parkinson, Mark O’Dea and Cameron Loomes for their assistance in carrying out the tests. Macropod Herpesvirus testing was supported by Queensland Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries (Chapter 4). Timothy Mahony and Jenny Gravel’s friendly and welcoming attitude, as well as their help and

direction were much appreciated during the time I spent in Brisbane. I thank Daniel Tompkins (Landcare Research, New Zealand) for his help in the selection of the software for the population viability analysis and Philip Miller for the numerous emails to clarify technical details of VORTEX runs (Chapter 9). I am thankful to the staff of the Murdoch University Clinical Pathology Laboratory who processed the haematological samples, including Philip Clark, Gary Allen and Judy Robertson. Special thanks to Jason Stayt (Murdoch University) who found the time to discuss with me the best approach to handle the haematological results, despite being extremely busy finalising his own research.

Computer simulations were supported by iVEC through the use of advanced computing resources provided by the Informatics Facility located at Murdoch University. I am grateful to David Schibeci for his assistance with the cluster computer, and Clinton Blacow (Queensland Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries) for IT support.

I am very grateful to Mike Bunce (Murdoch University) for introducing me to advanced molecular techniques and keeping me involved in the ancient DNA woylie research he is conducting.

Numerous post-graduate students helped me in different aspects and at different stages of this research. These include Sabrina Trocini, Nicole White, Morten Allentoft, Gillian Bryant, Judy Clarke, Maria Salinas, Emma McLay, Kerry Zosky, Anna Davis, Charlotte Oskam, Helen Hunt and Helen Grimm. I really appreciate their assistance in the lab, the numerous and useful discussions, their support (practical and emotional) and their help in proof reading many chapters of this thesis. I am particularly grateful to Nicole White and Morten Allentoft for their comments on early drafts of the genetic papers and Sabrina Trocini, for her suggestions on various chapters.

Thank you so much to all my friends and family scattered in different parts of the world who supported my life choices and managed to be close to me even from far away. Also, a big thank you to all my friends in Australia for their encouragement, support and cheerful chats during my breaks from writing.

Most of all, I am deeply grateful to my wife, Sabrina. There are no words to express how much I appreciated all her love and support. Throughout the years of this research and in the face of the stressful times due to my and her PhD, Sabrina managed to be my best friend, a lovely wife and became a fantastic mum to our four month old daughter, Mayra. I owe her most of what I am and have achieved so far.

Finally, thank you very much to Mayra. She may not be aware of this, but her big smiles in the morning were a great way to kick start the day and turn it into a happy, bright and productive day.

Table of contents

| | |
|---|------|
| Preface | II |
| Abstract..... | III |
| Acknowledgements..... | IX |
| Table of contents | XIII |
| List of Tables | XIX |
| List of Figures | XXII |
| Abbreviations..... | XXIV |
| 1 General introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Biology and ecology | 2 |
| 1.3 Distribution and post-European decline..... | 4 |
| 1.4 Translocations..... | 6 |
| 1.5 The current decline | 9 |
| 1.6 Study aims and thesis structure..... | 14 |
| 2 Identification of potential diseases and qualitative risk assessment in relation to recent woylie declines..... | 17 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 17 |
| 2.2 Methods..... | 18 |
| 2.3 Results..... | 21 |
| 2.4 Discussion | 22 |
| 2.5 Conclusion..... | 26 |
| 3 General health of woylie populations in Western Australia | 35 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 35 |
| 3.2 Methods..... | 37 |

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| 3.2.1 | Statistical analysis..... | 42 |
| 3.2.2 | Multiple comparisons and type I error..... | 44 |
| 3.3 | Results | 46 |
| 3.3.1 | Biometrics..... | 46 |
| 3.3.2 | Reference ranges and variation of haematological parameters..... | 47 |
| 3.3.2.1 | Location and gender | 47 |
| 3.3.2.2 | Seasons | 50 |
| 3.3.3 | Haematological abnormalities and disease risks | 57 |
| 3.3.3.1 | Location and gender | 57 |
| 3.3.3.2 | Haematological patterns with respect to the decline and population abundance..... | 58 |
| 3.3.3.3 | Field health examinations | 64 |
| 3.4 | Discussion | 69 |
| 3.4.1 | Biometrics..... | 69 |
| 3.4.2 | Reference ranges..... | 69 |
| 3.4.3 | Location and gender..... | 70 |
| 3.4.4 | Seasons..... | 72 |
| 3.4.5 | Patterns with respect to the decline and population abundance..... | 74 |
| 3.4.6 | Future development and research..... | 75 |
| 3.5 | Conclusions..... | 77 |
| 3.5.1 | Haematological reference ranges | 77 |
| 3.5.2 | Differences in haematological parameters between locations (Upper Warren and Karakamia), populations (Kingston and Perup), gender and seasons..... | 78 |
| 3.5.3 | Associations between changes in the general health of woylies and demographic dynamics..... | 79 |
| 3.5.4 | Assessment of geographic and demographic factors | 79 |

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 4 | Virological investigation in declining woylie populations | 81 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 81 |
| 4.2 | Materials and Methods..... | 83 |
| 4.3 | Results..... | 85 |
| 4.4 | Discussion | 86 |
| 5 | Capturing genetic information using non-target species markers in a species that has undergone a population crash. | 89 |
| 5.1 | Abstract..... | 89 |
| 5.2 | Introduction | 90 |
| 5.3 | Materials and methods..... | 92 |
| 5.3.1 | Sample collection and DNA extraction | 92 |
| 5.3.2 | Microsatellites amplification | 93 |
| 5.3.3 | Genetic and Statistical analysis..... | 96 |
| 5.4 | Results..... | 97 |
| 5.5 | Discussion | 99 |
| 5.6 | Acknowledgements | 102 |
| 6 | Effects of habitat fragmentation on population structure and long distance gene flow in an endangered marsupial: the woylie. | 103 |
| 6.1 | Abstract..... | 103 |
| 6.2 | Introduction | 104 |
| 6.3 | Methods..... | 107 |
| 6.3.1 | Sample collection..... | 107 |
| 6.3.2 | DNA extraction and amplification..... | 108 |
| 6.3.3 | Phylogenetic analysis..... | 109 |
| 6.3.4 | Microsatellites analysis..... | 110 |
| 6.3.5 | Genetic diversity | 111 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 6.3.6 | Gene flow | 112 |
| 6.3.6.1 | Direct estimates..... | 112 |
| 6.3.6.2 | Indirect estimates..... | 113 |
| 6.4 | Results | 115 |
| 6.5 | Discussion | 119 |
| 6.6 | Acknowledgments | 124 |
| 7 | Genetic consequences of the founder effect and limited carrying capacity on translocated populations using the critically endangered woylie (<i>Bettongia penicillata</i>) as a model... 125 | |
| 7.1 | Abstract | 125 |
| 7.2 | Introduction..... | 126 |
| 7.3 | Methods | 129 |
| 7.3.1 | Sample collection | 129 |
| 7.3.2 | DNA extraction and amplification | 130 |
| 7.3.3 | Sequence data analysis | 131 |
| 7.3.4 | Microsatellites analysis | 132 |
| 7.4 | Results | 134 |
| 7.4.1 | Sequence data analysis | 134 |
| 7.4.2 | Microsatellites analysis | 135 |
| 7.5 | Discussion..... | 141 |
| 7.5.1 | Genetic diversity of the translocated populations..... | 141 |
| 7.5.2 | Identification of source populations | 142 |
| 7.5.3 | Implication for species management and conservation | 143 |
| 7.6 | Acknowledgements | 147 |
| 8 | An integration of genetic and demographic comparisons across populations and time reveals important insights of a species undergoing a rapid decline | 149 |
| 8.1 | Abstract | 149 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 8.2 | Introduction | 150 |
| 8.3 | Methods..... | 153 |
| 8.3.1 | Sample collection, microsatellites amplification and analysis..... | 153 |
| 8.3.2 | Spatial analysis..... | 157 |
| 8.3.3 | Detection of genetic bottlenecks..... | 159 |
| 8.4 | Results..... | 162 |
| 8.4.1 | Genetic variability in the Kingston population | 162 |
| 8.4.2 | Spatial analysis..... | 162 |
| 8.4.3 | Detection of genetic bottlenecks..... | 169 |
| 8.5 | Discussion | 170 |
| 8.5.1 | Genetic variability in the Kingston population | 170 |
| 8.5.2 | Spatial analysis..... | 170 |
| 8.5.3 | Detection of genetic bottlenecks..... | 173 |
| 8.6 | Conclusion..... | 174 |
| 8.7 | Acknowledgements | 175 |
| 9 | Population viability analysis of woylies in Upper Warren, Western Australia..... | 177 |
| 9.1 | Introduction | 177 |
| 9.2 | Materials and Methods..... | 179 |
| 9.2.1 | Assumptions..... | 180 |
| 9.2.2 | Model parameters | 180 |
| 9.2.2.1 | Baseline scenario | 184 |
| 9.2.2.2 | Additional modelling scenarios..... | 188 |
| 9.2.3 | Statistical analysis | 193 |
| 9.3 | Results..... | 194 |
| 9.3.1 | Sensitivity testing..... | 194 |
| 9.3.2 | Analysis | 195 |

| | | |
|--------|---|-----|
| 9.3.3 | Model validation | 201 |
| 9.4 | Discussion | 203 |
| 9.4.1 | Limitations of the model | 206 |
| 9.5 | Conclusions..... | 208 |
| 10 | General discussion | 211 |
| 10.1 | Health status of woylie populations..... | 212 |
| 10.2 | Woylie ecology | 216 |
| 10.3 | Woylie recovery..... | 219 |
| 10.4 | Species conservation and management | 220 |
| 10.5 | Future research | 225 |
| 10.5.1 | Epidemiology | 225 |
| 10.5.2 | Conservation genetics | 228 |
| 10.6 | Conclusions..... | 229 |
| | References | 230 |
| | Appendix 1..... | 265 |
| | Appendix 2..... | 266 |
| | Appendix 3..... | 267 |
| | Appendix 4..... | 268 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1.1 Main woylie locations, area and total number of released animals..... | 9 |
| Table 2.1 List of selected diseases considered in the disease risk assessment in the woylie: bacteria | 29 |
| Table 2.2 List of selected diseases considered in the disease risk assessment in the woylie: virus | 31 |
| Table 2.3 List of selected diseases considered in the disease risk assessment in the woylie: fungus, protozoa and toxicosis. | 33 |
| Table 3.1 Number of captured woylies in each forest block within Upper Warren and Karakamia. | 38 |
| Table 3.2 The number of blood samples collected from each population. | 40 |
| Table 3.3 Percentiles for biometric condition indeces for males and females after removal of outliers. | 47 |
| Table 3.4 Median, mean, standard deviation (SD) and 5th and 95th percentiles of haematological parameters in the Upper Warren populations. | 49 |
| Table 3.5 Median, mean, standard deviation (SD) and 5 th and 95 th percentiles of haematological parameters in Karakamia. | 50 |
| Table 3.6 Differences in haematological parameters between seasons in males from Upper Warren. | 52 |
| Table 3.7 Differences in haematological parameters between seasons in females from Upper Warren | 54 |
| Table 3.8 Differences in haematological parameters between seasons in Karakamia | 56 |
| Table 3.9 Spearman’s rho correlation coefficients between selected haematological parameters, population abundance and decline estimates in Kingston. | 60 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 3.10 Spearman’s rho correlation coefficients between selected haematological parameters, population abundance and decline estimates in Perup. | 62 |
| Table 3.11 Distribution of prevalence of health problems in Balban, Keninup and Warrup over the four years of the study (2006-2009). | 67 |
| Table 3.12 Spearman’s rho correlation coefficients between health problems, population abundance and decline estimates.* | 68 |
| Table 5.1 Details of the microsatellite loci tested with woylie (<i>Bettongia penicillata</i>) DNA extractions. | 95 |
| Table 5.2 Details of the 12 microsatellite loci amplified in woylies (<i>Bettongia penicillata</i>) and comparison with source species. | 98 |
| Table 6.1 Summary of the samples collected in each sampling location, measures of microsatellite variability [mean (\pm SE)] and genetic contribution (given as a proportion) of each of the four inferred population clusters..... | 114 |
| Table 6.2 Details of woylie individuals indentified as migrants between populations under the admixture model with correlated allele frequencies and using geographic information..... | 117 |
| Table 6.3 Pairwise estimates of F_{ST} | 118 |
| Table 6.4 Number of migrants calculated with allele frequencies (first line) and private allele methods (second line). | 119 |
| Table 7.1 Summary of the samples collected during the study at each of the field sites (sampling locations) and measures of microsatellite variability..... | 138 |
| Table 7.2 Population details and genetic contribution (given as a proportion) of each population to the six genetic clusters identified with STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al. 2000)..... | 139 |
| Table 7.3 Pairwise population F_{ST} Values | 140 |
| Table 8.1 Summary of the samples analysed in this study and measures of microsatellite variability. | 155 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 8.2 Autocorrelation analysis and multiclass tests for each gender in each population conducted separately. | 165 |
| Table 8.3 Single-class and multiclass test criteria with relative p values for comparisons between genders within the same populations | 166 |
| Table 8.4 Single-class and multiclass test criteria with relative p values for comparisons across the four populations for the same gender. | 167 |
| Table 8.5 Correlations (Mantel tests) between Queller and Goodnight (1989) relatedness and geographical distance. | 168 |
| Table 8.6 F_{ST} , Mean Assignment Index (mAlc) and Variance of Assignment Index (vAlc) tests of sex-biased dispersal | 169 |
| Table 9.1 Details of settings in VORTEX 9.96 for the baseline scenario of PVA in a woylie population in Upper Warren..... | 183 |
| Table 9.2 List of abbreviations used to identify model and population parameters in the PVA. | 184 |
| Table 9.3 Summary of mean exponential maximum growth rate calculated from transects in Winnejup and Warrup forest blocks..... | 187 |
| Table 9.4 List of scenarios and relative parameters altered with respect to the baseline scenario..... | 192 |
| Table 9.5 Summary of final population parameters and statistical analysis for different scenarios without inbreeding. | 197 |
| Table 9.6 Summary of final population parameters and statistical analysis for different scenarios with inbreeding..... | 198 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 1.1 Woylie past and present distribution (Source: Wayne et al. 2008b). | 5 |
| Figure 1.2 The location of important woylie populations in Western Australia (Source: Wayne 2008)..... | 6 |
| Figure 1.3 Woylie populations and sampling sites within the Upper Warren region. | 11 |
| Figure 1.4 Schematic representation of the spatial declines of the woylie in Perup Nature Reserve, Upper Warren (Source: A. Wayne unpublished data). | 11 |
| Figure 1.5 Average rate of decline (percentage, error bars: one standard deviation) in woylie capture rates of different forest blocks in Perup Nature Reserve adjusted to year since the start of decline (Source: Wayne et al. 2008b). | 13 |
| Figure 1.6 Capture rates of woylies over time in Perup Nature Reserve (Source: Wayne et al. 2008b)..... | 13 |
| Figure 3.1 Woylie with periocular alopecia and crusting. | 65 |
| Figure 3.2 Woylie with rump and dorsal tail base alopecia and crusting. Note also the moderate hyperkeratosis of the left side of the dorsal tail base. | 65 |
| Figure 3.3 Prevalence of health problems in Keninup grouped by season within each year of the study. Numbers above bars indicate total sample size..... | 68 |
| Figure 5.1 Amplification success of polymorphic microsatellite loci in woylies (<i>Bettongia penicillata</i>). | 100 |
| Figure 6.1 Important woylie populations (arrows) and towns (dots) in Western Australia. | 106 |
| Figure 6.2 Woylie populations and sampling sites within the Upper Warren region. | 108 |
| Figure 6.3 Phylogenetic tree..... | 116 |
| Figure 7.1 Geographical location of sampled woylie populations (modified with permission from Pacioni et al. 2011). | 131 |
| Figure 7.2 Bayesian tree based on the analysis of the partial D-loop (~600 bp). | 137 |

Figure 8.1 Forest blocks surveyed and described in the manuscript from the Upper Warren 153

Figure 8.2 Proportion of maximum straight-line distances per locations within each gender 163

Figure 9.1 Representative curves showing predicted average population sizes for selected scenarios. 199

Figure 9.2 Representative curves showing predicted average population sizes for selected scenarios with inbreeding included in the simulation. 200

Abbreviations

| | |
|------|--|
| BBN | Balban |
| BCP | Boycup |
| CBL | Corbal |
| CHCM | Corpuscular haemoglobin concentration mean |
| CHP | Chariup |
| CMR | Camelar |
| DEC | Department of Environment and Conservation |
| EMCV | Encephalomyocarditis virus |
| FBG | Fibrinogen |
| HDW | Haemoglobin distribution width |
| HGB | Haemoglobin concentration |
| KAR | Karakamia |
| KING | Kingston |
| KNP | Keninup |
| MaHV | macropod herpes virus |
| MCH | Mean corpuscular haemoglobin |
| MCV | Mean cell volume |
| MPN | Moopinup |
| PCV | Packed cell volume |
| PER | Perup |
| PVA | population viability analysis |
| RBC | Red blood cells |
| RDW | Red cell distribution width |

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| r_{mt} | Mantel test correlation coefficient |
| r_{SAc} | Spatial autocorrelation correlation coefficient |
| SA _C | Spatial autocorrelation |
| TP | Total protein |
| VNT | Virus neutralization test |
| VNTR | Variable Number Tandem Repeat |
| WBC | Total white blood cells |
| WCRP | Woylie Conservation Research Project |
| WDRC | Woylie Disease Reference Council |
| WJP | Winnejup |
| WRP | Warrup |