Hybridisation-based target enrichment of phenology genes to dissect the genetic basis of yield and adaptation in barley
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Introduction

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is one of the earliest domesticated crops found at Neolithic farming sites in the Fertile Crescent of the Eastern Mediterranean region (Abbo et al., 2010). Since its initial domestication, barley has been adapted across a range of diverse agricultural environments and is now cultivated on 46.9 million hectares of land in more than 100 countries worldwide (FAOSTAT 2016, http://www.fao.org/faostat). Grain yield is the most important driver for grower profitability and is thus a key breeding target for genetic improvement. Yield is however a challenging breeding target as it is controlled by a large number of genes with varying effects, and also strongly influenced by genotype by environment interactions (complex trait) (Araus et al., 2008). Furthermore, barley has a large and complex genome, similar to other Triticeae crops such as wheat, making genome-based selection difficult.

Crop biomass and grain yield depend on a plant’s ability to efficiently capture and utilise available resources to produce dry matter, and to avoid or tolerate a wide range of abiotic and biotic constraints. In barley, phenology genes that determine heading date and photoperiod sensitivity drive adaptation to different geographic environments and cropping systems (Andres and Coupland, 2012; Russell et al., 2016). Extensive research in the model plant Arabidopsis has revealed many of the mechanisms that control flowering (Blümel et al., 2015; Hill and Li, 2016). However, little is known about how natural genetic variation within phenology genes impacts grain yield and adaptation in barley.

Genomics-based strategies for crop improvement, such as genome-wide association studies (GWAS), can be used to dissect the genetic basis for variation in the expression of complex traits. GWAS exploits the linkage disequilibrium (LD) present in a diverse population of distantly related or unrelated individuals, including...
natural populations or germplasm collections (Myles et al., 2009). Germplasm collections generally contain a broader gene pool with more genetic diversity than segregating progenies. Since association mapping exploits all the recombination events that have occurred in the evolutionary history of the GWAS population, markers significantly associated with a phenotype are typically much closer to the causal variant than those in a segregating population with a limited history of recombination.

In this study, we conducted a comprehensive genomic assessment of a worldwide collection of 895 domesticated barley accessions based on the targeted resequencing of 174 phenology-related genes and gene homologs. We used target capture based on in-solution hybridization, a method that focuses only a subset of the genome and thus significantly reduces the sequencing space and cost. Identified genetic variation was used to conduct genome-wide association analyses to identify key genes linked with phenology and grain yield traits in current barley breeding germplasms. Our results were used to pinpoint key genes that have changed over time due to breeding, starting from the barley cultivars first introduced into Australia to the most recently released varieties. The current results provide critical information for genome-wide selection to improve yield and adaptation. Diagnostic markers developed in this study to capture the range of genetic variation for phenological adaptation genes in barley will facilitate future breeding of higher yielding cultivars.

**Results**

**Targeted re-sequencing of phenology genes**

Targeted re-sequencing of 174 barley phenology genes (Data S1) were run across 952 domesticated, landrace and breeding accessions from 41 countries. Eighty-six percent of the accessions represented breeding germplasm from Australian, North American and European programmes (Figure S1a). To maximise phenotypic differences in phenology and grain yield, accessions representing six and two row types, spring and winter growth habit, as well as malting and feed-end-use were selected, with an emphasis on spring-type (92%) and two-row varieties (90%) (Figure S1b), these being most relevant to the major barley production areas in Australia, Europe and North America. A table of the germplasm in the core panel is provided as Data S2. The resulting sequence dataset consisted of 1.12 billion 150-bp paired-end reads (203 Gbp of raw data). After quality filtering the sequence data, 895 barley genotypes were retained and used for alignment, variant discovery and genotype calling.

**Genomic diversity across barley accessions**

The SNP discovery pipeline identified a total of 467,339 SNPs, of which 29,822 were within gene-coding regions, including 6030 SNPs which were captured within the targeted phenology gene regions. On a per sample basis, about 75% of the SNP detected within the captured regions had a mean coverage depth of 25 reads per SNP. As expected for an inbred cereal crop, the rate of heterozygosity for each variety was low (approximately 2% on average). In 170 out of the 174 targeted candidate genes regulating phenology in barley we identified regulatory (3′-UTR/5′-UTR/intron/upstream/downstream) as well as coding (frameshift/splice variant/missense/synonymous) SNP variants (Data S3). Approximately 26% and 34% of all SNPs fell within exonic and intronic sequences respectively, based on the predictions of the Variant Effect predictor (VEP) tool (McLaren et al., 2016). Of the 6030 SNPs that where within the targeted phenology gene regions, 2350 SNPs had more than 10% missing data, and 1109 SNPs had a MAF of less than 0.01. After filtering and pruning, a total of 2,758 SNPs were retained. Additional filtered 1502 SNPs that where outside the targeted phenology gene regions were added to the retained 2758 SNPs to create the final set of 4260 high-quality filtered SNPs (Data S4, Figure S2a). After pruning and filtering, no systematic bias was present for the minor allele frequency (MAF) of all variants and confirmed expectations of a high proportion of variants with a low MAF and a rapid decline in the proportion of variants with higher MAFs (Figure S2b). From the SNP data, sequence diversity (Polymorphism Information Content, PIC) was estimated at 0.21 across accessions (Table 1).

Observed mean PIC values are slightly higher for the six-rowed group (0.24) than for two-rowed barleys (0.2), for winter (0.22) than for spring barleys (0.2), and for non-Australian (0.21) than for Australian (0.19) varieties.

Underlying population structure is a recognised source of error and needs to be accounted for in GWAS (Myles et al., 2009). Known sources of population structure in domesticated barley varieties includes the separation of two-row and six-row germplasm pools, which occurred early in barley domestication (Malyshewa-Otto et al., 2006; Pasam et al., 2012). Also, within spring barley the loss of a vernalisation requirement has created population structure based on growth habit (Figure 1). Investigation of population structures of the worldwide barley germplasm collection in this study using ADMIXTURE (Alexander and Lange, 2011) to select the optimal K predicted that the optimal number of subpopulations was approximately K = 15 (Figures S3 and S4).

A neighbour-joining (NJ) tree based on genetic distances for the entire population (Figure S5), and a selection of 82 leading Australian cultivars (Figure S6) was constructed. Principal component Analysis (PCA) was performed with separation based on row-type, growth habit, or geographic location (Figure S7a–c).

Pairwise LD analysis using the entire panel and 4260 SNPs showed LD to gradually decrease with physical distance (Figure S8). The intra-chromosomal mean $r^2$ of 0.068 of the entire panel was much lower compared to studies using markers distributed across the entire genome in a spring barley collection (Pasam et al., 2012) as a result of bias caused by most SNPs being confined to a defined capture space (Table S1). While wild barley has remarkably low levels of LD for a self-pollinating plant (Morrell et al., 2005), the extent of LD in cultivated barley as used in this study is greater as a result of self-fertilisation and relatively small effective population size and is in agreement with previous findings (Caldwell et al., 2006; Hamblin et al., 2011). Long-range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Average MAF</th>
<th>No. genotypes</th>
<th>PIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole panel</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-rowed group</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-rowed group</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter/facultative</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAF, Minor allele frequency; PIC, Polymorphism information content.
Figure 1 Plot of ancestry estimates inferred by ADMIXTURE for 895 worldwide barley accessions for 4260 SNPs. (a) Each colour represents a population, and the colour of individual haplotypes represents their proportional membership in the different populations. Distribution of ADMIXTURE-defined populations based on (b) three growth habits, (c) two row types, and (d) seven geographical locations. FAC, facultative; SPR, spring; WIN, winter growth habit.

LD is suggestive of admixture in this population. LD plots for each chromosome are provided in Figure S9.

Association analysis

Barley accessions were grown in multi-environment field trials, conducted over five diverse geographical locations across two years in Western Australia (Table S2). Each trial contained between ~50% and 90% of the original set of 895 barley varieties to maximise the number of accessions evaluated. GWAS were performed for three traits; days to Z49 (Zadoks et al., 1974) (Zadoks stage 49) as an equivalent for flowering time, and plant height, using the 4260 high-quality filtered SNPs (Table S3). Manhattan plots and quantile-quantile plots of the three traits are shown with the results from both the naive model and the MLM model incorporating Q and K in Figures S10–S25.

By using the empirical threshold, we identified 3230 individual significant marker trait associations for the three traits across the twelve environments (Data S5). Of these, 988 unique association signals were detected for flowering time (days to Z49) across 136 genes, of which 543 signals (qFDR < 0.05) within 95 genes were detected in twelve environments (Figures S10–S21). At qFDR < 0.01, 27 markers were associated with phenology in all twelve environments, with 25 markers located within five target genes (ADA2, HORVU5Hr1G095400; AGL71, HORVU5Hr1G095710; VRN-H1, HORVU5Hr1G095633; CK2A, HORVU5Hr1G097230; and PhyC, HORVU5Hr1G095530). Five markers were located outside of the target gene regions, one of which was captured in the downstream region of AGL71. Association signals of these markers were mostly present on chromosome 5H, with marker $R^2$ ranging from 1.2% for Chr_5_603618535 to 13.12% for Chr_5_77793106 (Table 2).

For grain yield, 148 unique association signals (qFDR < 0.05) were detected in a single environment, with 25 markers located within five target genes in four environments (Data S5, Figures S22–S25). About 80% of these marker-trait associations were detected in a single environment, while 30 markers were associated with grain yield in two or more environments. Twenty-two markers were located within the coding regions of sixteen genes (HORVU1Hr1G011030, HORVU6Hr1G002330, HORVU5Hr1G095710, HORVU5Hr1G095633, HORVU2Hr1G063800, HORVU5Hr1G080430, HORVU5Hr1G080420, HORVU5Hr1G080450, HORVU2Hr1G041090, HORVU5Hr1G080230, HORVU5Hr1G027460, HORVU3Hr1G035680, HORVU5Hr1G090980, HORVU5Hr1G095530, HORVU5Hr1G091000 and HORVU5Hr1G081620) on chromosomes 1H, 2H, 3H, 5H and 6H. Eight markers were captured outside of the target gene regions: Three were located 27–36 bp downstream of HORVU5Hr1G080430, and the other two were present in the promoter regions of HORVU5Hr1G080310 and HORVU5Hr1G095710 respectively (Table 3).

The marker $R^2$ for grain yield ranged from 2.4% for Chr_3_198828628 to 23.5% for Chr_5_55967817. Two of the strongest marker-trait associations were found for CBF10A (HORVU5Hr1G080430), and further five were located within other genes of the CBF gene family (CBF3, HORVU5Hr1G080420, CBF6, HORVU5Hr1G080450, CBF8a, HORVU2Hr1G041090, CBF9, HORVU5Hr1G080230), which are known to activate cold or dehydration stress-inducible genes (Soltész et al., 2013). These new loci identified here are attractive candidates for follow-up studies that could further our understanding of the genetic architecture of these traits.

Of the 148 unique association signals located within 34 target genes that were identified for grain yield, 72 were also significantly associated with phenology (ca. 50%) and located within 22 genes mostly on chromosomes 1H, 3H and 5H, including the following genes: AGL1 (HORVU5Hr1G095710), VRN-H1 (HORVU5Hr1G095630), PhyC (HORVU5Hr1G095530), CBF10A (HORVU5Hr1G080430), CBF3 (HORVU5Hr1G080420), CBF6 (HORVU5Hr1G080450), CBF8a (HORVU2Hr1G041090), CBF9 (HORVU5Hr1G080230), CO6 (HORVU5Hr1G027560), GA2ox2 (HORVU3Hr1G090980), and PRR95 (HORVU5Hr1G081620; Data S5). Fifteen markers were discovered outside of the target gene space, one of which was detected within the downstream region of AGL1 (Chr_5_599329482).
MAF: Minor allele frequency. 

- Chr_5_603618535 C:T 0.03 1.2
- Chr_5_603616317 G:A 0.03 2.7–6.4
- Chr_5_603614147 A:G 0.03 2.7–6.4
- Chr_5_603614684 A:G 0.03 2.7–6.4
- Chr_5_603614823 A:G 0.04 2.7–6.7
- Chr_5_603614892 A:G 0.04 2.7–6.4
- Chr_5_603615644 G:A 0.03 3.3–6.6
- Chr_5_603615648 T:C 0.03 3.3–6.6
- Chr_5_603615849 G:A 0.04 2.2–5.5
- Chr_5_603616133 G:C 0.03 2.6–6.4
- Chr_5_603616317 G:A 0.04 2.7–6.5
- Chr_5_603616476 T:C 0.03 1.4–6.4
- Chr_5_603618535 C:T 0.04 1.2–7
- Chr_5_603618541 C:T 0.05 1.7–6.8
- Chr_5_646893378 A:C 0.03 2.3–6.5
- Chr_Un_77793106 T:C 0.04 5.5–13.1
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Thirteen SNPs located within AGLG1 (HORVU5Hr1G095710) were significantly associated with phenology (Figure 3). Figure 3a–c show Manhattan plots of chromosome 5H for all three traits using MLM, and Figure 3d shows the position of all detected variants including those that were significantly associated with phenology, as well as the LD plot surrounding these sites.

Early flowering can be an effective drought escape mechanism but can also limit grain yield potential due to the reduced time available for photosynthetic production and seed nutrient accumulation necessary for higher grain yield (Stratonovitch and Semenov, 2015). In this study, two markers were associated with all three traits (phenology, grain yield and plant height), one located within intron 2 (Chr_5_599333006_C/T), and the other within the downstream region of AGLG1 (Chr_5_599329482_A/T). SNPs in weak linkage disequilibrium were detected nearby, thus suggesting the existence of allelic heterogeneity. For these loci, $R^2$ was ranging from 3% to 4% (Chr_5_599333006), and from 4.1% to 4.8% (Chr_5_599329482), and for plant height, $R^2$ was ranging from 4.1% to 4.8% (Chr_5_599333006), and from 3% to 3.7% (Chr_5_599329482) across different environments. For both variants, the reference allele is the positive allele for phenology and grain yield, and the negative allele for plant height (Data S5). Alleles at these loci separate the 895 accessions into two subgroups, with accessions harbouring the reference allele at these loci to be higher yielding (+1072 to 1102 kg/ha), of shorter stature (−15 cm) and comparably late maturing (+11 days; Figure 3e–g).

Short growing seasons led to the evolution of earlier flowering onset during breeding in Australia.

To understand the impact of breeding on genetic diversity in the barley germplasm collection, we investigated the functional allele diversity detected for significant SNP-trait associations in different subgroups of the barley phenology core collection: (i) all 328
Australian barley varieties (including advanced breeding lines), (ii) a subset of 82 core commercialized Australian barley cultivars representing Australian barley breeding history of the past 100 years and (iii) all 567 international barley varieties (Data S6). In this study, a functional allele is defined as the allele with the largest absolute effect size for all detected significant marker-trait associations (qFDR < 0.05).

Based on the GWAS results for phenology, 543 significant SNP-trait associations were detected in twelve environments. Four hundred and twenty-nine functional alleles were located within the coding regions of 95 genes, and additional 141 alleles were captured outside of target regions of which some were within regulatory regions up-or downstream of functional genes. A positive allelic effect, associated with later flowering, was...
detected for 202 functional alleles, and the remaining 341 were associated with earlier flowering compared to the alternative allele. Up to 32 (HORVU3Hr1G026650, AG1), 30 (HORVU3Hr1G097230, CK2A) and 29 (HORVU2Hr1G013400, PPD-H1) functional alleles were detected within functional genes. The 328 Australian varieties were polymorphic for 516 out of 543 SNPs, and about 5% (27 alleles within the target region of 15 genes) did not contain allelic diversity, including three SNPs located within FT2 (HORVU3Hr1G027590) and two SNPs located within HORVU1Hr1G094980 (ELF3). Within the subset of 82 key Australian varieties, 50% (or 266 SNPs) were monomorphic and genetically fixed. The monomorphic SNPs were distributed across 61 genes, including HORVU3Hr1G026650 (AG1, 27 SNPs), HORVU5Hr1G097230 (CK2A, 21 SNPs), HORVU3Hr1G027590 (FT2, 15 SNPs), HORVU2Hr1G013400 (PPD-H1, 13 SNPs) and HORVU2Hr1G017290 (CDF1, 11 SNPs). Seventy-four percent of the polymorphic SNPs and 61% of all monomorphic SNPs were associated with earlier flowering, showing that alleles for earlier flowering were gained or retained during breeding in Australian barleys.

Based on the GWAS results for grain yield, 30 SNPs were detected that were significant for two or more environments. Eight genes carried one functional allele each, and additional three alleles were captured outside the target region. A negative allelic effect, meaning they are associated with shorter stature, was detected in approx. 80% of all alleles. Within the larger set of Australian barleys, all but one (HORVU3Hr1G027590, FT2) SNPs were polymorphic, but approx. 72% were monomorphic within the subset of 82 key Australian varieties. Monomorphic SNPs were distributed across five genes, including in AGLG1 and FT2. The majority of monomorphic SNPs (six out of eight) carried a positive allelic effect, and all polymorphic SNPs carried a negative allelic effect, showing that alleles for shorter plants were acquired in Australian barleys during breeding.

We then traced the change in effect direction of functional alleles during breeding. We focussed on four Australian malting barley varieties representative of key breeding stages in the history of Australian barley breeding: Chevallier, a highly successful British heritage barley variety selected in 1824 (Brookes, 2005), and direct ancestor of Prior (released 1903), the first Australian barley cultivar; Clipper, a key variety of medium maturity that replaced Prior after its release in 1968 from one of the first full-time breeding programs at the Waite Agricultural Research Institute in South Australia (Friedt, 2011), and La Trobe, a recently released (2014) but widely grown high yielding early maturing variety developed in Australia, and broadly adapted to low and medium rainfall growing areas. La Trobe is a derivative of an elite breeding line from the Victorian breeding program (VB9409) and high yielding feed variety Dash. La Trobe is a reselection from Hindmarsh (released 2006), one of the highest yielding food and feed varieties in Australia, and is also closely related to Prior and Clipper.

Based on the GWAS results for phenology, 41 changes in functional alleles (26 within the target capture region of 18 genes, and 15 outside target capture region), were detected between Chevallier and Prior (Table S4). Genes with the highest number of changes in functional alleles were

### Table 4 Loci and SNPs significantly associated with plant height in at least two environments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP ID*</th>
<th>Alleles</th>
<th>MAF†</th>
<th>( R^2 ) (min-max)†</th>
<th>q value§</th>
<th>Candidate gene$</th>
<th>Annotation**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chr_1_418961516</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.6-3.3</td>
<td>3.40E-02</td>
<td>HORVU1Hr1G057290</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_2_673851092</td>
<td>G:A</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.4-4</td>
<td>4.30E-02</td>
<td>HORVU2Hr1G096300</td>
<td>FD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_3_117875229</td>
<td>C:T</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.5-2.8</td>
<td>2.00E-02</td>
<td>HORVU3Hr1G027460</td>
<td>CKX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_3_119254260</td>
<td>C:T</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.6-2.8</td>
<td>9.50E-03</td>
<td>HORVU3Hr1G027590</td>
<td>FT2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_3_119256164</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.3-2.7</td>
<td>1.70E-02</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_3_634079937</td>
<td>G:A</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.9-4.6</td>
<td>2.60E-02</td>
<td>HORVU3Hr1G090980</td>
<td>GA20ox2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_3_634933260</td>
<td>C:T</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.7-2.5</td>
<td>1.70E-02</td>
<td>HORVU3Hr1G091250</td>
<td>CIGAR-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_3_610442574</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.5-4.7</td>
<td>1.60E-02</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_5_560570638</td>
<td>A:T</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.3-3.4</td>
<td>2.20E-02</td>
<td>HORVUSrH1G080420</td>
<td>CB3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_5_599329482</td>
<td>C:T</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.7-3.7</td>
<td>2.30E-02</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr_5_599333006</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.4-2.8</td>
<td>3.90E-02</td>
<td>HORVUSrH1G095710</td>
<td>AGLG1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$q_{FDR} < 0.05$. MAF: Minor allele frequency. \( R^2 \): Contribution to phenotypic variation.

*Starts with chromosome number followed by physical location of the markers on that chromosome.

†Respect to minor allele.

‡Minimum and maximum value of 2 environments.

§Average of 2 environments; \( q \text{-value} = \text{FDR adjusted } P\text{-value}, \text{significant at } q < 0.05. \)

$^*$Genes annotated in barley genome assembly ISBC v2 or based on BLAST homology to known candidate genes in other plant species were used as the source of candidate genes.

**For details see Data S2.
HORVU1Hr1G076730 (GA2ox4, 4), and genes belonging to the photoperiod pathway which were all associated with earlier flowering: HORVU5Hr1G081620 (PRR95, 3 loci), and HORVU2Hr1G013400 (PPD-H1, 3 loci). Strikingly, 34 of these changes which distinguished Chevallier from Prior were associated with earlier flowering. Allelic changes that distinguished Prior from Clipper (53; 42 within the target capture region of 14 genes, and 11 outside the target capture region) were concentrated within two genes, STK (16, earlier flowering), PHYC (11, later flowering), and led to later flowering (32) (Table S5). A comparison between Clipper and La Trobe revealed 66 changes in functional alleles (51 within the target capture region of 15 genes, and 15 outside target capture region) (Table S6). Genes with the highest number of changes in functional alleles, all of which were associated with

**Figure 3** AGLG1 genomic region shows strong association signals for phenology, grain yield, and plant height. (a) Manhattan plot for chromosome 5H with association signals for flowering time (days to Z49) highlighted in green. (b) Manhattan plot for chromosome 5H with association signals for grain yield (kg/ha) highlighted in green. (c) Manhattan plot for chromosome 5H with association signals for plant height (cm) highlighted in green. All GWAS results are presented by negative log10 of FDR adjusted P-values (q-values) against position on each of the seven chromosomes (n = 462). Horizontal dashed lines indicate the genome-wide significant threshold selected by local false discovery rate and a q-value cut-off at 0.05 (blue) and 0.01 (red). (d) Summary of local LD and haplotype blocks for the AGLG1 genomic region containing all 21 detected SNPs. LD plot, generated in Haploview, indicates r2 values between pairs of SNPs multiplied by 100; white, r2 = 0; shades of grey, 0 < r2 < 1; black, r2 = 1. Haplotype blocks (blocks 1–3) in the AGLG1 genomic region were defined with the four-gamete rule method. SNPs that were highly significantly associated with phenology in the optimal MLM are highlighted in red font. (e) Days to Z49 variation between different genotypes for Chr_5_599329482_A/T, and Chr_5_599333006_C/T. (f) Grain yield variation between different genotypes for Chr_5_599329482_A/T, and Chr_5_599333006_C/T. (g) Plant height variation between different genotypes for Chr_5_599329482_A/T, and Chr_5_599333006_C/T. P-values calculated using Kruskal-Wallis tests. ****P value <0.0001.
earlier flowering, were HORVU6Hr1G022330 (ZTLb, 11), and HORVU5Hr1G095530 (PHYC, 11) which contains the same changes as detected when comparing Prior and Clipper, but in reverse. The vast majority of functional allelic changes (49) were associated with earlier flowering in La Trobe compared to Clipper, and explain the early season phenotype of La Trobe compared to the mid-season phenotype of Clipper.

Based on the GWAS results for grain yield, three changes in functional alleles were detected between Chevallier and Prior, including two changes in a core clock gene (HORVU3Hr1G081620, PRR95), which were associated with lower grain yield (Table S7). Four functional allelic changes within four genes distinguished Prior from Clipper which were all associated with higher grain yield in Clipper (Table S8). Twelve functional allelic changes within six genes distinguished Clipper from La Trobe which were all associated with higher grain yield in La Trobe, including two changes in both PRR95 (HORVU3Hr1G081620), and HORVU5Hr1G080430 (CBF10) (Table S9).

Based on the GWAS results for plant height, no changes in functional alleles were detected between Chevallier and Prior. One functional allelic change within HORVU3Hr1G090980 (GA2ox02) distinguished Prior from Clipper associated with shorter plant stature (Table S9). One functional allelic change within HORVU5Hr1G080420 (CBF3) distinguished Clipper from La Trobe associated with taller plants in La Trobe (Table S10).

Genetic markers were developed based on the genetic variants detected in the target enrichment to capture the range of allelic variation for major phenology genes in barley. Sequences of 64 SNPs present in 29 candidate genes were converted into Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP) assays to detect the specific parental allele for MAS in barley breeding (Data S7).

Discussion

Second-generation sequencing technologies allow sequence variation analyses on a genome-wide scale. However, collecting whole-genome sequence data on a population scale to a sufficient depth can be still prohibitive, despite rapidly declining sequencing costs. Reduced-representation sequencing approaches that target only a subset of the genome can be applied to any species for which a draft or complete reference genome sequence is available, allowing rapid discovery of thousands of molecular variants, and can be applied for high-resolution exploration of genetic resources or to dissect quantitative traits (Barbazuk et al., 2005). Transcriptome sequencing or exome capture approaches enable targeted identification of sequence variants in protein-coding genome regions only (Ku et al., 2012), whereas targeted capture approaches based on in-solution hybridization can identify sequence variants in coding as well as noncoding genome regions.

We applied a target capture approach based on in-solution hybridization which enabled the rapid and cost-effective identification of candidate genes associated with phenology and other important agronomic traits in barley. It involves custom design of capture probes targeting specific chromosome regions harbouring quantitative trait loci or candidate genes for traits of interest, which enable extremely flexible scaling of resequencing experiments of few to many genes at low cost in large plant populations. Although the target enrichment data sets may not accurately reflect the allele frequency distributions observed in full resequencing data, and may be skewed toward intermediate and lower frequency alleles, they are very well suited for association mapping of QTL. Using this approach we identified allelic diversity of genes that underlie flowering time, the genetic changes that have occurred during breeding, and their impact on yield within a diverse barley germplasm collection. Most association studies in crop plants have used populations representing global or regional diversity, whereas the population used here focuses on breeding germplasm from Australian, North American and European programmes. While this approach misses some of the diversity present in large worldwide collections (~260 000 accessions (Matus and Hayes, 2002), the advantage is that any favourable alleles identified in this study will already be present in adapted lines, increasing the efficiency of subsequent breeding strategies.

We mapped 988, 148 and 260 unique GWAS signals significantly associated with phenology, grain yield, and plant height respectively, and identified candidate genes for the majority of associated loci. Flowering-related gene AGAMOUS-LIKE GENET (AGL1), encoding a grass-specific SEPALLATA-like MADS-box protein (Distelfeld and Dubcovsky, 2010) and PHYTOCHROME C (PHYC), encoding the apoprotein of red/far-red light photoreceptor PHYC (Nishida et al., 2013), were identified as major candidates detected for the genomic regions associated with both phenology and grain yield. Alleles in these genes associated with later flowering were also associated with higher grain yield. Peak SNPs at the identified loci explained ~13% of the phenotypic variance for flowering, ~23% of the variance for grain yield, and ~5% of the variance for plant height in the full population. Together with overall high heritability of flowering time scored as days to Z49 in this population, this confirms that the gene capture space contained genes with a good representation that were associated with plant phenology.

Domestication and breeding by humans have had significant impacts upon the frequencies and types of genetic variation that segregate within crop populations. In Australia, early settlers first sowed barley on 3.24 ha shortly after the arrival of the First Fleet at Botany Bay in 1788 (Friedt, 2011). Since then, barley production has expanded rapidly to 1 Mio ha in 1966, and more than 4.6 Mio ha in 2016/2017 (FAOSTAT, 2016). In 2016/17, Australia became the world’s top barley exporter, shipping nearly a quarter of all global barley exports by value primarily to Asian countries and the Middle East. Modification of phenology genes was the key element to adapting the introduced late-maturing and drought-sensitive European barley varieties, such as Chevalier, to Australian growing conditions (Brookes, 2005). Australia’s dryland farming system relies on soil moisture and seasonal rainfall, placing increased emphasis on adaptation to hot and dry environments. In addition, the expansion of barley production into central areas within Western Australia has placed increased emphasis on adaptation to drier environments with a later onset of rainfall during the growing season.

Based on the association analyses results, we examined the past 100 years of barley breeding history in Australia. Among the 30 nucleotide variants associated with grain yield, 15 have been fixed (or close to) in Australian barley varieties over the past 100 years of conventional breeding. Extensive breeding, including the introduction of favourable alleles into elite varieties, have contributed to tripling cereal yields over the past 50 years (Araus and Cairns, 2014). Current Australian cultivars were found to carry a majority of favourable alleles for grain yield in combination with early-flowering alleles. Analysis of allele diversity in major Australian barley cultivars showed that the selection of varieties with a shorter growth period adapted to late sowing led to substantial yield increases (such as seen in La Trobe and related variety Hindmarsh). Barley cultivars with a shorter growth period...
can be planted later at a time that coincides with the first winter rainfall. An interesting question is whether this breeding trend for early flowering is also associated with yield stability under a changing global climate with increasing temperature and irregular rainfall. Favourable alleles for grain yield have been gradually enriched during the past 50 years of Australian barley breeding with new varieties containing most of the favourable alleles detected in this study. The result demonstrates the effectiveness of conventional breeding for yield improvement. This study also suggests that the relatively narrow genetic diversity present in the Australian barley gene pool may become a considerable bottleneck for ongoing crop improvement.

Early flowering and maturity can be an effective drought escape mechanism, but was also shown to limit grain yield potential due to the limited time available for photosynthesis, translocation of carbohydrates and total nutrient accumulation to produce high grain yield (Bidinger and Witcombe, 1989; Stratonowitch and Semenov, 2015). In this study, the genotype at the Chr_5_598560301 locus within PHYC shows earlier flowering and lower grain yield compared to the alternative allele. Two markers were associated with all three traits (phenology, grain yield and plant height), located within or in the vicinity of AGLG1, which also showed associations with earlier flowering and lower grain yield. However, these alleles represent particularly strong effects for both flowering time and grain yield and are not common in Australian germplasm.

Ongoing selection for optimal flowering behaviour with specific regional adaptations will remain critical for the barley grains industry. The ability to fine-tune flowering to the growing season could give significant advantages for both breeders and growers. Marker-assisted selection and targeted genetic modification of flowering behaviour combined with faster breeding cycles have great potential to optimise the timing of flowering to specific environments and maximise grain yield (Hill and Li, 2016). Avenues for MAS and targeted genetic modification of flowering behaviour offer the potential to optimise the timing of flowering to specific environments and maximise grain yield, and remains to be explored further. This study shows that targeted enrichment strategy of target genes coupled with GWAS in a diverse population of barley can provide new opportunities to connect sequence diversity to complex phenotypes in crop plants that can be deployed in cultivar improvement through genomic-assisted breeding.

**Experimental procedures**

**Plant material, field experiments and phenotypic data**

The barley accessions of the phenology diversity panel were selected from over 4000 accessions preserved at the Western Barley Genetics Alliance at Murdoch University (Perth, Australia) that includes landraces, cultivars and breeding accessions from 41 countries in Europe, Asia, North and South America, Africa and Australia. After preliminary evaluation of heading date and response to photoperiod among the 4000 accessions in field trials in Australia, 952 accessions were selected to represent the diversity for the phenology. This selection represents the entire spectrum of cultivated barley, namely two- to six-row varieties with winter (8%), spring (91%) and facultative (1%) growth habits. The selected germplasm also included all Australian barley varieties released in the past 200 years.

Field experiments were conducted in 2015 and 2016 across multiple diverse environments in Western Australia (South Perth, Geraldton, Merredin, Katanning and Esperance). Geraldton, South Perth and Esperance are located along the coast of the Southern Ocean with high annual rain fall, but have very different daily maximum temperatures (the Geraldton site is the warmest and the Esperance site is the coolest). The distance between Geraldton and Esperance is over 1100 km. The Merredin site is located inland with low rainfall while the Katanning site has medium rainfall. All regional field trials were planted in a randomised complete block design with plots of 1 by 3 m² laid out in a row-column format. Field trials at South Perth were conducted using a hill plot technique with 40 cm distance within and between rows. Seven control varieties were used for spatial adjustment of the experimental data. In each plot of each experiment in the study, measurements were taken to determine maturity (days to Z49), plant height and grain yield. Plant maturity was recorded as the number of days from sowing to 50% awn emergence above the flag leaf (Z49) (Zadoks et al., 1974) as an equivalent to flowering time (Alqudah and Schnurbusch, 2017). Plant height was determined by estimating the average height of all plants in each plot. Grain yield was determined by destructively harvesting all plant material from each plot to separate the grain to determine grain mass and estimate grain yield (kg/ha). Grain yield data collected from field experiments was analysed using mixed linear model analysis to determine Best Linear Unbiased Predictions (BLUPs) for each trait for further analysis. Local best practices for fertilization and disease control were adopted for each trial site.

**Targeted resequencing of phenology genes**

To interrogate the genetic diversity of phenology and phenology-related genes in a worldwide collection of barley landraces and cultivars, we designed a custom target enrichment sequencing assay that included loci implicated in the flowering pathway in barley and related plant species (Appendix S1).

Genomic DNA was extracted from leaves of a single barley plant per variety using the cetyl-trimethyl-ammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Murray and Thompson, 1980). Physical shearing of genomic DNA was performed to an average size of 275 bp using a Covaris S2 Focused-Ultrasonicator, followed by end repair and A-tailing of the fragments using unique Illumina 9 nt barcode adapters to generate dual-indexed libraries. Sample library preparation was conducted with KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA) as per the manufacturer’s protocol. Targeted enrichment of genomic DNA regions was performed by solution-based hybrid capture using a synthetic library consisting of 13 588 probes (MYbaits, MYcroarray®, Ann Arbour, MI) following the manufacturer’s protocol version 2.3.1. More details can be found in Appendix S1.

**Sequence alignment, variant discovery, genotype calling and variant prediction**

Fastq sequence files were post-run filtered, and reads aligned to the latest release of the barley reference genome assembly (IBSC v2; Mascher et al., 2017) using Nuclear software v3.6.16 (GYDLE Inc., Montreal, Canada). SNP variant discovery and genotype calling was performed using custom Perl scripts to produce a VCF version 4.2 genotype file based on the alignment files. Variant effect prediction of SNP captured within gene exon (coding) sequence was performed using the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor toolset (Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor web interface http://www.ensembl.org/vep). More details can be found in Appendix S1.
Population structure and genotypic data analysis

The model-based clustering algorithm of ADMIXTURE v.1.3.0 was used to investigate subpopulation structure of the barley diversity panel. The software CLUMPP (Jakobsson and Rosenberg, 2007) v.1.1.2 was used to obtain the optimal alignments of for each K-value, and plots were made using the cluster visualization program Pophelper v.2.2.3 (http://royfrancis.github.io/pophelper/) implemented in R software (http://www.R-project.org). Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted based on all marker data using TASSEL v.5.2.39. More details can be found in Appendix S1.

Linkage disequilibrium, haplotype and association analysis

Genome-wide LD analysis was performed among the panel and subgroups by pair wise comparisons among the intra-chromosomal SNP markers using Haploview (Barrett et al., 2005) v.4.2. Genome wide association studies were performed using TASSEL v.5.2.39 software (Bradbury et al., 2007). Different statistical models were used to calculate P-values for putative marker-trait associations which included population structure (Q) and the kinship matrix (K) to account for population structure to avoid spurious associations. Multiple testing was performed to assess the significance of marker trait associations using the qvalue smoother method (Storey and Tibshirani, 2003), an extension of the false discovery rate (FDR) method. Q-values were calculated using the R-package qvalue. The Manhattan plots were drawn with qman (Turner, 2018) v.0.1.4. More details can be found in Appendix S1.

KASP assay

For KASP assays developed in this study, complete coding sequences were obtained from the latest version of the barley reference genome assembly (IBSC v2) (Data S7). Allele-specific and common primers for each KASP marker were designed using GeneiousTM software v. 10.2.3 (Biomatters Ltd., NZ) following standard KASP guidelines. Assays were tested in 384-well formats and set up as ~6 µL reactions following the manufacturer’s instructions (LGC Genomics, Hoddeson, UK). Amplification was carried out on an ABI Viia7 instrument (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), and end-point genotyping was performed using the ABI QuantStudio™ Real-Time PCR software v1.3.
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