

---

# Powers in a class of $\mathcal{A}$ -strict standard episturmian words

Amy Glen

`amy.glen@adelaide.edu.au`

`http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/~aglen`

The University of Adelaide



# Introduction

---

- **Sturmian words**

# Introduction

---

## ● Sturmiian words

- Infinite words with  $n + 1$  distinct factors of length  $n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Introduction

---

## ● Sturmiian words

- Infinite words with  $n + 1$  distinct factors of length  $n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Sturmiian words are over a 2-letter alphabet.

# Introduction

---

## ● Sturmiian words

- Infinite words with  $n + 1$  distinct factors of length  $n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Sturmiian words are over a 2-letter alphabet.
- They are exactly the aperiodic infinite words of minimal complexity.

# Introduction

---

## ● Sturmian words

- Infinite words with  $n + 1$  distinct factors of length  $n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Sturmian words are over a 2-letter alphabet.
- They are exactly the aperiodic infinite words of minimal complexity.

## ● Episturmian words

# Introduction

---

## ● Sturmian words

- Infinite words with  $n + 1$  distinct factors of length  $n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Sturmian words are over a 2-letter alphabet.
- They are exactly the aperiodic infinite words of minimal complexity.

## ● Episturmian words

- A natural generalization of Sturmian words to an arbitrary finite alphabet.

# Introduction

---

## ● Sturmian words

- Infinite words with  $n + 1$  distinct factors of length  $n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Sturmian words are over a 2-letter alphabet.
- They are exactly the aperiodic infinite words of minimal complexity.

## ● Episturmian words

- A natural generalization of Sturmian words to an arbitrary finite alphabet.
- Introduced by Droubay, Justin, and Pirillo (2001).



# Introduction

---

## ● Sturmian words

- Infinite words with  $n + 1$  distinct factors of length  $n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Sturmian words are over a 2-letter alphabet.
- They are exactly the aperiodic infinite words of minimal complexity.

## ● Episturmian words

- A natural generalization of Sturmian words to an arbitrary finite alphabet.
- Introduced by Droubay, Justin, and Pirillo (2001).
- Sturmian words are exactly the aperiodic episturmian words over a 2-letter alphabet.

# Aim

---

- Explicitly determine all integer powers occurring in episturmian words.
- This has been done for Sturmian words by Damanik & Lenz (2003).
- We do this for a restricted class of episturmian words.

# Terminology and notation

---

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a *finite alphabet* and let  $u = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m$  where each  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

# Terminology and notation

---

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a *finite alphabet* and let  $u = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m$  where each  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

- The *length* of  $u$  is  $|u| = m$ .

# Terminology and notation

---

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a *finite alphabet* and let  $u = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m$  where each  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

- The *length* of  $u$  is  $|u| = m$ .
- The *reversal* of  $u$  is  $\tilde{u} = x_mx_{m-1} \cdots x_1$ .

# Terminology and notation

---

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a *finite alphabet* and let  $u = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m$  where each  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

- The *length* of  $u$  is  $|u| = m$ .
- The *reversal* of  $u$  is  $\tilde{u} = x_mx_{m-1} \cdots x_1$ .
- $u$  is a *palindrome* if  $u = \tilde{u}$ .

# Terminology and notation

---

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a *finite alphabet* and let  $u = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m$  where each  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

- The *length* of  $u$  is  $|u| = m$ .
- The *reversal* of  $u$  is  $\tilde{u} = x_mx_{m-1} \cdots x_1$ .
- $u$  is a *palindrome* if  $u = \tilde{u}$ .
- $u^\omega$  denotes the *purely periodic* infinite word  $uuu \cdots$ .

# Terminology and notation

---

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a *finite alphabet* and let  $u = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m$  where each  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

- The *length* of  $u$  is  $|u| = m$ .
- The *reversal* of  $u$  is  $\tilde{u} = x_mx_{m-1} \cdots x_1$ .
- $u$  is a *palindrome* if  $u = \tilde{u}$ .
- $u^\omega$  denotes the *purely periodic* infinite word  $uuu \cdots$ .
- For  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$ , the  *$j$ -th conjugate* of  $u$  is the word

$$C_j(u) := x_{j+1}x_{j+2} \cdots x_mx_1x_2 \cdots x_j$$

and we define

$$\mathcal{C}(u) := \{C_j(u) : 0 \leq j \leq |u| - 1\},$$

the *conjugacy class* of  $u$ .



---

Let  $x$  be an **infinite word** over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- A *factor* of  $x$  is a finite string of consecutive letters in  $x$ .

---

Let  $x$  be an **infinite word** over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- A *factor* of  $x$  is a finite string of consecutive letters in  $x$ .
- $\Omega(x)$  denotes the **set of all factors** of  $x$ .
- $\Omega_n(x)$  denotes the **set of all factors of  $x$  of length  $n$** .

---

Let  $x$  be an **infinite word** over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- A *factor* of  $x$  is a finite string of consecutive letters in  $x$ .
- $\Omega(x)$  denotes the **set of all factors** of  $x$ .
- $\Omega_n(x)$  denotes the **set of all factors of  $x$  of length  $n$** .
- If  $w \in \Omega(x)$ , we write  $w \prec x$ .

---

Let  $x$  be an **infinite word** over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- A *factor* of  $x$  is a finite string of consecutive letters in  $x$ .
- $\Omega(x)$  denotes the **set of all factors** of  $x$ .
- $\Omega_n(x)$  denotes the **set of all factors of  $x$  of length  $n$** .
- If  $w \in \Omega(x)$ , we write  $w \prec x$ .
- A factor  $w$  of  $x$  is

$$\begin{cases} \text{right} \\ \text{left} \end{cases} \text{ *special* if } \begin{cases} wa, wb \\ aw, bw \end{cases}$$

are factors of  $x$  for some  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $a \neq b$ .

# Episturmian words

---

- An infinite word  $t$  is *episturmian* if:
  - $\Omega(t)$  is closed under reversal, and
  - $t$  has at most one right special factor of each length.

# Episturmian words

---

- An infinite word  $t$  is *episturmian* if:
  - $\Omega(t)$  is closed under reversal, and
  - $t$  has at most one right special factor of each length.
- An episturmian word is *standard* if all of its left special factors are prefixes of it.

# Standard episturmian words

---

- Let  $t$  be a standard episturmian word over  $\mathcal{A}$  and let

$$u_1 = \varepsilon, u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots$$

be the sequence of its palindromic prefixes.

# Standard episturmian words

---

- Let  $t$  be a standard episturmian word over  $\mathcal{A}$  and let

$$u_1 = \varepsilon, u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots$$

be the sequence of its palindromic prefixes.

- $\exists$  an infinite word  $\Delta(t) = x_1x_2x_3 \cdots (x_i \in \mathcal{A})$  such that

$$u_{n+1} = (u_n x_n)^{(+)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

*Note:*  $w^{(+)}$  is the shortest palindrome of which  $w$  is a prefix.



# Standard episturmian words

---

- Let  $t$  be a standard episturmian word over  $\mathcal{A}$  and let

$$u_1 = \varepsilon, u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots$$

be the sequence of its palindromic prefixes.

- $\exists$  an infinite word  $\Delta(t) = x_1x_2x_3 \cdots (x_i \in \mathcal{A})$  such that

$$u_{n+1} = (u_n x_n)^{(+)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

*Note:*  $w^{(+)}$  is the shortest palindrome of which  $w$  is a prefix.

- $\Delta(t)$  is called the *directive word* of  $t$ .

# Standard episturmian words

---

- Let  $t$  be a standard episturmian word over  $\mathcal{A}$  and let

$$u_1 = \varepsilon, u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots$$

be the sequence of its palindromic prefixes.

- $\exists$  an infinite word  $\Delta(t) = x_1x_2x_3 \cdots$  ( $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ) such that

$$u_{n+1} = (u_n x_n)^{(+)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

*Note:*  $w^{(+)}$  is the shortest palindrome of which  $w$  is a prefix.

- $\Delta(t)$  is called the *directive word* of  $t$ .
- $t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$

# A class of strict standard episturmian words

---

Take  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_k := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ .

# A class of strict standard episturmian words

---

Take  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_k := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ .

- $t$  is *k-strict* if each  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_k$  appears infinitely often in  $\Delta(t)$ .

# A class of strict standard episturmian words

---

Take  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_k := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ .

- $t$  is  *$k$ -strict* if each  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_k$  appears infinitely often in  $\Delta(t)$ .
- $k$ -strict episturmian words are precisely the  $k$ -letter **Arnoux-Rauzy sequences**.

# A class of strict standard episturmian words

---

Take  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_k := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ .

- $\mathbf{t}$  is *k-strict* if each  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_k$  appears infinitely often in  $\Delta(\mathbf{t})$ .
- *k-strict* episturmian words are precisely the *k*-letter **Arnoux-Rauzy sequences**.
- For any standard episturmian word  $\mathbf{t}$ ,

$$\Delta(\mathbf{t}) = a_1^{d_1} a_2^{d_2} \cdots a_k^{d_k} a_1^{d_{k+1}} a_2^{d_{k+2}} \cdots a_k^{d_{2k}} a_1^{d_{2k+1}} \cdots ,$$

where each  $d_i \geq 0$ .

# A class of strict standard episturmian words

---

Take  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_k := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ .

- $t$  is *k-strict* if each  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_k$  appears infinitely often in  $\Delta(t)$ .
- $k$ -strict episturmian words are precisely the  $k$ -letter **Arnoux-Rauzy sequences**.
- For any standard episturmian word  $t$ ,

$$\Delta(t) = a_1^{d_1} a_2^{d_2} \cdots a_k^{d_k} a_1^{d_{k+1}} a_2^{d_{k+2}} \cdots a_k^{d_{2k}} a_1^{d_{2k+1}} \cdots,$$

where each  $d_i \geq 0$ .

- We restrict our attention to the case when all  $d_i > 0$ .
- Let  $s$  be the  **$k$ -strict standard episturmian word** with directive word:

$$\Delta(s) = a_1^{d_1} a_2^{d_2} \cdots a_k^{d_k} a_1^{d_{k+1}} a_2^{d_{k+2}} \cdots a_k^{d_{2k}} a_1^{d_{2k+1}} \cdots, \quad d_i > 0.$$

# Example

---

- Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  be irrational with  $\alpha = [0; 1 + d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots]$ .
- The *characteristic Sturmian word*  $c_\alpha$  over  $\{a, b\}$  has directive word

$$\Delta(c_\alpha) = a^{d_1} b^{d_2} a^{d_3} b^{d_4} a^{d_5} \dots .$$



# Example

---

- Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  be irrational with  $\alpha = [0; 1 + d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots]$ .
- The *characteristic Sturmian word*  $c_\alpha$  over  $\{a, b\}$  has directive word

$$\Delta(c_\alpha) = a^{d_1} b^{d_2} a^{d_3} b^{d_4} a^{d_5} \dots .$$

- $c_\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ , where  $(s_n)_{n \geq -1}$  is defined by

$$s_{-1} = b, \quad s_0 = a, \quad s_n = s_{n-1}^{d_n} s_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

# Example

---

- Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  be irrational with  $\alpha = [0; 1 + d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots]$ .
- The *characteristic Sturmian word*  $c_\alpha$  over  $\{a, b\}$  has directive word

$$\Delta(c_\alpha) = a^{d_1} b^{d_2} a^{d_3} b^{d_4} a^{d_5} \dots$$

- $c_\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ , where  $(s_n)_{n \geq -1}$  is defined by

$$s_{-1} = b, \quad s_0 = a, \quad s_n = s_{n-1}^{d_n} s_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

- $\Delta(s)$  resembles  $\Delta(c_\alpha)$ .

# Example

- Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  be irrational with  $\alpha = [0; 1 + d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots]$ .
- The *characteristic Sturmian word*  $c_\alpha$  over  $\{a, b\}$  has directive word

$$\Delta(c_\alpha) = a^{d_1} b^{d_2} a^{d_3} b^{d_4} a^{d_5} \dots$$

- $c_\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ , where  $(s_n)_{n \geq -1}$  is defined by

$$s_{-1} = b, \quad s_0 = a, \quad s_n = s_{n-1}^{d_n} s_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

- $\Delta(s)$  resembles  $\Delta(c_\alpha)$ .
- One can prove that  $s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$  where the sequence  $(s_n)_{n \geq 1-k}$  is defined by

$$s_{1-k} = a_2, \quad s_{2-k} = a_3, \quad \dots, \quad s_{-1} = a_k, \quad s_0 = a_1,$$

$$s_n = s_{n-1}^{d_n} s_{n-2}^{d_{n-1}} \cdots s_0^{d_1} a_{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k-1,$$

$$s_n = s_{n-1}^{d_n} s_{n-2}^{d_{n-1}} \cdots s_{n-k+1}^{d_{n-k+2}} s_{n-k}, \quad n \geq k.$$

# Powers

---

- Let  $p \geq 2$  be an integer.
- A finite word  $w$  has a  *$p$ -th power* in  $s$  if

$$w^p = \underbrace{ww \cdots w}_p \text{ is a factor of } s.$$

# Powers

---

- Let  $p \geq 2$  be an integer.
- A finite word  $w$  has a  *$p$ -th power* in  $s$  if

$$w^p = \underbrace{ww \cdots w}_p \text{ is a factor of } s.$$

**Key tools** in our analysis of powers occurring in  $s$ :

- canonical decompositions of  $s$  in terms of its **building blocks**  $s_n$ ;
- a generalization of **singular words**.

# Singular words

---

- The set of factors of length  $|s_n|$  in  $c_\alpha$  is given by

$$\{\text{all conjugates of } s_n\} \cup \{w_n\}$$

where  $w_n$  is called the  $n$ -th *singular factor* of  $c_\alpha$ .

[Wen and Wen (1994), Melançon (1999), Cao and Wen (2003)]

# Singular words

---

- The set of factors of length  $|s_n|$  in  $c_\alpha$  is given by

$$\{\text{all conjugates of } s_n\} \cup \{w_n\}$$

where  $w_n$  is called the  $n$ -th *singular factor* of  $c_\alpha$ .

[Wen and Wen (1994), Melançon (1999), Cao and Wen (2003)]

## Singular $n$ -words of the $i$ -th kind

- The set of factors of  $s$  of length  $|s_n|$  can be partitioned into  $k$  sets.

# Singular words

---

- The set of factors of length  $|s_n|$  in  $c_\alpha$  is given by

$$\{\text{all conjugates of } s_n\} \cup \{w_n\}$$

where  $w_n$  is called the  $n$ -th *singular factor* of  $c_\alpha$ .

[Wen and Wen (1994), Melançon (1999), Cao and Wen (2003)]

## Singular $n$ -words of the $i$ -th kind

- The set of factors of  $s$  of length  $|s_n|$  can be partitioned into  $k$  sets.

- That is:

$$\Omega_{|s_n|}(s) = \mathcal{C}(s_n) \dot{\cup} \Omega_n^1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} \Omega_n^{k-1}.$$



# Singular words

- The set of factors of length  $|s_n|$  in  $c_\alpha$  is given by

$$\{\text{all conjugates of } s_n\} \cup \{w_n\}$$

where  $w_n$  is called the  $n$ -th *singular factor* of  $c_\alpha$ .

[Wen and Wen (1994), Melançon (1999), Cao and Wen (2003)]

## Singular $n$ -words of the $i$ -th kind

- The set of factors of  $s$  of length  $|s_n|$  can be partitioned into  $k$  sets.

- That is:

$$\Omega_{|s_n|}(s) = \mathcal{C}(s_n) \dot{\cup} \Omega_n^1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} \Omega_n^{k-1}.$$

- Each set  $\Omega_n^i$  is closed under reversal.

# Singular words

- The set of factors of length  $|s_n|$  in  $c_\alpha$  is given by

$$\{\text{all conjugates of } s_n\} \cup \{w_n\}$$

where  $w_n$  is called the  $n$ -th *singular factor* of  $c_\alpha$ .

[Wen and Wen (1994), Melançon (1999), Cao and Wen (2003)]

## Singular $n$ -words of the $i$ -th kind

- The set of factors of  $s$  of length  $|s_n|$  can be partitioned into  $k$  sets.

- That is:

$$\Omega_{|s_n|}(s) = \mathcal{C}(s_n) \dot{\cup} \Omega_n^1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} \Omega_n^{k-1}.$$

- Each set  $\Omega_n^i$  is **closed under reversal**.
- If  $w \in \Omega_n^i$  then  $w$  is called a *singular  $n$ -word of the  $i$ -th kind*.
- Such words play a key role in our study of powers occurring in  $s$ .

# Powers occurring in $s$

---

- Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  be fixed.
- We define  $k$  sets of lengths between  $|s_n|$  and  $|s_{n+1}|$ :

$$\mathcal{D}_1(n) := \{r|s_n| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_i(n) := \{|s_n^r s_{n-1}^{d_n} \cdots s_{n+2-i}^{d_{n+3-i}} s_{n+1-i}| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1}\}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq k-1,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_k(n) := \{|s_n^r s_{n-1}^{d_n} \cdots s_{n+2-k}^{d_{n+3-k}} s_{n+1-k}| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1} - 1\}.$$

# Powers occurring in $s$

---

- Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  be fixed.
- We define  $k$  sets of lengths between  $|s_n|$  and  $|s_{n+1}|$ :

$$\mathcal{D}_1(n) := \{r|s_n| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_i(n) := \{|s_n^r s_{n-1}^{d_n} \cdots s_{n+2-i}^{d_{n+3-i}} s_{n+1-i}| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1}\}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq k-1,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_k(n) := \{|s_n^r s_{n-1}^{d_n} \cdots s_{n+2-k}^{d_{n+3-k}} s_{n+1-k}| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1} - 1\}.$$

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{D}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(n).$$

# Powers occurring in $s$

- Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  be fixed.
- We define  $k$  sets of lengths between  $|s_n|$  and  $|s_{n+1}|$ :

$$\mathcal{D}_1(n) := \{r|s_n| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_i(n) := \{|s_n^r s_{n-1}^{d_n} \cdots s_{n+2-i}^{d_{n+3-i}} s_{n+1-i}| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1}\}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq k-1,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_k(n) := \{|s_n^r s_{n-1}^{d_n} \cdots s_{n+2-k}^{d_{n+3-k}} s_{n+1-k}| : 1 \leq r \leq d_{n+1} - 1\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(n)$ .

- Suppose  $w \prec s$  and let  $p \geq 2$  be an integer. Then,

$$w^p \prec s \quad \Rightarrow \quad |w| \in \mathcal{D}_n \text{ for some } n.$$

# Squares

---

- In  $s$ , successive occurrences of a singular word are *positively separated*.

# Squares

---

- In  $s$ , successive occurrences of a singular word are *positively separated*.

- Consequently:

**Lemma:** Suppose  $u^2 \prec s$  with  $|u| \in \mathcal{D}_n$ . Then

$$w \not\prec u \quad \text{if} \quad w \in \Omega_{n+1-i}^1 \quad \text{for some } i \in [1, k-1].$$

# Squares

---

- In  $s$ , successive occurrences of a singular word are *positively separated*.

- Consequently:

**Lemma:** Suppose  $u^2 \prec s$  with  $|u| \in \mathcal{D}_n$ . Then

$$w \not\prec u \quad \text{if} \quad w \in \Omega_{n+1-i}^1 \quad \text{for some } i \in [1, k-1].$$

- That is,  $u$  does not contain a singular  $(n+1-i)$ -word of the first kind for any  $i \in [1, k-1]$ .



# Squares, cubes, and higher powers

---

- Let  $w \prec s$  with  $|w| \in \mathcal{D}_n$  for some  $n$ .

# Squares, cubes, and higher powers

---

• Let  $w \prec s$  with  $|w| \in \mathcal{D}_n$  for some  $n$ .

• Our main results show:

If  $w^p \prec s$ , then  $w$  is a conjugate of a finite product of blocks from the set  $\{s_n, s_{n-1}, \dots, s_{n+1-k}\}$ , depending on  $|w|$  and  $d_{n+1}$ .

# Squares, cubes, and higher powers

---

• Let  $w \prec s$  with  $|w| \in \mathcal{D}_n$  for some  $n$ .

• Our main results show:

If  $w^p \prec s$ , then  $w$  is a conjugate of a finite product of blocks from the set  $\{s_n, s_{n-1}, \dots, s_{n+1-k}\}$ , depending on  $|w|$  and  $d_{n+1}$ .

• For instance:

• Let  $p \geq 2$ .

• Suppose  $|w| = r|s_n|$  for some  $r$  with  $1 \leq r < (d_{n+1} + 2)/p$ .

# Squares, cubes, and higher powers

---

• Let  $w \prec s$  with  $|w| \in \mathcal{D}_n$  for some  $n$ .

• Our main results show:

If  $w^p \prec s$ , then  $w$  is a conjugate of a finite product of blocks from the set  $\{s_n, s_{n-1}, \dots, s_{n+1-k}\}$ , depending on  $|w|$  and  $d_{n+1}$ .

• For instance:

• Let  $p \geq 2$ .

• Suppose  $|w| = r|s_n|$  for some  $r$  with  $1 \leq r < (d_{n+1} + 2)/p$ .

• Then:

$w^p \prec s \iff w$  is one of the first  $|s_n|$  conjugates of  $(s_n)^r$ .

# Example: $k$ -bonacci word

---

- Define the  *$k$ -bonacci word* to be the standard episturmian word  $\eta_k$  with directive word  $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)^\omega$ .

# Example: $k$ -bonacci word

---

- Define the  *$k$ -bonacci word* to be the standard episturmian word  $\eta_k$  with directive word  $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)^\omega$ .
- Since all  $d_i = 1$ , we have  $s_n = s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_{n-k}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  
(The lengths  $|s_n|$  are the  *$k$ -bonacci numbers*.)

# Example: $k$ -bonacci word

- Define the  *$k$ -bonacci word* to be the standard episturmian word  $\eta_k$  with directive word  $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)^\omega$ .
- Since all  $d_i = 1$ , we have  $s_n = s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_{n-k}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  
(The lengths  $|s_n|$  are the  *$k$ -bonacci numbers*.)
- If  $w^p \prec \eta_k$ , then

$$|w| = |s_n| + |s_{n-1}| + \cdots + |s_{n+1-i}| \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } i \in [1, k-1].$$

- 
- Our main results reveal that, in  $\eta_k$ ,
    - $(a_1)^2$  is the unique square of length 2;
    - all conjugates of  $s_n$  have a square;
    - only some conjugates of  $s_n$  have a cube;
    - only some conjugates of  $s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_{n+1-i}$  have a square.



- 
- Our main results reveal that, in  $\eta_k$ ,
    - $(a_1)^2$  is the unique square of length 2;
    - all conjugates of  $s_n$  have a square;
    - only some conjugates of  $s_n$  have a cube;
    - only some conjugates of  $s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_{n+1-i}$  have a square.
  - There are no other integer powers in  $\eta_k$ .
    - In particular, the  $k$ -bonacci word is 4-power free.

# Concluding remarks

---

- Our main results on powers suffice to describe all integer powers occurring in any (episturmian) word that is equivalent to  $s$ .

- **Open problem:**

Determine all integer powers occurring in general standard episturmian words (with not all  $d_i$  necessarily positive).