

**EPIDEMIOLOGY OF INFECTION WITH *LEPTOSPIRA* SPECIES
IN LIVESTOCK IN PAPUA NEW GUINEA**

Thesis submitted by

Peter Meiwan Wai'in
BSc (UPNG), MSc (Virology) (University of London)

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in the School of Biomedical and Veterinary Sciences
Murdoch University

February 2007

Declaration

I declare this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not been previously submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

.....

Peter Meiwai WAI'IN

Abstract

The role of infection with *Leptospira* as a cause of infertility in Papua New Guinea (PNG) has not been confirmed, mainly because of the lack of robust and simple diagnostic tests in PNG. The aims of this study were to determine the seroprevalence and distribution of infection in livestock in PNG and to develop and validate a diagnostic test for use in PNG that was sufficiently accurate and reliable for confident interpretation of the results. The nested and real-time PCRs were assessed for use as diagnostic tools.

The first survey was conducted on 3 commercial, 3 smallholder cattle farms and 4 abattoirs in March 2004 in PNG. Each herd was stratified into 3 age groups (< 2, 2-5 and >5 years), and sera from 1379 animals were sampled in Lae and Kimbe. In addition, 73 kidneys were collected from cattle at the abattoir and aseptically processed for culture. Two hundred and eighty three sera were collected from pigs killed at the abattoirs and 79 pig kidneys were collected and cultured. All sera were tested using the microscopic agglutination test (MAT). The dominant serovar infecting the cattle in PNG was Hardjo with a seroprevalence of 53.7%. The prevalence of serovar Hardjo in the six farms and the abattoir was significantly higher than serovars Tarassovi and Pomona ($P < 0.05$). All pig sera were negative for *Leptospira*. Leptospire were isolated by culture and the isolates were typed and identified as *L. borgpetersenii* serovar Hardjo. Cattle are a recognized reservoir for serovar Hardjo and may have a role in transmission to humans.

The second survey was conducted in June 2006 to determine if cattle from smallholder farmers, village pigs and dogs in the Markham Valley in Lae, PNG were infected with *Leptospira*. In addition, pigs from a commercial piggery and horses from commercial and smallholder farms were also sampled. A total of 69 pig sera, 22 dog sera, 15 horse sera and 111 cattle sera were collected. The results showed that 1 dog and 1 pig were seropositive with serovar Canicola. Of the 111 cattle sampled, 21 were seropositive for Hardjo. It was concluded that the seroprevalence with serovar Hardjo in these cattle was significantly lower than cattle from commercial properties. Smallholder cattle may therefore not be a major source of Hardjo infection for animals on commercial farms and pigs do not appear to be infected with *Leptospira*.

The Ab-ELISAs were constructed using one crude preparation of *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona and 2 different crude preparations of *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc. The three antigen preparations were evaluated using 21 MAT-positive and 96 MAT-negative pig sera to determine which antigen preparation was suitable for use in an Ab-ELISA. The selected antigen preparation (L1) was validated in the test using serum from 2 cattle and 1 pig population that were seropositive for *Leptospira*. A sub-population of seronegative cattle and pigs were also used. The Ab-ELISA was used to test 1,465 bovine sera from 8 cattle populations and the results were compared with the MAT using a Bayesian framework, to obtain an unbiased estimate of the accuracy of the tests. The ELISA had high sensitivity and specificity. Results from the Bayesian analysis showed that the sensitivity and specificity estimates for the Ab-ELISA were high compared to the MAT. Based on the test accuracy and its performance the Ab-ELISA using the L1 antigen described in this study is suitable for use in countries like PNG where the MAT is difficult to perform.

Samples of kidneys from livestock in PNG were tested using culture and a PCR-based assay to detect *Leptospira* species. A total of 72 samples of kidney were collected from cattle and a total of 74 samples were collected from pigs slaughtered in Lae and Port Moresby. A second study was designed to assess the use of a real-time PCR for detecting leptospiral DNA in urine from cattle. One hundred and ninety-three urine samples were collected from a beef cattle farm in WA. Whole genomic DNA from kidney samples was extracted from each kidney using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen). Heat lysis was used to extract genomic DNA from clear urine samples and the QIAamp Mini Kit was used for urine that was contaminated with faeces. The PCR-based test was able to detect a higher number of *Leptospira*-positive kidneys compared to culture in EMJH medium. Results of testing DNA extracted from urine using the real-time PCR showed that this test is sensitive and able to detect cattle infected with pathogenic leptospires.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures.....	xv
Acknowledgements.....	xviii
Abbreviations.....	xx
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Introduction.....	3
2.2 History of the aspects of leptospirosis.....	4
2.3 History of the cattle industry in Papua New Guinea.....	5
2.4 Biology of leptospire.....	7
2.4.1 Morphology.....	7
2.4.2 Genomic organisation.....	9
2.5 Taxonomy and classification.....	10
2.5.1 Serological classification.....	10
2.5.2 Genotypic classification.....	11
2.6 Pathogenesis.....	14
2.6.1 Entry.....	15
2.6.2 Spread and growth.....	15
2.6.3 Persistence and carrier sites.....	16
2.6.4 Toxin production.....	17
2.7 Pathology.....	17
2.8 Epidemiology.....	18
2.8.1 Geographic distribution in Australia and the Pacific Islands.....	18
2.8.2 Sources and modes of transmission of leptospire.....	19
2.8.3 Cycle of host infection.....	20
2.8.4 Survival of leptospire in the environment.....	23
2.8.5 Human infections.....	24
2.9 Clinical features of leptospirosis.....	25
2.9.1 Humans.....	25

2.9.2	Cattle	27
2.9.3	Pigs.....	28
2.10	Laboratory diagnosis	29
2.10.1	Microscopic demonstration	29
2.10.2	Cultural methods.....	30
2.10.3	Microscopic agglutination test (MAT)	32
2.10.4	Enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay	34
2.10.5	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR).....	36
2.11	Measures for control and prevention of leptospirosis	37
2.11.1	Treatment and vaccination in humans	37
2.11.2	Control measures in animals	38

3 SURVEYS IN PAPUA NEW GUINEA TO DETECT THE PRESENCE

	OF <i>LEPTOSPIRA</i> SPECIES IN LIVESTOCK.....	40
3.1	Introduction	40
3.1.1	Objectives.....	41
3.2	Geographic location.....	41
3.2.1	Climate of Papua New Guinea	42
3.3	Materials and Methods	44
3.3.1	Study sites	44
3.3.1.1	Trukai farm.....	45
3.3.1.2	Ramu Beef farms (Gusap Downs and Leron Plains)	46
3.3.1.3	Rumion farm.....	48
3.3.1.4	Prhalda farm	49
3.3.1.5	Rearaguntu farm	49
3.3.1.6	Numundo Beef.....	50
3.3.1.7	Abattoirs	52
3.3.2	Collection of samples.....	53
3.3.3	Culture and isolation	55
3.3.4	Microscopic agglutination test	56
3.3.5	Interpretation of MAT titres.....	56
3.3.6	Analysis.....	57
3.4	Results.....	57
3.4.1	Overall seroprevalence of leptospiral serovars in cattle in PNG.....	57

3.4.2	Overall age-specific seroprevalence of antibodies to leptospiral serovars ..	58
3.4.3	The seroprevalence of leptospiral infection in cattle from 6 farms and an abattoir in PNG.....	59
3.4.4	Seroprevalence of serovars Hardjo, Pomona and Tarassovi in cattle from the three age groups	60
3.4.4.1	Serovar Hardjo.....	60
3.4.4.2	Serovar Tarassovi	61
3.4.4.3	Serovar Pomona.....	62
3.4.5	Age-specific seroprevalence of serovars Hardjo and Tarassovi in cattle from Trukai farm	63
3.4.5.1	Serovar Hardjo.....	63
3.4.5.2	Serovar Tarassovi	64
3.4.6	Active infection with serovar Hardjo in cattle from six farms in PNG ...	65
3.4.7	Geographic location of leptospiral infections	66
3.4.8	Seroprevalence of leptospiral serovar in pigs	67
3.4.9	Leptospiral culture	67
3.5	Discussion	68
3.6	Conclusion	76

4	SURVEY OF DOMESTIC ANIMALS TO DETECT THE PRESENCE OF INFECTION WITH <i>LEPTOSPIRA</i> IN SMALLHOLDER FARMS AND VILLAGES IN THE MARKHAM VALLEY IN PAPUA NEW GUINEA	77
4.1	Introduction.....	77
4.1.1	Objectives.....	78
4.2	Materials and Methods	78
4.2.1	Survey	78
4.2.2	Maranafun village profile.....	80
4.2.3	Sample collection	83
4.2.4	Microscopic agglutination test (MAT)	84
4.3	Results.....	84
4.4	Discussion.....	87
4.4.1	Serovar Hardjo.....	87

4.4.2	Serovar Tarassovi	89
4.4.3	Serovar Arborea.....	89
4.4.4	Serovar Canicola.....	89
4.4.5	Serovar Topaz.....	90
4.5	Conclusion	90
5	DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF AN ANTIBODY- DETECTION ENZYME-LINKED IMMUNOSORBENT ASSAY (ELISA) FOR THE DETECTION OF INFECTION WITH <i>LEPTOSPIRA</i> SPECIES IN CATTLE AND PIGS.....	93
5.1	Introduction.....	93
5.1.1	Aim of the study	95
5.2	Materials and Methods	95
5.2.1	Stains of <i>Leptospira</i> and culture media.....	95
5.2.2	Subculturing of <i>Leptospira interrogans</i> serovar Pomona and <i>Leptospira biflexa</i> serovar Patoc	96
5.2.3	Bacterial counts	96
5.2.4	Microscopic agglutination test	97
5.2.5	Antigen Preparation	99
5.2.5.1	Washing of <i>Leptospira</i> cells.....	99
5.2.5.2	Ultrasonication.....	99
5.2.5.3	French press.....	100
5.2.6	Test sera	100
5.2.6.1	ELISA test procedure.....	100
5.2.6.2	Evaluation of the antigen preparations.....	102
5.2.6.3	Antigen stability.....	102
5.2.6.4	Bovine and porcine serum used to validate the Ab-ELISA	102
5.2.6.5	Cattle sera from eight populations used to evaluate the Ab-ELISA..	103
5.2.7.	Data analysis.....	104
5.2.7.1	Evaluation of the antigens	104
5.2.7.2	Selection of a cut-off value for the Ab-ELISA.....	105
5.2.7.3	Performance of the Ab-ELISA on sera from cattle on two farms diagnosed with clinically leptospirosis	105
5.2.7.4	Comparison of the Ab-ELISA and the MAT	106

5.3	Results.....	107
5.3.1	Antigen stability	107
5.3.2	Evaluation of an Ab-ELISA using 3 crude antigen fractions for the detection of antibodies to <i>Leptospira</i> in pigs	107
5.3.3	Evaluation of an Ab-ELISA using the L1 antigen for the detection of antibodies to <i>Leptospira</i> species in cattle	110
5.3.4	Evaluation of an Ab-ELISA using the L1 antigen for the detection of antibodies to <i>Leptospira</i> in cattle and pigs.....	110
5.3.5	Selection of the cut-off values for the Ab-ELISA for cattle.....	111
5.3.6	Selection of the cut-off values for the Ab-ELISA for pigs	115
5.3.7	Pre-test and post-test probabilities for an Ab-ELISA L1 using sera from cattle from a farm seropositive with <i>Leptospira</i>	118
5.3.8	Comparison of an Ab-ELISA L1 with the MAT using cattle sera from a farm in PNG and a farm in WA believed to be infected with <i>Leptospira</i>	119
5.3.9	Correlation between an Ab-ELISA and MAT from eight cattle populations	119
5.3.10	Bayesian analysis of an Ab-ELISA and MAT	121
5.4	Discussion.....	123
5.4.1	Evaluation of an Ab-ELISA using 3 different antigens for the diagnosis of leptospirosis.....	124
5.4.1.1	Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for antigen selection	124
5.4.1.2	Crude antigens	125
5.4.2	Repeatability of an Ab-ELISA using the L1 antigen to test sera from cattle and pigs	126
5.4.3	Selection of cut-off values	126
5.4.4	Comparison of the Ab-ELISA with the MAT.....	129
6	USE OF THE L1-ANTIBODY-ELISA TO INVESTIGATE AN OUTBREAK OF BOVINE LEPTOSPIROSIS IN WESTERN AUSTRALIA	133
6.1	Introduction.....	133
6.1.1	Aim	133
6.1.2	Case history	133

6.2	Materials and Methods	134
6.2.1	Study area.....	134
6.2.2	Sample Collection.....	134
6.2.3	Antibody ELISA.....	135
6.2.4	Microscopic agglutination test	135
6.2.5	Interpretation of MAT titres	135
6.2.6	Statistical analysis.....	136
6.3	Results.....	138
6.3.1	Seroprevalence of leptospiral infection using the L1 Ab-ELISA and MAT	138
6.3.2	Correlation and agreement between the results of the Ab-ELISA and MAT.....	138
6.3.3	Seroprevalence of leptospiral serovars using the MAT.....	139
6.3.4	Antibody titres of leptospiral serovars determined by MAT	140
6.4	Discussion	142
6.4.1	Serovar Hardjo.....	143
6.4.2	Serovar Tarassovi	145
6.4.3	Serovar Arborea.....	146
6.4.4	Serovar Pomona.....	146
6.4.5	Serovar Medanensis	147
6.4.6	Serovar Szwajizak.....	147
7	USE OF PCR TO DETECT <i>LEPTOSPIRA</i> SPECIES IN KIDNEY AND URINE SAMPLES FROM INFECTED ANIMALS	149
7.1	Introduction.....	149
7.2	Materials and Methods	150
7.2.1	Kidney samples from PNG	150
7.2.2	Urine samples from a beef farm in WA.....	150
7.2.3	Culture and isolation	150
7.2.4	Preparation of genomic DNA from kidney samples.....	150
7.2.5	Extraction of DNA from urine	151
7.2.5.1	Heat lysis	152
7.2.5.2	QIAamp DNA mini kit for contaminated urine.....	152
7.2.6	Polymerase chain reaction.....	153

7.2.7	Analysis of PCR products	154
7.2.8	DNA sequencing.....	154
7.2.9	Real-time PCR (TaqMan)	155
7.2.10	Criteria for interpreting C _T values	156
7.2.11	Multicentre study.....	156
7.3	Results.....	157
7.3.1	Genomic DNA from kidneys	157
7.3.2	Real-time PCR.....	157
7.3.3	Multicentre evaluation of TaqMan [®] assay.....	160
7.4	Discussion.....	160
8	GENERAL DISCUSSION	164
9	APPENDICES	168
Appendix 1	WinBUGs code for Bayesian framework assuming conditional dependence between tests.....	168
Appendix 2	WinBUGS code for Hui Walter framework for Bayesian analysis...	169
Appendix 3	Checkerboard titration curves of the L1 antigen after extraction.....	170
10	REFERENCES	171

List of Tables

No.		Page
2.1	Leptospiral serovars isolated from animals and humans	5
2.2	Serogroups and serovars of clinical importance in <i>L. interrogans</i> sensu lato	11
2.3	Genomo-species of <i>Leptospira</i> and distribution of serogroups	13
2.4	Leptospiral serovars found in multiple genomo-species	14
2.5	The prevalence of leptospiral infection in animals in selected Pacific Island countries	19
3.1	Number of blood samples collected from cattle in each age group	55
3.2	Proportion of cattle (n=1379) from the study with antibodies to leptospiral serovars determined using the MAT	58
3.3	The prevalence of leptospiral serovars Hardjo, Tarassovi and Pomona from cattle on six farms and an abattoir	60
3.4	Results of testing 1,300 cattle for the presence of antibodies to serovar Hardjo	66
3.5	Age-specific seroprevalence of <i>Leptospira</i> Hardjo in cattle from Kimbe and Lae	67
4.1	Summary of animal species and number of blood samples collected from the villages in the Markham Valley	81
4.2	Proportion of cattle from smallholder farmers in the Markham Valley in PNG with antibodies to leptospiral serovars determined using the MAT	85
4.3	Seroprevalence of Hardjo in 111 cattle from smallholder village farms in the Markham Valley in PNG	85
4.4	Leptospiral serovars detected in 22 dogs from villages in the Markham Valley in PNG	86
4.5	Leptospiral serovars detected in 69 pigs from villages and Rumion piggery in the Markham Valley	86
4.6	Leptospiral serovars detected in 15 horses from Trukai and Guaruk smallholder farms in the Markham Valley	87

4.7	Summary of leptospiral serovars detected in all animals sampled	87
5.1	Serovars of <i>Leptospira</i> used in the MAT panel at the WHO/FAO/OIE Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Leptospirosis	98
5.2	Number of blood samples collected from the 8 cattle populations in each age group	104
5.3	Agreement (kappa) between 2 repeats for an Ab-ELISA using three antigen preparations to test serum from 21 MAT-positive pig sera and 96-MAT negative pig sera	108
5.4	Sensitivity and specificity of an antibody ELISA using three different antigen preparations for testing 21 MAT-positive pig sera and 96 MAT-negative pig sera	108
5.5	Area under the ROC curve for the three antigen preparations	109
5.6	Agreement (kappa) between two repeats of the L1 Ab-ELISAs used to test sera from 200 seropositive and 200 seronegative cattle from PNG and 159 seropositive cattle from WA	110
5.7	Sensitivity and specificity of the L1 Ab-ELISA for the detection of antibodies to <i>Leptospira</i> in sera from 200 seropositive and 200 seronegative cattle from PNG, 159 seropositive cattle from WA and 96 seropositive pigs from WA and 196 seronegative pigs from PNG	111
5.8	Comparison of sensitivity (%), specificity (%) and positive and negative predictive values (%) of the L1 Ab-ELISA and their repeats at four methods for calculating cut-offs using sera from 200 cattle seropositive with <i>Leptospira</i> and 200 seronegative cattle	112
5.9	Comparison of the sensitivity (%), specificity (%) and positive and negative predictive values (%) of the L1 Ab-ELISA using four methods for calculating the cut-off points with sera from 96 seropositive and 196 seronegative pigs	116
5.10	Agreement (kappa values) between the L1 Ab-ELISA and MAT with 200 seropositive cattle from PNG and 159 seropositive cattle from WA	119
5.11	Correlation between the results of the MAT and the Ab-ELISA to test serum from cattle on 8 properties that were stratified by age	120
5.12	Correlation between the results of testing cattle from 6 farms and an abattoir in PNG using the MAT and ELISA	121
5.13	Cross-classified results for Ab-ELISA (T1) and MAT (T2) for the detection of <i>Leptospira</i> from 8 cattle populations	122

5.14	Median (95% CI) sensitivity and specificity of the Ab-ELISA and MAT for detection of antibodies to <i>Leptospira</i> in cattle estimated using Bayesian analysis	122
5.15	Results of Bayesian analysis of testing sera from cattle on 8 properties using the MAT and an Ab-ELISA	123
6.1	Leptospiral serovars used in the microscopic agglutination test panel at the WHO/FAO/OIE Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Leptospirosis	137
6.2	Seroprevalence of leptospiral infection using the Ab-ELISA and the MAT	138
6.3	Cross-classified results for Ab-ELISA (T1) and MAT (T2) for infection with <i>Leptospira</i> from 159 cattle tested from WA	139
6.4	Proportion of cattle from the farm in WA with antibodies to leptospiral serovars determined with the MAT (n=159)	140
6.5	Ab-ELISA results and serovar Hardjo titres measured with MAT for 14 cattle which were pregnant in November 2003 and aborted in June 2004. Blood was collected in September, October and December 2004 after re-mating in July 2004	142
7.1	Conditions employed in the nested PCR assay	154
7.2	Results of nested PCR testing of genomic DNA extracted from the kidneys of cattle and pigs from PNG	157
7.3	Comparison of C _T values from 14 of the field urines tested by RT PCR following heat lysis and Qiagen Mini kit extraction	158
7.4	C _T values and classification of 21 urine samples tested with RT PCR by four Australian laboratories	159
7.5	Pairwise correlation between C _T values obtained by three laboratories for 21 urine samples tested with RT PCR	160

List of Figures

No.		Page
2.1	Electron micrograph of <i>Leptospira interrogans</i>	7
2.2	Schematic diagram of the <i>Leptospira</i> structure	8
2.3	Generalised scheme of the transmission of leptospires between rodents, farm animals and humans	22
2.4	The disease cycle of bovine leptospirosis	28
3.1	Map of Papua New Guinea with shaded areas showing the main livestock rearing areas in Lae and Kimbe	42
3.2	Map of Papua New Guinea showing the monthly rainfall distribution	43
3.3	Sketch of Lae showing farms located in the Markham–Ramu Valley Plains	44
3.4	Valley View farm showing cattle grazing on the hill side pastures	46
3.5	Older cows and pre-weaned calves at Leron Plains farm in the Markham Valley	47
3.6	Heifers at Gusap Downs farm in the Ramu Valley	47
3.7	Stream running through the farm which receives effluent from the piggery	48
3.8	An example of a village adjacent to Rearaguntu farm	49
3.9	Cattle grazing in pastures with oil palms in the background in Numundo farm	50
3.10	Processed palm kernel stored in the feed house in Numundo farm which could be contaminated by rat urine	52
3.11	Stream that runs through the farm which cattle drink	52
3.12	Killing floor at the Numundo Beef abattoir	53
3.13	Age-specific seroprevalence of the principal leptospiral serovars identified in cattle	59
3.14	Age-specific seroprevalence of serovar Hardjo in cattle from the six farms and from cattle slaughtered in Lae abattoir	61

3.15	Age-specific seroprevalence of serovar Tarassovi in cattle from six farms in PNG	62
3.16	Age-specific seroprevalence of serovar Pomona in cattle from six farms in PNG	63
3.17	Age-specific seroprevalence of serovar Hardjo in cattle born on farm (Trukai) and those not born on Trukai	64
3.18	Age-specific seroprevalence of serovar Tarassovi in cattle born on farm (Trukai) and those that were not born on Trukai	65
3.19	Active infection of serovar Hardjo in animals on the six farms surveyed	66
4.1	Location of villages and farms in the Markham Valley	79
4.2	A mother and daughter walking barefoot to their house	82
4.3	Pigs and dogs roam the village scavenging for food	82
4.4	Cattle roaming the village during the day	83
4.5	Cattleyard in a bush area next to the village	83
5.1	Receiver operating characteristic curve obtained from analysis of the Ab-ELISA using L1, L2 and L3 antigens for the detection of leptospiral antibodies in 21 MAT-positive pig sera and 96 MAT-negative pig sera	109
5.2	ROC curve for an L1 Ab-ELISA using OD cut-off values of 0.03, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.35, 0.4, 0.55, 0.6, 0.7, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 1.9 and 2 for seropositive and seronegative cattle	113
5.3	Frequency histogram of OD from cattle seropositive with <i>Leptospira</i> and seronegative cattle tested using an L1 Ab-ELISA	114
5.4	Scatter plot of OD values from cattle seropositive with <i>Leptospira</i> and seronegative cattle tested using the L1 Ab-ELISA	114
5.5	Receiver operating characteristic curve for an Ab-ELISA L1 using OD cut-off values of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 for seropositive and seronegative pigs	117
5.6	Frequency plot of OD values of sera from 96 pigs positive for leptospirosis and 196 negative pigs tested using an L1 Ab-ELISA	117

5.7	The pre-test and post-test probabilities of detecting cattle infected with <i>Leptospira</i> using an Ab-ELISA with the L1 antigen	118
5.8	Correlation between MAT titres and Ab-ELISA OD of 8 cattle populations	120
6.1	Location of the farm in Pinjarra, Western Australia	134
6.2	Association between MAT titres and Ab-ELISA ODs from the 159 cattle from a farm in WA	139
6.3	Frequency of antibody titres to serovars Hardjo, Tarassovi and Arborea in 159 cattle from the WA farm	141

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to my supervisors Simon Reid, Stan Fenwick and Ian Robertson. I offer my sincerest gratitude for your support, ideas, constructive criticism and scientific acumen I have valued during the project.

I wish to thank Leo Hustas of the Animal Health Laboratory, Department of Agriculture and Food WA for his invaluable advice and help in culturing and techniques in performing the microscopic agglutination test (MAT) and culturing the leptospire for test antigen. I am grateful to Lee Symthe, Meegan Symonds and Michael Dohnt at the WHO/FAO/OIE Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Leptospirosis in Queensland for their technical assistance with the MAT and culture identification. I thank all those at Murdoch University especially Patchara Phuektes who have helped me in my endeavours, they are too numerous to list. I am also thankful to the Meat and Livestock Australia for supporting the part on assessing the use of a real-time PCR.

The surveys that I have carried out in the cattle farms in PNG would have not been possible without the cooperation of the National Agriculture Quarantine and Inspection Authority (NAQIA) and its veterinary field staff in Lae, the management of Trukai Industries, Ramu Beef, Rumion piggery, Numundo Beef, Pelgen piggery, smallholder cattle farmers and villages in the Markham Valley, and I owe them all a debt of gratitude. I wish to thank Geoff Fahey (Trukai Industries Agribusiness Manager) for his time to provide advice and support the survey in the Markham valley in Lae.

This project was made possible with a scholarship provided by the Australian Centre for International Research (ACIAR) under the John Allwright fellowship program. The surveys in PNG were supported by the ACIAR project No. ASI/2001/054. I wish to thank NAQIA management for allowing me to undertake this study.

Finally, my family. I am greatly indebted to my best friend and wife Lydia Faith. This PhD would not have succeeded without her love and support. Lydia has toiled equally hard for the past four years, her success can be measured by the well being of our three gorgeous children Katrina, Keturah and Eric. They have lived every day with me for my PhD, sharing my highs and lows, putting up with my moods.

**This thesis is dedicated to my dear dad, David Bomboman Wai'in who passed away
in March 2006.**

“Though you left me, you're never gone”

Abbreviations

<	less than
>	greater than
≥	greater than or equal to
%	percent
μ (preflx)	micro (10 ⁻⁶)
MAT	microscopic agglutination test
EMJH	Ellinghausen-McCullough-Johnson-Harris medium
°C	degrees Celsius
Ab-ELISA	antibody-detection enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
ABTS	2,2'-azino-di-(3-ethylbenzylthiazoline-6-sulfonate)
AUC	area under the curve
CI	confidence interval
C _T	threshold cycle
d ₍₀₎	cut-off value calculated from the TGROC computer program that gives equal test sensitivity and specificity
DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide
EDTA	ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid, tri potassium salt
ELISA	enzyme-linked-immunosorbent assay
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation
<i>et al.</i>	and others
<i>g</i>	unit of gravitational field
HRP	horseradish peroxidase
IgG	immunoglobulin G
IgM	immunoglobulin M
IR	intermediate range for the cut-off value for the ELISA calculated using the TGROC computer program
kb	kilo base pairs (a unit of measurement of DNA)
kHz	kilohertz (10 ³ cycles per second)
L	litre
LDC	Livestock Development Corporation
LR	likelihood ratio
m	metre

M	molar concentration
min	minute
mm	millimetre
ml	millilitre
NaCl	sodium chloride
NHSS	Numundo half stand system
NPOL	New Britain palm oil limited
NPV	negative predictive value
WHO	World Health Organisation
sq km	square kilometre
OIE	Office International Des Epizooties
OD	optical density
ORF	open reading frame
P	probability of an event due to chance alone
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
pH	minus log of the hydrogen ion concentration
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PNG	Papua New Guinea
PPV	positive predictive value
ROC	receiver operating curve
SD	standard deviation
TEN-T	Tris (hydroxymethyl) methylamine EDTA and NaCl with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20
TEN-TC	TEN with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and 0.2% (w/v) casein
TG-ROC	computer program for the calculation of a cut-off for the ELISA using two graph response-operating characteristic curves
WA	Western Australia
w/v	weight in volume (%)
v/v	volume in volume (%)

