



Murdoch
UNIVERSITY

MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY

This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination.

The definitive version is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AN10087>

Kim, J.C., Mullan, B.P., Nicholls, R.R. and Pluske, J.R. (2011)
Effect of Australian sweet lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*L.)
inclusion levels and enzyme supplementation on the
performance, carcass composition and meat
quality of grower/finisher pigs.
Animal Production Science, 51 (1). pp. 37-43.

<http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/3667/>

Copyright: © 2011 CSIRO

It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

1 **Effect of Australian sweet lupin (*L. angustifolius* L.) inclusion levels**
2 **and enzyme supplementation on the performance, carcass**
3 **composition and meat quality of grower/finisher pigs**

4

5 *J. C. Kim^{A,C}, B. P. Mullan^A, R. R. Nicholls^A, J. R. Pluske^B*

6

7 Livestock Industries Innovation, Department of Agriculture and Food, South Perth,
8 WA 6151;

9 ^BAnimal Research Institute, School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch
10 University, Murdoch, WA6150, Australia

11 ^CCorresponding author. E-mail: jae.kim@agric.wa.gov.au

12

13

14

15 **Abstract.**

16 Two hundred and twenty-four crossbred male pigs (Large White x Landrace, initial
17 body weight $27.2 \text{ kg} \pm 0.22$) were used to determine the influence of dietary
18 Australian sweet lupin (ASL) inclusion level and enzyme supplementation on growth
19 performance, carcass composition and meat quality. The experiment was a 4 x 2
20 factorial design with the respective factors being ASL inclusion level (*L. angustifolius*
21 *L.*, cv Mandelup; 200, 250, 300 and 350 g/kg, in replacement of soybean meal) and
22 enzyme supplementation (without or with supplemental enzyme; Allzyme SSF,
23 Alltech Biotechnology, USA). Pigs (7 pigs per pen x 4 replicates per treatment = 28
24 pigs per enzyme by lupin-level combination) were fed grower diets between 27 kg to
25 50 kg, finisher diets between 50 kg to 75 kg and pre-sale diets between 75 kg to 107
26 kg, and daily gain and feed intake were measured weekly. At approximately 107 kg
27 live weight, the pigs were slaughtered at a commercial abattoir and carcass
28 composition was measured. Meat quality (pH, surface exudate, drip loss, cooking loss,
29 meat colour and shear force) was measured from selected pigs (n=18) fed the lowest
30 and highest lupin diets without enzyme supplementation. Increasing ASL inclusion
31 level to 350 g/kg did not alter ($P > 0.05$) growth performance of pigs and did not
32 influence ($P > 0.05$) carcass composition and meat quality. Likewise, addition of
33 supplemental enzyme had no effect ($P > 0.05$) on growth performance and carcass
34 composition. Lack of performance response to added enzyme complex is likely due
35 either to the use of enzyme complex that was not substrate-specific for the lupin non-
36 starch polysaccharides or to the high specification of the experimental diets which
37 was inevitable when increasing inclusion levels of lupins. The results show that a
38 current variety (Mandelup) of ASL can be used in grow/finish diets up to 350 g/kg
39 without compromising growth, carcass composition or meat quality of pigs.

40

41 **Additional Key Words:** Australian Sweet Lupins, Pig, Enzyme, Growth performance,

42 Carcass composition, Meat Quality

43

44

45 **Introduction**

46 Despite Australian sweet lupins ASL (ASL, *Lupinus angustifolius* L.) being
47 an economical plant protein ingredient for growing and finishing pigs in Western
48 Australia (WA), the inclusion level of ASL in the diets for growing and finishing pigs
49 is restricted due to the presence of anti-nutritional factors (ANF) such as
50 oligosaccharides and non-starch polysaccharides (NSP). . In a growth trial, for
51 example, Mullan *et al.* (1997) concluded that the maximum inclusion level of ASL for
52 optimum grower performance was 180 g/kg. These data were obtained using ASL
53 varieties available 5-10 years ago, such as Gungurru, which represent only a small
54 proportion of ASL currently fed to pigs. For example, the production of Gungurru in
55 2008 harvest was only 1% of total lupin production in Western Australia, while
56 production of the new variety Mandelup was 62% (Dr B. Buirchell, personal
57 communication). Comparison of chemical composition between a newer variety
58 Mandelup and the old variety Gungurru showed that Mandelup contains a greater
59 amount of insoluble NSP but has a comparable amino acid composition to Gungurru
60 as summarized in Table 1. Therefore, studies using newer and more widely grown
61 varieties that represent a greater proportion of the ASL fed to pigs in WA needs to be
62 conducted.

63 Despite research indicating that the optimum inclusion rate for whole ASL
64 in grower pigs diets is close to 200 g/kg (e.g. Mullan *et al.* 1997), inclusion levels
65 often exceed these suggested maximum values in commercial diets in WA. Another
66 possible concern when pigs are fed a diet containing a high concentration of ASL is
67 carcass composition and meat quality. Previous publications reported that feeding
68 lupin seeds to pigs decreased the dressing percentage of pigs and decreased back fat

69 thickness without influencing carcass leanness and lean meat percentage in the ham
70 (King 1981; van Nevel *et al.* 2000).

71 To counteract the high levels of ANF in ASL and improve their nutritive
72 value, some researchers have used supplementary enzymes to improve energy and
73 amino acid digestibility in lupin-fed pigs (Gdala *et al.* 1997). Adding an enzyme, or
74 more accurately an enzyme complex, that specifically targets lupin ANF has potential
75 to improve digestibility, and could thus allow for higher inclusion levels of ASL in
76 diets for grower and finisher pigs.

77

78 The purpose of this experiment therefore was to identify the optimum
79 inclusion level of the current major variety of ASL (cv. Mandelup) grown in WA for
80 grower and finisher pigs in terms of performance, carcass composition and meat
81 quality. Furthermore, we wished to study the effects of a supplemental enzyme
82 complex on performance and carcass composition of pigs, and to examine any
83 interactions between the lupin inclusion level and enzyme supplementation.

84

85 **Materials and Methods**

86 The experimental protocol used in this study was approved by the Department of
87 Agriculture and Food Western Australia Animal Ethics committee. Animals were
88 handled according to the Australian code of practice for the care and use of animals
89 for scientific purposes (NHMRC, 2004).

90

91 *Experimental design*

92 Two hundred and twenty-four crossbred entire male pigs (Large White x
93 Landrace) were transported at weaning to the Medina Research Station and, after

94 several weeks of acclimation, were allocated based on their live weight to one of the 8
95 treatment combinations [8 treatments (4 inclusion levels x 2 enzyme supplements)] at
96 an average body weight of 27 kg \pm 0.22. There were 7 pigs per pen and 4 replicates to
97 give 28 pigs per enzyme by lupin-level combination. The experiment was a
98 randomised design with a 2 x 4 factorial arrangement. The 8 diets were formulated to
99 contain increasing concentrations of ASL (200, 250, 300 and 350 g/kg) without and
100 with an added enzyme complex (Allzyme SSF added at 200 g/tonne). The enzyme
101 complex contained minimum activities of 100 U 1,4- β -xylanase (EC 3.2.1.8), 30 U α -
102 amylase (EC 3.2.1.1), 200 U β -glucanase (EC 3.2.1.6), 700 U protease (EC 3.4.23.18),
103 40 U cellulase (EC 3.2.1.4), 4000 U pectinase (EC 3.1.1.73), and 300 U phytase (EC
104 3.1.3.26) per kg product (Alltech Biotechnology, USA).

105

106 *Diets, feeding and sample collection*

107 All diets were formulated to contain equal amounts of ileal digestible amino
108 acids and ileal digestible lysine to DE ratio (Tables 2 - 4). The lupin variety used was
109 Mandelup because it comprises the greatest proportion of ASL grown in WA at the
110 current time (Dr M. Sweetingham, DAFWA, *personal communication*), and therefore
111 reflects the variety of lupin most likely to be in pig feeds in WA and also exported as
112 stockfeed. Pigs were fed grower diets between 27 kg – 50 kg, finisher diets between
113 50 kg – 75 kg, and pre-sale diets between 75 kg – 107 kg. ASL were progressively
114 substituted for wheat and soybean meal but diets were formulated to be isoenergetic
115 and isonitrogenous by supplementation of canola oil and crystalline amino acids. Pigs
116 were fed their respective diets *ad libitum* and fresh water was available throughout the
117 experiment via swivel drinkers. The pens consisted of 60% solid concrete and 40%
118 plastic slatted areas and had a space allowance of 0.93 m² per pig.

119

120 *Measurements*

121 Average daily gain and feed conversion ratio per pen were determined
122 weekly until slaughter. Pigs were slaughtered in a commercial abattoir when their live
123 weight reached ≈ 107 kg, and carcass composition data were collected. Hot carcass
124 weight was measured based on AUSMEAT trim 13 (head off, flare off, fore trotters
125 off, hind trotters on). Dressing percentage was calculated as the ratio between live
126 weight and hot carcass weight. P2 back fat was measured between the 12th/13th ribs of
127 the left side of each carcass at 65 mm off the midline.

128 Eighteen pigs with median weight of the group were selected at slaughter
129 from the lowest and highest lupin diets without enzyme supplementation to assess
130 meat quality measurements. Muscle samples from the *longissimus thoracis* (LT) were
131 taken between the 10th/15th ribs from the left side of each carcass (~ 1 kg) at 24 h
132 post-slaughter. The pH of the LT was measured using a portable pH meter (Eutech
133 Instruments, Cyberscan pH 3000 series, Singapore) at 24 h post-slaughter. The
134 surface exudate of the LT was measured using the filter paper absorption method
135 (filter paper-55 mm) (Kauffman *et al.* 1986). Forty eight hr drip loss was then
136 calculated using the surface exudate values according to the regression equations
137 reported by Kauffman *et al.* (1986). Meat colour was measured with a Minolta
138 Chromameter CR-400, using D65 illumination, a 2° standard observer and 8 mm
139 aperture in the measuring head, standardised to a white tile. Cooking loss was
140 determined by weight differences by cooking LT muscles (~ 100 g) in a water bath at
141 80° C for 1 hr and cooled under running water for 30 min (Bouton *et al.* 1971). The
142 cooked LT samples were stored at 4° C for 24 hrs and shear force was measured using

143 a Warner Bratzler shear blade fitted to an Instron Universal Testing Machine (Model
144 1122, Instron Pty, Ltd.; AMSA, 1978).

145

146 *Statistical analyses*

147 The General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SPSS (version 14, SPSS Inc,
148 Chicago, Illinois) was used to analyse the main effects of lupin inclusion level (L) and
149 enzyme (E) and their interactions on growth performance and carcass composition.
150 Meat quality, measured from selected pigs fed the lowest and highest lupin diets
151 without enzyme supplementation, was assessed using GLM procedure. Pen was
152 considered as the experimental unit for growth performance and pig was the
153 experimental unit for analyses of carcass composition and meat quality. Slaughter
154 weight was used as a covariate for carcass composition and meat quality assessment.
155 Linear and quadratic effects of lupin inclusion level on these measurements were also
156 examined.

157

158 **Results**

159 *Growth performance*

160 Dietary inclusion of up to 350g ASL per kg of grower, finisher and pre-sale
161 diets did not alter ($P > 0.05$) growth performance of pigs. Consequently, days to reach
162 107 kg was similar ($P > 0.05$) regardless of the lupin concentration in the
163 experimental diets. Moreover, with the lack of negative effects of lupin inclusion level
164 on performance, supplementation of an enzyme complex did not improve ($P > 0.05$)
165 growth performance and carcass characteristics. However, supplementation of the
166 enzyme complex tended to increase feed intake without increasing growth rate during
167 the pre-sale period ($P=0.054$, Table 5).

168

169 *Carcass composition*

170 Although increasing lupin inclusion level numerically decreased dressing
171 percentage (not significant, linear effect $P= 0.283$), carcass composition was not
172 influenced ($P > 0.05$) by either lupin inclusion level or by enzyme supplementation
173 (Table 6).

174

175 *Meat quality*

176 The meat quality traits of pigs fed 350 g ASL/kg were not different ($P >$
177 0.05) from those of pigs fed 200 g ASL/kg (Table 7). However, the meat from pigs
178 fed the 350 g/kg lupin diet showed a trend to be more tender ($P=0.102$) than that from
179 pigs fed the 200 g/kg lupin diet.

180

181 **Discussion**

182 *Chemical composition*

183 Although there was a slight increase in the essential amino acid content in Mandelup,
184 chemical composition of the old variety Gungurru and a newer variety Mandelup
185 were comparable except that Mandelup had higher insoluble NSP than the older
186 variety Gungurru. This change reflects the lupin breeding program that has targeted
187 yield, drought tolerance and disease tolerance which have subsequently increased the
188 amount of structural polysaccharides. This was also evident in the increased acid
189 detergent fibre content of Mandelup compared with the old variety Gungurru.
190 Increased insoluble NSP may have negative effects on nutrient utilization as a greater
191 inclusion of insoluble NSP is associated with loss of amino acids through increased
192 endogenous secretion such as mucins (Kim et al., 2007).

193

194 *Growth performance*

195 Using an older variety of *L angustifolius* (cv. Gungurru), previous Australian
196 research showed that the maximum inclusion level that pigs could digest lupin kernel
197 NSP and galactose in the small intestine of 45 kg pigs was 200g/kg, which is
198 equivalent to 260 g/kg of whole lupin seeds (van Barneveld *et al.* 1995a). A
199 concurrent digestibility study with the same cultivar showed that inclusion of ASL
200 above this level significantly decreased ileal energy and lysine digestibilities and
201 increased fermentation of NSP in the large intestine (van Barneveld *et al.* 1995b;
202 1995c). Based on these and other experiments, the maximum inclusion level of ASL
203 in pig diets was recommended as 100-150 g/kg for weaners, 200-250 g/kg for growers
204 and 300-350 g/kg for finishers (van Barneveld 1994). Despite the apparent negative
205 nutritional influences of ASL in the GIT of pigs that have been reported, however,
206 other research showed that if diets were formulated based on equal amounts of ileal
207 digestible amino acids, ASL could be included to 400 g/kg in diets for weaner and
208 grower pigs without compromising growth performance. For example, Fernandez and
209 Batterham (1995) and Gdala *et al.* (1996) used 20 kg and 14 kg pigs, respectively, and
210 fed diets containing more than 400 g ASL/kg (cv. Gungurru and Saturn, respectively)
211 with supplementation of essential amino acids, and found no significant differences in
212 growth performance of these pigs compared with pigs fed soybean meal-based diets.
213 However, simple replacement of other protein sources such as soybean meal with
214 angustifolius lupin seeds without adjustments for levels of ileal digestible amino acids
215 showed an inferior production response due to the low lysine and sulphur amino acid
216 contents in ASL (Hale and Miller 1985; McNiven and Castell 1995). Collectively, it
217 is evident that ASL can be included up to 400 g/kg in grower/finisher diets as long as

218 the diets are formulated based on ileal digestible amino acids. Chemical analysis of
219 Mandelup showed that the major difference between the old variety Gungurru and the
220 newer variety Mandelup is the greater insoluble NSP content in Mandelup (Table 1).
221 This increased insoluble NSP content may not influence nutrient utilization efficiency
222 in the diets supplemented with greater amounts of angustifolius lupin according to the
223 finding by Ferguson et al. (2003). This particular research demonstrated that the major
224 ANF in angustifolius ASL is soluble NSP whereas addition of insoluble NSP had no
225 effect on performance of pigs. Therefore, changes in chemical composition in the
226 newer variety Mandelup may not have influenced the growth of pigs in this
227 experiment.

228 Using the current variety *Mandelup* in the present experiment demonstrated
229 that ASL could be used successfully up to 350 g/kg in diets for grower/finisher pigs
230 without any signs of growth depression. However, and considering the higher NSP
231 content of ASL seeds, supplementation of exogenous NSP-degrading enzymes to
232 improve nutrient digestibility and hence performance of pigs was examined. The use
233 of enzymes targeting specific types of NSP in ASL such as polygalacturonans in the
234 kernels of ASL seeds is a probable strategy to improve the nutritive value of ASL.
235 The NSP in ASL kernels are mostly pectic substances and are complex links within
236 and between polygalacturonans (homogalacturonan, xylogalacturonan,
237 rhamnogalacturonans) and neutral polysaccharides such as arabinans and
238 arabinogalactans. Also, galactose residues of the polygalacturonans are methyl-
239 esterfied at C-6 which protects access of pectinase as found in an *in vitro* study (Ali et
240 al., 2005). This study showed that polygalacturonase supplementation breaks only
241 11% of the bonds due to the presence of the methyl-esterfied galactose residues which
242 block the binding sites of polygalacturonans to the glucosidic bonds along the pectin

243 chain. Consequently, supplementation of pectin methyl esterase and
244 polygalacturonase in combination with ground dehulled ASL (*L angustifolius* in 70
245 mL acid buffer) efficiently reduced the length of pectin chains by 65% and molecular
246 weight of pectin by 56% (Ali et al., 2005). However, currently a commercial enzyme
247 product targeting these complex structures is not available. Therefore, a commercial
248 enzyme complex was selected which contains the enzymes thought to have the
249 greatest positive effect on ASL, including pectinase.

250 Supplementation of the enzyme complex in ASL diets at all inclusion levels
251 did not improve performance response of pigs throughout the entire growth period.
252 This suggests either: (1) the dietary specifications used in this experiment (240 g, 180
253 g, and 180 g CP/kg for grower, finisher and pre-sale diets, respectively) exceeded the
254 animal's requirements (180 g, 155 g, and 132 g/kg, respectively), (2) pigs between 27
255 kg to 107 kg could utilise nutrients from ASL without any negative effects caused by
256 ASL oligosaccharides and NSP, and (or) (3) the enzyme complex was not sufficiently
257 substrate-specific for the ASL kernel NSP. To formulate diets that were isoenergetic
258 and isonitrogenous, including the diet containing 350 g ASL/kg, formulation of high-
259 specification diets was inevitable because the simple addition of 350 g ASL and 600 g
260 barley in the pre-sale diet resulted in a diet containing 170 g/kg of crude protein.
261 Therefore, addition of enzymes may not be effective because pigs were already being
262 supplied with sufficient nutrients to maximise growth. The finding that
263 supplementation of the enzyme complex tended to increase feed intake during the pre-
264 sale period but did not increase growth rate and hence feed efficiency could support
265 this notion, at least in part.

266

267 *Carcass composition*

268 Feeding ground *angustifolius* and *albus* lupin seeds to pigs decreased
269 dressing percentage of carcass and decreased back fat thickness without influencing
270 carcass leanness and lean meat percentage in the ham (King 1981; King *et al.*, 2000;
271 van Nevel *et al.* 2000). This is mainly due to the extensive fermentation of dietary
272 energy in the large intestine that causes: (1) higher intestinal tissue growth; and (2)
273 modulation of energy digestion (Taverner *et al.* 1983). King (1981) and van Nevel *et*
274 *al.* (2000) showed that every 100 g/kg inclusion of *albus* lupin seeds in grow/finish
275 diets reduced dressing percentage by 0.7 to 1.4 percentage units. Albeit not significant
276 in the present study, increasing ASL concentration from 200 g/kg to 350 g/kg
277 decreased dressing percentage by 0.5 percentage units.

278

279 *Meat quality*

280 The purpose of the meat quality assessments in this experiment was to
281 ascertain whether increasing dietary inclusion levels of ASL influenced meat quality
282 as the source of dietary protein had a significant effect on meat quality of lambs
283 (Ponnampalam *et al.*, 2003). In that experiment the meat from lambs fed ASL was
284 more tender mainly because lambs fed ASL had more intramuscular fat
285 (Ponnampalam *et al.*, 2003). This is probably due to conversion of pectins from lupin
286 kernels to short-chain fatty acids in the rumen which may have increased the energy
287 pool of the lambs. Our data showed that feeding high-ASL diets influenced none of
288 the meat quality traits measured. The trend showing that the meat from pigs fed 350
289 g/kg ASL tended to be more tender than that from pigs fed 200 g/kg ASL was not
290 expected, as utilization of fermentable fibre such as pectins are less efficient than
291 ruminant animals, and all diets were formulated to be isoenergetic in this experiment.
292 In a previous experiment, pigs fed either a 200 g/kg ASL-based diet or soybean meal-

293 based diets from 40 kg to 107 kg showed no effect on meat quality traits including
294 tenderness (Moore 2001).

295

296 **Conclusions**

297 Results of the present experiment showed that if diets are formulated based
298 on the ileal digestible amino acid content, a current variety of ASL (cv Mandelup) can
299 be used up to 350 g/kg in diets for grower/finisher pigs without compromising growth
300 performance, carcass composition or meat quality. A simple mix of wheat, barley and
301 ASL with essential amino acid supplements supported daily gains of over 1 kg with
302 an FCR of 2.7 kg/kg between 27 kg and 107 kg live weight without showing
303 deleterious effects. It is unknown whether other ASL varieties grown in WA having
304 different chemical compositions would respond in the same way.

305

306 **Acknowledgements**

307 The authors acknowledge technical assistance from Mr Bob Davis and Mr
308 Richard Seaward at Medina Research Station and financial support from the WA
309 Agricultural Produce Commission, Pig Producers' Committee. Assistance from Dr
310 Alan Meldrum of Pulse Australia for collection of ASL is appreciated. The enzyme
311 (Allzyme SSF) was kindly donated by Alltech Biotechnology Australia, Dandenong,
312 Vic., Australia.

313 **References**

- 314 Ali A, Williams IH, Martin GB, Sipsas S (2005) Hydrolysis of lupin pectin by
315 pectinases for broilers. In '17th Annual Australian Poultry Science
316 Symposium', pp. 219-222. (The Poultry Research Foundation: University of
317 Sydney, NSW).
- 318 Bouton PE, Harris PV, Shorthose WR (1971) Effect of ultimate pH upon the water
319 holding capacity and tenderness of mutton. *Journal of Food Science* **36**, 435-
320 439.
- 321
- 322 Fernandez JA, Batterham ES (1995) The nutritive value of lupin-seed and dehulled
323 lupin-seed meals as protein sources for growing pigs as evaluated by different
324 techniques. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **53**, 279-296.
- 325
- 326 Ferguson NS, Gous RM, Iji PA (2003) Determining the source of anti-nutritional
327 factor(s) found in two species of lupin (*L. albus* and *L. angustifolius*) fed to
328 growing pigs. *Livestock Production Science* **84**, 83-91.
- 329
- 330 Gdala J, Jansman AJM, Buraczewska L, Huisman J, van Leeuwen P (1997) The
331 influence of α -galactosidase supplementation on the ileal digestibility of lupin
332 seed carbohydrates and dietary protein in young pigs. *Animal Feed Science
333 and Technology* **67**, 115-125.
- 334
- 335 Gdala J, Jansman AJM, van Leeuwen P, Huisman J, Verstegen MWA (1996) Lupins
336 (*L. luteus*, *L. albus*, *L. angustifolius*) as a protein source for young pigs.
337 *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **62**, 239-249.

338

339 Hale OM, Miller JD (1985) Effects of either sweet or semi-sweet blue lupin on
340 performance of swine. *Journal of Animal Science* **60**, 989-997.

341

342 Kauffman RG, Eikelenboom G, van der Wal PG, Merkus G, Zaar M (1986) The use
343 of filter paper to estimate drip loss of porcine muscle. *Meat Science* **18**, 191-
344 200.

345

346 Kim JC, Pluske JR, Mullan BP (2007) ASL as a protein source in pig diets. *CAB*
347 *Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and*
348 *Natural Resources* **2**, No. 003, 12 pp.

349 King RH (1981) Lupin-seed meal (*Lupinus albus* cv. Hamburg) as a source of protein
350 for growing pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **6**, 285-296.

351

352 King RH, Dunshea FR, Morrish L, Eason PJ, van Barneveld RJ, Mullan BP,
353 Campbell RG (2000) The energy value of *Lupinus angustifolius* and *Lupinus*
354 *albus* for growing pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **83**, 17-30.

355

356 McNiven MA, Castell AG (1995) Replacement of soybean meal with lupin seed
357 (*Lupinus albus*) in pig starter diets. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **52**,
358 333-338.

359

360 NHMRC (2004) Australian code of practice for the care and use of animals for
361 scientific purposes. 7th ed. National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra,
362 ACT, Australia.

363

364 Moore KL (2001) Porcine somatotropin and soybean meal decrease pork quality but
365 sex has no effect. Honors Dissertation, University of Western Australia.

366

367 Mullan BP, van Barneveld RJ, Cowling WA (1997) Yellow lupins (*Lupinus luteus*):
368 A new feed grain for the pig industry. In 'Manipulating Pig Production VI'.
369 Werribee, Vic., Australia. (Ed. PD Cranwell) p. 237. (Australasian Pig Science
370 Association).

371

372 Ponnampalam EN, Hosking BJ, Egan AR (2003) Rate of carcass components gain,
373 carcass characteristics, and muscle longissimus tenderness in lambs fed dietary
374 protein sources with a low quality roughage diet. *Meat Science* **63**, 143-149.

375

376 Taverner MR, Curic DM, Rayner CJ (1983) A comparison of the extent and site of
377 energy and protein digestion of wheat, lupin and meat and bone meal by pigs.
378 *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **34**, 122-128.

379

380 van Barneveld RJ (1994) Current understanding of nutritive value of lupins for pigs.
381 In 'Understanding the nutritional value of lupins for pigs. Proceedings of the
382 Pig Research and Development Corporation lupin working group.' Adelaide
383 Convention Centre, Adelaide, SA. (Ed. RJ van Barneveld) pp. 8-16. (Pig
384 Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, Australia).

385

386 van Barneveld RJ (1997) Understanding the nutritional value of ASL. Pig Research
387 and Development Corporation Final Report – DAS 33P, October 1997.

388

389 van Barneveld RJ, Baker J, Szarvas SR, Choct M (1995a) Digestibility of non-starch
390 polysaccharides by pigs fed graded levels of lupin kernels. In 'Manipulating
391 Pig Production V'. Werribee, Vic., Australia. (Ed. P.D. Cranwell and D.P.
392 Hennessy) p. 31. (Australasian Pig Science Association).

393

394 van Barneveld RJ, Baker J, Szarvas SR, Choct M (1995b) Effect of lupin kernels on
395 the apparent ileal digestibility of amino acids by growing pigs. In
396 'Manipulating Pig Production V'. Werribee, Vic., Australia. (Ed. P.D.Cranwell
397 and D.P. Hennessy) p. 29. (Australasian Pig Science Association).

398

399 van Barneveld RJ, Baker J, Szarvas SR, Choct M (1995c) Effect of lupin kernels on
400 the ileal and faecal digestibility of energy by pigs. In 'Manipulating Pig
401 Production V'. Werribee, Vic., Australia. (Ed. P.D. Cranwell and D.P.
402 Hennessy) p. 30. (Australasian Pig Science Association).

403

404 van Nevel C, Seynaeve M, van De Voorde G, De Smet C, van Driessche E, De Wilde
405 R (2000) Effects of increasing amount of *Lupinus albus* seeds without or with
406 whole egg powder in the diet of growing pigs on performance. *Animal Feed
407 Science and Technology* **83**, 89-101.

408

409

410

411 Table 1. Comparison of the chemical composition (g/kg, air-dry basis) between the
 412 older variety (cv. Gungurru) and newer variety (cv. Mandelup) of Australian
 413 sweet lupins (ASL).

Variety	Chemical composition, g/kg	
	Mandelup	Gungurru ^A
DM,	914	920
GE, MJ/kg	18.3	18.1
CP	294	320
Crude fat	61	53
Neutral detergent fibre	247	260
Acid detergent fibre	236	172
Total NSP	393	224
Insoluble NSP	366	204
Soluble NSP	27	20
Essential Amino Acids		
Arginine	29.1	30.2
Histidine	8.2	7.9
Isoleucine	13.3	11.5
Leucine	20.8	18.9
Lysine	15.2	12.9
Methionine	1.7	1.6
Phenylalanine	11.9	11.6
Threonine	10.3	9.0
Valine	13.3	10.5

414 ^Avan Barneveld (1997).

415

Table 2. Dietary and nutritional composition (g/kg, air-dry basis) of grower diets.

Lupin (g/kg)	200	250	300	350
<i>Ingredient (g/kg)</i>				
Barley 10% CP	150	150	150	150
Wheat 12% CP	390.0	333.3	307.7	283.4
Lupins (ASL)	200	250	300	350
Soybean meal	210.8	215.7	187.5	157.6
Canola oil	20.4	23.4	26.9	30
Lysine	1.5	0.7	1	1.2
Methionine	1	1	1.1	1.3
Threonine	0.1	-	-	-
Tryptophan	-	-	-	0.6
Dicalcium Phosphate	15	15	15	15
Limestone	8.8	8.6	8.5	8.5
Salt	1	1	1	1
Vit & Min ^A	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Choline	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Enzyme ^B	-(+)	-(+)	-(+)	-(+)
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000
<i>Calculated Nutrient composition</i>				
AID Lysine ^C	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
AID Methionine+Cysteine	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
AID Threonine	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
AID Tryptophane	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
AID Leucine	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
AID Isoleucine	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
AID Phenylalanine+Tyrosine	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
AID Valine	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
AID Histidine	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
AID Lysine (g/MJ DE)	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
DE (MJ/Kg)	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
Ca	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
AFD P ^D	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Crude fibre	6.0	6.7	7.2	7.7
Crude fat	4.8	5.3	5.8	6.3
Crude protein	23.1	24.0	24.0	24.0
Neutral Detergent Fibre	16.7	17.1	17.5	17.8
Diet cost (Aus\$/tonne) ^E	462.8	463.5	458.7	454.9
Saving (Aus\$/head) ^F		-0.04	0.24	0.47

417 ^AProvided the following nutrients (per kg of air-dry diet): Vitamins: A 4900 IU, D₃ 980 IU, E 14 mg, K
418 0.7 mg, B₁ 0.7 mg, B₂ 2.1 mg, B₆ 1.05 mg, B₁₂ 10.5 µg, Calcium pantothenate 7.5 mg, Folic acid
419 0.13 mg, Niacin 8.4 mg, Biotin 21 µg; Minerals: Co 0.14 mg (as cobalt sulphate), Cu 7 mg (as
420 copper sulphate), Iodine 0.35 mg (as potassium iodine), Iron 42 mg (as Ferrous sulphate), Mn 28
421 mg (as Manganese oxide), Se 0.21 mg (as Sodium Selenite), Zn 70 mg (as zinc oxide), Antioxidant
422 14 mg. (BJ Grower 1, BioJohn Pty Ltd., WA, Australia).

423 ^BAllzyme SSF 200g/tonne diet (minimum activities 100 U 1,4-β-xylanase/kg, 30 U α-amylase, 200 U
424 b-glucanase, 700 U protease, 40 U cellulose, 4000 U pectinase, and 300 U phytase; Alltech
425 Biotechnology, USA), enzyme addition was exchanged with wheat.

426 ^CAID: apparent ileal digestible.

427 ^DAFD: apparent faecal digestible.

428 ^ECurrent diet cost (June 2008).

429 ^FBased on average feed intake of 60 kg/pig between 20 kg and 50 kg body weight.

431 Table 3. Dietary and nutritional composition (g/kg, air-dry basis) of finisher diets.

Lupin (g/kg)	200	250	300	350
<i>Ingredient (g/kg)</i>				
Barley 10% CP	545	524	503	488
Wheat 12% CP	100	100	100	100
Lupins (ASL)	200	250	300	350
Soybean meal	107	75	43	10
Canola oil	20.2	22.2	24.2	22.1
Lysine	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.2
Methionine	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.1
Threonine	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
Dicalcium Phosphate	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3
Limestone	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Salt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Vit & Min ^A	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Choline	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Enzyme ^B	-(+)	-(+)	-(+)	-(+)
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000
<i>Calculated Nutrient composition</i>				
AID Lysine ^C	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
AID Methionine+Cysteine	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
AID Threonine	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
AID Tryptophane	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
AID Leucine	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0
AID Isoleucine	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
AID Phenylalanine+Tyrosine	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
AID Valine	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
AID Histidine	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
AID Lysine (g/MJ DE)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
DE (MJ/Kg)	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.2
Ca	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
AFD P ^D	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Crude fibre	6.6	7.1	7.6	8.0
Crude fat	4.6	5.0	5.4	5.3
Crude protein	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2
Neutral Detergent Fibre	19.2	19.5	19.7	20.0
Diet cost (Aus\$/tonne) ^E	419.7	413.7	407.8	397.8
Saving (Aus\$/head) ^F		0.39	0.77	1.42

432 ^AProvided the following nutrients (per kg of air-dry diet): Vitamins: A 4900 IU, D₃ 980 IU, E 14 mg, K
433 0.7 mg, B₁ 0.7 mg, B₂ 2.1 mg, B₆ 1.05 mg, B₁₂ 10.5 µg, Calcium pantothenate 7.5 mg, Folic acid
434 0.13 mg, Niacin 8.4 mg, Biotin 21 µg; Minerals: Co 0.14 mg (as cobalt sulphate), Cu 7 mg (as
435 copper sulphate), Iodine 0.35 mg (as potassium iodine), Iron 42 mg (as Ferrous sulphate), Mn 28
436 mg (as Manganese oxide), Se 0.21 mg (as Sodium Selenite), Zn 70 mg (as zinc oxide), Antioxidant
437 14 mg. (BJ Grower 1, BioJohn Pty Ltd., WA, Australia).

438 ^BAllzyme SSF 200g/tonne diet (minimum activities 100 U 1,4-β-xylanase/kg, 30 U α-amylase, 200 U
439 b-glucanase, 700 U protease, 40 U cellulose, 4000 U pectinase, and 300 U phytase; Alltech
440 Biotechnology, USA), enzyme addition was exchanged with wheat.

441 ^CAID: apparent ileal digestible.

442 ^DAFD: apparent faecal digestible.

443 ^ECurrent diet cost (June 2008).

444 ^FBased on average feed intake of 65 kg/pig between 50 kg and 75 kg body weight.

445

Table 4. Dietary and nutritional composition (g/kg, air-dry basis) of pre-sale diets.

Lupin (g/kg)	200	250	300	350
<i>Ingredient (g/kg)</i>				
Barley 10% CP	671	641	622	601
Lupins (ASL)	200	250	300	350
Soybean meal	99	77	45	13
Canola oil	3.2	5.0	6.1	8.1
Lysine	-	0.05	0.4	0.7
Methionine	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.8
Dicalcium Phosphate	12.9	13.1	13.4	13.7
Limestone	11.0	10.8	10.6	10.4
Salt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Vit & Min ^A	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Choline	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Enzyme ^B	-(+)	-(+)	-(+)	-(+)
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000
<i>Calculated Nutrient composition</i>				
AID Lysine ^C	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
AID Methionine+Cysteine	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
AID Threonine	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
AID Tryptophane	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
AID Leucine	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
AID Isoleucine	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
AID Phenylalanine+Tyrosine	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
AID Valine	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
AID Histidine	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
AID Lysine (g/MJ DE)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
DE (MJ/Kg)	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7
Ca	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
AFD P ^D	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Crude fibre	7.0	7.4	7.9	8.4
Crude fat	2.9	3.3	3.6	3.9
Crude protein	17.6	17.9	17.9	17.9
Neutral Detergent Fibre	20.4	20.5	20.8	21.0
Diet cost (Aus\$/tonne) ^E	395.5	391.3	384.5	378.7
Saving (Aus\$/head) ^F		0.37	0.99	1.51

447 ^AProvided the following nutrients (per kg of air-dry diet): Vitamins: A 4900 IU, D₃ 980 IU, E 14 mg, K
448 0.7 mg, B₁ 0.7 mg, B₂ 2.1 mg, B₆ 1.05 mg, B₁₂ 10.5 µg, Calcium pantothenate 7.5 mg, Folic acid
449 0.13 mg, Niacin 8.4 mg, Biotin 21 µg; Minerals: Co 0.14 mg (as cobalt sulphate), Cu 7 mg (as
450 copper sulphate), Iodine 0.35 mg (as potassium iodine), Iron 42 mg (as Ferrous sulphate), Mn 28
451 mg (as Manganese oxide), Se 0.21 mg (as Sodium Selenite), Zn 70 mg (as zinc oxide), Antioxidant
452 14 mg. (BJ Grower 1, BioJohn Pty Ltd., WA, Australia).

453 ^BAllzyme SSF 200g/tonne diet (minimum activities 100 U 1,4-β-xylanase/kg, 30 U α-amylase, 200 U
454 b-glucanase, 700 U protease, 40 U cellulose, 4000 U pectinase, and 300 U phytase; Alltech
455 Biotechnology, USA), enzyme addition was exchanged with wheat.

456 ^CAID: apparent ileal digestible.

457 ^DAFD: apparent faecal digestible.

458 ^ECurrent diet cost (June 2008).

459 ^FBased on average feed intake of 90 kg/pig between 75 kg and 105 kg body weight.

460

461 Table 5. Effects of Australian sweet lupin concentration and enzyme supplementation on the performance of grower pigs^A.

	Lupin concentration (g/kg)				Enzyme ^B		s.e.m.	Lupin	Main effects	
	200	250	300	350	-	+			Enzyme	LxE
Grower										
Initial wt	27.3	27.2	27.0	27.2	27.2	27.2	0.22	0.978	0.899	0.994
Final wt	53.7	53.5	53.2	53.4	53.3	53.6	0.42	0.986	0.802	0.970
ADG ^C	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.011	0.989	0.986	0.797
VFI	2.02	2.05	2.06	2.05	2.04	2.05	0.018	0.867	0.863	0.858
FCR	2.14	2.19	2.18	2.18	2.17	2.17	0.014	0.628	0.808	0.446
Finisher										
Initial wt	53.7	53.5	53.2	53.4	53.3	53.6	0.42	0.986	0.802	0.970
Final wt	76.1	75.6	75.2	75.8	75.4	76.0	0.50	0.948	0.576	0.952
ADG	1.07	1.05	1.04	1.08	1.05	1.07	0.012	0.645	0.504	0.713
VFI	2.64	2.65	2.65	2.64	2.63	2.66	0.033	0.999	0.656	0.767
FCR	2.49	2.52	2.56	2.44	2.50	2.50	0.036	0.749	0.958	0.824
Pre-sale										
Initial wt	76.1	75.6	75.2	75.8	75.4	76.0	0.50	0.948	0.576	0.952
Final wt	107.9	107.8	107.5	107.9	107.7	107.9	0.18	0.896	0.565	0.464
ADG	0.99	1.04	1.01	1.02	1.01	1.02	0.013	0.544	0.803	0.582
VFI	3.38	3.41	3.39	3.23	3.28	3.44	0.041	0.376	0.054	0.795
FCR	3.43	3.30	3.39	3.19	3.26	3.39	0.056	0.499	0.262	0.762
Total										
Initial wt	27.3	27.2	27.0	27.2	27.2	27.2	0.22	0.978	0.899	0.994
Final wt	107.9	107.8	107.5	107.9	107.7	107.9	0.18	0.896	0.565	0.464
ADG	1.00	1.01	1.01	1.03	1.01	1.01	0.008	0.694	0.602	0.791
VFI	2.72	2.74	2.76	2.68	2.69	2.76	0.020	0.505	0.079	0.322
FCR	2.73	2.71	2.74	2.62	2.68	2.72	0.027	0.459	0.447	0.751
Days to 104 kg	77.8	76.7	77.4	76.3	77.4	76.6	0.65	0.871	0.588	0.883

462 ^AThere were 7 pigs per pen and 4 replicates to give 28 pigs per enzyme by lupin-level combination.

463 ^BAllzyme SSF 200g/tonne diet (minimum activities 100 U 1,4- β -xylanase/kg, 30 U α -amylase, 200 U b-glucanase, 700 U protease, 40 U cellulose, 4000 U pectinase, and 300
464 U phytase; Alltech Biotechnology, USA), enzyme addition was exchanged with wheat.

465 ^CAbbreviations: ADG-average daily gain; VFI: voluntary feed intake; FCR: feed conversion ratio.

466

467 Table 6. Effects of Australian sweet lupin concentration and enzyme supplementation on carcass composition^A.

	Lupin concentration (g/kg)				Enzyme			Main effects			Lupin effect	
	200	250	300	350	-	+	s.e.m.	Lupin	Enzyme	LxE	Linear	quadratic
Hot carcass weight ^B	69.9	70.0	69.7	69.5	69.7	69.9	0.18	0.824	0.710	0.793	0.392	0.749
Dressing % ^C	65.0	64.9	64.8	64.5	64.8	64.8	0.18	0.771	0.876	0.984	0.283	0.750
P2 Back fat ^D	13.6	13.5	14.0	14.0	13.7	13.9	0.23	0.821	0.719	0.532	0.397	0.937

468 ^AThere were 7 pigs per pen and 4 replicates to give 28 pigs per enzyme by lupin-level combination.

469 ^BHot carcass weight: AUSMEAT trim 13, head off, flare off, fore trotters off, hind trotters on.

470 ^CBased on hot carcass weight (AUSMEAT trim 13).

471 ^DMeasured between 12th/13th rib.

472

473

474 Table 7. Effects of Australian sweet lupin concentration on meat quality measured in
 475 the *Longissimus thoracis* muscle 24 hour after slaughter.

	Lupin inclusion rate		s.e.m.	Significance
	200 g/kg	350 g/kg		
<i>n</i> =	18	18		
Relative lightness (L*)	49.2	50.1	0.53	0.403
Relative redness (a*)	6.84	6.46	0.214	0.377
Relative yellowness (b*)	3.41	3.44	0.157	0.942
Ultimate pH	5.23	5.23	0.017	0.862
Surface exudates (mg)	58.3	53.9	3.11	0.486
48 h drip loss (%) ^A	3.40	3.13	0.186	0.486
Cook loss	36.5	35.9	0.32	0.348
WB shear-force (kg) ^B	6.91	5.96	0.290	0.102

476 ^ACalculated from the surface exudates (Kauffman *et al.*, 1986).

477 ^BShear force was measured using the Instron Universal Testing Machine.

478