



**Murdoch**  
UNIVERSITY

## MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY

*This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination.*

*The definitive version is available at*

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.10.020>

**Phillips, N.D., La, T., Amin, M.M. and Hampson, D.J. (2010)**  
***Brachyspira intermedia strain diversity and relationships to the other indole-positive Brachyspira species. Veterinary Microbiology, 143 (2-4). pp. 246-254.***

<http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/3549/>

Copyright: © 2009 Elsevier B.V.

It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: *Brachyspira intermedia* strain diversity and relationships to the other indole-positive *Brachyspira* species

Authors: Nyree D. Phillips, Tom La, Maswati Mat Amin, David J. Hampson



PII: S0378-1135(09)00540-9  
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.10.020  
Reference: VETMIC 4648

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 29-7-2009  
Revised date: 16-10-2009  
Accepted date: 20-10-2009

Please cite this article as: Phillips, N.D., La, T., Amin, M.M., Hampson, D.J., *Brachyspira intermedia* strain diversity and relationships to the other indole-positive *Brachyspira* species, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.10.020

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 ***Brachyspira intermedia* strain diversity and relationships to the other indole-**  
2 **positive *Brachyspira* species**

3

4 Nyree D. Phillips, Tom La, Maswati Mat Amin, David J. Hampson\*

5

6

7 *Animal Research Institute, School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch*

8 *University, Murdoch, Western Australia 6150, Australia*

9

10 Short title: *Brachyspira intermedia* MLST

11

12

13 \*Corresponding author: Tel: +62 89360 2287; fax: +61 89310 41344.

14 *E-mail address:* d.hampson@murdoch.edu.au

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 The aims of this study were to use multilocus sequence typing (MLST) to i) investigate  
27 the population structure, diversity and molecular epidemiology of the weakly  
28 haemolytic anaerobic intestinal spirochaete *Brachyspira intermedia*, and ii) determine  
29 the relationship of the species to the other two indole-positive but strongly haemolytic  
30 *Brachyspira* species - *B. hyodysenteriae* and “*B. suanatina*”. Seventy-seven *B.*  
31 *intermedia* isolates from pigs and chickens were analysed, with the nucleotide  
32 sequences of seven conserved genomic loci examined for each. *B. intermedia* was  
33 genetically diverse, with the 77 isolates being divided into 71 sequence types (STs) and  
34 64 amino acid types (AATs). Many distinct groups of *B. intermedia* isolates were  
35 identified, with some isolates being separated from others by large genetic distances.  
36 Although both pig and chicken isolates were found in most groups, suggesting that  
37 cross-species transmission of such isolates may occur, some isolates from pigs were  
38 located in small groups that did not include chicken isolates, and vice versa. Eight  
39 clonal complexes (Cc) of STs were identified by e-Burst analysis. The Ccs contained  
40 between 2 and 5 STs, and between 2 and 9 isolates. Five Ccs contained multiple isolates  
41 from the same farms, collected at the same time, indicating the existence of ongoing  
42 minor genetic change amongst isolates at the farm level. On the other hand, isolates  
43 with quite different STs also were found amongst multiple isolates collected from some  
44 farms. By comparison with the much more restricted diversity observed for 111 isolates  
45 of *B. hyodysenteriae*, and four isolates of “*B. suanatina*”, it is difficult to justify  
46 including all weakly haemolytic indole-positive *Brachyspira* isolates in the single  
47 species *B. intermedia*.

48

49 *Keywords:* *Brachyspira intermedia*; MLST; pigs; chickens; molecular epidemiology;  
50 spirochaete

## 51 1. Introduction

52 *Brachyspira intermedia* is one of seven officially named species of anaerobic intestinal  
53 spirochaetes in the genus *Brachyspira* (Hampson and La, 2006; Stanton, 2006). Members  
54 of this species are indole-positive, as are the related pathogenic species *Brachyspira*  
55 *hyodysenteriae* (the agent of swine dysentery) and the proposed species “*Brachyspira*  
56 *suanatina*” (Råsbäck et al., 2007a); however, *B. intermedia* isolates are weakly haemolytic  
57 while the other two species are strongly haemolytic. *B. intermedia* isolates can be recovered  
58 from the faeces of pigs, and from laying chickens and breeding hens. There is some  
59 evidence from the field to suggest that *B. intermedia* isolates may cause colitis and  
60 diarrhoea in pigs (Binek and Szykiewicz, 1984; Fellström and Gunnarsson, 1995;  
61 Komaret et al., 2009), although this suggestion has not been supported by the results of  
62 several experimental infection studies (Hudson et al., 1976; Neef et al., 1994; Jensen et al.,  
63 2000). On the other hand, *B. intermedia* infections in chickens have been associated with  
64 diarrhoea and/or reduced egg production in the field (Stephens and Hampson, 1999; Bano  
65 et al., 2008; Feberwee et al., 2008), and have caused diarrhoea, reduced egg production  
66 and/or reduced growth rates in experimentally infected chickens (Dwars et al., 1992a,  
67 1992b, 1993; Hampson and McLaren, 1999).

68 Studies analysing *B. intermedia* isolates using multilocus enzyme electrophoresis  
69 (MLEE) or pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) have suggested that the species is  
70 diverse (Lee et al., 1993; McLaren et al., 1997; Suriyaarachichi et al., 2000; Stephens et  
71 al., 2005). Relationships between the *Brachyspira* species have been studied using 16S  
72 rDNA sequencing, but it can be difficult to differentiate between them with this  
73 technique (Stanton, 2006). MLEE is useful for distinguishing *Brachyspira* species, but  
74 the technique is time consuming. On the other hand, multilocus sequence typing

75 (MLST) is easier to perform, and, even using small numbers of isolates, it seems to be a  
76 promising approach to *Brachyspira* speciation (Råsbäck et al., 2007b).

77 The purpose of the present study was to use MLST with a view to i) studying the  
78 population structure, diversity and molecular epidemiology of *B. intermedia*, and ii)  
79 investigating the relationship of this species to the other two indole-positive species, *B.*  
80 *hyodysenteriae* and “*B. suanatina*”.

81

## 82 **2. Materials and methods**

### 83 *2.1. Brachyspira intermedia* isolates

84 A total of 70 isolates of *B. intermedia* were obtained as frozen stock from the culture  
85 collection at the Reference Centre for Intestinal Spirochaetes at Murdoch University.  
86 These had been identified as *B. intermedia* based on their phenotypic properties (weakly  
87 haemolytic, indole-positive, alpha-glucosidase positive, alpha galactosidase negative),  
88 and amplification in a specific polymerase chain reaction assay based on the NADH  
89 oxidase gene (Phillips et al., 2006), but with a minor modification of the forward primer  
90 to 5'-AGAGTTTGAAGACACTTATGAC-3', as this primer improves the performance  
91 of the original *B. intermedia* PCR (Phillips ND and La T, Murdoch University,  
92 unpublished data). The isolates included 49 from chickens and 21 from pigs. Data for  
93 another seven porcine isolates that were examined in a previous MLST study of the  
94 whole genus (Råsbäck et al., 2007b) were obtained from PubMLST  
95 (<http://pubmlst.org/>) and were included in the analysis. The isolates originated from  
96 Australia (n=62), Sweden (n=5), the UK (n=4), New Zealand (n=2), Finland (n=2), the  
97 Netherlands (n=1), and the USA (n=1). Multiple isolates were obtained at the same time  
98 from 7 Australian farms and one New Zealand farm, with between 2 and 12 isolates  
99 examined. Six of these were farms with laying hens and two were pig farms. The names

100 of the isolates and their origins are presented in Table 1. Some of the isolates previously  
101 had been examined using PFGE (Suriyaarachchi et al., 2000) and/or MLEE (Lee et al.,  
102 1993; McLaren et al., 1998; Stephens et al., 2005).

103

#### 104 2.2. MLST data for *B. hyodysenteriae* and “*B. suanatina*”

105 MLST data for 111 isolates of *B. hyodysenteriae* (La et al., 2009), and for four  
106 isolates of “*B. suanatina*” (Råsbäck et al., 2007b) were obtained from PubMLST, and  
107 were included in the analysis.

108

#### 109 2.3 Bacterial culture, DNA extraction and MLST

110 The methods used for anaerobic spirochaete culture, DNA extraction and MLST at  
111 seven loci were exactly as previously reported by us for analysis of *B. hyodysenteriae*  
112 by MLST (La et al., 2009).

113

#### 114 2.4. Data analysis

115 The aligned sequences for each of the loci were analysed using the non-redundant  
116 databases (NRDB) program (<http://pubmlst.org/analysis/>) to identify isolate sequences  
117 that were identical. Each unique nucleotide sequence was assigned a unique allele  
118 number. The allelic profile for each isolate was determined and consisted of a line  
119 listing the allele number for each locus in turn. Isolates were assigned a sequence type  
120 (ST) according to their allelic profiles. Isolates were considered genetically identical  
121 and hence of the same ST if they were identical at all 7 loci. The sequences of the *B.*  
122 *intermedia* MLST alleles for each locus were deposited at the PubMLST site  
123 (<http://pubmlst.org/>). Unique amino acid types (AATs) predicted from the nucleotide  
124 sequences also were recorded. A consensus MLST dendrogram was constructed from

125 the data matrix of allelic mismatches using START2 with 1000 bootstrap replicates  
126 (Jolley et al., 2001), using the unweighted pair group method with averages (UPGMA)  
127 method. Isolates were grouped into clonal complexes (Cc) by the BURST algorithm  
128 using the eBURST v3 program (Feil et al., 2004). Within the program, a population  
129 snapshot was viewed by setting the group definition as 0/7 shared alleles. The same  
130 analysis was used for the AATs. The degree of linkage disequilibrium in the population  
131 was estimated by calculating the Index of Association ( $I_A$ ) for the 77 isolates and the 71  
132 STs, using the START2 program. A Diversity Index (DI) based on Simpson's index of  
133 diversity was calculated for the results, as previously described (La et al., 2009). Data  
134 from the PubMLST site for *B. hyodysenteriae* and "*B. suanatina*" were compared with  
135 the *B. intermedia* data over the same seven loci.

136 Allele sequences for each *B. intermedia*, *B. hyodysenteriae* and "*B. suanatina*"  
137 sequence type (ST) also were concatenated using Geneious Pro version 3.8.5  
138 (<http://www.geneious.com/>) in the gene order *adh*, *pgm*, *est*, *glp*, *gdh*, *thi* and *alp* used  
139 by Råsback et al (2007b). Nucleic acid and deduced amino acid sequences were  
140 concatenated in the same order. The concatenated sequences were aligned using  
141 ClustalW2 (Larkin et al., 2007) and converted to the MEGA format (Tamura et al,  
142 2007). Phylogenetic trees for the aligned nucleic acid and amino acid sequences were  
143 constructed using the UPGMA method in MEGA version 4.0. The maximum likelihood  
144 model was used for the nucleic acid sequences and the Poisson correction model for the  
145 amino acid sequences, both with 1000 bootstrap replicates. An unrooted radiation tree  
146 was also constructed to help visualise relationships between isolates from the three  
147 species. Sequence type designations used in the concatenated trees were the same as in  
148 the consensus trees. On the trees where are three species were shown, the prefixes T, H  
149 or S were added to differentiate STs of *B. intermedia*, *B. hyodysenteriae* and "*B.*



150 *suanatina*”, respectively, and the prefixes aT, aH and aS were used to differentiate the  
151 amino acid types.

152

### 153 **3. Results**

154

#### 155 *3.1. B. intermedia* sequence types, population structure and molecular epidemiology

156 The 77 *B. intermedia* isolates analysed were divided into 71 STs and 64 AATs  
157 (Table 1). The corresponding allele numbers assigned for all the STs are shown in the  
158 supplementary table, and these raw sequences are recorded in the PubMLST site.

159 Allelic frequency over the seven loci ranged from 19 (for *adh*) to 43 (for *pgm* and *thi*),  
160 with a mean of 34. Based on the number of isolates tested the population had an  $I_A$  value  
161 of 1.31, whilst based on the number of STs the standardised  $I_A$  was 0.76. Significant  
162 linkage disequilibrium ( $P < 0.001$ ) was detected in both analyses.

163

[Table 1 about here]

164 A dendrogram based on consensus data showing the relative relationships of the 71  
165 STs of *B. intermedia* is presented as Figure 1. The STs are arranged in the same order as  
166 they appear in Table 1. There was some clustering of *B. intermedia* isolates, but most of  
167 the clusters were small, and many clusters were separated from each other by large  
168 genetic distances. *B. intermedia* isolates from pigs and chickens were located in STs  
169 throughout the tree, although there was a tendency for some isolates from pigs to be  
170 found towards the periphery of the tree, being more distantly related than the majority  
171 of the chicken isolates (the names of the STs containing porcine isolates are marked in  
172 bold). The number of *B. intermedia* isolates in an ST varied from 1 to 4 (ST23), and the  
173 isolates in ST23 were all recovered from chickens on the same farm on the same day. A  
174 dendrogram based on the translated amino acid sequences demonstrated a more

175 stepwise increase in distance between the AATs for *B. intermedia* in the middle of the  
176 tree, somewhat resembling the pattern seen with *B. hyodysenteriae* (supplementary  
177 Fig.1). In addition, there were other groups of *B. intermedia* AATs that appeared only  
178 distantly related to the other AAT groups. The relationships between *B. intermedia*  
179 isolates according to their ST and AAT in these two trees did not always appear the  
180 same. For example, the two isolates in ST1 and ST2 were at the periphery of the ST tree  
181 (Fig.1), but the corresponding AATs, aT47 and aT48, fell more centrally in the AAT  
182 dendrogram (supplementary Fig. 1). The number of *B. intermedia* isolates in an AAT  
183 varied from 1 to 4 (AAT13).

184 **[Fig 1 about here]**

185 Eight clonal complexes (Cc) of *B. intermedia* STs were identified by e-Burst  
186 analysis, and these are highlighted in Table 1 and marked and named in Figure 1. The  
187 Ccs contained between 2 and 5 STs, and between 2 and 9 isolates. Cc36 contained one  
188 isolate from a UK chicken and an isolate from a Swedish pig, while the other Ccs  
189 contained either isolates from Swedish pigs (Cc3), Australian pigs (Cc26), or Australian  
190 chickens. Five of the Ccs contained multiple isolates from the same farms that were  
191 collected at the same time.

192 For each of the eight farms where multiple *B. intermedia* isolates from the same  
193 sampling time were available, many of the isolates belonged to different STs (Table 1).  
194 Farm A had 9 isolates in STs 21, 22, 23, 54 and 56; farm B had 3 isolates in STs 52 and  
195 53; farm C had 2 isolates in STs 39 and 46; farm D had 12 isolates in STs 29, 30, 33,  
196 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 63 and 64; farm E had 2 isolates in STs 31 and 32; farm F had 3  
197 isolates in STs 26, 27 and 28; farm G had 2 isolates in STs 25 and 50; farm NZA had  
198 two isolates in STs 59 and 60.

199 In a population snapshot obtained by using AATs rather than STs, 21 (33%) of the  
200 AATs containing 30 (38%) of the *B. intermedia* isolates were located in one major  
201 clonal complex, consisting of two sub-clusters (supplementary Fig. 2). The larger sub-  
202 cluster had AAT13 as the founder member, and the other sub-cluster had AAT22 at its  
203 centre. Twenty-eight of these isolates were from chickens, of which 26 were from  
204 Australia, with one isolate each from the UK and Sweden. There was one other complex  
205 with 3 AATs, and four complexes with 2 AATs. The other AATs were all separate. The  
206 relationship of the AATs of *B. intermedia* to those for *B. hyodysenteriae* and “*B.*  
207 *suanatina*” are also demonstrated in the supplementary figure. Overall, the *B.*  
208 *intermedia* AATs were far more heterogenous and diverse than those of the other two  
209 species.

210

### 211 3.2. Diversity

212 The diversity index (DI) for *B. intermedia* using MLST at 7 loci was 0.984, and this  
213 was reduced to 0.983 when *adh* and *alp* were removed from the analysis, so that just 5  
214 loci were examined.

215

### 216 3.3. Comparisons between indole-positive species using concatenated trees

217 A dendrogram of concatenated ST data for the three indole-positive species is shown  
218 as Fig. 2, and for concatenated AATs as supplementary Fig. 3. The three species were  
219 clearly delineated in both trees, but the *B. intermedia* isolates showed much more  
220 diversity and heterogeneity than the other two species. Within the ST tree the *B.*  
221 *intermedia* isolates could be separated into 16 groups at a distance greater than that  
222 delineating the whole of *B. hyodysenteriae* (*B. intermedia* groups marked a through p  
223 on Fig. 2). Only groups “b” and “m” contained relatively large numbers of STs (12 and

224 27 respectively). Porcine isolates were found in all groups except for the small groups  
225 e-i and o, and they exclusively made up the small clusters e, k, l and p (STs containing  
226 porcine isolates of *B. intermedia* are marked in bold on the tree). In the AAT tree, five  
227 main branches of *B. intermedia* were apparent that diverged from each other at a  
228 distance greater than that separating *B. hyodysenteriae* and “*B. suanatina*”. The first two  
229 branches were exclusively made up of porcine isolates. Only the two bottom branches  
230 contained large numbers of AATs, and the lower branch that contained the majority of  
231 the AATs itself was separated into a number of groups, including some that  
232 predominantly contained porcine isolates, and some avian isolates.

233 **[Fig. 2 about here]**

234 The relative relationships and clustering of individual *B. intermedia* STs and AATs  
235 that were observed in the concatenated trees were not always equivalent to those seen in  
236 the consensus trees. For example, considering the 37 STs from T12 through T44 in the  
237 consensus tree (Fig. 1), in the concatenated tree these made up all of the STs in groups j  
238 and m, and there were also one or two of the STs in each of groups a, b, g, and n (Fig.  
239 2).

240 The concatenated ST data for the three species are also presented as an unrooted  
241 radiation tree (Fig. 3), which more clearly emphasises the distances and differences  
242 between them, and the tendency for there to be clustering of some of the pig isolates of  
243 *B. intermedia*. In this tree, the *B. hyodysenteriae* isolates formed a tight species group,  
244 with only UK isolate KF9 in ST H10 being more distantly related to the majority of  
245 isolates. The four “*B. suanatina*” isolates were similarly closely grouped, and were  
246 distinct from *B. hyodysenteriae*. In comparison, there was a considerable distance from  
247 these to the isolates identified as *B. intermedia*, which themselves showed great  
248 variation. The tree also helps to illustrate the tendency for some of the porcine isolates

249 to cluster within the groups (STs containing porcine isolates are marked with a filled  
250 circle).

251 [Fig. 3 about here]

#### 252 4. Discussion

253 This study had two main aims, the first of which was to use MLST to analyse the  
254 population structure, diversity and molecular epidemiology of *B. intermedia*. Based on  
255 the  $I_A$  values that were calculated from the data, the *B. intermedia* population appeared  
256 to be clonal. In part, this finding may be biased by the non-random selection of isolates,  
257 as many of the chicken isolates were derived from a small number of Australian farms.  
258 Furthermore, it is evident that the isolates identified as *B. intermedia* were extremely  
259 diverse, with many forming small groups of isolates that would give an overall  
260 impression of clonality. Hence, to help confirm that the species has a clonal population  
261 structure, it would be useful to analyse additional *B. intermedia* isolates from other  
262 sources.

263 Consensus trees based on the allelic profiles at seven loci were constructed to  
264 examine diversity within *B. intermedia*, and to investigate aspects of its molecular  
265 epidemiology. Examination of the ST tree (Fig. 1) demonstrates the great diversity of  
266 the species. Used in this way, the technique was highly discriminatory, and this  
267 discriminatory power was not significantly diminished when only five loci were  
268 examined. The method proved useful for strain typing and studying the molecular  
269 epidemiology at the farm level. As can be seen in Table 1, used with reference to Figure  
270 1, in some cases multiple isolates from a given farm were shown to belong to quite  
271 different STs (eg on farms A and D). Such diversity of isolates on a farm potentially  
272 could complicate any attempts to control infection. In other cases, some isolates from  
273 the same farm were different, but closely related within the same clonal complexes (eg

274 on farm B). As has been pointed out for *B. hyodysenteriae* (La et al., 2009), the  
275 existence of such closely related isolates provides evidence for the likely rapid  
276 “microevolution” of strains on the farm. These minor changes presumably are mainly  
277 caused by mutations, although there also is potential for genetic exchange between  
278 *Brachyspira* isolates and species via the *Brachyspira* prophage-like gene transfer agents  
279 (Motro et al., 2009).

280 To achieve the second aim of the study, to evaluate relationships between the three  
281 indole-positive species, used trees based on concatenated sequences, as recommended  
282 by Gadagkar et al (2005). On these trees (Figs 2 and 3), a large number of individual  
283 groups of *B. intermedia* isolates were identified (groups a-p). Based on the large genetic  
284 distances between these groups, and in comparison with the tight clustering of the *B.*  
285 *hyodysenteriae* isolates, it could be argued that some of these actually represented  
286 distinct species that have not previously been identified. As previously stated, in order  
287 to define these various groups better, and to sample the full diversity that exists amongst  
288 isolates with this phenotype, it would be useful to examine additional *B. intermedia*  
289 isolates. DNA-DNA reassociation assays between representatives of the different  
290 groups also could be undertaken in the future to help determine whether or not the  
291 groups do represent different species.

292 The potential existence of multiple species of weakly haemolytic indole-positive  
293 *Brachyspira* has important implications. For example, by analogy to the other  
294 *Brachyspira* species, it seems quite probable that isolates belonging to different  
295 “species” or clusters of these spirochaetes may differ in their biological properties. As  
296 an instance of this, whilst pig and chicken isolates were found throughout many of the  
297 groups that were identified, implying the potential for cross-species transmission, some  
298 groupings were exclusively or predominantly made up of isolates from either pigs or

299 chickens. Such differences amongst *B. intermedia* isolates related to their species of  
300 origin were identified in an earlier study, where a PCR that was based on the NADH  
301 oxidase gene (*nox*) amplified DNA from 10 of 10 porcine *B. intermedia* isolates, but  
302 only from four of 10 chicken isolates (Atyeo et al., 1999). Indeed, these results then  
303 encouraged the development of a *nox*-based PCR that identified all weakly haemolytic  
304 indole-positive isolates as *B. intermedia* (Phillips et al., 2006). These differences may  
305 also explain why it has been difficult to reproduce disease in pigs using *B. intermedia*  
306 strains; for example, strains from different groups may have different pathogenic  
307 potential, and perhaps only representatives of non-pathogenic groups were used in the  
308 small number of experimental infection studies that have been reported. On the other  
309 hand, the published studies of experimental infections of chickens with *B. intermedia*  
310 that have resulted in disease have only involved strains 1380 (Dwars et al., 1992a,  
311 1992b, 1993) and HB60 (Hampson and McLaren, 1999), and both these strains are  
312 located in ST cluster “m” in the concatenated ST trees (Figs. 2 and 3). Had isolates from  
313 other clusters been used, it is possible that disease may not have occurred. Indeed, this  
314 suggestion may explain why “*B. intermedia*” has been identified in laying hen flocks  
315 that do and those that do not show clinical signs (Myers et al., 2009). Although host  
316 factors also may clearly influence whether or not disease occurs in an individual animal,  
317 it will be important to try to assess the pathogenic potential of isolates from the different  
318 groups. This could be done by collecting additional field data that relate specific groups  
319 of *B. intermedia* with disease or absence of disease, and/or by using specific strains  
320 from the various groups to experimentally infect pigs and chickens under standard  
321 conditions, such as those that are used to induce experimental swine dysentery. It also  
322 will be important to try to develop simple diagnostic tests that can be used to  
323 differentiate the different groups.

324 Based on the close 16S rDNA sequence similarities of the *Brachyspira* species, it  
325 seems that speciation in the *Brachyspira* lineage has occurred comparatively recently  
326 and rapidly. Consistent with this, the radiation tree (Fig. 3) gives the impression of a  
327 burst of evolution of numerous distinct variants and groups of related isolates.  
328 Pathogenic *B. hyodysenteriae* represents one such specialised group, and “*B. suanatina*”  
329 another. In the case of *B. hyodysenteriae*, it has been deduced that the fully sequenced  
330 strain WA1 has acquired genes from other enteric species, and presumably this transfer  
331 has increased the fitness of the species for the environment of the porcine colon  
332 (Bellgard et al., 2009). It remains to be determined whether acquisition of similar genes  
333 by other *Brachyspira* species has occurred, and whether this gene flow is necessary to  
334 help stabilise closely related clonal groupings into coherent species.

335 In conclusion, this study has provided novel insights into the diversity and the  
336 broader genetic relationships amongst the indole-positive *Brachyspira* groups. The  
337 weakly haemolytic isolates currently identified as *B. intermedia* are extremely diverse,  
338 and clearly form several groups, some of which are at least as genetically distinct from  
339 each other as are *B. hyodysenteriae* and “*B. suanatina*”. Future studies are required to  
340 determine how well these groups are adapted to their hosts, their genetic stability,  
341 pathogenic potential, and whether some of them would be better regarded as being  
342 representatives of distinct species.

343

#### 344 **Acknowledgements**

345 The authors thank Novartis Animal Health for financial support for this project. Dr  
346 Keith Jolley from the University of Oxford kindly helped to set up the *B. intermedia*  
347 database at PubMLST. MMA is in receipt of a scholarship from the Government of  
348 Malaysia.



349 **References**

- 350 Atyeo, R.F., Stanton, T.B., Jensen, N.S., Suriyaarachichi, D.S., Hampson, D.J., 1999.  
351 Differentiation of *Serpulina* species by NADH oxidase (*nox*) gene comparisons and  
352 *nox*-based polymerase chain reaction tests. *Vet. Microbiol.* 67, 49-62.
- 353 Bano, L., Meriardi, G., Bonilauri, P., Dall'Anese G., Capello, K., Comin, D., Cattoli,  
354 G., Sanguinetti, V., Hampson, D.J., Agnoletti, F., 2008. Prevalence, disease  
355 associations and risk factors for colonization with intestinal spirochaetes  
356 (*Brachyspira* spp.) in flocks of laying hens in north-eastern Italy. *Avian Pathol.*  
357 37, 281-286.
- 358 Bellgard, M.I., Wanchanthuek, P., La, T., Ryan, K., Moolhuijzen, P., Albertyn, Z.,  
359 Shaban, B., Motro, Y., Dunn, D.S., Schibeci, D., Hunter, A., Barrero, R., Phillips,  
360 N.D., Hampson, D.J., 2009. Genome sequence of the pathogenic intestinal  
361 spirochete *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* reveals adaptations to its lifestyle in the  
362 porcine large intestine. *PLoS ONE* 4(3): e4641.
- 363 Binek, M. Szykiewicz, Z., 1984. Physiological properties and classification of  
364 strains of *Treponema* sp. isolated from pigs in Poland. *Comp. Immunol.*  
365 *Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 7, 141-148.
- 366 Dwars, R.M., Davelaar, F.G., Smit, H.F., 1992a. Spirochaetosis in broilers. *Avian*  
367 *Pathol.* 21, 261-273.
- 368 Dwars, R.M., Smit, H.F., Davelaar, F.G., 1992b. Influence of infection with avian  
369 intestinal spirochaetes on the faeces of laying hens. *Avian Pathol.* 21, 513-515.
- 370 Dwars, R.M., Davelaar, F.G., Smit, H.F., 1993. Infection of broiler parent hens  
371 (*Gallus domesticus*) with avian intestinal spirochaetes: effects on egg production  
372 and chick quality. *Avian Pathol.* 22, 693-701.

- 373 Feberwee, A., Hampson, D.J., Phillips, N.D., La, T., vand der Heijen, H.M.J.F.,  
374 Wellenberg, G.J., Dwars, R.M., Landman, W.J.M., 2008. Identification of  
375 *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* and other pathogenic *Brachyspira* species in chickens  
376 from laying flocks with diarrhea or reduced production or both. J. Clin. Microbiol.  
377 46, 593-600.
- 378 Fellström, C., Gunnarsson, A., 1995. Phenotypical characterisation of intestinal  
379 spirochaetes isolated from pigs. Res. Vet. Sci. 59, 1-4.
- 380 Feil, E.J., Li, B. C., Aanensen, D.M., Hanage, W.P., Spratt, B.G., 2004. eBURST:  
381 inferring patterns of evolutionary descent among clusters of related bacterial  
382 genotypes from multilocus sequence typing data. J. Bacteriol. 186, 1518-30.
- 383 Gadagkar, S.R., Rosenberg, M.S., Kumar, S. 2005. Inferring species phylogenies from  
384 multiple genes: Concatenated sequence tree versus consensus gene tree. J. Exp. Zool.  
385 304B, 64-74.
- 386 Hampson, D.J., La. T., 2006. Reclassification of *Serpulina intermedia* and *Serpulina*  
387 *murdochii* in the genus *Brachyspira*, as *Brachyspira intermedia* comb. nov. and  
388 *Brachyspira murdochii* comb. nov.. Int. J. System. Evol. Microbiol. 56, 1009-1012.
- 389 Hampson, D.J., McLaren, A.J., 1999. Experimental infection of layer hens with  
390 *Serpulina intermedia* causes reduced egg production and increased faecal water  
391 content. Avian Pathol. 28, 113-117.
- 392 Hudson, M.J., Alexander, T.J.L., Lysons, R.J., 1976. Diagnosis of swine dysentery:  
393 spirochaetes which may be confused with *Treponema hyodysenteriae*. Vet. Rec.  
394 99, 498-500.
- 395 Jensen, T.K., Møller, K., Boye, M., Leser, T.D., Jorsal, S.E., 2000. Scanning electron  
396 microscopy and fluorescent in situ hybridization of experimental *Brachyspira*  
397 (*Serpulina*) *pilosicoli* infection in growing pigs. Vet. Pathol. 37, 22-32.

- 398 Jolley, K.A., Feil, E.J., Chan, M.S., Maiden, M.C., 2001. Sequence type analysis and  
399 recombinational tests (START). *Bioinformatics* 17, 1230-1231.
- 400 Komarek, V., Maderner, A., Spersger, J., Weissenböck H., 2009. Infections with  
401 weakly haemolytic *Brachyspira* species in pigs with miscellaneous chronic diseases.  
402 *Vet Microbiol.* 134, 311-317.
- 403 La, T., Phillips, N.D., Harland, B.L., Wanchanthuek, P., Bellgard, M.I., Hampson, D.J.,  
404 2009. Multilocus sequence typing as a tool for studying the molecular epidemiology  
405 and population structure of *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae*. *Vet. Microbiol.* 138, 330-  
406 338.
- 407 Larkin, M.A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N.P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P.A.,  
408 McWilliam, H., Valentin, F., Wallace, I.M., Wilm, A., Lopez, R., Thompson, J.D.,  
409 Gibson, T.J., Higgins, D.G., 2007. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0.  
410 *Bioinformatics* 23, 2947-2948.
- 411 Lee, J.I., Hampson, D.J., Lymbery, A.J., Harders, S.J., 1993. The porcine intestinal  
412 spirochaetes: identification of new genetic groups. *Vet. Microbiol.* 34, 273-285.
- 413 McLaren, A.J., Trott, D.J., Swayne, D.E., Oxberry, S.L., Hampson, D.J., 1997.  
414 Genetic and phenotypic characterization of intestinal spirochetes colonizing  
415 chickens, and their association with disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 35, 412-417.
- 416 Motro, Y., La, T., Bellgard, M.I., Dunn, D.S., Phillips, N.D., Hampson, D.J., 2009.  
417 Identification of genes associated with prophage-like gene transfer agents in the  
418 pathogenic intestinal spirochaetes *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae*, *Brachyspira*  
419 *pilosicoli* and *Brachyspira intermedia*. *Vet. Microbiol.* 134, 340-345.
- 420 Myers, S.E., Dunn, P.A., Phillips, N.D., La, T., Hampson, D.J., 2009. *Brachyspira*  
421 *intermedia* and *Brachyspira pilosicoli* are commonly found in older laying flocks  
422 in Pennsylvania. *Avian Dis.* 53, (in press).

- 423 Neef, N.A., Lysons, R.J., Trott, D.J. Hampson, D.J, Jones, P.W., Morgan, J.H., 1994.  
424 Pathogenicity of porcine intestinal spirochetes in gnotobiotic pigs. *Infect. Immun.*  
425 62, 2395-2403.
- 426 Phillips, N.D., La, T., Hampson, D.J., 2006. Development of a two-step nested duplex  
427 PCR assay for the rapid detection of *Brachyspira pilosicoli* and *Brachyspira*  
428 *intermedia* in chicken faeces. *Vet Microbiol.* 116, 239-245
- 429 Råsbäck, T., Jansson, D.S., Johansson, K-E., Fellström, C., 2007a. A novel  
430 enteropathogenic, strongly haemolytic spirochaete isolated from pig and mallard,  
431 provisionally designated “*Brachyspira suanatina*” sp. nov. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9,  
432 983-991.
- 433 Råsbäck, T., Johansson, K-E., Jansson, D.S., Fellström, C., Alikhani, Y., La, T., Dunn,  
434 D.S., Hampson, D.J., 2007b. Development of a multilocus sequence typing scheme  
435 for intestinal spirochaetes of the genus *Brachyspira*. *Microbiology* 153, 4074-4087.
- 436 Stanton, T.B., 2006. The genus *Brachyspira*. In: Falkow, S., Rosenberg, E.,  
437 Schleifer, K-H., Stackebrandt, E. (Eds). *The Prokaryotes* (Volume 7). Springer,  
438 New York. pp 330-356.
- 439 Stephens, C.P., Hampson, D.J., 1999. Prevalence and disease association of intestinal  
440 spirochaetes in chickens in eastern Australia. *Avian Pathol.* 28, 447-454.
- 441 Stephens, C.P., Oxberry, S.L., Phillips, N.D., La, T., Hampson, D.J., 2005. The use of  
442 multilocus enzyme electrophoresis to characterise intestinal spirochaetes  
443 (*Brachyspira* spp.) colonising hens in commercial flocks. *Vet. Microbiol.* 107, 149-  
444 157.
- 445 Suriyaarchchi, D.S., Mikosza, A.S.J., Atyeo, R.F., Hampson, D.J., 2000. Evaluation of  
446 a 23S rDNA polymerase chain reaction assay for identification of *Serpulina*

447 *intermedia*, and strain typing using pulsed field gel electrophoresis. Vet. Microbiol.  
448 71, 139-148.

449 Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M., Kumar, S., 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary  
450 Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24, 1596-1599.

451

Accepted Manuscript

452 **Figure captions**

453 **Fig. 1.** Dendrogram based on consensus sequences constructed from combined  
454 individual distance matrices of nucleotide sequences from the seven genes *adh*, *alp*, *est*,  
455 *gdh*, *glpK*, *pgm* and *thi*. The dendrogram shows 77 *B. intermedia* isolates divided into  
456 71 sequence types (STs), arranged in the same order as they appear in Table 1. The  
457 names of the STs containing isolates from pigs are outlined in bold, while the other STs  
458 contain isolates from chickens. The branches of the STs that form clonal complexes are  
459 marked in bold, and the clonal complex is named. The length of the scale bar represents  
460 one nucleotide substitution in 10 base pairs of the sequenced gene fragment.

461

462 **Fig 2.** Dendrogram based on concatenated nucleotide sequences at seven loci showing  
463 the relationships of 71 sequence types (STs) of *B. intermedia*, as well as 67 STs of *B.*  
464 *hyodysenteriae* and four STs of “*B. suanatina*”. The *B. intermedia* STs have been  
465 divided into 16 groups (marked a though p) at a distance approximately equivalent to  
466 that separating *B. hyodysenteriae* and “*B. suanatina*”. The names of the *B. intermedia*  
467 STs containing isolates from pigs are outlined in bold, while the non-bolded STs are  
468 made up of isolates from chickens. The divisions on the scale bar represent one  
469 nucleotide substitution in 100 base pairs of the sequenced gene fragment.

470

471 **Fig. 3.** Unrooted radiation tree based on concatenated nucleotide sequences showing the  
472 relationships of the 71 STs of *B. intermedia*, and the 67 STs of *B. hyodysenteriae* and  
473 the 4 STs of “*B. suanatina*”. The groups of *B. intermedia* STs marked a through p  
474 correspond to the groups marked in Fig. 2. The location of STs containing porcine  
475 isolates of *B. intermedia* are marked with filled circles. The length of the scale bar  
476 represents one nucleotide substitution in 100 base pairs of the sequenced gene fragment.

477

**Table 1.** *Brachyspira intermedia* isolate names, origin, sequence type (ST) and amino acid type (AAT) in multilocus sequence typing. The isolates are listed numerically according to their STs, corresponding to the order that they appear in Figure 1.

Isolate <sup>a</sup>	Origin <sup>b</sup>	ST	AAT
AN519/97*	Finland, pig, 1997	1	47
AN517/97*	Finland, pig, 1997	2	48
AN885/94*	Sweden, pig, 1994	3	49
AN621/97*	Sweden, pig, 1997	4	49
Q94/0354/0/3	Australia, chicken, 1994	5	57
Q94/0348/2/4	Australia, chicken, 1994	6	33
OR2	Australia, pig, 1990s	7	55
OF11	Australia, pig, 1990s	8	56
Q98/0037/20	Australia, chicken, 1998	9	60
UNL/4	USA, pig, 1980s	10	41
PWS/A <sup>T</sup> *	UK, pig, 1970s	11	43
FF3	Australia, chicken, 1990s	12	8
AN520/93	Sweden, pig, 1993	13	42
HB60	Australia, chicken, 1994	14	29
A3	Australia, chicken, 1994	15	38
E2	Australia, chicken, 1994	16	16
A7	Australia, chicken, 1994	17	16
Q98/0446/2	Australia, chicken, 1998	18	9
MU08/01	Australia, chicken, 2008	19	14
GLD3	Australia, chicken, 2008	20	14
Q08/B85	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	21	11
Q08/B93	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	21	11
Q08/B82	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	22	12
Q08/B124	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	23	13
Q08/B139	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	23	13
Q08/B46	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	23	13
Q08/B98	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	23	13
Q97/000/6/22	Australia, chicken, 1997	24	28
B52/iii	Australia, chicken, 1994, farm G	25	15
Whites/3	Australia, pig, 1990s, farm F	26	39
Whites/2	Australia, pig, 1990s, farm F	27	40
Whites/4	Australia, pig, 1990s, farm F	28	37
WACM04/24	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	29	22
WACM04/22	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	30	22
Q97/2224/3/2	Australia, chicken, 1997, farm E	31	22
Q97/2224/3/1	Australia, chicken, 1997, farm E	32	24
WACM04/21	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	33	21
1380	the Netherlands, chicken, 1980s	34	23
Q98/0029/26	Australia, chicken, 1998	35	17
B230	UK, chicken, 1980s	36	32

AN983/90*	Sweden, pig, 1990	37	30
90/21643/0	Australia, pig, 1990	38	31
Q97/2110/4/5	Australia, chicken, 1997, farm C	39	10
WACM04/6	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	40	20
WACM04/2	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	41	34
WACM04/4	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	41	34
WACM04/18	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	42	11
WACM04/12	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	43	18
WACM04/8	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	44	19
WACM04/10	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	45	46
Q97/2110/4/3	Australia, chicken, 1997, farm C	46	25
Histo/6	Australia, chicken, 1994	47	26
2A/10	Australia, chicken, 1994	48	27
P1	Australia, pig, 1990s	49	36
B22/ii	Australia, chicken, 1994, farm G	50	3
3B/1	Australia, chicken, 1994	51	61
22/6	Australia, chicken, 1994, farm B	52	5
22/8	Australia, chicken, 1994, farm B	52	5
22/5	Australia, chicken, 1994, farm B	53	5
Q08/B136	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	54	6
MUP69	Australia, pig, 2008	55	7
Q08/B130	Australia, chicken, 2008, farm A	56	4
N96/2968/3A	Australia, pig, 1996	57	1
B52/1	Australia, chicken, 1994, farm G	58	2
NZ96/0485	New Zealand, pig, 1996, farm NZA	59	53
NZ96/0479	New Zealand, pig, 1996, farm NZA	60	54
WestB	Australia, pig, 1980s	61	51
2818/5	Australia, pig, 1990	62	52
WACM04/26	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	63	58
WACM04/25	Australia, chicken, 2004, farm D	64	59
Wand403	Australia, pig, 1997	65	64
P280/1	UK, pig, 1980s	66	63
889	Australia, pig, 1980s	67	62
Linc	UK, pig, 1980s	68	44
AN1707/96*	Sweden, pig, 1996	69	50
V992/2F	Australia, pig, 1990s	70	35
V245/3	Australia, pig, 1990s	71	45

<sup>a</sup> Adjacent isolates in the same clonal complex are highlighted with the same background shade, and these correspond to the bolded branches in Figure 1. Unshaded isolates are not included in a clonal complex. The seven isolates previously investigated by Råsbäck et al (2007b) are marked with an asterisk.

<sup>b</sup> The year or approximate year of isolation, and the farm of origin, if known, are shown.







