

**FLORAL BIOLOGY AND PROPAGATION OF
BLUE-FLOWERED *CONOSPERMUM* SPP.**

by

Lynley M. Stone

B. Biotech (Hons) Flin.

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Murdoch University

2003

DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis is of my own research, except where reference is made, and has not previously been submitted for a degree at any institution.

Lynley Stone

2003

ABSTRACT

Blue-flowered *Conospermum* are endemic to Western Australia, and show great potential as cut flowers. Propagation from cuttings or seed proved difficult, and root initiation *in vitro* is problematic. This thesis examines the floral biology of the species and the possibility of using somatic embryogenesis to overcome propagation problems.

A survey of explant tissue types for *C. eatoniae* and *C. caeruleum* was carried out to identify tissue that could be induced into embryogenic pathways. Vegetative, semi-floral and floral buds were initiated into culture from February to June, but were found unsuitable for embryogenesis, producing shoots, callus or dying in culture. Leaves from *in vitro* leaf cultures formed callus in the presence of 2,4-D and BAP, but were unable to differentiate into embryos in the presence of a variety of growth regulator combinations and concentrations. Immature zygotes died in culture. Direct embryogenesis and/or embryogenic callus was observed on mature zygotes of the species *C. caeruleum*, *C. spectabile*, *C. dorrienii* and *C. brownii*, and somatic embryos were maintained in culture for up to 18 months for *C. caeruleum*.

Maturation and germination of somatic embryos proved difficult; treatments of cold, ABA, desiccation or mannitol did not induce maturation. It appears that developmental pathways in *Conospermum* are well defined and are difficult to alter *in vitro*. It was concluded that somatic embryogenesis has limited commercial potential in these species.

Conospermum species have an active pollination mechanism where the style is held in a state of tension when the flower opens. When pressure is applied at the base of the style by an insect, the style flicks downwards, striking the insect pollinator and releasing pollen from the anther in a single dusty mass. However, the breeding systems of blue-flowered *Conospermum* have not previously been well explored.

Flowers on a *C. eatoniae* inflorescence opened from the basal end upwards acropetally, with the terminal two or three buds never opening. Fruit and seed set occurred only from the basal one to three buds. Isolation of *C. eatoniae* and *C. amoenum* flowers showed they were unable to self-pollinate in the absence of insect pollinators.

Experiments to determine the timing of the peak of stigmatic receptiveness were inconclusive. Pollen germinated and penetrated the stigma 0 – 6 days after anther dehiscence. Pollen loads on the stigma did not relate to the number of pollen tubes observed down the style. Controlled pollinations of cultivated *C. eatoniae* at a field station using self and cross pollen, revealed compatibility with a range of pollen genotypes, as pollen tubes were observed extending down the style. However, late-acting incompatibility could not be ruled out as controlled crosses failed to set any seed as flowers were shed from the bush.

DNA analysis of open pollinated *C. eatoniae* seed progeny from two plants from a field station and two plants in natural bushland revealed very different pollination habits. Plants from the field station showed no outcrossing, with progeny closely resembling the maternal parent, whereas plants from the wild population showed

outcrossing with several different paternal parents. These results suggest self-pollinated seed can be reliably obtained in a plantation situation using stands of ramets of the same clone. Alternatively, assuming that the required insect pollinators are present in a cultivated stand, it should be possible to obtain cross pollinated seed by surrounding the maternal plant with the desired paternal parent.

Unusual pollen behaviour was observed for many blue-flowered species, a white-flowered species of *Conospermum*, and close relative, *Synaphea petiolaris*. Up to three pollen tubes emerged from the triporate pollen *in vitro*, and at rates of up to 55 μms^{-1} . This rate was maintained for only 2 s but is greater than 20 times faster than reported in the literature for any species, *in vitro* or *in vivo*. Pollen with multiple tubes was also observed on the stigma *in vivo* in *C. amoenum* flowers.

Changing the osmotic pressure of the germination medium by altering sucrose concentration influenced the number of tubes to emerge from the pollen grain; generally the number of tubes decreased as sucrose increased. However, the rate of tube growth was unaffected. The addition of calcium channel blockers to the germination medium had no effect on *Conospermum* growth rate, nor did they eliminate pulses of tube growth.

Observation of *Conospermum* pollen ultrastructure revealed similarities to *Gramineae* pollen. The tube cytoplasm was packed with vesicles filled with material of similar electron density to the cell wall. Few golgi were identified, and the apical end of the tube contained these vesicles, smaller secretory vesicles and mitochondria. This is atypical of the tip, which is normally free of large vesicles. Distinct zones in the

cytoplasm were not identified, which is similar to *Gramineae*. Like the grasses, *Conospermum* appears to pre-manufacture cell wall material and store it in vesicles ready for rapid germination and extension.

A biological function of multiple pollen tube emergence with such rapid growth was not elucidated.

This research has shown *Conospermum* to be a complex and very interesting genus. Further investigation into the remarkable growth of multiple pollen tubes would enhance our knowledge of the biological processes involved in tube growth and the process of fast wall formation. The potential benefits to the cut flower industry of commercialising some of these species warrants further effort to find an efficient method of propagation. Introduction into horticulture may be the only means by which these threatened species will survive.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Several people deserve acknowledgment for their contribution to this thesis. Thanks must firstly go to my primary supervisor, Professor Jen McComb whose knowledge, advice and guidance were invaluable. You are an inspiration.

From Murdoch University, I gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Gordon Thomson for histological expertise, helping to videotape and analyse the pollen tubes and photograph TEM sections. Thanks also to Dr Mike Calver for his statistical knowledge.

Thank you to my supervisor Dr Kevin Seaton for his extensive knowledge on propagation of *Conospermum*.

Thank you to Professor John Kuo of the University of Western Australia for advice on pollen tube ultrastructure and to Chris Newell and Anouska Cousin of the Western Australian Department of Agriculture for their assistance setting up my first tissue cultures.

To the friendly staff at the Western Australian Herbarium, thank you for providing such a great place to work, specifically Dr Margaret Byrne for expert knowledge and advice and Bronwyn MacDonald for technical assistance.

To my fellow PhD students Sarah Jackson, Tania Jackson and Dr Meredith Fairbanks, thank you for your support and friendship.

I would like to acknowledge the financial support given from an ARC-SPIRT grant, with funding from Murdoch University, The Department of Agriculture Western Australia and The Department of Conservation and Land Management. I would also like to thank Murdoch University for financial assistance given to attend the IAPTC&B conference in Florida, USA, and the Sexual Plant Reproduction conference in Lublin, Poland.

Thank you to my wonderful family who have supported me in everything I do; my parents Anne and Russell, Narelle, Travis, Abbey, Dale and Naomi.

Finally, thank you to my husband Ryan who has loved, encouraged, supported and believed in me every step of the way. It has been quite a journey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER:

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1	CONOSPERMUM	1
	1.1.1 Species of interest	1
	1.1.2 Reproductive biology	7
	1.1.3 Pollination mechanism	7
	1.1.4 Stigma receptivity	9
1.2	REPRODUCTION	10
1.3	SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS	11
	1.3.1 Medium requirements	11
	1.3.2 Explant	12
	1.3.3 Gelling agents	13
	1.3.4 Potential markers and cell types leading to embryogenesis	14
	1.3.5 Gene expression in embryogenesis	15
	1.3.6 Embryogenic cell types	16
	1.3.7 Comparison of zygotic and somatic embryogenesis	17
1.4	THE MALE GAMETE: POLLEN	18
	1.4.1 Adhesion and hydration	18
	1.4.2 Germination and penetration of the stigma	19
	1.4.3 The stylar matrix	19
1.5	THE POLLEN TUBE	21
	1.5.1 Basic structure	21
	1.5.2 Cytoplasmic streaming	21
	1.5.3 The pollen tube cell wall	22
	1.5.4 The role of calcium	23
	1.5.5 Guidance of pollen tube to ovule and micropyle	24
	1.5.6 Sperm delivery to the embryo sac	24
	1.5.7 Seed	24
1.6	IN VITRO POLLEN GERMINATION	25
1.7	STUDY OBJECTIVES	25

2. EXPLANT SELECTION FOR SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS IN *CONOSPERMUM* SPP.

2.1	ABSTRACT	27
2.2	INTRODUCTION	27
2.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
	2.3.1 Explants from field plants	30

2.3.2	<i>In vitro</i> explants	32
2.3.3	Effect of growth regulator pulsing and light	33
2.4	RESULTS	33
2.4.1	Field plants	33
2.4.2	<i>In vitro</i> leaves	38
2.4.3	Effect of growth regulator pulsing and light	39
2.5	DISCUSSION	43
3.	PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS FOR THE INDUCTION OF EMBRYOGENESIS FROM <i>CONOSPERMUM EATONIAE</i> LEAF TISSUE	
3.1	ABSTRACT	48
3.2	INTRODUCTION	48
3.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	49
3.3.1	Plant material	49
3.3.2	Callus induction	50
3.3.3	Media tested for induction of differentiation from callus	50
3.4	RESULTS	51
3.5	DISCUSSION	52
4.	MATURATION AND GERMINATION OF <i>CONOSPERMUM</i> SOMATIC EMBRYOS	
4.1	ABSTRACT	55
4.2	INTRODUCTION	55
4.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	57
4.3.1	Somatic embryo origins	57
4.3.2	Influence of growth regulators on maturation and germination of embryos	57
4.3.3	Synchronising embryo development	57
4.4	RESULTS	58
4.4.1	Influence of growth regulators on maturation and germination of somatic embryos	58
4.4.2	Synchronising embryo development	59
4.5	DISCUSSION	62

5.	SOMACLONAL VARIATION OF EMBRYOGENIC CULTURES	
5.1	ABSTRACT	66
5.2	INTRODUCTION	66
5.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	68
	5.3.1 Material source	68
	5.3.2 DNA extraction	68
	5.3.3 Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD)	69
5.4	RESULTS	69
5.5	DISCUSSION	74
6.	BREEDING SYSTEMS	
6.1	ABSTRACT	77
6.2	INTRODUCTION	77
6.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	80
	6.3.1 Bud counts and opening times	80
	6.3.2 Floral structure	81
	6.3.3 Timing of stigma receptivity	81
	6.3.4 Controlled pollinations	82
	6.3.5 Seed set position	82
	6.3.6 Pollinator exclusion	83
	6.3.7 Plant and seed collection for RAPD analysis	83
	6.3.8 DNA extraction	83
	6.3.9 Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD)	84
	6.3.10 Statistical analysis	85
6.4	RESULTS	85
	6.4.1 Bud counts and opening times	85
	6.4.2 Floral structure	85
	6.4.3 Timing of stigma receptivity	87
	6.4.4 Controlled pollinations	90
	6.4.5 Seed set position	90
	6.4.6 Pollinator exclusion	92
	6.4.7 Open pollinated seed	92
6.5	DISCUSSION	93
7.	OBSERVATIONS OF RAPID GERMINATION AND GROWTH OF MULTIPLE POLLEN TUBES IN <i>CONOSPERMUM</i>	
7.1	ABSTRACT	100

7.2	INTRODUCTION	100
7.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	101
	7.3.1 Pollen source	101
	7.3.2 Observations of <i>in vitro</i> multiple pollen tubes	102
	7.3.3 Measuring <i>in vitro</i> pollen tube growth rate	102
	7.3.4 Observations of cytoplasm and nuclei movement	103
	7.3.5 Observations <i>in vivo</i>	103
7.4	RESULTS	104
	7.4.1 Observations <i>in vitro</i>	104
	7.4.2 Observation of cytoplasm and nuclei movement	111
	7.4.3 Observations <i>in vivo</i>	111
7.5	DISCUSSION	116
8.	EFFECT OF SUCROSE AND CALCIUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS ON RAPID GROWTH OF MULTIPLE TUBES IN <i>CONOSPERMUM</i>	
8.1	ABSTRACT	118
8.2	INTRODUCTION	118
8.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	119
	8.3.1 Pollen source	119
	8.3.2 Effect of sucrose on pollen germination and tube growth	120
	8.3.3 Effect of calcium channel blockers	120
8.4	RESULTS	121
	8.4.1 Effect of sucrose	121
	8.4.2 Effect of calcium channel blockers	127
8.5	DISCUSSION	127
9.	ULTRASTRUCTURE OF <i>CONOSPERMUM</i> POLLEN TUBES	
9.1	ABSTRACT	132
9.2	INTRODUCTION	132
9.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	134
9.4	RESULTS	135
9.5	DISCUSSION	140

10.	GENERAL DISCUSSION	
10.1	Problems in propagation of <i>Conospermum</i>	143
10.2	Response <i>in vitro</i>	143
10.3	Future <i>in vitro</i> work	145
10.4	Floral biology of <i>Conospermum</i>	145
10.5	Pollen biology	147
10.6	Possible biological significance of unusual pollen behaviour	149
10.8	Future of <i>Conospermum</i> species	150
11.	REFERENCES	151

ABBREVIATIONS

WA: Western Australia

MS : Murashige and Skoog media (1962) including MS salts and vitamins

TDZ: thidiazuron

BAP: 6-benzylamino purine

2,4-D: 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid

IBA: indole-3-butyric acid

K: kinetin

GA₃: gibberellic acid

NAA: 1-naphthaleneacetic acid

ABA: abscisic acid

FDA: fluorescein diacetate

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Chapter 2: Formed basis of a conference poster and paper:

Stone, L., McComb, J.A., and Seaton, K.A. (2002) Propagation of blue-flowered *Conospermum* species. 10th International Association for Plant Tissue Culture and Biotechnology Congress: Plant Biotechnology 2002 and Beyond. Orlando, Florida USA, June 23-28, 2002.

Stone, L., McComb, J.A., and Seaton, K.A. (2002) Propagation of blue-flowered *Conospermum* species. International Association for Plant Tissue Culture and Biotechnology – Australian Branch: The Importance of Plant Tissue Culture and Biotechnology in Plant Sciences. Armadale, NSW, Australia, January 20-23, 2002.

Chapter 7: Formed basis of a conference paper:

Stone, L., McComb, J.A. and Seaton, K.A. (2002) Explosive pollen tube growth in *Conospermum* species. XVIIth International Congress of Sexual Plant Reproduction: Sexual Plant Reproduction in Nature and the Laboratory. Lublin, Poland July 9-13, 2002.

Chapters 7, 8 and 9: Accepted for publication as:

Stone, L.M., Seaton, K.A., Kuo, J. and McComb, J.A., (2004) Fast pollen tube growth in *Conospermum* species. *Annals of Botany*. 93: 369-378.