



Murdoch
UNIVERSITY

MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY

This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination.

The definitive version is available at :

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975\(96\)00141-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975(96)00141-7)

Iliopoulos, C.S., Moore, D. and Smyth, W.F. (1997) A characterization of the squares in a Fibonacci string.

Theoretical Computer Science, 172 (1-2). pp. 281-291.

<http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/27529/>

Copyright: © 1997 Elsevier B.V.

It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

A characterization of the Squares in a Fibonacci string

Costas S. Iliopoulos

Dept of Computer Science
King's College London
London WC2R 2LS
England &
Curtin University

csi@dcs.kcl.ac.uk

Dennis Moore

School of Computing
Curtin University
GPO Box U 1987
Perth 6001
Australia

moore@cs.curtin.edu.au

W. F. Smyth

Dept of Comp. Sci. & Systems
McMaster University
Hamilton
Canada L8S 4K1 &
Curtin University

smyth@mcmaster.ca

Abstract

A (finite) *Fibonacci string* F_n is defined as follows: $F_0 = b$, $F_1 = a$; for every integer $n \geq 2$, $F_n = F_{n-1}F_{n-2}$. For $n \geq 1$, the *length* of F_n is denoted by $f_n = |F_n|$. The *infinite Fibonacci string* F is the string which contains every F_n , $n \geq 1$, as a prefix. Apart from their general theoretical importance, Fibonacci strings are often cited as worst case examples for algorithms which compute all the repetitions or all the “Abelian squares” in

a given string. In this paper we provide a characterization of all the squares in F , hence in every prefix F_n ; this characterization naturally gives rise to a $\Theta(f_n)$ algorithm which specifies all the squares of F_n in an appropriate encoding. This encoding is made possible by the fact that the squares of F_n occur consecutively, in “runs”, the number of which is $\Theta(f_n)$. By contrast, the known general algorithms for the computation of the repetitions in an arbitrary string require $\Theta(f_n \log f_n)$ time (and produce $\Theta(f_n \log f_n)$ outputs) when applied to a Fibonacci string F_n .

Keywords Combinatorial algorithms, string algorithms, lower bounds.

1 Introduction

Fibonacci numbers and strings have been studied extensively over the years (see [8,3]). Here we are interested in the Fibonacci numbers and strings as a tool used in the design and analysis of algorithms. There is a plethora of algorithms whose analysis makes use of Fibonacci numbers; e.g., searching, sorting, hashing, random number generation, Euclid’s gcd computation, etc. [11]. Fibonacci strings are often cited as worst case examples for algorithms in string pattern matching (e.g., the Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm, the Boyer-Moore algorithm, the Aho-Corashic automaton [1]) and in string statistics (computing all the repetitions [4], computing all the “Abelian squares” [6]).

A (finite) *Fibonacci string* F_n is defined as follows: $F_0 = b$, $F_1 = a$; for every integer $n \geq 2$, $F_n = F_{n-1}F_{n-2}$ (see Figure 1). For $n \geq 1$, the *length* of F_n is denoted by $f_n = |F_n|$, while it is convenient to define $f_0 \equiv 0$. The *infinite Fibonacci string* F is the string which contains every F_n , $n \geq 1$, as a prefix.

Furthermore, if a given string x defined on an arbitrary alphabet A can be written in the form $x = yu^kz$ for some integer $k \geq 2$, some (possibly empty) strings y and z , and some nonempty string u , then $u^k \equiv uu \cdots u$ (k times) is said to be a *repetition* in x . If in particular $k = 2$, the repetition is called a *square*. Thus, for example, the string $x = ababcacacaab$ defined on $A = \{a, b, c\}$ contains the repetition $(ca)^3$ and the squares $(ab)^2$, $(ac)^2$, and a^2 . The study of repetitions in strings is motivated by the equivalent problem, encountered by molecular biologists, of automatically detecting repeated regions (with errors) in DNA and protein sequences (see [17]).

There exist three well-known algorithms [2,4,13] for finding all the repetitions in a given string $x = x[1..|x|]$. Each of these algorithms is asymptotically optimal, executing in time $\Theta(|x| \log |x|)$, which is also the time required [13] merely to recognize whether or not x contains a repetition. Indeed, the execution time achieved by the three algorithms depends on an encoding of repetitions in triples (i, p, k) denoting

$$x[i..i + kp - 1] = x[i..i + p - 1]^k,$$

where it is required that the substring $x[i..i+p-1]$ be *primitive* — that is, not itself a repetition; $x[i..i+j-1]$ denotes the substring x that starts at position i and has length j . It is easy to see that, without this primitivity requirement, the straightforward reporting of distinct squares in a given string x might require as many as $\Theta(n^2)$ outputs: for example, $x = a^n$ contains $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ distinct squares but it can be encoded in only one triple $(1, 1, n)$. Thus, the encoding of the output is of critical importance to the performance of the algorithms.

In [4] it is shown that, in terms of the (i, p, k) -encoding, Fibonacci strings F_n give rise to $\Theta(f_n \log f_n)$ distinct repetitions, and so in some sense represent a worst case, both for these algorithms and for this encoding. It is not shown, however, whether or not there might exist some other encoding of repetitions which would, at least for Fibonacci strings, perhaps for all strings, make it possible to produce an asymptotically smaller amount of output.

Fibonacci strings also represent a worst case for other algorithms dealing with generalized repetitions. Cummings and Smyth [6] have shown that F_n contains $\Theta(f_n^2)$ “weak repetitions” (Abelian squares), and it turns out also that the “covers” [9,10,14] of a circular Fibonacci string are of cardinality $\Theta(f_n^2)$.

In Section 2 of this paper we provide a complete characterization of the squares in Fibonacci strings; in particular, we show that they occur in “runs” at adjacent positions of F , where each run consists of cyclic rotations of some Fibonacci string F_k , $k \geq 1$. In Section 3 we show that the number of runs of squares in a finite Fibonacci string F_n is $\Theta(f_n)$, and so we are able to describe a simple linear (in terms of f_n) algorithm, executing in $\Theta(f_n)$ time, to compute all of them.

This algorithm depends on a slightly modified encoding of the output which reports runs of squares using only a single triple of integers. This algorithm can only be said to compute all the squares of F_n , if the user is willing

to accept the encoding of squares into runs R as an appropriate response to a query. It thus remains an open question, of considerable theoretical interest, whether or not there exist classes of strings x that give rise to $\Theta(|x| \log |x|)$ distinct repetitions over all “appropriate” encodings of the output. Another interesting open problem is whether there exist classes of strings x for which the size of the encoding (runs) studied in this paper is more than $O(|x|)$.

2 Characterizing the squares

In this section we adopt a four-stage approach to characterizing all of the squares in F :

- (i) By expressing F only in terms of F_n and F_{n+1} , we identify the positions of squares of the form F_n^2 , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (Theorem 2.1);
- (ii) We show that in the first stage we have identified *all* the squares of the form F_n^2 (Theorem 2.2);
- (iii) We quote a “folklore” result (a proof can be found in [16]) that for every square u^2 in F , u is necessarily a cyclic rotation of some F_n (Theorem 2.3);
- (iv) We exhibit all the squares in F as elements of “runs”, where the beginning of each run is determined by one of the squares F_n^2 (Theorem 2.4).

To begin the first stage, we generalize the definition of a Fibonacci string. Let $F(x, y)$ denote the infinite Fibonacci string on the “alphabet” $\{x, y\}$, where now x and y denote arbitrary strings on any alphabet. (In fact, as we shall see below, we even allow x and y to be integers.) In the sequel, we will consider x and y either as “letters” of an alphabet or as “strings”; whenever ambiguity arises, we explicitly state which of the two interpretations is used. Then

$$F(x, y) = xyxxyxyxyxyxyx \dots$$

and, in particular, $F(a, b) = F$, the “ordinary” infinite Fibonacci string defined above. The notation $F_n(x, y)$ is similarly defined in the obvious way.

Lemma 2.1 For every integer $n \geq 0$ and all strings x, y , $F_{n+1}(x, y) = F_n(xy, x)$.

PROOF Observe that the result holds for $n = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x, y) &= F_0(xy, x) = x; \\ F_2(x, y) &= F_1(xy, x) = xy. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that for some integer $N \geq 1$,

$$F_{n+1}(x, y) = F_n(xy, x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{N+2}(x, y) &= F_{N+1}(x, y)F_N(x, y) \\ &= F_N(xy, x)F_{N-1}(xy, x) \\ &= F_{N+1}(xy, x), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows by induction. \square

Repeated application of Lemma 2.1 leads to an important result (expressed in another form by Pansiot [15]):

Lemma 2.2 For all integers k, n satisfying $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$,

$$F_{n-k}(F_{k+1}, F_k) = F_n.$$

PROOF By successive applications of Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n-k}(F_{k+1}, F_k) &= F_{n-k+1}(F_k, F_{k-1}) \\ &= F_{n-k+2}(F_{k-1}, F_{k-2}) \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &= F_n(F_1, F_0) \\ &= F_n. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Of course it is an immediate consequence of this result that, for every integer $n \geq 0$,

$$F = F(F_{n+1}, F_n) = F_{n+1}F_nF_{n+1}F_{n+1}F_nF_{n+1}F_nF_{n+1}F_{n+1}F_n \quad \dots (2.1)$$

Thus Lemma 2.2 enables us to express any Fibonacci string entirely in terms of selected Fibonacci substrings F_{n+1} and F_n ; we are able to focus only on the squares generated by those substrings. In order to prove our first main theorem, we need one more simple fact:

Lemma 2.3 *For every integer $n \geq 2$, $F_n^2 = F_{n+1}F_{n-2}$.*

PROOF Follows from rewriting $F_{n+1} = F_nF_{n-1}$. \square

For all nonnegative integers i and n , let $\Sigma_{i,n}$ denote the sum of the first i values in $F(f_{n+1}, f_n)$. Then $\Sigma_{0,n} \equiv 0$ for all n and, for example, for $n = 3$,

$$F(f_4, f_3) = 5355353553553553553 \cdots,$$

so that $\Sigma_{1,3} = 5$, $\Sigma_{2,3} = 8$, $\Sigma_{5,3} = 21$, $\Sigma_{9,3} = 46$.

Based on this notation, it is now possible to specify positions in F where F_{n+1} and F_n^2 occur; later on (Theorem 2.2), we will show that these positions are the only positions in F where F_n^2 occur.

Theorem 2.1 *For every integer $i \geq 0$,*

- (a) $F_{n+1} = F[\Sigma_{i,n} + 1.. \Sigma_{i,n} + f_{n+1}]$, $n \geq 2$;
- (b) $F_n^2 = F[\Sigma_{i,n} + 1.. \Sigma_{i,n} + 2f_n]$, $n \geq 3$.

PROOF Observe that since every occurrence of F_n in F (viewed as a string over $\{F_n, F_{n+1}\}$) is followed by an occurrence of $F_{n+1} = F_{n-1}F_{n-2}F_{n-1}$, therefore F_{n+1} occurs at every occurrence of F_n in F . Then (a) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.2: it merely says that F_{n+1} occurs at the beginning of F and then at displacements of f_{n+1} or f_n , depending on whether the current term in (2.1) is F_{n+1} or F_n , respectively. By Lemma 2.3 and the fact that, for $n \geq 3$, F_{n-2} is a prefix of both F_{n+1} and F_n , we see that these positions also mark occurrences of F_n^2 , and so (b) follows. \square

The objection may be raised to Theorem 2.1(b) that it is restricted to the cases $n \geq 3$ and so does not locate squares $F_1^2 = a^2$ and $F_2^2 = (ab)^2$. However, as the next result shows, such squares are implicitly included:

Lemma 2.4 *For $n = 1, 2$, F_n^2 occurs in F only as a substring of F_{n+2}^2 .*

PROOF It is straightforward to verify that for $n = 1, 2$, F_{n+2}^2 contains F_n^2 as a substring. Since a^3 does not occur in F , each occurrence of a^2 in F must

always be surrounded by b 's, and so be a substring of $baab$. Also, since b^2 does not occur in F , each occurrence of b in F must be surrounded by a 's, so that $baab$ is a substring of $abaaba = F_3^2$. Similarly for $n = 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $F = F(F_2, F_1) = F(ab, a)$, where ab occurs in $F(ab, a)$ only as the "letter" ab ; as above we have that $(ab)^2$ occurs in F only as a substring of $abaababaab = (F_3(ab, a))^2 = F_4^2$. \square

Thus Theorem 2.1 effectively allows us to locate occurrences of squares F_n^2 for all $n \geq 1$; we go on now to show that these occurrences are in fact the only ones in F . To do so, we introduce the idea of a *border* of a given string x ; that is, a substring of x which is both a prefix and a suffix of x . We introduce also an infinite *border array* B where, for every nonnegative integer n , $B[n]$ is the length of the longest border of F_n ; then $B[n]$ is the "failure function" [12] of F_n and, for example, $B[5] = 3$ corresponding to the longest border aba of $F_5 = abaababa$. The following result is proved also in [5].

Lemma 2.5 *For every integer $n \geq 2$, $B[n] = f_{n-2}$.*

PROOF By induction on n . Observe that the lemma holds for $n = 2, 3$, and suppose that it holds for every integer $3 \leq n \leq N - 1$, but not for $n = N$. Since $F_N = F_{N-2}F_{N-3}F_{N-2}$, it follows that $B[N] > f_{N-2}$. On the other hand, since by the inductive hypothesis $B[N - 1] = f_{N-3}$ and since for every integer $k \geq 2$, $B[k] \leq B[k - 1] + f_{k-2}$, we see that

$$B[N] \leq f_{N-2} + f_{N-3} = f_{N-1}.$$

Thus, setting $B[N] = f_{N-2} + i$ for some integer $i \in 1..f_{N-3}$, we observe that, since F_{N-3} is a prefix of F_{N-2} ,

$$F[1..i] = F[f_{N-2} + 1..f_{N-2} + i] \quad (2.2a)$$

$$= F[f_{N-1} - i + 1..f_{N-1}] \quad (2.2b)$$

$$= F[f_{N-1} + 1..f_{N-1} + i] \quad (2.2c)$$

$$= F[f_N - i + 1..f_N]. \quad (2.2d)$$

From (2.2c) and (2.2d) we conclude that $F[1..i]$ is a border of F_{N-2} . Hence, by the inductive hypothesis, $i \leq f_{N-4}$. From (2.2a) and (2.2b), however, we conclude that $F[1..i]$ is also a border of F_{N-3} . But this is impossible, since the final letters of F_{N-2} and F_{N-3} are not the same. Then there can exist no integer $n = N \geq 2$ for which the lemma does not hold. \square

The next lemma uses this result to establish an important property of Fibonacci strings. Suppose that an arbitrary string x is written in the form uv , where u (but not v) is possibly empty; then $x' = vu$ is said to be a *rotation* of x of *degree* $|u|$. For example, the rotations of $x = F_4 = abaab$ are $abaab$, $baaba$, $aabab$, $ababa$ and $babaa$, of degrees 0 to 4 respectively. We show that a Fibonacci string cannot coincide with any rotation of itself, a result related to work of de Luca [7]:

Lemma 2.6 *For every integer $n \geq 2$, F_n is not equal to any rotation of F_n other than itself.*

PROOF The result holds for $n = 2$. Therefore, for some $n \geq 3$ suppose the contrary, that there exists an integer $k \in 1..f_n - 1$ such that

$$F_n = F[1..f_n] = F[k + 1..f_n]F[1..k].$$

But then $F[1..k]$ and $F[k + 1..f_n]$ are both borders of F_n , and one of these borders has length at least $f_n/2 > f_{n-2}$, in contradiction to Lemma 2.5. \square

Theorem 2.2 *For every integer $n \geq 1$, Theorem 2.1(b) specifies all the occurrences of F_n^2 in F .*

PROOF By Lemma 2.4 the result holds for $n = 1, 2$ if it holds for $n \geq 3$. But for $n \geq 3$, Theorem 2.1(b) makes clear that every position in F is included in some occurrence of F_n^2 . Hence any other occurrence of F_n^2 not specified by Theorem 2.1(b) would require that F_n coincide with a rotation of itself, in contradiction to Lemma 2.6. \square

As the third stage of our characterization of the squares in F , we quote a “folklore” result (Séébold provides a proof in [16]):

Theorem 2.3 *For every nonempty substring u^2 of F , u is a rotation of F_n for some $n \geq 1$.* \square

Finally, in order to complete the characterization of the squares of F , we need to define a new encoding. Suppose that F contains a set of $h > 0$ consecutive squares

$$R(i, p, h) = \{(i, p, 2), \dots, (i + h - 1, p, 2)\},$$

all of length $2p$. We say that $R(i, p, h)$ is a *run* of squares of *length* h . Then observe that for every integer $j \in i + 1..i + h - 1$, the square $(j, p, 2)$ is a rotation of $(j - 1, p, 2)$ of degree 1, by virtue of the fact that

$$F[j] = F[j + p] = F[j + 2p],$$

and so $(j, p, 2)$ is a rotation of $(i, p, 2)$ of degree $(j - i) \bmod p$. For example, the substring *abaabaaba* of F contains the run of squares

$$R(1, 3, 4) = \{(aba)^2, (baa)^2, (aab)^2, (aba)^2\},$$

where each square in the run is a rotation of degree 1 of the preceding one. More generally, observe that for $n \geq 3$ every occurrence of

$$F_n^3 = (\Sigma_{i,n} + 1, f_n, 3)$$

in F gives rise to a run of squares $R(\Sigma_{i,n} + 1, f_n, f_n)$ corresponding to the f_n rotations of F_n . Indeed, we have

Lemma 2.7 *For all integers $n \geq 3$ and $i \geq 0$, $R(\Sigma_{i,n} + 1, f_n, f_n)$ is a run of squares of F if and only if $F[i + 1] = b$.*

PROOF Observe that $F[i + 1] = b$ if and only if $F(F_{n+1}, F_n)[i + 1] = F_n$ in the expansion (2.1). Then

$$F(F_{n+1}, F_n)[i + 1..i + 3] = F_n F_{n+1} F_{n+1}$$

or

$$F(F_{n+1}, F_n)[i + 1..i + 3] = F_n F_{n+1} F_n.$$

In either of these cases, $F_n F_{n+1} F_n$ is a prefix of $F(F_{n+1}, F_n)[i + 1..i + 3]$, and it is straightforward to verify that $F_n F_{n+1} F_n = F_n^3 F_{n-3} F_{n-2}$. This proves sufficiency.

To prove necessity, observe that if $F[i + 1] = a$, $F(F_{n+1}, F_n)[i + 1..i + 2]$ has prefix $F_{n+1} F_n$, which as we have just seen equals $F_n^2 F_{n-3} F_{n-2}$. Since $F_{n-3} F_{n-2}$ is a rotation of F_{n-1} , it follows from Lemma 2.6 that $F_{n-3} F_{n-2} \neq F_{n-1}$, hence that $F_{n+1} F_n$ is not a prefix of $F_n^3 = F_n^2 F_{n-1} F_{n-2}$. \square

More precise information about the runs of squares that occur when $F[i + 1] \neq b$ is provided by the following lemma:

Lemma 2.8 *For every integer $n \geq 2$, $F_n = F_{n-2}F_{n-3} \cdots F_1u$, where $u = ab$ if n is even, and $u = ba$ otherwise.*

PROOF By induction on n . Observe that the result holds when $n = 2, 3$, and suppose that it holds for some $n = N - 2$. But then, writing $F_N = F_{N-2}F_{N-3}F_{N-2}$, we see, using the inductive hypothesis, that it must hold also for $n = N$. \square

From Lemma 2.8 it follows immediately that F_n and its rotation $F_{n-2}F_{n-1}$ of degree f_{n-2} differ in precisely the last two positions. Thus, as we have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.7,

$$F(F_{n+1}, F_n)[i + 1..i + 2] = F_n^2 F_{n-3} F_{n-2}$$

differs from $F_n^2 F_{n-1}$ only in the last two positions whenever $F[i + 1] = a$. It follows that in this case $R(\Sigma_{i,n} + 1, f_n, f_{n-1} - 1)$ is the maximal run of squares of $F = F(F_{n+1}, F_n)$. Furthermore, it follows also that none of the positions

$$\Sigma_{i,n} + f_{n-1}.. \Sigma_{i,n} + f_{n+1}$$

of F can mark the beginning of squares of rotations of F_n . Thus all the possible squares of length $2f_n$ have been accounted for, and since by Theorem 2.3 there are no other possible squares, we have established the main result of this section:

Theorem 2.4 *For every integer $n \geq 3$, the squares of F of length $2f_n$ are*

- (a) $R(\Sigma_{i,n} + 1, f_n, f_n)$ if and only if $F[i + 1] = b$,
 - (b) $R(\Sigma_{i,n} + 1, f_n, f_{n-1} - 1)$ if and only if $F[i + 1] = a$,
- where i assumes all nonnegative integral values. \square

As an example of this theorem, consider the case $n = 4$:

$$F(F_5, F_4) = (abaababa)(abaab)(abaababa)(abaababa)(abaab)(abaababa)(abaab) \cdots$$

For $i = 0..5$, $\Sigma_{i,4}$ takes the values 0, 8, 13, 21, 29, 34, respectively, and so the first six runs of squares of length $2f_4 = 10$ are

$$R(1, 5, 2), R(9, 5, 5), R(14, 5, 2), R(22, 5, 2), R(30, 5, 5), R(35, 5, 2).$$

The first square in each of these runs contains one occurrence of $(ab)^2$ and one occurrence of $(ba)^2$. Note that this sequence of runs can be simplified to $R(1, 5, 2), R(9, 5, 7), R(22, 5, 2), R(30, 5, 7)$.

Theorem 2.4 tells us how to locate a run, after we determine whether $F[i+1] = a$ or not. The next lemma provides the basis of a constant time and space routine (assuming that the floor functions below can be computed in constant time and space) for checking whether $F[i+1] = a$; a proof can be found in [11] and an alternate approach in [3]. The same information could also be provided by an appropriate preprocessing of $F_n[j]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, f_n$, requiring only $\Theta(f_n)$ time.

Lemma 2.9 *If $\phi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$ (the golden mean), then*

$$F[i] = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \lfloor (i+1)/\phi \rfloor - \lfloor i/\phi \rfloor = 1; \\ b & \text{otherwise.} \quad \square \end{cases}$$

3 Computing the squares

Theorem 2.4 tells us how to locate all the squares in the infinite Fibonacci string F ; in practice, however, we will be asked to compute the squares in a given finite Fibonacci string F_n . The algorithm SQUARES, given in Pascal-like pseudocode in Figure 2, performs this computation for $n \geq 6$ — it is convenient to treat the cases $n \leq 5$ separately. The algorithm is based primarily on Theorem 2.4, with some minor complications related to the special conditions that arise when F_n terminates. We make the simplifying assumption that, for $k = 3$ or 4 , outputs of squares of length $2f_k$ implicitly specify those of length $2f_{k-2}$, in accordance with Lemma 2.4.

Since each required value of a Fibonacci number f_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, can be computed in constant time, it follows that the compound **if** statement inside the inner **for** loop in this algorithm also executes in constant time. This **if** statement will be executed exactly

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &= \sum_{k=3}^{n-2} (f_{n-k} + 1 - 2) \\ &= f_n - f_{n-2} - 3 - (n - 4) \end{aligned}$$

times, by Lemma 2.8, a quantity which reduces to $f_{n-1} - (n - 1)$. Hence, excluding the squares a^2 , $(ab)^2$, and $(ba)^2$, Q_n gives exactly the number of triples output by SQUARES(F_n); that is, exactly the number of runs of

squares in F_n . If in addition the excluded squares are identified and printed separately by the routine **output**, we will have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &= f_{n-1} - (n-1) + f_{n-3} + f_{n-4} - 2 \\ &= f_n - (n+1). \end{aligned}$$

In either case the time requirement of the algorithm is $\Theta(f_n)$. As for the space requirement, observe that there is only a single reference to F_n in the algorithm, when it becomes necessary to determine the value of $F_n[i+1]$, where $0 \leq i \leq f_{n-3} - 2$. Lemma 2.9 allows us to determine this value in constant time and space. We thus state formally our result:

Theorem 3.1 *For every integer $n \geq 6$, the algorithm SQUARES computes all the runs of squares in F_n using $\Theta(f_n)$ time and $O(1)$ space. \square*

We remark again that this algorithm can only be said to compute all the squares of F_n if the user is willing to accept the encoding of squares into runs R as an appropriate response to a query. Indeed, a slight modification to SQUARES could reduce the amount of output still further, by reporting adjacent runs of squares (which occur whenever F_k occurs in $F_n(F_{k+1}, F_k)$) as a single run. Such a modification would reduce the number of runs reported by a constant factor of ϕ , but the total number of outputs would still be $\Theta(f_n)$. It appears that an asymptotic reduction (say to $\Theta(\log f_n)$ outputs) could not be achieved in a manner consistent with an appropriate response to the user's request.

Acknowledgements

The work of the first author was supported in part by SERC grants GR/F 00898 and GR/J 17844, NATO Grant No. CRG 900293, ESPRIT BRA Grant No. 7131 for ALCOM II, and MRC Grant No. G 9115730. The work of the third author was supported in part by Grant No. A8180 of the Natural Sciences & Engineering Research Council of Canada and by Grant No. GO-12778 of the Medical Research Council of Canada.

References

- [1] A. V. Aho. Algorithms for finding patterns in strings. *Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science*, Elsevier, (1988).
- [2] A. Apostolico and F. P. Preparata. Optimal off-line detection of repetitions in a string. *Theoretical Computer Science*, Volume 22, pages 297-315, (1983).
- [3] J. Berstel. Fibonacci words. *Book of L*, Springer-Verlag, pages 13-27, (1986).
- [4] M. Crochemore. An optimal algorithm for computing the repetitions in a word. *Information Processing Letters*, Volume 12-5, pages 244-250, (1981).
- [5] L. J. Cummings, D. Moore and J. Karhumaki. Borders of Fibonacci strings. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics & Combinatorial Computing*, to appear.
- [6] L. J. Cummings & W. F. Smyth. Weak repetitions in strings. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics & Combinatorial Computing*, to appear.
- [7] A. de Luca. A combinatorial property of the Fibonacci words. *Information Processing Letters*, Volume 12-4, pages 193-195 (1981).
- [8] L. E. Dickson. *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Chelsea, (1971).
- [9] C. S. Iliopoulos, D. Moore and K. Park. Covering a string. In *Fourth Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching*, pages 54-62, (1993).
- [10] C. S. Iliopoulos and W. F. Smyth. *The covers of a circular Fibonacci String* Submitted.
- [11] D. E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming*, Addison Wesley, (1971).
- [12] D. E. Knuth, J. H. Morris and V. R. Pratt. Fast pattern matching in strings. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, Volume 6, pages 322-350, (1977).

- [13] M. G. Main and R. J. Lorentz. An $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for finding all repetitions in a string. *Journal of Algorithms* Volume 5, pages 422-432, (1984).
- [14] D. Moore and W. F. Smyth. An optimal algorithm to compute all the covers of a string. *Information Processing Letters*, Volume 50-5, pages 239-246, (1994).
- [15] J. J. Pansiot. Mots infinis de Fibonacci et morphismes itérés. *RAIRO Informatique Théorique*, Volume 17-2, pages 131-135, (1983).
- [16] P. Séébold. Propriétés Combinatoires des Mots Infinis Engendrés par Certains Morphismes. *Report No. 85-16*, LITP, Paris, (1985).
- [17] T. F. Smith and M. S. Waterman. Identification of common molecular sequences. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, Volume 147, pages 195-197, (1981).

$F_0 = b$
 $F_1 = a$
 $F_2 = ab$
 $F_3 = aba$
 $F_4 = abaab$
 $F_5 = abaababa$
 $F_6 = abaababaabaab$
 $F_7 = abaababaabaababaababa$
 $F_8 = abaababaabaababaababaabaababaabaab$
 $F_9 = abaababaabaababaababaabaababaabaababaabaababaabaababa$

Figure 1: Fibonacci Strings


```

algorithm SQUARES ( $F_n$ );
{This loop computes all the squares of length  $2f_k$ ,  $k = 3..n - 2$ .}
for  $k = 3..n - 2$  do
  {This loop considers all but the last term of  $F_{n-k}(F_{k+1}, F_k) = F_n$ 
  (Lemma 2.2).}
  for  $i = 0..f_{n-k} - 2$  do
    if  $i = 0$  then
       $\Sigma \leftarrow 1$ ;      {Maintain the invariant  $\Sigma = \Sigma_{i,n} + 1$ .}
      output ( $\Sigma, f_k, f_{k-1} - 1$ );    {Theorem 2.4(b).}
       $\Sigma \leftarrow \Sigma + f_{k-1}$ 
    else
       $\Sigma \leftarrow \Sigma + f_k$ ;
      if  $F_n[i + 1] = a$  then  { Lemma 2.9 }
        output ( $\Sigma, f_k, f_{k-1} - 1$ );  {Theorem 2.4(b).}
         $\Sigma \leftarrow \Sigma + f_{k-1}$ 
      else
        if  $i = f_{n-k} - 2$  then
          {A special case arises when  $F_n$  ends in  $F_k F_{k+1}$ .}
          output ( $\Sigma, f_k, f_{k-1} + 1$ )
        else
          output ( $\Sigma, f_k, f_k$ );      {Theorem 2.4(a).}
    if  $k = 3$  or  $4$  then
      find squares of length  $2f_{k-2}$  in the last term of  $F_{n-k}(F_{k+1}, F_k)$ .
      {The details are straightforward, and are omitted.}

```

Figure 2: The algorithm.