

# **Gill Disease in Barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*)**

Neil R. Griffiths

BSc, BVMS

This thesis is presented for the degree of  
Master of Veterinary Science (with training)  
at Murdoch University

February 2009

## Declaration

I declare that this is my own account of my research, except where duly acknowledged and contains work that has not been submitted for a degree at any tertiary institution

A handwritten signature in black ink on a light blue rectangular background. The signature reads "Neil R Griffiths" in a cursive, slightly slanted script.

Neil R Griffiths

## **PREFACE**

A veterinary degree is the most versatile of the biological sciences and much is expected by the general public from a Veterinarian.

I had a successful single man small animal practice in Adelaide, until one fateful day when driving my motor vehicle, with my trusted canine friend who kept a watchful eye; watched me suffer a Grand mal seizure and then hit a tree. My dog suffered concussion and I a month in hospital, multiple operations and multiple specialists, 2 months unable to walk and unable to practice with substantial pain and seeing the world differently than before, having time to think without the rigors of veterinary practice. I have no regrets.

For I always wished to use my veterinary degree for food production and with God saving my life and that of Caleb, I decided to investigate aquaculture, realising I knew little and needed training, which initially came from a course work masters from a non veterinary university. I started to discover a new world under my microscope from typical cytology I used in my practice every day, particularly for avian species which I did mainly for interest. I met Barramundi re-circulating aquaculture farmers at a meeting of the Inland Aquaculture Association of South Australia, asking for help with disease issues. I helped a little, but realising they needed more than what I had to offer, finding organisms or cells I did not know what they were and to my surprise others also.

So I went home to Perth, back to Murdoch University Veterinary School and asked for help. There I met Shane Raidal who taught me a decade before with an avian medicine workshop and had developed a passion for aquaculture and kindly offered me a Veterinary Master's in Barramundi Gill Disease, Thank you Shane.

The skills developed from this Masters Degree with training, however long the road was from 2003 to 2008 have allowed me to fulfil what I wished to do in my second year of veterinary school, feed people rich or poor by developing an array of skills that any veterinarian can. Small animal practice served me well and I hope I served my clients and their pets well for nearly one and half decades, but the transition into intensive animal husbandry has put me on the frontier of where agriculture must go and I hope to contribute just a little and I thank my veterinary degrees and those that suffered me, Thank you Shane and my family, particularly my wife Leanne, who one day had the same husband, but with a different outlook.

Neil R Griffiths

## ABSTRACT

Disease is a major impediment to world aquaculture, amplified by the increase of the intensity of aquaculture relieving pressure from over depleted wild stocks, but with intensity brings disease and particularly disease of the fragile gill organ, exposed directly to the water environment. There is little literature on barramundi biology and the various forms of culture impacting on health, particularly the gill and much research is required in gaining a further understanding of this popular eating fish.

The light microscope is a pivotal tool with cytology and histology mandatory in assessing gill health. The gill biopsy should be considered part of a clinical examination as the water medium surrounding the gill and on the gill contains often fragile organisms that would otherwise be lost in fixation for histology alone, but easily viewed with cytology. Barramundi are easily anaesthetised and recovered like many terrestrials and gill re-growth is rapid, healing within days. Biopsies should be viewed unstained with and without phase contrast and then stained and reviewed, recognizing some ectoparasites maybe lost with anaesthetic agents and stains. The sacrificing of the fish after a live gill biopsy is necessary with histology and microbiology our major tools for diagnostics, with no other non invasive methods readily available as for terrestrials. Every year many new water organisms related to aquaculture are described in the literature and the finding of novel and new organisms makes the veterinary examination of the live fish exciting yet imperative.

A major concern is the gill pathogens found in wild barramundi were similar to those found in culture. For example the prevalence of the parasite *Henneguya* a Myxosporidean was 90% in sea cages 60 km offshore from Darwin in the Bathurst Island river system and 66% for ponded fish with water drawn from the Darwin Elizabeth river, compared to 33% infected in the wild habitat of the Mary river system close to Darwin by road. However the bacterial disease *Epitheliocystis* had a prevalence of 66% in the sea cages and 18% of similarly sized fish in the Mary river system, yet nil found in the pond farm, but in this case sample numbers were restricted. Consequently the surveillance for new fish pathogens and monitoring for existing pathogens in the wild ecosystems and aquaculture facilities is necessary and must include the macro and micro flora and fauna surrounding such facilities as they are potentially affected from aquaculture waste streams. The sustainability of aquaculture in open water culture must be considered with great concern for many reasons, but disease by its nature could overwhelm a species and other aquatic life quickly disseminated in a dynamic water medium.

Freshwater culture of barramundi has problems with off flavour and disease, particularly re-circulating aquaculture systems due to undercapitalization and possibly at this stage with existing type farms not suited for the culture of barramundi with one farm having all fish

sampled diagnosed with systemic bacteraemia and gill Epitheliocystis. Commonly fish sampled from freshwater culture had suffered pathological changes to the gill, particularly hyperplasia indicating the fish are continually affected by issues of water quality and disease.

Pond culture appeared to control gill disease issues by affording lower stocking rates, high water exchanges from a river within metres, fallow and the flavour of the fish similar to wild catch or sea cage culture, when purged in brackish water. The decreased environmental and ecosystem risks, coupled with the pond farmer reporting good profits with a simple form of culture, also suitable for intensification is a success story for barramundi production for today and the future.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was predominantly funded by the insurance company that kindly upon medical advice offered me a re-training package, of which funded the purchase of laboratory grade microscopes and a digital camera interface taking all of the photos for this thesis and most of the travelling expenses completing the survey.

I would like to thank Murdoch University for crediting the course work component from Deakin University, which gave me the necessary background, particularly in water quality, nutrition and the overall world stage of Aquaculture in performing this research project. Additionally thanking Murdoch Universities Fish Health Unit and Shane Raidal for supplying funding and facilities, for histology, TEM, SEM and staff facilitating a graduate from the 1980's with the necessary diagnostic tools and support to explore a new frontier for myself and a fledgling Australian aquaculture industry.

A Thankyou to Western Australian Fisheries Pathology Department headed by Brian Jones, who taught and encouraged many veterinarians with his thirty years of service in histopathology in aquatic science and encouraged me to keep looking at the unusual cells discussed and shown in the thesis beginning in 2003, when I asked him what are they? I remember him saying 'I have seen them before, but have not the time to investigate them.' Hopefully a little light has been shed for more research into these unusual cells found on gills of a few Australian major finfish species, particularly the icon Barramundi.

Mentoring is part of teaching and I thank Shane Raidal again for patiently leading me in the right directions of this thesis and through the skills and confidence gained not only bridging the gap into aquaculture, but also intensive animal husbandry of meat rabbits, both requiring an interface by a veterinarian at the farm level, to pathologists, laboratories and tertiary institutions.

# TABLE of CONTENTS

GILL DISEASE IN BARRAMUNDI ( <i>LATES CALCARIFER</i> ).....	I
DECLARATION.....	II
ABSTRACT.....	IV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XI
LIST OF TABLES.....	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XVI
<b>CHAPTER 1. LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1.    INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.2.    OVERVIEW OF ANATOMY, MORPHOLOGY, BIOLOGY, HISTOLOGY AND PATHOLOGY OF THE GILL OF TELEOST FISH .....	2
1.2.1. <i>Gross anatomy and morphology</i> .....	2
1.2.2. <i>Histology and specific cell function</i> .....	4
1.2.3. <i>Disease Responses of the Gill</i> .....	8
1.3.    BARRAMUNDI CULTURE .....	12
1.3.1. <i>Natural Habitat</i> .....	12
1.3.2. <i>Barramundi production worldwide</i> .....	13
1.3.3. <i>Barramundi culture in Australia</i> .....	14
1.3.4. <i>Gill pathogens and Gill Disease in Cultured Barramundi</i> .....	15
1.4.    SUMMARY .....	26
<b>CHAPTER 2. METHODOLOGIES AND DESCRIPTIONS .....</b>	<b>27</b>
2.1.    FISH EXAMINATION ON EACH FARM OR HATCHERY .....	27
2.1.1. <i>Internal examination at post mortem</i> .....	28

2.2.	FARM DESIGN AND DISEASE HISTORY .....	30
2.2.1.	<i>South Australian Re-circulation Aquaculture (RAS)</i> .....	31
2.2.2.	<i>Northern Territory Barramundi Production</i> .....	36
2.2.3.	<i>Western Australia</i> .....	45
2.3.	MURDOCH UNIVERSITY FISH HEALTH UNIT .....	48
2.4.	CLINICAL PATHOLOGY SPECIMEN METHODOLOGIES.....	49
2.4.1.	<i>Gill wash cytopsin method</i> .....	49
2.4.2.	<i>Cytological Staining Techniques</i> .....	49
2.4.3.	<i>Histopathology Procedures</i> .....	49
2.4.4.	<i>Transmission Electronmicroscopy</i> .....	51
2.3.4	<i>Scanning Electronmicroscopy</i> .....	51
<b>CHAPTER 3. RESULTS .....</b>		<b>53</b>
3.1.	SOUTH AUSTRALIAN .....	53
3.1.1.	<i>RAS farm 1</i> .....	53
3.1.2.	<i>RAS farm 2</i> .....	61
3.1.3.	<i>RAS farm 3</i> .....	70
3.2.	NORTHERN TERRITORY .....	73
3.2.1.	<i>Darwin Channel Island Barramundi Hatchery</i> .....	73
3.2.2.	<i>Tidal River Sea Cage Grow Out Facility</i> .....	78
3.2.3.	<i>Pond Farm Grow Out Facility</i> .....	86
3.2.4.	<i>Wild Catch Mary River System</i> .....	91
3.3.	WESTERN AUSTRALIA .....	99
3.3.1.	<i>Lake Argyle freshwater Grow out Cage Culture</i> .....	99
3.3.2.	<i>Broome TAFE Hatchery</i> .....	104
3.4.	MURDOCH UNIVERSITY FISH HEALTH UNIT .....	107
3.4.1.	<i>Identified and Unidentified Non Host Cells</i> .....	107
3.4.2.	<i>Fish Gill Cells Identified</i> .....	125

3.4.3.	<i>Histology Sectioning Artefacts</i> .....	131
3.4.4.	<i>Kidney Crystals</i> .....	135
<b>CHAPTER 4.</b>	<b>DISCUSSION OF RESULTS</b> .....	<b>140</b>
4.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	140
4.2.	GENERAL SAMPLING METHODS AND TECHNIQUES USEFULNESS AND LIMITATIONS FOR AQUACULTURE .....	141
4.2.1.	<i>History</i> .....	141
4.2.2.	<i>History Report</i> .....	143
4.2.3.	<i>Physical examination</i> .....	146
4.2.4.	<i>Histology</i> .....	152
4.2.5.	<i>Electronmicroscopy</i> .....	156
4.2.6.	<i>Microbiology</i> .....	156
4.3.	GILL PATHOLOGY AND PATHOLOGICAL AGENTS FOUND.....	158
4.3.1	<i>Hyperplasia</i> .....	158
4.3.2.	<i>Necrosis and Oedema</i> .....	158
4.3.3.	<i>Telangiectasis and Haematoma</i> .....	159
4.3.4.	<i>Parasitic</i> .....	160
4.3.5.	<i>Bacterial</i> .....	165
4.4.	UNIDENTIFIED CELLS POSSIBLY OF NON HOST ORIGIN .....	169
4.4.1.	<i>Methods Used to Identify Non Host Cells at Murdoch Fish Health Unit</i> .....	169
4.4.2	<i>Initial Characterisation</i> .....	171
4.4.3..	<i>Further Characterisation</i> .....	176
<b>CHAPTER 5.</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION, LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS</b> .....	<b>179</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....		<b>197</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		
APPENDIX 1.	FIGURES OF OTHER BARRAMUNDI PATHOGENS FOUND .....	203

APPENDIX 2. CYTOLOGICAL STAINING TECHNIQUES.....	207
5.1.1. <i>Periodic Acid Ammoniacal Silver – Microwave Technique [PAAS]</i> .....	207
5.1.2. <i>Modified Wright’s Stain [Diff Quik] Automated</i> .....	208
APPENDIX 3. HISTOLOGICAL STAINING TECHNIQUES .....	209
5.1.3. <i>Haematoxylin &amp; Eosin</i> .....	209
5.1.4. <i>Periodic Acid Ammoniacal Silver (Microwave Technique)</i> .....	209
5.1.5. <i>Gram stain</i> .....	210
5.1.6. <i>Modified Trichrome for Microsporidia in Paraffin Sections</i> .....	210
5.1.7. <i>Giemsa stain</i> .....	211
APPENDIX 4. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FARMERS .....	212
Appendix 5.    Gill Disease in Barramundi.....	DVD

## LIST of FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Schematic of a simplified gill functional morphology of water flow (Evans et al., 2005).....	3
Figure 1.2	Basic histology of a teleost gill showing secondary lamellae coming off each side of a primary lamella National Conservation Training Centre ( <a href="http://www.training.fws.gov./BART/fish/histol1.html">www.training.fws.gov./BART/fish/histol1.html</a> ).	3
Figure 1.3	Magnified view of the base of secondary lamellae a normal teleost ( <a href="http://www.training.fws.gov./BART/fish/histol1.html">www.training.fws.gov./BART/fish/histol1.html</a> ).....	4
Figure 2.1	A 45 mm barramundi fingerling cut behind operculum dorsally killing the fish quickly with little blood loss.....	28
Figure 2.2	Schematic of South Australian RAS farm 1 & 2.....	33
Figure 2.3	SA RAS farm 2 showing drum filter* and fingerling cages within main grow out tank.....	34
Figure 2.4	SA RAS farm 2 with excessive foaming.....	34
Figure 2.5	Schematic of SA RAS farm 3.....	35
Figure 2.6	Schematic of brood-stock closed re-circulating system.....	37
Figure 2.7	Re-circulating larval rearing system.....	38
Figure 2.8	Nursery flow through saltwater trough raceway and tank system.....	38
Figure 2.9	Marine Harvest grow out sea cage facility .....	39
Figure 2.10	Marine Harvest upgrading to polar circle cage system.....	40
Figure 2.11	Sea cages with heavy anti shark netting, fish and fingerling (black) netting reducing flow within cage system.....	40
Figure 2.12	Sea cages with heavy anti shark netting, fish and fingerling (black) netting reducing flow within cage system.....	41
Figure 2.13	Organic foaming indicating poor water quality.....	41
Figure 2.14	Barramundi grow out pond farm .....	42
Figure 2.15	Fallowed pond.....	43
Figure 2.16	Natural habitat of Barramundi fingerlings and adults looking for food.....	44
Figure 2.17	Wild caught 600 mm long Barramundi caught in zero salinity.....	44
Figure 2.18	Wild Saltwater Barramundi.....	45
Figure 2.19	Lake Argyle farm fingerling simple cage system.....	46
Figure 2.20	Polar styled grow out cage.....	46
Figure 2.21	Western Australian variety of saltwater grown Barramundi approximately 100 mm in length.....	47
Figure 2.22	Broome TAFE saltwater flow through nursery.....	48
Figure 3.1	<i>Ichthyobodo</i> with contrast DE using microscope diaphragm >1000 × magnification.....	55
Figure 3.2	<i>Ichthyobodo</i> using phase contrast (DE) highlighting flagella* and bacteria unstained.....	55
Figure 3.3	<i>Ichthyophthirius multifiliis</i> gill biopsy SA RAS farm 1.....	56
Figure 3.4	<i>Ichthyophthirius multifiliis</i> with characteristic C shape nucleus free trophont.....	57
Figure 3.5	Water mould spore-like structures possibly <i>Saprolegnia</i> species* in gill biopsy SA RAS.....	57
Figure 3.6	SA RAS farm 1 gill biopsy using Diff Quik 2 highlighting possible <i>Epitheliocystis</i> lesion with enlarged epithelia cell warranting histological conformation.....	58
Figure 3.7	Gill biopsy of same batch of Barramundi with high numbers of bacteria stained with Diff Quik 2 justifying histology.....	58
Figure 3.8	Gill H&E histology of same fish in fig.3.4b showing cocci bacteria within gill tissue.....	59
Figure 3.9	Gill wash of different fish same batch sampled, showing unidentified cells in gill wash stained with Dif Quik 2.....	59
Figure 3.10	Gill wash showing unidentified cell heavily stained (SA video chapter) .....	60
Figure 3.11	Kidney wet preparation using phase contrast showing spherical cells similar to gill washes (SA video chapter).....	60

Figure 3.12	Histology (H&E) showing haemorrhage to gill filaments from a fish of the same batch.....	61
Figure 3.13	SA RAS farm 2 malformed mandible.....	63
Figure 3.14	Gill biopsy of malformed mandible fingerling showing very high numbers of motile curved bacteria stained with Dif Quik2.....	63
Figure 3.15	Histology (H&E) of gill arch from deformed jaw.....	64
Figure 3.16	Cytology of gill biopsy revealing large unidentified cell (SA video chapter).....	64
Figure 3.17	Histology (H&E) revealing a <i>Epitheliocystis</i> lesion with mild hyperplasia.....	65
Figure 3.18	Showing a hyperplastic filament tip with a cystic type structure, with basophilic cocci structures within and similar to bacteria in size*.....	64
Figure 3.19	Histological Gram stain revealing structures encased with Gram negative cocci type structures....	66
Figure 3.20	Magnified view of these structures.....	66
Figure 3.21	PAAS histological staining of these filaments (low magnification).....	67
Figure 3.22	Magnification of one filament with these PAAS positive structures.....	67
Figure 3.23	1000 × magnification of filament edges.....	68
Figure 3.24	1000 × magnification Gill filament with small cocci structures* present in some of the PAAS positive cells.....	68
Figure 3.25	1000 × magnification of filament tip.....	69
Figure 3.26	Magnified by computer beyond 1000 × magnification of gill lamellae tip.....	69
Figure 3.27	SA RAS farm 3 H&E histology low magnification.....	72
Figure 3.28	Occasional filament showing necrosis and bacterial infection.....	72
Figure 3.29	45 mm long Fingerling cannibalistic bite wound.....	75
Figure 3.30	Gill biopsy of using Diff Quik stain 2 highlighting fragile filaments.....	75
Figure 3.31	Melanomacrophage infiltration evident with necrosis at margins of filament.....	76
Figure 3.32	400 × magnification of melanomacrophage centres.....	76
Figure 3.33	Cytology with DQ2 stain revealing unidentified cells in gill wash*.....	77
Figure 3.34	Histological section of filament tip showing possible bacteria in parenchyma.....	77
Figure 3.35	Telangiectasis from a healthy 45 mm fingerling.....	78
Figure 3.36	Sea cage culture cytology of gill filament showing large numbers of encysted non host structures.....	79
Figure 3.37	400 × magnification of fig. 3.3.6 identifying Myxosporidea <i>Henneguya</i> polar capsules* of spores in plasmodium.....	80
Figure 3.38	DQ2 stained gill ciliate ectoparasite <i>Trichodina</i> lateral view showing ‘bowler hat’ shape from sea cage culture.....	80
Figure 3.39	Unstained <i>Trichodina</i> in motion ventral view with cilia visible.....	81
Figure 3.40	Gill wash from biopsy showing probable fungal spores stained with DQ2 from sea cage.....	81
Figure 3.41	Unidentified stained cells in gill wash from sea cage.....	82
Figure 3.42	Multiple <i>Epitheliocystis</i> lesions on H&E histology in 150 mm sea caged fish.....	83
Figure 3.43	600 mm sea caged fish with Myxosporidea plasmodium within lamellae.....	84
Figure 3.44	Plasmodium revealing <i>Henneguya</i> polar capsules* at 1000 × magnification.....	84
Figure 3.45	Incidental finding of a filament Chondroma in a 150 mm sea caged fish.....	85
Figure 3.46	Ponded fish with unusual trematode fluke eggs encysted within filament.....	87
Figure 3.47	Higher magnification of possible trematode fluke of crocodile origin and not fish.....	88
Figure 3.48	Myxosporidea plasmodium from ponded fish taking water from river system unfiltered.....	88
Figure 3.49	Higher magnification not revealing spores capsules.....	89
Figure 3.50	Haemorrhage in filaments from thrashing when sedated with clove oil.....	90
Figure 3.51	Mild Telangiectasis probably unassociated with clove oil irritation.....	90
Figure 3.52	Myxosporidea plasmodium on filament from wild caught fish in freshwater.....	92
Figure 3.53	Typical <i>Henneguya</i> bipolar capsule* in gill wash of same fish stained with DQ.....	92
Figure 3.54	Stained unidentified cells *(yellow) versus pavement cells (red) in gill wash.....	93

Figure 3.55	Phase contrast used with DQ2 highlighting similar cells found behind pectoral fin of freshwater wild caught fish in fast flowing section of river.....	93
Figure 3.56	Myxosporidea plasmodium between filaments of 150 mm wild caught Barramundi.....	94
Figure 3.57	1000 × magnification able to determine <i>Henneguya</i> polar capsules* within plasmodium.....	94
Figure 3.58	Immature plasmodium between lamellae.....	95
Figure 3.59	Occasional epitheliocystis seen in wild caught on histology.....	95
Figure 3.60	1000 × magnification showing lamellae processing artefact of epithelial lifting.....	96
Figure 3.61	Developing Dactylogyrid fluke within its egg loosely attached to filament.....	97
Figure 3.62	Walled off developing fluke causing a hyperplastic response within a filament.....	98
Figure 3.63	Haematoma between lamellae.....	99
Figure 3.64	Freshwater Dactylogyrid adult flukes embedded into a filament at Lake Argyle.....	101
Figure 3.65	Typical 2 pairs of eyespots* of Dactylogyrid gill fluke.....	101
Figure 3.66	Hooks attaching fluke on to filament.....	102
Figure 3.67	Hyperplasia of gill with attached fluke*.....	102
Figure 3.68	Fluke embedded between hyperplastic lamellae.....	103
Figure 3.69	Filament fusion at base of filaments from hyperplasia*.....	103
Figure 3.70	1000× magnification of previous figure 3.69.....	104
Figure 3.71	Broome TAFE saltwater hatchery: Cytology gill biopsy of 80 mm fingerling using DQ2 showing unidentified cell* on distorted filament from probable pressure of glass coverslip & handling.....	106
Figure 3.72	Phase contrast further highlighting these unidentified cells.....	106
Figure 3.73	Upon arrival from South Australia 150 mm Barramundi fingerlings had occasional <i>Epitheliocystis</i> lesions as seen on this H&E histological section.....	108
Figure 3.74	Electronmicrograph showing epitheliocystis containing infective elementary bodies.....	108
Figure 3.75	Day 1 of Barramundi gill study at Murdoch University showing unidentified cells* in gill wash using DQ2 stain.....	109
Figure 3.76	Day 1 gill filament showing unidentified cell* in parenchyma with mild hyperplasia.....	109
Figure 3.77	Day 1 gill filament showing unidentified cell* in parenchyma of another fish with moderate hyperplasia.....	110
Figure 3.78	Gill arch showing necrosis of some filaments yet no respiratory stress was evident.....	110
Figure 3.79	1000 × magnification demonstrating severe filament necrosis and a cocci bacterial infection.....	111
Figure 3.80	Skin biopsy post ammonia spike stained with DQ2 showing unidentified cells.....	112
Figure 3.81	Day 5 Acute ammonia spike causing gill necrosis histologically.....	113
Figure 3.82	Gill filament necrosis and oedematous with an <i>Epitheliocystis</i> lesion between two lamellae.....	113
Figure 3.83	Gill filaments day 13 with some filaments cut too deep.....	114
Figure 3.84	Cytospin of gill wash showing unidentified cell appearing differentiated using wrights stain.....	115
Figure 3.85	PAAS stain of cytospin from gill wash showing unidentified cell structures.....	115
Figure 3.86	Day 13 Cytospin using Wrights stain highlighting* an unidentified cell next to red blood cells..	117
Figure 3.87	Cytospin using PAAS stain highlighting* unidentified cell.....	117
Figure 3.88	Cytospin using PAAS stain highlighting* unidentified cell appearing differentiated .....	118
Figure 3.89	Day 14 cytology of gill biopsy showing a DQ2 stained differentiated cell, possibly multinucleated (see video).....	119
Figure 3.90	Day 14 cytology of gill biopsy showing a DQ2 stained differentiated cell, possibly multinucleated (magnified).....	119
Figure 3.91	Skin biopsy showing unidentified cell* stained with DQ2.....	120
Figure 3.92	SEM showing mucus debris* at base of gill filaments.....	121
Figure 3.93	Small structures upon surface of filament under debris field.....	121
Figure 3.94	High magnification of the smallest structures on a filament.....	122
Figure 3.95	Unidentified spherical body under mucus debris.....	122
Figure 3.96	Unidentified spherical cell.....	123
Figure 3.97	Ruptured degraded spherical cell.....	123

Figure 3.98	Surface of gill filament tip showing multiple spherical cells of various size.....	124
Figure 3.99	Possible pavement cell rupture on a filament.....	124
Figure 3.100	Epithelial cells (pavement cells) on gill from RAS farm 1.....	125
Figure 3.101	Heavy staining highlighting epithelial or pavement cells.....	126
Figure 3.102	Gill arch showing rounded nature of filaments with lamellae folded down.....	126
Figure 3.103	Hyperplastic gill from Murdoch after ammonia toxicity showing Eosinophilic Granular cells (EGC's) *.....	127
Figure 3.104	EGC* on TEM at base of lamellae with ovoid granules.....	128
Figure 3.105	EGC within lamellae with both round and ovoid granules.....	129
Figure 3.106	70 mm freshwater fingerling from lake Argyle with melanomacrophage* infiltration.....	130
Figure 3.107	45 mm saltwater fingerling from Darwin Hatchery with higher numbers of melanomacrophages.....	130
Figure 3.108	Variable thickness cuts of filaments and lamellae.....	131
Figure 3.109	Oblique filament cuts giving impression to lack of lamellae and degree of hyperplasia.....	131
Figure 3.110	Filament cuts too deep.....	132
Figure 3.111	Filament cuts deeply on longitudinal section revealing what could appear as excessive mucus glands.....	132
Figure 3.112	Central vein appears enlarged & lamellae oedematous, but is a processing artefact most likely..	133
Figure 3.113	Similar to previous but only a few lamellae artefacts and only one epithelial wall lifted of those affected.....	133
Figure 3.114	From RAS farm 3 a private laboratory with over staining makes EGC infiltration and MRC (chloride cell) identification difficult. The cell highlighted* could possibly be a mucus cell, but further staining would be required.....	134
Figure 3.115	Highlighted* is possibly a dislodged MRC from processing from RAS farm 3.....	134
Figure 3.116	SA RAS farm 1 occasional chisel shaped crystals seen on kidney squash Gram stain preparation with Gram positive cocci (DE).....	135
Figure 3.117	High numbers of crystals in saltwater culture of similar size and shape as South Australia from Darwin hatchery under phase contrast and then higher magnification below.....	136
Figure 3.118	*Higher magnification of figure 3.117.....	137
Figure 3.119	High numbers of kidney crystals from Broome hatchery under normal light microscopy.....	137
Figure 3.120	High numbers of kidney crystals from Broome hatchery under phase contrast and higher magnification.....	138
Figure 3.121	Lower numbers of kidney crystals in wild caught Barramundi in freshwater.....	138
Figure 3.122	RAS farm 2 Gram stain of kidney wet preparation showing crystals (yellow*), Gram positive cocci bacteria (pink)*, and melanin granules*.....	139
Appendix 1.1	Lymphocystis lesions from SA RAS farm 1 2003.....	203
Appendix 1.2	Argulus from tail easily seen by naked eye Lake Agyle.....	204
Appendix 1.3	Ventral surface of Argulus identifying suckers* & feeding tube.....	205
Appendix 1.4	Black Bream gill wash from Murdoch Fish Health Unit.....	206
Appendix 1.5	Murray cod gill wash from Deakin University.....	206

## LIST of TABLES

Table 1. Cytological results for RAS Farm 1 Barramundi .....	54
Table 2. Cytological results for RAS Farm 2 Barramundi .....	62
Table 3 Cytological results for RAS Farm 3 Barramundi .....	71
Table 4. Cytological results for Darwin Hatchery Barramundi.....	74
Table 5 Cytological results for Tidal River Sea Cage grow out Barramundi.....	79
Table 6 Cytological results for Pond Farm grow out system Barramundi .....	86
Table 7 Cytological results for Mary River System Barramundi .....	91
Table 8 Cytological results for Lake Argyle Barramundi .....	100
Table 9. Cytological results for Broome TAFE Hatchery Barramundi.....	105
Table 10. Cytological results for Murdoch University FHU Barramundi.....	107

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DE	Digitally enhanced
EGC	Eosinophilic granular cell
EUS	Epizootic Ulcerative Syndrome
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations
MFHU	Murdoch Fish Health Unit
MM	Melanomacrophage
MRC	Mitochondrial rich cells (also known as chloride cells)
NT	Northern Territory
PAAS	Periodic Acid Ammoniacal Silver
RAS	Recirculating Aquaculture System
SA	South Australia
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy
TAN	Total Ammonia Nitrogen
WA	Western Australia