

**Rapid Start-up of Batch Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion and
Overcoming Sodium Toxicity by Utilizing Turf Grass
as Inoculum**

**By
Suwat Suwannoppadol**

A dissertation submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy



Murdoch
UNIVERSITY

**School of Environmental Science
Faculty of Sustainability, Environmental and Life Sciences
Murdoch University
Western Australia
December 2012**

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research work undertaken which has not been previously submitted for a degree at any tertiary educational institution.

Suwat Suwannoppadol

The following papers have been presented and published from this research:

Suwannoppadol, S., Ho, G., Cord-Ruwisch, R., 2011. Rapid start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion with the turf fraction of MSW as inoculum. *Bioresource Technology*. 102, 7762-7767.

Suwannoppadol, S., Cord-Ruwisch, R., Ho, G., 2012. Distribution of methanogenic potential in fractions of turf grass used as inoculum for the start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology*. 117,124-130.

Suwannoppadol, S., Cord-Ruwisch, R., Ho, G., 2012. Overcoming sodium toxicity by utilizing grass leaves as co-substrate during the start-up of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology*. 125, 188-192.

Abstract

Thermophilic anaerobic digestion offers certain advantages over mesophilic anaerobic digestion such as a higher rate of biogas production and significant pathogen reduction. Despite such advantages, there remain some key hurdles preventing the wider adoption of thermophilic digestion, one of them being that of a difficult start up procedure. To overcome this limitation, this thesis aims to determine suitable start-up conditions and inoculum sources suitable for thermophilic anaerobic digestion. Cow manure, a well-known source of methanogens, was compared to municipal solid waste (MSW) as seeds for of thermophilic anaerobic digestion start-up. Results indicated that in contrast to cow manure, MSW (collected from the metropolitan area of Perth, Western Australia) has a higher potential to be a thermophilic anaerobic seed as compared to cow manure. After incubating of MSW at 55 °C, methane was produced within 3 days with an initial methane production rate of $0.7 \text{ L} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$.

In an attempt to narrow down the source of thermophilic methanogens in a typical MSW, components of a typical MSW (vacuum cleaner dust, banana peel, kitchen waste, and garden waste), which might contain the thermophilic methanogens, were tested as inoculum for thermophilic methanogenesis with acetate as the substrate. Results singled out grass turf as the key source of thermophilic acetoclastic methanogens. Within 4 days of anaerobic incubation at 55°C, anaerobically incubated grass turf samples produced methane accompanied by acetate degradation enabling the successful start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion. This indicates that turf has the ability to act as an alternative seed for the start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion.

Theoretically, methanogens could be divided into two main groups: hydrogenotrophic and acetoclastic methanogens. Hydrogenotrophic methanogens generate methane from the reduction of carbon dioxide by utilizing hydrogen as an electron donor while acetoclastic methanogens cleave acetate to form methane and carbon dioxide. Therefore, investigation of both groups of methanogens in each fraction of turf was addressed in the current study. Characterization of the incubated turf sample identified the main hydrogenotrophic and acetoclastic methanogens present in turf to be *Methanoculleus* sp. and *Methanosarcina* sp. respectively.

The current study also investigated the effects of co-digestion of grass leaves in anaerobic digestion on sodium toxicity. It was motivated by results of observations that with appropriate addition of sodium bicarbonate (330 mM), to tackle the early build-up of volatile fatty acids, successful start-up of MSW and grass leaves were established. A study was conducted to evaluate to what extent grass leave) could help in overcome the sensitivity of methanogenic populations to high sodium content. Results demonstrated the complete failure of the start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion at sodium concentration of 7.8 g Na⁺/L. Grass leaves addition could, however, reduce the effects of sodium toxicity in both mesophilic and thermophilic digestion.

Moreover, in an attempt to narrow down the component of grass leaves which is responsible for elevating sodium toxicity, different likely compounds present in grass leaves such as potassium and betaine were tested. Results revealed that addition of betaine (GB) (1, 5, 10 mM) was observed to be effective in increasing methane production in the presence of high sodium.

To correlate the effect of GB to the effect caused by grass leaves, the GB content in the turf grass was determined. Results of betaine analysis showed that there was 3.9 mg of GB present per g of fresh grass leaves, resulting in final expected GB concentration of 3.3 mM. This implies that GB is one of the organic compounds contained in grass leaves, which plays an important role in the antagonistic effect of grass leaves toward sodium toxicity during thermophilic anaerobic digestion.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thankfully acknowledge my principle supervisor, Dr. Ralf Cord-Ruwisch, for his invaluable guidance, expertise comments, and technical supports throughout my study leading to submitting this thesis.

I express my sincere gratitude to my co-supervisor, Prof. Goen Ho, for giving me the opportunity to study under my preferred research area, providing valuable feedback, and encouragement over my PhD. period.

Special thanks go to Dr. Wipa Charles and Dr. Lee Walker for providing me motivation and encouragement, as well as helpful discussions about my work.

Thanks also go to Dr. Lucy and Dr. Gergio for allowing me to use facilities in molecular laboratory and their kindly help with molecular methods at SABC.

Many thanks to my friends Dr. Kayu, Ying, Dr. Liang, Dr. Sebastian, Emily, Bernice for their sincere friendship, academic feedback, continuous supports, and discussions about this work.

My special appreciation goes to my mother, who has encouraged and supported me to finish my thesis.

Last I would like to especially thank my wife, Parinda Aojangpong, for her truly understanding, patience, and support during all these years of my PhD.

Table of Contents

	Contents
Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
List of Abbreviations	xvi
Chapter 1 Introduction	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objective of this research work	3
1.3 Thesis structure	5
Chapter 2 Literature Review	
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Microbiology and biochemistry of anaerobic digestion	8
2.2.1 Multi-processes of anaerobic digestion	9
2.2.1.1 Hydrolysis	9
2.2.1.2 Acidogenesis	13
2.2.1.3 Acetogenesis	16
2.2.1.4 Methanogenesis	19
2.3 Advantages of thermophilic anaerobic digestion	25

2.4 Difficulty in start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion	29
2.5 Factors affecting the start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion	30
2.5.1 Anaerobic inoculum	30
2.5.2 Toxicity	33
2.5.2.1. Oxygen	33
2.5.2.2. Light metal cations (Na ⁺ , K ⁺ , Mg ²⁺ , Ca ²⁺ , NH ₄ ⁺)	34
2.6 Molecular technique	41
2.7 Conclusion	45

Chapter 3 Rapid Start-Up of Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion with the Turf Fraction of MSW as Inoculum

3.1 Introduction	46
3.2. Materials and Methods	48
3.2.1. Inoculum sources	48
3.2.2. Experimental design	49
3.2.3. Analysis	52
3.3. Results and Discussion	54
3.3.1. Comparison of thermophilic methanogenic activity in cow manure and MSW	54
3.3.2. The effect of aerobic pretreatment of MSW on methane production	57
3.3.3. The effect of stirring during the initial start-up period	60
3.3.4. Quantification of viable thermophilic methanogenic activities in different fractions of MSW	63
3.3.5. Comparison of methane production of turf grass samples from different sampling locations	64
3.4. Conclusions	68

Chapter 4 Distribution of Methanogenic Potential in Fractions of Turf Grass Used as Inoculum for the Start-Up of Anaerobic Digestion

4.1 Introduction	69
4.2 Materials and methods	70
4.2.1 Samples collection and preparation	70
4.2.1.1 Inoculum sources	70
4.2.1.2 Treatment of grass leaves	71
4.2.1.3 Treatment of methanogenic culture	71
4.2.1.4 Treatment of soil components – methanogen extraction	72
4.2.2 Carbon source, bicarbonate, and culture medium composition	72
4.2.3 Experimental design	73
4.2.4 Analysis	73
4.2.5 PCR amplification and clone library analysis	73
4.2.6 DNA sequencing	74
4.3. Results and Discussion	
4.3.1 The presence of thermophilic methanogens in various species of turf grass	76
4.3.2 Presence of thermophilic and mesophilic methanogens on grass leaves	79
4.3.3 Effects of blending grass leaves on methane production activity	81
4.3.4 Effects of drying grass leaves on methane production	84
4.3.5 Effect of desiccation and oxygen exposure on the survival of methanogens	86
4.3.6 Presence and extraction of acetoclastic methanogens in fractions of turf	87
4.3.7 PCR amplification and Clone library analysis	91
4.4 Conclusion	93

Chapter 5 Investigation of possible origin of thermophilic methanogens present in turf

5.1. Introduction	94
5.2. Materials and methods	95
5.2.1. Samples collection and preparation	95
5.2.1.1 Potential sources of methanogens	95
5.2.1.2 Concentration of biomass from groundwater	95
5.2.2. Experimental design and analysis	96
5.2.3. Culture medium and carbon source	96
5.3. Results and Discussion	98
5.3.1. Grass seed and soil as potential sources of thermophilic methanogens present in Turf	98
5.3.2. Groundwater as a primary source of methanogens present in grass lawn	99
5.3.3. Investigation of thermophilic methanogens in grass lawn irrigated by scheme Water	100
5.4 Conclusions	102

Chapter 6 Overcoming sodium toxicity by utilizing yeast extract and grass leaves as co-substrate during the start-up of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion

6.1. Introduction	103
6.2. Materials and Methods	
6.2.1. Inoculum sources and grass leaves	105
6.2.2. Cultur medium, carbon source and sodium concentrations	106
6.2.3. Preparation of sterilized grass leaves, sterilized grass juice, filtered grass juice, and ash from grass leaves	106
6.2.4. Experimental design	107

6.2.5. Analysis	107
6.3. Results and Discussion	110
6.3.1. Effect of sodium concentration on methane production during the start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion	112
6.3.2. Antagonistic effect towards sodium inhibition in thermophilic anaerobic digestion by yeast extract	115
6.3.3. Decrease of sodium toxicity in mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic digestion by utilizing grass clippings	115
6.3.4. Effects of sterilized grass juice and filtered grass juice on methane production in presence of high sodium concentration	118
6.3.5. Effects of potassium and ash from grass leaves on sodium inhibition in anaerobic digestion	120
6.3.6. Effects of anaerobic co-digestion of acetate with either glucose or methanol to decrease sodium toxicity in anaerobic digestion	121
6.3.7. Effects of glycine-betaine (GB) on sodium toxicity in thermophilic anaerobic digestion	122
6.4. Conclusion	124
Chapter 7 Conclusions and Recommendations	
7.1 Overall Conclusion	125
7.2 Recommendation for future research	126
References	130
Appendix 1	144

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Examples of microbial populations from anaerobic digestion	9
Table 2.2: Examples of glucose and amino acid fermentative reactions	14
Table 2.3: Examples of organic acid reactions in acetogenesis stage	19
Table 2.4: Examples of reactions and standard Gibbs free energy changes during methanogenesis from different energy sources at neutral pH	22
Table 2.5: Classification of methanogens (Adapted from Whitman, 2006)	23
Table 2.6: Effects of light metal cations and ammonia on anaerobic digestion depending upon their concentrations	36
Table 2.7: Concentration ranges of sodium ion causing inhibition of methane production reported in the literature	38
Table 2.8: Cation pairs causing antagonistic and synergistic phenomena in anaerobic digestion	40
Table 3.1: Summary of the types of inocula and initial bicarbonate and acetate concentrations used	53
Table 3.2: Summary of objectives and rationales for each experiment	53
Table 4.1: Summary of methanogenic inoculum types, their treatment methods, and initial bicarbonate and acetate concentrations used in experiments.	75
Table 4.2: Summary of objectives and rationales for each experiment	76
Table 5.1: Summary of inoculum types, their treatment methods, and initial bicarbonate concentrations used in experiments	97
Table 6.1: Summary of types of inocula, their co-substrates, acetate and bicarbonate concentrations used, total sodium ion concentrations added in experiments 6.3.1-6.3.8	109
Table 6.2: Summary of objectives and rationales for each experiment	110

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1:** Schematic for anaerobic digestion of general organic compounds 10
- Figure 3.1:** Experimental set-up of batch 1 L thermophilic anaerobic reactor connected to computer for on-line biogas monitoring. Legend: (A)-Computer used to record biogas production; (B)-U-tube displacement gas meter connected to the computer for on-line measurement and CO₂ scrubbers; (C)- 1 L Schott[®] bottles as reactor in water bath controlled temperature at 55 °C 50
- Figure 3.2:** Experimental set-up of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion using serum vial (100 mL) as reactor. Legend: (A)-100 mL serum vials (Wheaton) sealed with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimps; (B)-Set-up of serum vials in water bath for temperature control 50
- Figure 3.3:** Measurement of biogas in serum vial by using glass syringe (50 mL) 51
- Figure 3.4:** Comparison of methane production (A) and volatile fatty acid profile (B) during thermophilic anaerobic incubation of 100 g MSW or cow manure (+ 80mM acetate) in a 1 L batch reactor. The initial acetate concentrations were 80mM for cow manure and 0 mM for MSW respectively. The initial bicarbonate concentrations were 100 mM and 250 mM for cow manure and MSW respectively. Legend (A): reactor seeded with MSW (●) and Cow manure (□). Legend (B): closed symbols: MSW, open symbols: cow manure. Acetate ●○, Propionate ■□, and Butyrate ▲△. 55
- Figure 3.5:** Effects of aerobic pretreatment of MSW on methane production (A) and VFA profiles (B) of thermophilic anaerobic batch digestion of 100 g MSW. Both reactors contained 100 mM bicarbonate. Legend (A): reactor seeded with untreated MSW (○) and aerated MSW (■). Legend (B): closed symbols: aerobically pretreated, open symbols: untreated; Acetate ●○, Propionate ■□, Butyrate ▲△. 58
- Figure 3.6:** Effects of reactor stirring on start-up of thermophilic anaerobic digestion of non-sterile MSW (A) and VFA profiles (B) of 200 g of MSW (unstirred and stirred reactor). Both reactors contained 250 mM bicarbonate. Legend (A): unstirred reactor (○) and stirred reactor (■). Legend (B): closed symbols: stirred reactor, open symbols: unstirred reactor; Acetate ●○, Propionate ■□, Butyrate ▲△. 62
- Figure 3.7:** Methane production in duplicate serum vials during four weeks of incubation at 55°C with 3 g of: turf grass (■); and mixed tree leaves (○), mixed tree bark (○), dry soil from 3 separate locations of Murdoch University campus (away from lawn) (○), mixed vegetable waste including banana peel, carrot, potato, mushroom, and dust from a household vacuum cleaner (○) which all producing no methane. All assays contained 30 mM acetate and 100mM bicarbonate. 64

Figure 3.8: Comparative methane production (A) of 15 g of turf grass samples taken from: Murdoch University (○), local Perth turf supplier (▲), and household located in East (◆) and South Perth (□) and acetate concentrations after four weeks incubation (B) in duplicated serum vials. All assays (40 mL working volume) contained 30mM acetate and 250 mM bicarbonate. 65

Figure 3.9: Methane production (A) and volatile fatty acid profile (B) during thermophilic anaerobic incubation of 200 g of grass turf in a 1 L batch reactor. The initial acetate and bicarbonate concentration were 100 mM and 250 mM respectively. Legend (B): Acetate (○), Propionate (□), Butyrate (△). 66

Figure 4.1: Comparative methane production (A) and residual acetate concentrations (B) over four weeks of incubation in duplicate serum vials of different grass turf species at concentrations of 250 g/L. Legend A: *Cynodon dactylon* (▲), *Zoysia japonica* (□), *Stenotaphrum secundatum* (◇) and turf (●), and mixed soil (away from grass lawn) (X) from Murdoch University. All assays (40 mL working volume) initially contained 30 mM acetate and 250 mM bicarbonate. 78

Figure 4.2: Comparative methane production during ten days incubation of 5 g grass leaves as the sole inoculum of mesophilic (■) and thermophilic (○) anaerobic digestion in duplicate serum vials. All assays (40 mL working volume) initially contained 30 mM acetate and 250 mM bicarbonate. 80

Figure 4.3: Comparative methane production (A) and percent methane and hydrogen gas in the biogas (B) of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion utilizing 125 g/L of fresh of grass leaves in duplicate serum vials. Legend (A): Untreated leaves (○) and blended leaves (■). Legend (B): closed symbols: blended leaves, open symbols: untreated leaves. Percent CH₄ ■○, Percent H₂ ▲△ in the biogas. 83

Figure 4.4: Comparative methane production (A) and residual acetate concentrations (B) after two weeks incubation at 55 °C of 5 g various forms of grass leaves as inocula in duplicate serum vials. Legend (A): fresh grass leaves (●), grass leaves dried at room temperature (■) and 37 °C (○), and powdered grass (□). All assays initially contained 30 mM acetate and 250 mM bicarbonate. 85

Figure 4.5: Comparative methane production of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion using 10 g of untreated methanogenic culture before (○) and after (■) air drying. Incubation was in duplicate serum vials initially containing 30 mM of acetate. 87

Figure 4.6: Comparative methane production (A) and acetate profiles (B) of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion using 100 g/L of grass leaves (●) or 300 g/L of root with surrounding soil of turf (○) as a sole inoculum in duplicate serum vials. All assays (50 mL working volume) initially contained 80 mM of acetate and the assay with grass leaves also contained 250 mM of bicarbonate. 90

Figure 4.7: Comparative methane production from incubation of 600 g/L of fresh turf soil (○), 600 g/L of turf soil after extraction (△) and 50 ml of aqueous soil extract from 600 g/L

of turf soil (□) as inoculum in duplicate serum vials. All assays (working volume 50 mL) initially contained 30 mM of acetate. 91

Figure 5.1: Methane production in duplicate serum vials during three weeks of incubation at 55 °C with: 15 g of turf grass (positive control)(●); and 10 g of different grass seed species (*Pennisetum clandestinum*, *Festuca arundinacea*, and *Cynodon dactylon*) (X), 15 g of bush soil collected from different bushes in Murdoch University (X), which all producing no methane. All assays contained 30 mM acetate and 100 mM bicarbonate. 98

Figure 5.2: Methane production in duplicate serum vials during ten days incubation at 55 °C of concentrated biomass of 2 L groundwater collected from the bore in: Murdoch University (sterilized (negative control) (○) and non-sterilized (□)) and a private household located in Perth (△). All assays contained 30 mM of acetate initially. 99

Figure 5.3: Comparative methane production of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion using 15 g of turf soil (A) or 5 g of grass leaves (B) as a sole inoculum. Turf was collected from grass lawns of house-holds which irrigated by scheme water: household 1 (○) and 2 (◆) located in South Perth and household 3 (△) and 4 (□) located in North Perth in duplicate serum vials. All assays (50 mL working volume) contained 80 mM of acetate initially. 101

Figure 6.1: Effect of sodium concentration (1.8 (△) and 7.8 g Na⁺/L, (▲)) on thermophilic methane production (A) and acetate conversion with 15 g/50 mL of turf soil as inoculum (B). Results are averages from duplicate tests (50 mL). 111

Figure 6.2: Effects of different concentrations of yeast extract: 0g /L (○), 0.1 g/L (X), 1 g/L (□), and 10 g/L (■) on methane production (A) and acetate degradation (B) of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion of acetate in the presence of 7.8 g Na⁺/L in duplicate serum vial (15 g of turf soil used as the inoculums). All assays (50 mL working volume) initially contained 80 mM of acetate. 114

Figure 6.3: Effect of turf grass clippings and sodium ions on methane production and acetate degradation during thermophilic (A and B) and mesophilic (C and D) batch anaerobic digestion with 15 g/50 mL of turf soil as inoculum in duplicate serum vials. Additions: 80 mM of sodium acetate (1.8 g Na⁺/L) (▲), 80 mM of sodium acetate + 250 mM of sodium bicarbonate (7.8 g Na⁺/L) (○), 80 mM of sodium acetate + 250 mM of sodium bicarbonate + 5 g/50 mL of grass clippings (7.8 g Na⁺/L) (■), and in the absence of sodium acetate but the presence of grass clippings (5 g/50 mL) with 330 mM of sodium bicarbonate (7.8 g Na⁺/L) (□). 116

Figure 6.4: Effect of different turf grass species *Cynodon dactylon* (▲), *Zoysia japonica* (□), *Stenotaphrum secundatum* (◆) on methane production and acetate conversion (80 mM) during batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion with 10 g/40 mL of turf soil as inoculum in the

presence of 7.8 g Na⁺/L). Controls contain 100 g/L of grass leaves without acetate (■) and with acetate (80 mM) without grass leaves (○). All assays (40 mL) were in duplicate. 118

Figure 6.5: Effect of co-digestion of 80 mM acetate without (□) and with sterilized grass juice (○) or filtered grass juice (▲) on methane production (A) and acetate degradation (B) of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion in the presence of 7.8 g Na⁺/L in duplicate serum vials. 15 g/50 mL of turf soil served as the inoculum. 119

Figure 6.6: Effect of potassium chloride (10, 25, and 50 mM) (○), ash from 5 g of grass leaves (○) and 5 g of sterilized grass leaves (●) in the presence of 7.8 g Na⁺/L on methane production during batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion with 15 g/50 mL of turf soil as inoculum in duplicate serum vials. 121

Figure 6.7: Effects of 50 ml of sterilized grass juice (■), 8 mM of glucose (○), 5 and 10 mM of methanol (○) on methane production of thermophilic anaerobic digestion using turf soil as an inoculum in the presence of 7.8 g Na⁺/L in duplicate serum vials. All assays (50 mL working volume) initially contained 80 mM of acetate. 122

Figure 6.8: The effect of 1.8 g Na⁺/L without betaine (x) as positive control and 7.8 g Na⁺/L with betaine: 0 (○), 1 (□), 5 (Δ), and 10 mM (●) on methane production during the start-up period of batch thermophilic anaerobic digestion with 15 g/50 mL of turf soil as an inoculum, in duplicate serum vials. 124

List of Abbreviations

ΔG°	standard Gibbs free energy
ΔG°	Change in Standard Gibbs Free Energy
APHA	American publish Health Association
ATP	Adenosine Triphosphate
atm	atmosphere
CH ₄	Methane
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CSTR	Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor
d	day
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
GC	Gas Chromatography
g	gram
HRT	Hydraulic Retention Time
hr	hour
H ₂	Hydrogen
kg	kilo Gram
kJ	kilo Joule
L	Litre
mg/L	milli Gram per Litre
mL	milli Litre
mM	milli Moles per Litre
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
NH ₃ -N	Ammonia Nitrogen
OFMSW	Organic Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste
ORL	Organic Loading Rate
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rRNA	ribosomal RiboNucleic Acid
rDNA	ribosomal DeoxyRibonucleic Acid
TAN	Total Ammonia Nitrogen
TS	Total Solid
UASB	Up-flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket
VFA	Volatile Fatty Acids