

**Tools for assessing data-limited fisheries and
communicating stock status information**

This thesis is presented for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy of Murdoch University,
Western Australia

2012

Submitted by

Emily Anna Fisher

BSc (Hons) University of Plymouth, UK

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

.....

Emily Anna Fisher

Dedication

To my late grandpa
Jack E. Fisher
for the many happy memories
catching crabs off the quay
in Wells-next-the-sea
during my summer school holidays
and, of course,
for providing me with such a
great last name!

ABSTRACT

This PhD study was focused on developing and exploring tools for assessing the status of data-limited fish stocks.

A management strategy evaluation (MSE) framework was developed to explore the effectiveness of alternative strategies for managing fish stocks for which sufficient data are available to allow a catch curve-based assessment, but which lack the reliable time series data on catches and/or catch per unit of effort required for developing an integrated age-structured fishery model. Explorations using the operating model of this framework indicated that, particularly for demersal fish species with limited movements and which suffer high levels of post-release mortality, use of temporal closures throughout the full area of a fishery are likely to be more effective for reducing fishing mortality than reducing daily bag limits, imposing more restrictive size limits, or constraining the areas open to fishing. Implications of differences in biological characteristics of fish species, including longevity, annual recruitment variability and post-release mortality, for the effectiveness of different management controls were explored using the operating model.

The effectiveness of the graphical interface employed by the MSE model in communicating stock assessment information to fisheries managers and stakeholders was evaluated in a “scenario testing” study involving university students. Students viewed model outputs for several hypothetical fish stocks with different biological attributes and initial exploitation states. Based on their perception of the true status of each stock, students then “pulled” various alternative “management levers” available in the program. Analyses of data resulting from the study indicated that, provided the design was not overly complex, the interface of the MSE framework was effective for

communicating stock assessment information. The results of the study illustrated the potential of this type of approach for evaluating and improving the effectiveness of the ways in which stock assessment information is communicated to fisheries managers and other stakeholders.

During the next project phase, several methods for estimating rates of mortality of fish stocks were developed and explored. Maximum likelihood estimates of total mortality, calculated assuming that the age composition of fully-recruited fish was drawn from a geometric distribution and that annual recruitment was variable, had lower root mean squared error (RMSE) than other estimates obtained using traditional methods of catch curve analysis that did not allow for such variability. This catch curve model, which also provided potentially valuable information on recruitment variability, was then extended to allow for a change in total mortality, as might result from a major change to management. Analyses demonstrated that, despite variability in annual recruitment, it was possible to distinguish such a change in mortality in the age composition data if the mortality change was of sufficient magnitude and adequate time had elapsed since the change in mortality. Bias in the estimates of mortality for the two periods was explored.

Next, a model was developed to provide estimates of mortality for fish species which undertake pronounced unidirectional, size-dependent movements during life, *e.g.* a size-dependent, offshore movement of fish to deeper water, when it is only possible to obtain representative samples of age and size compositions from the different areas and not for the overall population. The model was able to “disentangle” the similar, but slightly different, influences of mortality and movement on size and age data. Following simulation testing, the technique was applied to “real” data for a fish species in Western Australia (*Pseudocaranx georgianus*). The model fills a “void” for existing methods for such fish species, particularly if those

species are of insufficient economic value to warrant an expensive, large-scale tagging program.

Areas in which the work presented in this thesis could be expanded are discussed in the light of some likely directions for future fisheries research relating to data-limited fisheries.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
COMMON ABBREVIATIONS	viii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix

CHAPTER 1

General introduction

1.1 Background	1
1.2 History of fisheries management	2
1.3 Dealing with uncertainty in fisheries	3
1.3.1 Management strategy evaluation	6
1.4 Data limitations in recreational fisheries	7
1.4.1 Assessing and managing data-limited fisheries	9
1.5 Research objectives.....	11

CHAPTER 2

Evaluating the effectiveness of alternative management controls for recreational fisheries

2.1 Introduction.....	13
2.2 Overview of MSE model	16
2.3 Methods.....	19
2.4 Results.....	22
2.4.1 Effectiveness of a bag/boat limit control	22
2.4.2 Effectiveness of a MLL control	25
2.4.3 Effectiveness of a temporal closure control.....	27
2.4.4 Effectiveness of a spatial closure control.....	27
2.5 Discussion	30
2.5.1 Effectiveness of a bag/boat limit control	30
2.5.2 Effectiveness of a MLL control	32
2.5.3 Effectiveness of a temporal closure control.....	34
2.5.4 Effectiveness of a spatial closure control.....	35
2.5.5 Influence of variable recruitment on the effectiveness of management controls.....	37
2.5.6 Conclusion	38

CHAPTER 3

Exploring the use of a fisheries simulation model for communicating stock assessment information

3.1 Introduction.....	39
3.2 Methods.....	42
3.2.1 Overview of scenario testing workshops	42
3.2.2 Design of user interface for scenario testing.....	44
3.2.3 Experimental design.....	45
3.2.4 Statistical analyses of data	52
3.3 Results.....	54
3.3.1 Model with a simpler user interface.....	54
3.3.2 Model with a more complex user interface.....	58
3.4 Discussion	62
3.4.1 Effectiveness of the model for communicating stock status information.....	62
3.4.2 Important model design features for developing effective communication tools.....	63
3.4.3 Potential factors influencing decisions of participants	65
3.4.4 Implications of scenario testing results for fisheries management and MSE	67
3.4.5 Value of scenario testing for facilitating stakeholder discussion and education	69
3.4.6 Conclusion	70

CHAPTER 4

To what extent can mortality estimates produced by catch curve analysis be improved by accounting for variable recruitment and changes in mortality?

4.1 Introduction.....	72
4.2 Methods.....	75
4.2.1 Estimators of Z assuming constant recruitment and mortality	75
4.2.2 Accounting for recruitment variability	78
4.2.3 Accounting for a change in mortality	81
4.2.4 Hypothesis testing: accounting for recruitment variability.....	83
4.2.5 Hypothesis testing: accounting for a change in mortality.....	84
4.3 Results.....	86
4.3.1 Accounting for recruitment variability	86
4.3.2 Accounting for a change in mortality	90
4.3.3 Accounting for recruitment variability and a change in mortality.....	94

4.4 Discussion	99
4.4.1 Accounting for recruitment variability in catch curve analysis	100
4.4.2 Accounting for a mortality change in catch curve analysis	101
4.4.3 Conclusion	105

CHAPTER 5

A method for assessing stock status of fish species that undertake size-dependent, offshore movements

5.1 Introduction	106
5.2 Methods.....	109
5.2.1 Exploration of age and length data	109
5.2.2 Estimation of individual growth of fish	110
5.2.3 Description of the offshore movement model	113
5.2.4 Fitting the model to simulated and real data	120
5.2.5 Per-recruit analysis.....	124
5.3 Results.....	126
5.3.1 Evidence for size-dependent, offshore movements	126
5.3.2 Patterns of individual growth of fish	128
5.3.3 Robustness of the model for parameter estimation.....	129
5.3.4 Mortality of <i>Pseudocaranx georgianus</i> in Western Australia	134
5.3.5 Implications of size-dependent, offshore movements for management	135
5.4 Discussion	136
5.4.1 Size-dependent, offshore movements of <i>Pseudocaranx georgianus</i>	137
5.4.2 Patterns of individual growth of fish	139
5.4.3 Robustness of the model for parameter estimation.....	140
5.4.4 Mortality of <i>Pseudocaranx georgianus</i> in Western Australia	141
5.4.5 Implications of size-dependent, offshore movements for management	142
5.4.6 Conclusion	143

CHAPTER 6

General discussion

6.1 Towards better assessment and management of data-limited fisheries	145
6.1.1 Implementation of participatory management systems	145
6.1.2 Efficient allocation of available resources	148
6.1.3 Ensuring sufficient data for assessments	149
6.1.4 Making the most of available information.....	151

6.2 What future challenges await data-limited fisheries?	153
APPENDIX A MSE model description	157
APPENDIX B MSE model user guide.....	198
REFERENCES	213

COMMON ABBREVIATIONS

AFMA – Australian Fisheries Management Authority

ANOSIM – Analysis of similarity

BPH – Body proportional hypothesis

CV – Coefficient of variation

FAO – Food and Agricultural Organisation (of the United Nations)

FRDC – Fisheries Research and Development Corporation

MDS – Multi-dimensional scaling

MLE – Maximum-likelihood estimator

MLL – Minimum legal length (for retention)

MSC – Marine Stewardship Council

MSE – Management strategy evaluation

PERMANOVA – Permutational multivariate analysis of variance

PERMDISP – Permutational test of homogeneity of multivariate dispersions

RMSE – Root mean square error

SIMPER – Similarity percentage analysis

TAC – Total allowable catch

TL – Total length

WA – Western Australia

WAFIC – Western Australian Fishing Industry Council

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

At the top of this long list of thankyou's are my two amazing supervisors, Dr Alex Hesp and Prof Norm Hall. Thank you for all the support and encouragement you have offered along the journey that has been the undertaking of this PhD. Without you I wouldn't have discovered the "wonderful" world of fisheries modelling and I'm very grateful to both of you for the opportunity to learn the many things that I have learned over the past three and a half years. I am very appreciative of your significant contributions to the work involved in developing the different models that are described and explored in this thesis. Thank you also to Prof Ian Potter without whom I may not have been offered the opportunity to do this PhD in the first place.

Thank you to the FRDC and Murdoch University for providing the funding necessary for undertaking this PhD. Thank you to everyone at the WA Department of Fisheries who have had an input to this project, and in particular to Drs Brent Wise and Brett Molony for their valuable feedback on some of the modelling aspects of my project. Thank you also to Kane Moyle and Dr Andrew Rowland (RecFishWest), as well as to Richard Stevens and Felicity Horn (WAFIC) for valuable feedback during the development of the MSE model. I also wish to thank Dr James Scandol (formerly NSW Department of Primary Industries) who provided, to my supervisors prior to my commencement of this PhD, initial comment and review of the FRDC application for the MSE model project on which a component of this thesis is based.

A big thanks to all my fellow researchers and friends in the "fish group" for helping me stay sane throughout the past few years. It's always comforting to know that you're not alone so thanks for the many chit-chats and coffee breaks to help distract me from my work! A special thanks to the lovely peeps who helped me with

proof-reading and reference-checking during the final stages of writing this thesis, and in particular to the gorgeous Amanda Buckland for providing me with a place to stay on occasions when I was too tired to drive home after a late night in the office! Thank you to Dr Fiona Valesini (Murdoch University) and Prof Bob Clarke (Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK) for providing expert statistical advice and help with using PRIMER, and to the Murdoch University students who participated in the scenario testing workshops and allowed me to include the data in my study. Thanks also to Dan French for letting me use the data he collected for silver trevally to test the movement model described in Chapter 5.

A mammoth thank you to all my other amazing friends around the world!! You are the most incredible friends one could ever wish for and I could not have finished this thesis without all your love and support, especially at times when the going got tough. You know who you are and so I won't mention any names but love you all lots and lots! At last, but definitely not least, a big thank you to my mum and dad. Despite leaving you behind to explore the land down under, you have always been there for me when I need you and I am immensely thankful for this. Without the encouragement that you have given me to always follow my dreams, I would certainly not be where I am today. Så tack mamma, and thank you dad. Love you.