

**AN EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH ON APPLICATION OF
SUB-SURFACE FLOW CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS FOR
MEAT PROCESSING INDUSTRY EFFLUENT
TREATMENT AND NUTRIENT REMOVAL**

By

Rajendra G. Kurup

B.Tech (Civil), M.Eng (EST), M.Eng.Sc (Research) (Env Eng), MIEAust, MASCE, CPEng

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in

Environmental Engineering

Division of Science and Engineering

Murdoch University, Western Australia

March 2007

The Ph.D research was funded by MINTRAC – National Meat Industry
Training and Advisory Council Limited.

To two great people in my early education:

The Late Vasukutti Amma, my first teacher, who taught me

the alphabets

&

The Late Nalan Sir, who introduced me to the wonderful world of

mathematics

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

Rajendra G Kurup

Publications from this research

1. Rajendra G Kurup, (2007) “Synthesis and application of a product for phosphorus removal from wastewater and polluted water bodies”, Provisional Patent submitted to IP Australia, (Chapter 9).
2. Kurup, R and Pullammanappallil, P. (2004). “A review of processes and design methods for organic matter removal in sub-surface flow constructed wetlands treating municipal and industrial wastewaters”. *Sustainability of Water Resources*, IWA Publications, London, pp 232-240, (Chapter 3).
3. Kurup, R and Ho, G. (2005) “Laboratory Experiments on High Strength Wastewater Treatment Using Sub-surface Flow Constructed wetland”, *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Wetland Pollutant Dynamics and Control*, September 4-8, 2005, Ghent, Belgium, 87-89, (Chapters 6 – 8).
4. Kurup R, 2006, “Sustainable treatment of meat processing industry effluent”, Research and Development Workshop, Meat and Livestock Australia (MLA) Sydney, 18-19 May, (Chapters 2, 6-8).
5. Kurup R, 2006, “Treatment of meat processing industry effluent using sub-surface flow constructed wetlands, a laboratory study”, “*Setting New Horizons*”, MINTRAC 2006 National Conference, Brisbane 30-31 March, (Chapters 2, 3, 6 - 8).
6. Kurup, R (Under review), “COD removal dynamics of sub-surface flow constructed wetlands with vetiver grass as emergent vegetation under different nitrogen species”, *ASCE Journal of Environmental Engineering* (Submitted in 2006), (Chapter 6).

7. Kurup, R (Under review), “Nitrogen removal dynamics in experimental sub-surface flow constructed wetlands with vetiver grass as emergent vegetation” Submitted to *Environmental Science and Technology* in 2006), (Chapter 7).
8. Kurup, R (Under review), “Phosphorus removal in sub-surface flow constructed wetlands during nitrification and denitrification with *Chrysopogon zizanioides* as emergent vegetation. Submitted to *Environmental Science and Technology* in 2006, (Chapter 8).
9. Kurup, R (under preparation), A critical review of nutrient removal in sub-surface flow constructed wetlands, to be communicated to *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, (Chapters 4 & 5).
10. Kurup, R (under preparation), A review of meat processing industry effluent treatment in Australia (to be communicated to *Water*, Australian Water Association), (Chapter 2).
11. Kurup, R (under preparation) A technical note on experiments on phosphorus removal from meat processing industry effluent, *Industrial Wastewater*, WEF (Chapter 10).

Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to MINTRAC - National Meat Industry Training Advisory Council Limited- for the generous funding for the PhD research. My special thanks to Ms Margaret Tayar (former Director), Ms Jenny Kroonstuiwe (Director) and the Project Officers Ms Margaret Antony and Ms Jodie Hummerston. The staff members of MINTRAC have always been very friendly and supportive.

I have a number of people at Murdoch University to acknowledge and thank, which include, but not limited to, Prof Goen Ho, who acted as my supervisor in the final stages, Dr Pratap Pullammanappallil, who supervised the research in the early stages, Ms Karen Olkowski, Research Degrees Officer, Mr Steven Goynich and Dr Ralf Cord-Ruwisch.

Undertaking a PhD while raising a young child and running an engineering consulting firm is a very demanding task, particularly, when one does not have the luxury of the extended family support. I have no words to express the depth of my gratitude to my wife Biji for her strong support (while immersed in her own PhD) and my daughter Thankam for her understanding during the past few years. One thing my daughter would never forget to ask me in the past few years was that when I would be finishing my PhD. I take this opportunity to thank my eldest brother, who has always inspired me with his professionalism.

Last but not the least I thank all my friends who have been emotionally supportive to us, especially Balu, Tony and the Mathews.

ABSTRACT

Meat processing industries produce large volumes of high strength wastewater. Conventional technologies used in Australia and similar countries for treatment of effluent from meat processing and similar industries, such as wineries and processed food industry, are treatment ponds with or without a mechanical treatment system.

A properly designed activated sludge treatment system would be capable of biological removal of phosphorus and nitrogen in addition to BOD₅. These systems, however, require substantial electrical power, skilled operational support and produce large quantities of biosolids or sludge which require further on-site treatment or off site disposal. Application of sub-surface flow constructed wetland (SSF-CW) systems could provide a sustainable solution for treatment of meat processing industry effluent and other similar high strength wastewaters. There are, however, only very limited studies on application of SSF-CW for secondary treatment of high strength wastewaters. Although there have been a number of cases where SSF-CW have been used as the secondary treatment unit for municipal wastewater, this technology has not still become a common practice for the same purpose in Australia. Most of the applications are for either polishing of secondary or tertiary treated municipal wastewater or for greywater treatment.

This research was funded by National Meat Industry Advisory Council (MINTRAC). Sustainable wastewater treatment has been taken up as a very important issue by meat industry. The industry provides Ph.D research scholarships through MINTRAC to

develop new technologies for wastewater treatment and nutrient removal from meat processing effluent.

The main objective of the research was to develop process engineering design parameters for sub-surface flow constructed wetland (SSF-CW) with Monto vetiver (*Vetiveria zizanioides* recently reclassified as *Chrysopogon zizanioides*) as the emergent vegetation for treatment of high strength, nutrient rich wastewater. The study also investigated the phosphorus retention properties of pea gravel for use in SSF-CW system as bed media or as an external phosphorus removal system for meat processing industry effluent. In addition, chemical methods for phosphorus removal from meat processing industry effluent were also investigated.

The thesis is based on experimental research. The research consisted of three types of experimental set up; a) using two laboratory experimental SSF-CW reactors (one with vetiver grass and the other reactor with no vegetation) in a greenhouse with batch feeding of artificial wastewater that simulates meat industry effluent, b) experiment with pea gravel of different particle sizes and solutions of different phosphorus (P) concentrations in a constant temperature room, c) laboratory experiment using actual meat processing industry effluent with alum and sodium aluminate for P removal.

The structure of the thesis is as follows. Following the Introduction is the section of Literature Review, then sections on the experiments that follow a journal paper format, followed by a General Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations. A list of references is provided at the end of the thesis.

The literature review section has four chapters (Chapter 2 to Chapter 5). Chapter 2 describes a review of meat processing industry effluent characteristics and current treatment technologies. Chapter 3 is a critical review of current literature on COD removal using sub-surface flow constructed wetlands (SSF-CW). Chapter 4 and 5 describe a review of various processes and models on the fate of nitrogen and phosphorus in SSF-CW system respectively.

Chapters 6 to 10 deal with experimental research part of the thesis. Chapters, 6, 7 and 8 share a common methodology section which is described in Chapter 6. Results of the batch experiments with the laboratory SSF-CW systems on COD removal, nitrogen removal and phosphorus retention are discussed in Chapters 6, 7 and 8 respectively. Chapter 9 explains a detailed experimental study on phosphorus adsorption dynamics of pea gravel. Chapter 10 discusses the results on experiments using sodium aluminate and aluminium sulphate for P removal from meat processing industry effluent as an alternate P removal method for such effluent.

An overview of the major results of the experimental section is discussed in chapter 11, in the General Discussion section. Conclusions and Recommendations of the research are provided in Chapter 12.

In this study, it was observed that Monto vetiver grass performed better during nitrification than in denitrification, where the plant did not survive. Ammonium N removal followed a first order decay in both vegetated and un-vegetated experimental SSF-CW system with average removal ranging from 40 to 60 % of the influent. Denitrification was found to be the pathway for nitrate removal. As long as the carbon source was available, the denitrification followed a first order exponential decay, with

over 80% of nitrate was removed in 48 hours. Vetiver grass sustained elevated ammonium levels of approximately 200 mg/L or more, however it was under stress during denitrification and it eventually died.

The experimental SSF-CW systems with pea gravel as bed media could effectively retain soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) in the wetland cells during experiments of COD reduction and nitrification (with ammonia and high COD input). However, during denitrification study, both experimental SSF-CW cells did not show significant removal of SRP from wastewater. The vegetated cell removed nearly 50% of the input SRP, however, the un-vegetated cell did not show any trend for SRP removal, and in some cases the effluent SRP was nearly 90% of the input value.

The role of Monto vetiver grass for N and P removal was found to be very minor and this study concluded that nutrient removal (N & P) by plant uptake could be neglected in the design of SSF-CW system with Monto vetiver grass.

Adsorption is the major mechanism for P removal from the experimental SSF-CW systems, where pea gravel was used as bed media. The P adsorption capacity of pea gravel increased with decrease in particle size. For 16 to 18 mm, the Langmuir adsorption maximum was 99 mg/kg, whereas for very fine pea gravel powder (<150 μm) the maximum adsorption observed experimentally was 3950 mg/kg. In a typical wetland with pea gravel as bed media for meat processing industry, the media would be capable of P retention for about 2 to 3 years of operation. Supplementary chemical removal method is needed for sustainable P removal once the adsorption maximum of wetland cell is reached.

A chemical P removal system using liquid alum and NaOH for pH stabilisation is more appropriate than sodium aluminate. Application of sodium aluminate for P removal for meat processing industry effluent is found to be less effective as it would need higher dosage, longer settling period, coloured supernatant, acid addition for pH adjustment. Liquid alum application rate is recommended to be between a molar ratio of Al: P of 3 for TP value of <1 mg/L in the treated effluent.

This research study concludes that horizontal flow SSF-CW system with Monto vetiver grass is suitable for COD removal and nitrification from high strength wastewater. Current design equation of horizontal flow SSF-CW system is mostly plug flow exponential decay method, but in this study, it has been concluded that retarded first order rate constant is the most appropriate design method for horizontal flow SSF-CW system for COD removal.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	VII
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	XII
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF TABLES	XVI
CHAPTER 1	1
<i>General Introduction</i>	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF RESEARCH.....	2
1.3 THESIS STRUCTURE	3
CHAPTER 2	5
<i>An Overview of Meat Processing Industry Effluent Characteristics and Treatment Technologies</i>	
2.1 INTRODUCTION	5
2.2 MEAT PROCESSING OPERATIONS	7
2.3 MEAT PROCESSING INDUSTRY EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS.....	10
2.4 MEAT PROCESSING PLANT EFFLUENT TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES.....	12
2.5 NUTRIENT REMOVAL	20
2.6 TREATED EFFLUENT DISPOSAL	20
2.7 CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS FOR MEAT PROCESSING EFFLUENT TREATMENT	22
2.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	23
CHAPTER 3	24
<i>A Review on COD Removal in Sub-surface Flow Constructed Wetlands</i>	
3.1 INTRODUCTION	24
3.2 TREATMENT MECHANISM.....	26
3.3 OXYGEN TRANSFER MECHANISM	28
3.4 WETLAND DESIGN MODELS	30
3.5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	36
CHAPTER 4	38
<i>Nitrogen Removal in Sub-Surface Flow Constructed Wetlands – An Overview</i>	
4.1 INTRODUCTION	38
4.2 AMMONIFICATION	41
4.3 NITRIFICATION.....	42
4.4 DENITRIFICATION	48
4.5 OTHER PROCESSES AFFECTING NITROGEN REMOVAL IN SSF-CW	51
4.6 CONCLUSIONS.....	53
CHAPTER 5	55
<i>Phosphorus Removal Using SSF-CW Systems</i>	
5.1 INTRODUCTION	55
5.2 PHOSPHORUS IN WASTEWATER	57
5.3 PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL MODELS.....	58
5.4 PHOSPHORUS PATHWAYS	59

5.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	68
CHAPTER 6		69
<i>COD Removal in Experimental Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetlands</i>		
6.1	INTRODUCTION	70
6.2	OBJECTIVES	72
6.3	METHODOLOGY	73
6.4	RESULTS	81
6.5	DISCUSSION	88
6.6	CONCLUSIONS.....	94
CHAPTER 7		96
<i>Nitrogen Removal Dynamics in Experimental Sub-Surface Flow Constructed Wetlands</i>		
7.1	INTRODUCTION	96
7.2	OBJECTIVES	98
7.3	METHODOLOGY	98
7.4	RESULTS	99
7.5	DISCUSSION	107
7.6	CONCLUSIONS.....	111
CHAPTER 8		113
<i>Phosphorus Retention Dynamics in Experimental Sub-Surface Flow Constructed Wetlands Systems</i>		
8.1	INTRODUCTION	113
8.2	OBJECTIVES	115
8.3	METHODOLOGY	115
8.4	RESULTS	116
8.5	DISCUSSION	119
8.6	CONCLUSIONS.....	121
CHAPTER 9		123
<i>Phosphorus Adsorption Properties of Pea Gravel - An Experimental Study</i>		
9.1	INTRODUCTION	123
9.2	OBJECTIVES	125
9.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	126
9.4	RESULTS	132
9.5	DISCUSSION	145
9.6	CONCLUSIONS.....	151
CHAPTER 10		153
<i>Chemical Removal of Phosphorus from Meat Processing Plant Effluent</i>		
10.1	INTRODUCTION	153
10.2	OBJECTIVES	157
10.3	METHODOLOGY	157
10.4	RESULTS	160
10.5	DISCUSSION	165
10.6	CONCLUSIONS.....	166
CHAPTER 11		168
<i>General Discussion</i>		
11.1	COD REMOVAL IN SSF-CW SYSTEMS.....	168
11.2	NITROGEN REMOVAL.....	172

11.3	PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL USING SSF-CW SYSTEM	178
11.4	PHOSPHORUS ADSORPTION BY PEA GRAVEL	181
11.5	CHEMICAL PRECIPITATION OF PHOSPHORUS FROM MEAT INDUSTRY EFFLUENT	183
CHAPTER 12		185
<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i>		
12.1	CONCLUSIONS.....	185
12.2	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	188
REFERENCES.....		189

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Flow diagram of wastewater generation from a typical meat processing industry	9
Figure 2: Relationship between rate constant for BOD ₅ removal and treatment area	36
Figure 3: Schematics of transformation of nitrogen in SSF-CW (Tanner et al. 2002).	41
Figure 4: Laboratory SSF-CW system with vetiver grass at planting	74
Figure 5: Laboratory SSF-CW system with vetiver grass, after 3 months of operation	75
Figure 6: Laboratory un-vegetated SSF-CW cell with sampling ports	75
Figure 7: Temperature profile of the green house during the experimental period	79
Figure 8: COD removal of vegetated SSF-CW cell with ammonium as N	81
Figure 9: COD removal of un-vegetated SSF-CW cell, with ammonium as N	81
Figure 10: COD removal by un-vegetated SSF-CW cell with nitrate as N	85
Figure 11: COD removal by vegetated SSF-CW with nitrate as N	86
Figure 12: Ammonium removal in vegetated SSF-CW system	100
Figure 13: Ammonium removal in un-vegetated SSF-CW system	101
Figure 14: Nitrate-N removal in vegetated SSF-CW system	104
Figure 15: Nitrate-N removal in un-vegetated SSF-CW system	104
Figure 16: P removal in vegetated SSF-CW system during nitrification	117
Figure 17: P removal in un-vegetated SSF-CW system during nitrification	117
Figure 18: P removal during denitrification in vegetated SSF-CW system	118
Figure 19: P removal in un-vegetated SSF-CW system during denitrification	118
Figure 20: Cumulative P adsorption by pea gravel over time	133
Figure 21: Freundlich isotherms for 5 d reaction time	134
Figure 22: Freundlich isotherms for 2 d reaction time	135
Figure 23: Freundlich isotherms for 24h reaction time	135
Figure 24: Freundlich isotherms for 3h reaction time	135
Figure 25: Langmuir isotherms for 5 d reaction time	136
Figure 26: Langmuir isotherm for 2d reaction time	136
Figure 27: Langmuir isotherm for 24 h reaction time	137
Figure 28: Langmuir isotherm for 3h reaction time	137
Figure 29: Freundlich isotherm for passive experiment with 5 d reaction time	139
Figure 30: Langmuir Isotherm for passive experiment with 5 d reaction time	139
Figure 31: P removal (%) from solution during reaction with ground pea gravel	140
Figure 32: P adsorbed by ground pea gravel. FG - fine gravel and FP - fine powder	141
Figure 33: Ultimate P removal capacity of very fine pea gravel powder (<150µm)	142
Figure 34: P removal by very fine pea gravel (<150 µm) in a continuous feed test	143

List of Tables

Table 1:	Characteristics of red meat processing effluent after primary treatment	11
Table 2:	Characteristics of wastewater from two Australian meat processing plants	12
Table 3:	Common methods of pre-treatment of meat processing wastewater	17
Table 4:	Summary of conventional & high rate anaerobic biological treatment of meat processing industry effluent	18
Table 5:	Summary of aerobic treatment systems for meat processing industry effluent treatment	19
Table 6:	Treatment processes in SSF-CW systems	27
Table 7:	Porosity and hydraulic conductivity of different bed media in SSF-CW	33
Table 8:	Rate constants for BOD ₅ removal for SSF-CW system	35
Table 9:	Composition of trace metal solution (TMS)	77
Table 10:	Summary of experimental batches of SSC-CW	80
Table 11:	BOD ₅ and COD values of wastewater during treatment in SSF-CW	84
Table 12:	Water quality of vegetated SSF-CW system with NH ₄ -N	84
Table 13:	Water quality of SSF-CW cells with nitrate N	87
Table 14:	NH ₄ -N, NO ₃ -N and COD values in SSF-CW cell during nitrification	102
Table 15:	NH ₄ -N, NO ₃ -N and COD in un-vegetated SSF-CW cell during nitrification	103
Table 16:	NH ₄ -N, COD and NO ₃ -N during denitrification in vegetated SSF-CW cell	106
Table 17:	NH ₄ -N, COD and NO ₃ -N during denitrification in un-vegetated SSF-CW cell	106
Table 18:	Parameters of Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms	138
Table 19:	Mineral analysis of pea gravel	144
Table 20:	Leachate analysis from pea gravel (fine powder <150 µm)	144
Table 21:	Maximum P sorption capacities of different materials	148
Table 22:	Mineral contents of typical P adsorbing materials	149
Table 23:	Dosing rates for the experimental study	158
Table 24:	Phosphorus values of raw samples	160
Table 25:	Phosphorus values of samples treated with liquid alum using Al:P of 2.3	161
Table 26:	Phosphorus values of samples treated with sodium aluminate at Al:P of 2.3	162
Table 27:	Phosphorus analysis of wastewater treated with liquid alum at Al: P of 3	164
Table 28:	Phosphorus values of samples treated with sodium aluminate at Al:P of 3	164
Table 29:	Operational parameters of P removal using liquid alum	165