

MURDOCH UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND ENERGY

ENG460 FINAL YEAR THESIS PROJECT REPORT

HOW TO DECENTRALISE OUR ENERGY SUPPLY USING BIOGAS

*A Demonstration of How to Design Sustainable
Biogas Energy Systems*

by Gloria Vivienne Rupf

*A report submitted to the School of Engineering and Energy, Murdoch
University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Bachelor of
Engineering Degree*

Under the Academic Supervision of
Professor Parisa Bahri & Dr Karne de Boer

DATE SUBMITTED: 19TH NOVEMBER 2012

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the project discussed in this report was to demonstrate that biogas energy systems are an integral and relevant part of ensuring a sustainable future. In order to do this, the first objective of the project was to develop a methodology for designing sustainable energy systems using biogas technology. The methodology was developed after reviewing existing literature on sustainable design methodologies. The proposed methodology consists of five main stages; identifying the fundamental desired outcome, investigating the energy requirements, biogas resource assessment, biogas technology review and designing the biogas energy supply system.

The second objective of this project was to conduct a preliminary assessment of the potential biogas resources in Western Australia. The research into the biogas potential from waste resources in WA was limited to the wheat, dairy, pork and meat processing industries. The estimated annual energy production potential from these resources in WA is 2,030GWh. Biogas is currently an underutilised technology in Western Australia and further investigation is highly recommended to more accurately assess the potential of biogas in the state.

The developed design methodology was applied to design a sustainable biogas system for a meat processing facility in the southwest of Western Australia. The application of the design process highlighted the ability of biogas technologies to provide decentralised energy supply and increase reliability, in addition to meeting the desired outcome of reducing the costs and greenhouse gas emissions related to the facility's current energy consumption. The recommended biogas technology for the meat processing facility, based on the outcomes of the design process, is a two-stage continuous stirred tank reactor, which is one of many proven biogas technologies that has been used successfully internationally over many years.

ACADEMIC SUPERVISOR ENDORSEMENT PRO FORMA

I am satisfied with the progress of this thesis project and that the attached report is an accurate reflection of the work undertaken.

Signed:

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my academic supervisors, Professor Parisa Bahri and Dr Karne de Boer, for providing me with the opportunity to carry out this thesis project. I greatly appreciate the guidance and advice they have provided me with throughout this project, from the early development of the concept thorough to its completion.

Thank you also to Doug McNicholl from the AMPC, Kye Chinnery and Richard Simpson from Harvey Beef, Robert La Grange from Western Dairy, Hugh Payne and Peter Newman from the Western Australian Department of Food and Agriculture and Russell Cox from the WA Pork Producers' Association, for kindly providing me with the data that made this project possible. I would also like to thank the various individuals and organizations I have contacted during this time for their keen interest in this project.

My sincerest thanks goes out to my family and friends, particularly Patrick Rupf and Samuel Pesch, for their support and encouragement throughout my studies and inspiring me to pursue this project in the first place.

ACRONYMS

ABP	-	Animal By-Products
AD	-	Anaerobic Digestion
BOD	-	Biological oxygen demand
CAL	-	Covered anaerobic lagoon
CHP	-	Combined heat and power plant
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	-	Carbon dioxide equivalent
COD	-	Chemical oxygen demand
CODR	-	Chemical oxygen demand removed
CSTR	-	Continuous stirred tank reactor
DM	-	Dry matter
EROI	-	Energy return on energy investment
FM	-	Fresh matter
GHG	-	Greenhouse gas
H ₂ S	-	Hydrogen sulfide
HSCW	-	Hot standard carcase weight
IECP	-	Integrated Environmental and Cost Potential
LCA	-	Life Cycle Assessment
LTR	-	Low temperature rendering
oDM	-	Organic dry matter
UASB	-	Upflow anaerobic sludge blanket
VFA	-	Volatile fatty acid
WA	-	Western Australia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	1
Academic Supervisor Endorsement Pro Forma	2
Acknowledgements.....	3
Acronyms	4
Table of Figures.....	7
List of Tables	8
Introduction	9
1 Definition of a Sustainable Energy System	11
1.1 Sustainable Development Defined by the Brundtland Commission.....	11
1.2 The 4 E's Approach: Engineering, Economics, Environment And Ethics.....	11
1.3 Definition of a Sustainable Energy System	12
1.4 Biogas and Sustainable Energy Systems	13
1.4.1 Biogas and the Natural Energy Cycle	13
1.4.2 The Anaerobic Digestion Process.....	15
2 Sustainable Energy System Design Methodology.....	17
2.1 Existing Methodologies	17
2.1.1 Principles of Sustainable Energy	17
2.1.2 Sustainable Whole Systems Design Framework.....	18
2.2 Proposed Methodology.....	19
2.2.1 Proposed Methodology Specific to Biogas	24
3 Existing Examples of Sustainable Biogas Energy Systems	26
3.1 Australian Examples	26
3.1.1 Berrybank Farm Piggery Total Waste Management System.....	26
3.1.2 Anaerobic Pond at Churchill Abattoir	28
3.2 International Examples	29
3.2.1 Anaerobic Digestion at St. Martin Slaughter Facility.....	29
3.2.2 Bioenergy Village in Jühnde, Germany	31
3.2.3 Biogas Plant in Nigeria	34
4 The Potential of Biogas in Western Australia	36

4.1	Fundamental Desired Outcome for Assessing the Potential of Biogas in Western Australia	36
4.2	Western Australian Energy Use and Consumption Background.....	37
4.2.1	State Consumption Patterns.....	37
4.2.2	State Energy Resources.....	39
4.2.1	State Electrical Loads and Generation	42
4.2.2	Biogas Potential in Western Australia (Biogas Resource Assessment)	43
5	Application of Sustainable Energy System Design Methodology	53
5.1	Application of Design Methodology.....	53
5.1.1	Identifying the Fundamental Desired Outcome	54
5.1.2	Description of Site.....	54
5.1.3	Investigating Energy Consumption	54
5.1.4	Resource Assessment	58
5.1.5	Technology Review	60
5.1.6	System Design and Recommendations.....	66
	Conclusion and Recommendations for Further Work.....	69
	References	70
	Appendices.....	73
	Appendix A.....	73
	Paunch Waste	73
	Wastewater.....	74
	Total Energy Available From Waste	74
	Appendix B.....	75
	Estimated Energy Production from CAL	75
	Estimated Energy Production from CSTR.....	76

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The Natural Energy Cycle of Biogas Production (Bionarc Pty Ltd. 2010).....	14
Figure 2: Anaerobic Digestion Process (Marshall 2007)	15
Figure 5: Proposed Methodology for Designing a Sustainable Energy System.....	21
Figure 6: Key Criteria for Assessing the 4E's for the Technology Review	23
Figure 7: Proposed Design Methodology for Designing a Sustainable Biogas Energy System ..	25
Figure 8: Schematic of the seven stage process at Berrybank Farm Piggery (State Government of Victoria 2002, 20).....	26
Figure 9: Primary digester at Berrybank Farm Piggery (State Government of Victoria 2002, 21)	27
Figure 10: Biogas plant, fermenter and desulphurisation-column at the slaughtering facility (IEA Bioenergy 2009a, 2).....	30
Figure 11: Energy Flow chart of biogas plant connection to the slaughtering facility (IEA Bioenergy 2009a, 3)	31
Figure 13: Schematic of bioenergy plant at Jühnde (IEA Bioenergy 2009b, 2)	33
Figure 14: Plot of WA's Energy Consumption from 1989-2010 (ABS 2012).....	38
Figure 15: WA Energy Consumption per Sector (Office of Energy 2011, 15).....	38
Figure 16: WA's primary energy fuel mix during 2007-08 (Office of Energy 2011, 13).....	40
Figure 17: Map of Primary Energy Resources and Infrastructure in Western Australia (Office of Energy 2011, 23)	41
Figure 18: Installed Renewable Energy Electricity Generation in WA, Sources: (ABARES 2011), (Office of Energy 2010)	43
Figure 19: Estimated Energy Production Potential from Biogas using Waste Resources from the Wheat, Dairy, Pork and Meat Processing Industries	44
Figure 20: Wheat Growing Region in Western Australia (Department of Agriculture and Food 2012)	46
Figure 21: Annual Energy Generation Potential using Biogas from Wheat Residue.....	47
Figure 22: Annual Energy Generation Potential using Biogas from Dairy Farm Effluent	49
Figure 23: Annual Energy Generation Potential using Biogas from Pork Industry Waste	51
Figure 24: Annual Energy Production Potential from Biogas using Waste from Major Meat Processing Facilities	53
Figure 25: Harvey Beef Daily Electricity Consumption from February 2010 to September 2012	55
Figure 26: Average Daily Electricity Consumption over a year.....	56
Figure 27: Monthly Gas Consumption from December 2006 to August 2012	56
Figure 28: Average Monthly Gas Consumption over a year	57
Figure 29: Daily Electricity Consumption over a Week (Monday to Sunday).....	57
Figure 31: Covered Anaerobic Lagoon Basic Schematic (ClimateAvenue 2009)	63
Figure 32: Schematic of the Two-Stage CSTR System for Harvey Beef	67

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: The Challenges and Opportunities for Energy Production using Biogas	45
Table 2: Estimated Energy Potential From Paunch Waste at Harvey Beef	59
Table 3: Inputs For Paunch Waste Biogas Energy Potential Calculations	59
Table 4: Technology Review for CSTR and CAL Systems for Harvey Beef	65