

**Phosphorus nutrition of chickpea under dry topsoil conditions
as in the High Barind Tract of Bangladesh**

Md. Enamul Kabir

MS (Agronomy)

This thesis is presented for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

of

Murdoch University

School of Environmental Science, Division of Science and Engineering,
Murdoch University

2012

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

.....

Md. Enamul Kabir

Abstract

In many parts of the world, notably in South Asia, crop intensification is resulting in more crops being grown on stored soil moisture, under which conditions the topsoil commonly dries out during crop growth. In the Mediterranean climatic region also, topsoils dry out particularly during the later part of the growing season. Implications of the drying of topsoils for crop nutrition in general are poorly understood. Crop intensification in South Asia is also leading to increased mechanisation and the emergence of minimum tillage sowing of crops. The key research question for the present thesis is availability of phosphorus (P) for chickpea grown with stored residual soil water in the context where placement of P fertilizer with the seed is accomplished by mechanised planters. This study investigated the P nutrition of chickpea considering uptake from topsoil and subsoil and factors affecting P availability, distribution and remobilization throughout the growing season when P fertilizer was supplied with the seed or below the seed (in the subsoil) under well-watered and dry topsoil conditions. Short-term (up to 12 or 24 days) glasshouse studies assessed the risk of toxicity for seed emergence and early growth of chickpea when P fertilizers were placed with seed in well-watered soil. In sand, seed-placed P fertilizers (diammonium phosphate and triple superphosphate) depressed chickpea germination and seedling growth while seed-placed P was safe in sandy clay loam soil at rates equivalent to 20 kg P/ha even in the scenario where the seed was sown in wide rows (up to 60 cm) that results in higher effective P fertiliser concentration around seed. In the field when chickpea was sown in four soils (sandy clay loam- clay loam texture) with a drying surface, the seed-placed P fertilizer at 20 kg P/ha as triple superphosphate had no suppressive effect in the early growth stage of chickpea but the grain yield improvement was very small (~10% over the nil P). Under a drying topsoil, chickpea accumulated P until late pod filling stage irrespective of P fertilizer treatments. From the accumulated P, plants remobilized a substantial amount of P (52% of total in vegetative shoot parts) which contributed the equivalent of 69% of the total pod P. The remobilization of P from the vegetative parts was not

sufficient for the pod P requirement but rather concurrent P uptake from the soil was needed to complete the P requirements of the pods. These results suggested that continual P uptake of chickpea depended on uptake from the subsoil P where moisture was available after drying of the topsoil. To assess the contribution of subsoil P to P uptake by chickpea under dry topsoil condition, a glasshouse study was setup by supplying P levels in the subsoil (10-30 cm). This study also showed that chickpea continued to accumulate P until late podding stage when the topsoil was completely dried; the pod P content was contributed by both remobilized P (70%) and concurrent uptake of P (30 %), but the level of P in the dry topsoil had no effect on total P content of the plant or its pods. Fractionation of P in rhizosphere soil showed that chickpea depleted sparingly-soluble 0.1 M NaOH-extractable inorganic P (NaOH Pi) in addition to labile P fractions. The drawdown of depleted P fractions was greater in the subsoil than the topsoil. The response of carboxylate exudation of chickpea under dry topsoil condition was investigated in the final glasshouse study. Under dry topsoil condition, chickpea exuded substantially higher amounts of total carboxylates in the well-watered subsoil compared to the dry topsoil. Malonate was the principal form of carboxylate followed by malate and citrate. The depletion of sparingly-soluble P forms (NaOH Pi from both the P-supplied topsoil and subsoil and the 1 M HCl extractable inorganic P from the subsoil without added P) suggests a link between the carboxylates excreted in the subsoil rhizosphere and the depletion of sparingly soluble P fractions. In conclusion, chickpea continued to take up P during the whole period of dry matter accumulation including during pod filling. Under conditions where the topsoil dried out mostly before flowering, the post-flowering P uptake is most likely to have been acquired from the subsoil. In this study, substantial root growth and carboxylate exudation by chickpea into the moist subsoil has been demonstrated suggesting a possible mechanism for mobilisation of subsoil P reserves for uptake during pod filling. The seed-placed P fertilizer had limited positive effect on chickpea grain yield in the field possibly due to shallow depth of fertilizer placement into topsoil which was dry when the plant's P demand

was high. Subsoil placement of P fertilizer showed promise for improving P uptake and grain yield of chickpea under dry topsoil condition. Further studies are required under different soils and environmental conditions to assess the contribution of subsoil P to the P nutrition of chickpea.

Table of Contents

	Page
Declaration	II
Abstract	III
Table of Contents	VI
List of Figures	XI
List of Tables	XIV
Appendices	XXIII
Abbreviation of Symbols	XXIV
Acknowledgements	XXVII
Dedication	XXVIII
Chapter 1 Literature review	1
1.1 <i>Introduction</i>	1
1.2 Phosphorus status of Bangladesh soils	4
1.2.1 National overview	4
1.2.2 Phosphorus status of the HBT, with particular reference to rainfed rabi cropping after <i>T. aman</i> rice	5
1.3 <i>Phosphorus requirements of chickpea</i>	7
1.3.1 Field responses to P and P requirements	7
1.3.2 Critical P levels for chickpea in soil and plant	9
1.3.3 Phosphorus requirement of chickpea with particular reference to Bangladesh and the HBT	11
1.4 <i>Mechanisms of P acquisition by plants</i>	12
1.4.1 Chemistry of P -brief background	12
1.4.2 Mobility of P in soil – reliance on diffusion	16
1.4.3 Movement of P into root	17
1.4.4 Root growth in relation to P sources in soil	17
1.4.5 Hydraulic redistribution	18
1.4.6 Rhizosphere modification by root exudates	19
1.4.7 Mycorrhizae	24
1.4.8 Acquisition of P from soil depths	25
1.4.9 Other factors affecting P acquisition	26
1.4.9.1 <i>Temperature</i>	26

1.4.9.2	<i>Other nutrients/ions</i>	27
1.4.9.3	<i>Dinitrogen fixation</i>	27
1.5	<i>Phosphorus requirement and transport within plants</i>	28
1.5.1	Function of P in metabolism	28
1.5.2	Distribution of P among plant tissues	28
1.5.3	Remobilization of P	30
1.6	<i>Diagnosis of P deficiency in crop plants</i>	33
1.6.1	Symptoms	33
1.6.2	Soil tests	34
1.6.3	Plant tests	35
1.6.4	Pot tests and field trials	35
1.7	<i>Phosphorus fertilizers</i>	36
1.7.1	Types of P fertilizers and their reaction with soil	36
1.7.2	Types of fertilizer used in Bangladesh	38
1.7.3	Methods of application	39
1.7.3.1	<i>Broadcast</i>	39
1.7.3.2	<i>Banding</i>	39
1.7.4	P toxicity	40
1.7.5	Toxicity from nitrogenous fertilizers	42
1.8	<i>Knowledge gaps and research questions regarding P requirements of chickpea in Bangladesh</i>	44
	Chapter 2 Effect of phosphorus fertilizer placement in relation to seed on emergence and early growth of chickpea	47
2.1	Introduction	47
2.2	Materials and Methods	49
2.2.1	Experiment 1	50
2.2.2	Experiment 2	53
2.2.3	Experiments 3 and 4	54
2.3	Results	57
2.3.1	Experiment 1	57
2.3.2	Experiment 2	60
2.3.3	Experiment 3	61
2.3.4	Experiment 4	64
2.4	Discussion	67

2.5	Conclusion	70
Chapter 3 Response of row-planted chickpea to phosphorus in the High Barind Tract of Bangladesh		72
3.1	Introduction	72
3.2	Materials and Methods	76
3.2.1	Location	76
3.2.2	Treatment and experimental design	76
3.2.3	Soil sampling	77
3.2.4	Sowing and agronomic measurements	77
3.2.4.1	<i>Site 1 and site 2</i>	77
3.2.4.2	<i>Site 3 and site 4</i>	81
3.2.5	Plant analysis	82
3.2.6	Statistical analysis	82
3.3	Results	83
3.3.1	Soil	83
3.3.2	Weather	83
3.3.3	Soil moisture	85
3.3.4	Crop growth and yield	86
3.3.4.1	<i>Site 1 (Choighati 2008-09)</i>	86
3.3.4.2	<i>Site 2 (Kantopasha 2008-09)</i>	89
3.3.4.3	<i>Site 3 (Choighati 2009-10)</i>	91
3.3.4.4	<i>Site 4 (Agolpur 2009-10)</i>	93
3.3.5	Dry matter accumulation and remobilization	95
3.3.6	Phosphorus accumulation and remobilization	97
3.3.7	P concentration	100
3.4	Discussion	101
3.4.1	Soil	101
3.4.2	Establishment	102
3.4.3	Nodulation	103
3.4.4	Symptoms on leaves	105
3.4.5	Grain yield and yield response to applied P	106
3.4.6	Time course of P acquisition	109
3.4.7	Possibility of P uptake from subsoil	112
3.5	Conclusion	112

Chapter 4	<i>Phosphorus uptake, distribution and redistribution in chickpea under dry topsoil conditions</i>	114
4.1	Introduction	114
4.2	Materials and Methods	116
4.2.1	Soil	117
4.2.2	Soil columns	117
4.2.3	Experimental design and treatments	118
4.2.4	Growing of plants	119
4.2.5	Plant measurements	121
4.2.6	Soil analyses	124
4.2.6.1	<i>Water extractable P (Resin P)</i>	125
4.2.6.2	<i>Bicarbonate extractable P (NaHCO₃ P)</i>	125
4.2.6.3	<i>Hydroxide extractable P (NaOH P)</i>	126
4.2.6.4	<i>Acid extractable P (Acid P)</i>	126
4.2.6.5	<i>Bicarbonate, hydroxide, and acid extractable organic P (Po)</i>	126
4.2.6.6	<i>Residual P and total P of un-fractionated soil</i>	126
4.2.7	Statistical analysis	127
4.3	Results	127
4.3.1	Dry matter accumulation and distribution	127
4.3.2	Root and nodule DM	132
4.3.3	Phosphorus accumulation, distribution and remobilization	135
4.3.3.1	<i>Total P</i>	135
4.3.3.2	<i>Phosphorus in plant parts</i>	140
4.3.4	Rhizosphere soil P fractions	145
4.4	Discussion	152
4.4.1	Dry matter accumulation, distribution and remobilization	152
4.4.2	Phosphorus remobilization	154
4.4.3	P uptake from topsoil and subsoil	159
4.4.4	Phosphorus fractions	162
4.5	Conclusion	166
Chapter 5	<i>Subsoil rhizosphere modification by chickpea under dry topsoil conditions</i>	169
5.1	Introduction	169
5.2	Materials and Methods	172

5.2.1	Soil and soil column	172
5.2.2	Experimental design and treatments	172
5.2.3	Plant culture	173
5.2.4	Plant measurements	173
5.2.5	Rhizosphere chemistry	173
5.2.6	Carboxylates analysis	175
5.2.7	Soil analysis	176
5.2.8	Statistical analysis	176
5.3	Results	177
5.3.1	Plant growth and P accumulation	177
5.3.2	Rhizosphere carboxylates	179
5.3.3	P fractions	182
5.4	Discussion	186
5.4.1	Carboxylates in topsoil relative to subsoil	186
5.4.2	Phosphorus deficiency and carboxylate exudation	189
5.4.3	Total carboxylates, their composition and P mobilization	190
5.4.4	Mechanisms of P mobilization	192
5.4.5	P fractions	194
5.4.6	Implications	195
5.5	Conclusion	197
	Chapter 6 General discussion and conclusions	199
6.1	Accumulation and remobilization of P under dry topsoil	199
6.2	Under a drying topsoil, is topsoil or subsoil more important for P uptake?	202
6.3	Carboxylate exudation from subsoil roots and P mobilization	203
6.4	Chickpea response to P fertilizer	205
6.5	Risk of P toxicity	206
6.6	Planter modification	207
6.7	Importance of enriching subsoil P and ways to do so	208
6.8	Conclusions	209
6.9	Future research	209
	Reference	212
	Appendices	252
	Publications	255

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	The phosphorus cycle in soils (after Moody and Bolland 1999)	13
Fig. 2.1	Schematic diagram of seed and fertilizer granules in a furrow made by strip-till in the field considering row spacing 40 cm. Furrow width, 4 cm, furrow depth, 5 cm. Assuming that fertilizer granules were spread from the bottom to 2 cm vertical length of the furrow. The seeds were in the middle of the vertical distribution (between 2 cm) of fertilizer granules, i.e. fertilizer granules were 1 cm below and 1 cm above the seed. Seeds were spaced horizontally 7.5 cm apart in the furrow where fertilizer granules were distributed 3.75 cm on either side of the seed in the furrow. The figure is not drawn to the scale.	51
Fig.2.2	Phosphorus toxicity symptoms in leaves, roots and seeds from seed-placed P fertilizers. Plate A, control pot, no P toxicity symptom; Plate B, P toxicity symptom from TSP in 40 cm row spacing; Plate C, no emergence occurred in DAP dust at 40 cm row spacing; Plate D, abnormal seedling in DAP 40 cm granule treatment with stunted shoot and brown discolouration of roots with blackened tips. Forty cm refers to the P concentration in the pot soil calculated assuming row spacing of 40 cm, and recommended TSP or DAP rate (100 kg/ha) for chickpea.	59
Fig.2.3	Cumulative emergence of chickpea in the HBT soil in seed-placed TSP (experiment 2). The treatments 40, 60, and 90 represent the P concentration that was calculated from the row spacing 40, 60, and 90 cm and recommended TSP rate (100 kg/ha). Zero (0) represents the control soil with no application of TSP. Vertical bars indicate mean \pm SE of three replicates.	60
Fig.2.4	Root and shoot DWs of 12-day-old chickpea when TSP was placed with the seed in the HBT soil (experiment 2). The treatment 40, 60, and 90 represent the P concentration that was calculated from the row spacing 40, 60, and 90 cm and recommended TSP rate (100 kg/ha). Zero (0) represents the control soil with no application of TSP. All values of each parameter are from a single plant. Vertical bars indicate mean \pm SE of three replicates. In each replication DWs were average of 6 randomly selected plants (12 plants/pot). Least significance difference (lsd0.05): for shoot, 7.3; for root, 5.3.	61
Fig. 2.5	Cumulative emergence of chickpea in (a) yellow sand and (b) Merredin clay soil fertilized with TSP banded with seed (0), 2 and 5 cm below the seed or mixing TSP with top 10 cm of soil. Vertical bars indicate mean \pm SE of six replications.	62

Fig. 2.6	Phosphorus toxicity symptoms in 18-day-old chickpea when triple superphosphate (TSP) was placed (A) with the seed and (B) 2 cm below the seed in sand.	64
Fig. 2.7	Cumulative emergence of chickpea in the HBT soil when triple superphosphate (TSP) was banded with seed (0), 2, and 5 cm below the seed, and TSP mixed with top 10 cm of topsoil. Vertical bars indicate mean \pm SE of six replications. The lsd (0.05) for 5 DAS, 8.1 (P = 0.001); for 6 DAS, 5.8 (P = 0.001); for 7 DAS, 5.2 (P = 0.01).	65
Fig. 2.8	Shoot and root dry weight of chickpea at (a) 12 and (b) 24 days after triple superphosphate (TSP) treatments in the HBT soil (experiment 4). TSP banded with seed (0), 2 or 5 cm below the seed, and TSP mixed with top 10 cm of soil. All values of each parameter are from a single plant. Vertical bars indicate mean \pm SE of three replications. The lsd (0.05) for shoot: at 12 DAS, 3.4 (P = 0.01); at 24 DAS, 12.1 (P = 0.01). The lsd (0.05) for root: at 12 DAS, 5.4 (P = 0.01); at 24 DAS, 9.3 (P = 0.0001).	66
Fig. 2.9	Root length of 12- and 24-day-old chickpea in the HBT soil. Triple superphosphate (TSP) banded with seed (0), 2 or 5 cm below the seed, and TSP mixed with top 10 cm of soil. All values of each parameter are from a single plant. Vertical bars indicate mean \pm SE of three replications. The TSP placement effect on root length at 12 and 24 DAS was not significant.	66
Fig. 3.1	Calibration of water content (%) from moisture meter MP 406 to volumetric water content (%) based on field gravimetric water of the HBT soils of Bangladesh (Wendy Vance, personal communication).	79
Fig. 3.2	Daily maximum and minimum air temperature during the chickpea growing season, (a) 24 November 2008 to 21 March 2009 and (b) 25 November 2009 to 22 March 2010 for chickpea in the HBT. Arrows show the start of flowering and podding.	85
Fig. 3.3	Soil water content to 60 cm depth in the HBT soil of (a) site 3 and (b) site 4 of field trials in the 2009-10 season. Vertical bars indicate \pm SE (n=12).	86
Fig. 3.4	Dry matter accumulation in plant parts over time in chickpea plants under (a) nil triple superphosphate (TSP) at site 3, (b) nil TSP at site 4, (c) 100 kg TSP/ha at site 4. Vertical bars indicate means of three replications \pm SE. Plants were sampled at 27, 62, 82, 94, and 108 days after sowing (DAS), which represents early vegetative (V), early flowering (EF), early podding (EP), mid-podding (MP), and late podding (LP) stages.	98

- Fig. 4.1** Illustration of phosphorus (P) treatments in the 0-10 cm dry (-W) topsoil and 10-30 cm wet (+W) subsoil. T1 has high P (HP) in both the topsoil and subsoil (HP/HP); T2, HP in the topsoil and low P (LP) in the subsoil (HP/LP); T3, LP in the topsoil and HP in the subsoil (LP/HP); T4, LP in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP). In the 80 cm-long column, the top 2 cm was kept free, 10 cm of topsoil extended to 12 cm below the top of the column but in the subsequent descriptions it was denoted as 0-10 cm or dry topsoil. Twenty cm of subsoil (12-32 cm) underlies the topsoil (but the subsoil was denoted as 10-30 cm in the description). Treatment P was applied in the topsoil and subsoil layers. Below 45 cm (32-78 cm of the column), soil which remained continuously well-watered was treated with basal nutrients only. At the bottom, a 3 cm layer of washed river sand was placed. The narrow tube in the centre of the column was a watering tube with perforations in the subsoil to allow watering of the subsoil independently of the topsoil. The lower end of the watering tube was closed with cotton plug. 119
- Fig. 4.2** Categories of plant parts. The four horizontal lines separated five main categories of shoot at mature stage of plant: (1) old leaf category, separated into old leaf (OL) and old stem (OS), (2) Recently matured leaf category, separated into recently matured leaf (RML) and recently matured stem (RMS), (3) shoot elongation between flowering and mid-podding, mid-shoot 1 (MS-1), (4) shoot elongation between mid-podding and maturity, mid-shoot 2 (MS-2), (5) tip.0 124
- Fig. 4.3** Dry matter (g/column, two plants/column) in leaf and stem components: (a) old leaf, (b) old stem, (c) recently matured leaf and (d) recently matured stem at flowering, mid-podding, and mature stage of glasshouse-grown chickpea under different P levels in topsoil and subsoil. Treatment notations refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denote high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE. 131
- Fig. 4.4** Total root dry weight (g/column, two plants/column) at three harvests of glasshouse-grown chickpea under different topsoil and subsoil P levels. Treatment notations refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denote high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE. 133
- Fig. 4.5** Percentage of root dry weight in topsoil (0-10 cm), subsoil (10-30 cm) and below the subsoil (30-80 cm) at flowering stage of chickpea under different P placements in topsoil and subsoil. Treatment notations refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denote high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are means of three replications. 134

Fig. 4.6	Nodule distribution at flowering stage in chickpea in a column of soil treated with low P in the dry topsoil and high P in the well-watered subsoil. Arrows point to nodules.	134
Fig. 4.7	Nodule dry weight (mg/10 cm of roots of two plants) in topsoil and subsoil and total nodule dry weight at (a) flowering and (b) mid-podding stage at different P levels in topsoil and subsoil of glasshouse-grown chickpea. Treatment notations refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denotes high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE.	133
Fig. 4.8	Phosphorus content of (a) old leaf, (b) old stem, (c) recently matured leaf and (d) recently matured stem at three different stages of chickpea under varying P levels in the topsoil and subsoil. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denote high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE.	143
Fig. 4.9	Phosphorus lost from leaf and stem from flowering to maturity of chickpea under different P treatments in topsoil and subsoil. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars indicate \pm SE.	144
Fig. 4.10	Phosphorus (mg/column, two plants) content in pods subtended on recently matured leaf (RML) category, stem growth between harvests 1 and 2 (MS-1), stem growth between harvests 2 and 3 (MS-2) and tip portion of glasshouse-grown chickpea under different levels of topsoil and subsoil P. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE.	145
Fig. 4.11	Concentration of resin P in (a) topsoil, (b) subsoil of rhizosphere and bulk soil of chickpea under different topsoil and subsoil P levels at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE.	149
Fig. 4.12	Concentration of NaHCO_3 Pi in (a) topsoil, (b) subsoil of rhizosphere and bulk soil of chickpea under different topsoil and subsoil P levels at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE.	149
Fig. 4.13	Concentration of NaOH Pi in (a) topsoil, (b) subsoil of rhizosphere and bulk soil of chickpea under different topsoil and subsoil P levels at	150

flowering, mid-podding and mature stage. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE.

- Fig. 4.14** Concentration of HCl Pi in (a) topsoil, (b) subsoil of rhizosphere and bulk soil of chickpea under different topsoil and subsoil P levels at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE. 150
- Fig. 4.15** Concentration of NaOH Po in (a) topsoil, (b) subsoil of rhizosphere and bulk soil of chickpea under different topsoil and subsoil P levels at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE. 151
- Fig. 4.16** Concentration of residual P in (a) topsoil, (b) subsoil of rhizosphere and bulk soil of chickpea under different topsoil and subsoil P levels at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE. 151
- Fig. 4.17** Concentration of total P (un-fractionated) in (a) topsoil, (b) subsoil of rhizosphere and bulk soil of chickpea under different topsoil and subsoil P levels at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE. 152
- Fig. 5.1** a) Total root dry weight/column, and b) root dry weights in topsoil (0-10 cm), subsoil (10-30 cm) and below-subsoil (30-60 cm) of glasshouse-grown chickpea at flowering at different levels of subsoil P under dry topsoil condition. Each soil column had 2 plants. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3). Least significant difference (Lsd 0.05) for total root dry weight was 0.77; for root dry weights at 0-10 cm, 10-30 cm, was 0.20, 0.22, respectively. Root dry weights in the 30-60 cm section of columns were not significantly different (P = 0.05). 177
- Fig. 5.2** a) Total root dry weight/column, and b) root dry weights in topsoil (0-10 cm), subsoil (10-30 cm) and below-subsoil (30-60 cm) of glasshouse-grown chickpea at flowering at different levels of subsoil P under dry topsoil condition. Each soil column had 2 plants. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the 179

topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3). Least significant difference (Lsd 0.05) for total root dry weight was 0.77; for root dry weights at 0-10 cm, 10-30 cm, was 0.20, 0.22, respectively. Root dry weights in the 30-60 cm section of columns were not significantly different (P = 0.05).

- Fig. 5.3** Total carboxylates (μmol per g root dry mass, extracted using a 0.2 mM CaCl_2 solution) in the rhizosphere of chickpea in dry topsoil (0-10 cm) and well-watered subsoil (10-30 cm) grown in different soil phosphorus levels. Each soil column had 2 plants. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3). Among the P treatments, total carboxylates in the topsoil was significantly different (Lsd(0.05) = 43.8), in the subsoil total carboxylates were not statistically different (P = 0.05). 180
- Fig. 5.4** Carboxylates composition (fraction of total, μmol per g root dry mass, extracted using a 0.2 mM CaCl_2 solution) in the rhizosphere of chickpea in a) dry topsoil (0-10 cm) and b) well-watered subsoil (10-30 cm) grown in different soil phosphorus levels. Each soil column had 2 plants. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3). In the topsoil the Lsd (0.05) for citrate was 13.1, the malate and malonate were not significantly different (P = 0.05). In the subsoil, the Lsd (0.05) for malate and citrate was 22.5 and 14.0, respectively; the malonate was not significantly different (P = 0.05). 180
- Fig. 5.5** Total carboxylates (μmol per g soil dry mass, extracted using a 0.2 mM CaCl_2 solution) in the rhizosphere of chickpea in dry topsoil (0-10 cm) and well-watered subsoil (10-30 cm) grown in different soil phosphorus levels. Each soil column had 2 plants. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3). Total carboxylates in the topsoil was significantly different (Lsd (0.05) = 14.9) while in the subsoil they were not significantly different (P = 0.05). 181
- Fig. 5.6** Fractions of carboxylates (μmol per g soil dry mass, extracted using a 0.2 mM CaCl_2 solution) in the rhizosphere of chickpea in a) dry topsoil (0-10 cm) and b) well-watered subsoil (10-30 cm) grown in different soil phosphorus levels. Each soil column had 2 plants. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil 182

(LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3). In the topsoil the lsd (0.05) for citrate was 3.9, the malate and malonate were not significantly different (P = 0.05). In the subsoil, the lsd (0.05) for malate and citrate was 5.1 and 3.7, respectively; the malonate was not significantly different (P = 0.05).

- Fig.5.7** Resin P of rhizosphere and bulk soil of glasshouse-grown chickpea. 183
 Rhizosphere soil collected from the a) topsoil (0-10 cm) and b) subsoil (10-30 cm) of soil column at flowering stage of plant. Rhizosphere resin P was compared to their respective bulk soil P (high, 90 mg/kg or low, 45 mg/kg) in each soil layer, topsoil or subsoil. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Rhizosphere resin P in the topsoil was not significantly different (P = 0.05) among the P treatments. In the subsoil, the lsd (0.05) for resin P was 3.0. Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3).
- Fig.5.8** NaHCO₃ Pi of rhizosphere and bulk soil of glasshouse-grown chickpea. 184
 Rhizosphere soil collected from the a) topsoil (0-10 cm) and b) subsoil (10-30 cm) of soil column at flowering stage of plant. Rhizosphere NaHCO₃ Pi was compared to their respective bulk soil P (high, 90 mg/kg or low, 45 mg/kg) in each soil layer, topsoil or subsoil. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Rhizosphere NaHCO₃ Pi in the topsoil was not significantly different (P = 0.05) among the P treatments. In the subsoil, the lsd (0.05) for NaHCO₃ Pi was 4.2. Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3).
- Fig.5.9** NaOH Pi of rhizosphere and bulk soil of glasshouse-grown chickpea. 185
 Rhizosphere soil collected from the a) topsoil (0-10 cm) and b) subsoil (10-30 cm) of soil column at flowering stage of plant. Rhizosphere NaOH Pi was compared to their respective bulk soil P (high, 90 mg/kg or low, 45 mg/kg) in each soil layer, topsoil or subsoil. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Rhizosphere NaOH Pi in the topsoil was not significantly different (P = 0.05) among the P treatments. In the subsoil, the lsd (0.05) for NaOH Pi was 6.4. Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error (n = 3).
- Fig. 5.10** HCl Pi of rhizosphere and bulk soil of glasshouse-grown chickpea. 186
 Rhizosphere soil collected from the a) topsoil (0-10 cm) and b) subsoil (10-30 cm) of soil column at flowering stage of plant. Rhizosphere HCl

Pi was compared to their respective bulk soil P (high, 90 mg/kg or low, 45 mg/kg) in each soil layer, topsoil or subsoil. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both the topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). Rhizosphere HCl Pi in the topsoil was not significantly different ($P = 0.05$) among the P treatments. In the subsoil, the lsd (0.05) for HCl Pi was 4.3. Vertical bars indicate \pm standard error ($n = 3$).

Fig. 5.11 Roots in dry topsoil (0-10 cm) of chickpea grown in a column. Roots are mainly representing thick branches. Topsoil drying treatment was imposed 38 days before harvest of the plant (at flowering stage). 188

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Properties of soil used in pot experiments (1 to 4).	55
Table 2.2	Response of 12-day-old chickpea to seed-placed TSP granules in differently textured soils in experiment 1. Forty and 60 cm refers to the P concentration in the pot soil calculated assuming the row spacing 40 and 60 cm, and recommended TSP rate (100 kg/ha) for chickpea. Values represented are for a single plant. The values are means of three replications. *P ≤0.05; **P ≤0.01; ***P ≤0.001; ns, non-significant	58
Table 2.3	Emergence of chickpea in seed-placed DAP (granule and dust) in Merredin clay and yellow sand in experiment 1. Forty and 60 cm refers to the P concentration in the soil calculated assuming a row spacing of 40 and 60 cm, and recommended DAP rate (100 kg/ha) for chickpea. No DAP was added in the control treatment. Values are means ± SE of three replications. Value of each replication is the mean value of emergence of 40 seeds.	59
Table 2.4	Effect of triple superphosphate (TSP) banding on early growth of chickpea at 12 days after sowing (experiment 3). Means are calculated from three replications. Values represented are for a single plant: *P ≤0.05; **P ≤0.01; ***P ≤0.001; ns, non significant.	63
Table 2.5	Effect of triple superphosphate (TSP) banding on the growth of chickpea at 24 days after sowing (experiment 3). Means are calculated from three replications. Values represented are for a single plant. 'ns' refers to non-significant.	64
Table 3.1	Soil properties of field trials in 2008-09 and 2009-10 in the HBT of Bangladesh. 'nm' refers to the parameter 'not measured'.	84
Table 3.2	Effect of triple superphosphate (TSP) rates and tillage methods (strip-till vs conventional) on plant density, nodulation and yield parameters of chickpea at experimental site 1 (2008-09). Values under strip tillage are means of three replications. 'ns' denotes non-significant. 'CV' refers to coefficient of variation.	88
Table 3.3	Chickpea response to triple superphosphate (TSP) rates and tillage type (strip-till vs conventional) on plant density, nodulation and yield parameters at experimental site 2 (2008-09). Values under strip tillage are means of three replications. In non-replicated conventional tillage, the values are means of five quadrates of single plot ± SE. 'ns' denotes non-significant. 'CV' refers to coefficient of variation.	90

Table 3.4	Nitrogen and P concentration (% of dry weight) of leaves of the main stem at pre-flowering stage at experimental site 1 (Choighati 2008-09). 'TSP' refers to triple superphosphate. Values are means of three replications \pm SE.	91
Table 3.5	Chickpea response to triple superphosphate (TSP) rates under strip till and conventional cultivation methods at experimental site 3. The values of parameters in the conventionally cultivated plot were means of 3 replications \pm SE. In strip till, values are also average of three replications. 'ns' denotes non-significant. 'CV' refers to coefficient of variation.	92
Table 3.6	Chickpea response to triple superphosphate (TSP) rates under strip till and conventional cultivation methods at site 4. The values of parameters in the conventionally cultivated plot were means of 3 replications \pm SE. In strip till, values are also the average of three replications. 'ns' denotes non-significant.	94
Table 3.7	Effect of triple superphosphate (TSP) rates on percentage of maximum yield (kg/ha) under strip-till cultivation. Yield in 100 kg TSP/ha was considered as maximum yield.	95
Table 3.8	Dry matter accumulation by growth stages as a percentage (%) of total DW at late podding/physiological maturity of chickpea at sites 3 and 4 in the HBT soil. That is, % DM accumulation at each stage = DM at each stage \times 100/DM at late podding stage. Plants were sampled at 27, 62, 82, 94, and 108 days after sowing, which corresponded with early vegetative (V), late vegetative or early flowering (EF), early podding (EP), mid-podding (MP), and late podding (LP) stages. 'TSP' denotes triple superphosphate. Values are means of three replications \pm SE.	96
Table 3.9	Phosphorus content (mg/plant) over time in plant parts of chickpea under (a) nil triple superphosphate (TSP) at site 3, (b) nil TSP at site 4, (c) 100 kg TSP/ha at site 4. Values are means of three replicates \pm SE. Plants are sampled at 27, 62, 82, 94, and 108 days after sowing (DAS), which represents early vegetative (V), early flowering (EF), early podding (EP), mid-podding (MP), and late podding (LP) stages.	100
Table 3.10	Phosphorus concentration (% dry matter) of plant parts of chickpea at experimental sites 3 and 4. Values are means of 3 replications \pm SE. Plants are sampled at 27, 62, 82, 94, and 108 days after sowing (DAS), which represents early vegetative (V), early flowering (EF), early podding (EP), mid-podding (MP), and late podding (LP) stages.	101

Table 4.1	Selected properties of soil. Values in Table are the means of three replicates.	117
Table 4.2	Dry matter weight (g/two plants in column) of vegetative and reproductive parts at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage of glasshouse-grown chickpea. Treatment notations refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denote high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are means of three replications \pm SE.	129
Table 4.3	Contribution of high phosphorus (HP) and or low phosphorus (LP) in the topsoil and subsoil to the increase in total and to pod dry matter (DM, g/column, each column had two plants) at maturity. Treatment notations refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denotes high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are the differences between treatment means \pm SE of each group. Values within the brackets are the percentage contribution of topsoil or subsoil P \pm SE calculated as followed: (difference of DM between the treatments /highest value of treatment of that group) x 100. Highest value in a group is denoted by asterisk (see Table 4.2 for highest values of total and pod DW at maturity).	132
Table 4.4	Total P content (mg/column, two plants per column) and P content in vegetative and reproductive parts at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage of chickpea in different P treatments. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denote high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE.	137
Table 4.5a	Statistical summary of main effects and interaction of topsoil P and subsoil P on total dry matter (DM) (g/column, two plants in a column) and DM of plant parts at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage of chickpea grown in soil column. 'Total' refers to DM of shoot plus flower/pod; 'total vege' refers to DM of shoot excluding flower/pod; OL, OS, RML, RMS refer to old leaf, old stem, recently matured leaf and recently matured stem, respectively. 'ns' refers to non-significant.	138
Table 4.5b	Statistical summary of main effects and interaction of topsoil P and subsoil P on total P content (mg/column, two plants in a column) and P content of plant parts at flowering, mid-podding and mature stage of chickpea grown in soil column. Total' refers to P content of shoot plus flower/pod; OL, OS, RML, RMS refer to old leaf, old stem, recently matured leaf and recently matured stem, respectively. 'Vege P' refers to the total P content of the vegetative organs.	139

Table 4.6	Phosphorus remobilization (mg/column, two plants per column) from the vegetative organs and its contribution to pod at maturity between flowering and mid-podding and between mid-podding and mature stage. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. 'HP' and 'LP' denote high phosphorus (P) and low P, respectively. Values are means of three replications. Vertical bars refer to \pm SE.	142
Table 4.7	Contribution to increase in total P content (2 plants per column) of high phosphorus (HP) or low P (LP) in the topsoil and subsoil at maturity. Values are the difference between treatment means \pm SE of each group. Values within the bracket are the percentage contribution of topsoil or subsoil treatment P \pm SE calculated as followed: (difference of total P content between the treatments of a group /highest P content of treatment of that group) x 100. Highest value in a group is denoted by asterisk (see Table 4.5 for highest values of total and pod DW at maturity).	144
Table 4.8	Fractions of P (mg/kg) in the virgin soil before start of the experiment and bulk soils after P fertilizer was mixed at low P (45 mg/kg Colwell P) and high P (90 mg/kg) collected during final harvest at maturity. Values represent means of three replications \pm SE.	146
Table 4.9	Phosphorus balance (mg/column): P gains in and remobilization from plant parts between flowering and mid-podding stage. The abbreviation RML is for recently matured leaf, RMS for recently matured stem, MS-1 for shoot growth between harvest 1 and harvest 2, OL for old leaf, OS for old stem. Treatment notations (e.g. HP/HP) refer to topsoil P/subsoil P. HP-high P; LP-low P. Values are means of three replications. Values within the brackets indicate \pm SE.	156
Table 4.10	Dry weight (g/column, two plants) and P concentration (% dry weight) of tip portion at flowering and mid-podding stage	157
Table 5.1	Shoot (leaf, stem and flower) P concentration and nodulation rank (crown nodule, after Rupela 1990) of glasshouse-grown chickpea at flowering stage under dry topsoil condition and different levels of subsoil P. Treatment notations refer to: low P in the topsoil and high P in the subsoil (LP/HP), low P in both topsoil and subsoil (LP/LP), low P in the topsoil and no P in the subsoil (LP/Nil P). For details of the nodulation ranking, see materials and method of Chapter 3 (section 3.2.4).	178
Table 5.2	Fractions of P concentration (mg/kg) in virgin soil and P bulk soils	183

after adding P fertilizer. Values are means of 3 replication \pm SE.

Appendices

Appendix 1	Interpretation of soil test phosphorus (Olsen P) values on critical limits	252
Appendix 2	Phosphorus concentrations in standard plant samples	252
Appendix 3	Determination of amount of P as high-P and low-P for the study described in Chapter 4.	253

Abbreviations and Symbols

%	Per cent
~	Approximately
<	Less than
>	Greater than
≥	Greater than-equal
μmol	Micromole
2-WT	Two-wheel tractor
4-WT	Four-wheel tractor
Al	Aluminium
ABA	Abscisic acid
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
ASPAC	Australasian Soil and Plant Analysis Council
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
B	Boron
BARI	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute
BGM	Botrytis grey mould
Ca	Calcium
cm	Centimetre
CRD	Completely randomized design
CV	Coefficient of variation
DAP	Di-ammonium phosphate
DAS	Day after sowing
DDI	Double de-ionized water
dS/m	Deci Siemen per metre
DW	Dry weight
FAO	Food and Agriculture organization
Fe	Iron
g	Gram
ha	Hectare
HBT	High Barind Tract
HI	Harvest index
ICARDA	International Centre for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas

ICRISAT	International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics
K	Potassium
kg	Kilogram
Km	Kilometre
lsd	Least significant difference
m	Metre
M	Molar
m ²	Square metre
MAP	Mono-ammonium phosphate
MCP	Monocalcium phosphate
Mg	Magnesium
mL	Mililitre
mm	Milimetre
Mn	Manganese
Mo	Molybdenum
N	Nitrogen
n	Number of observation
ns	Non-significant
°C	Degree Celcius
<i>P</i>	Probability
P	Phosphorus
PEPC	Phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylate
Pi	Inorganic phosphorus
Po	Organic phosphorus
PRI	Phosphorus retention index
r ²	Regression coefficient
RCBD	Randomized complete block design
S	Sulphur
SD	Standard deviation
SI	Salt index
SSP	Single superphosphate
t/ha	Tonne/hectare
<i>T. aman</i>	<i>Transplanted aman</i>
TCA	Tricarboxylic acid

TSP	Triple superphosphate
VAM	Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza
vs	Versus
WA	Western Australia
Zn	Zinc

Acknowledgements

I'm feeling privileged to have met and worked with some amazing people and it is my pleasure to express my gratitude to everyone who made this PhD-adventure possible and enjoyable!

I'm incredibly grateful to my supervisor, Professor Richard Bell, for assisting me to obtain the ACIAR scholarship for this PhD study and for his scholastic guidance, tuition and encouragement throughout the study.

Thanks beyond words go to my co-supervisor, Dr Chris Johansen, for his valuable comments, advice, innovative ideas and constructive criticism which made this study to fruition.

I would like to gratefully thank to the farmers in the Choighati of the HBT of Bangladesh to allow me to use their land. Thanks to Dr Enamul Haque and PROVA for organizing crop sowing and logistic supports during the field studies. Special thanks to Shafique for his sincere support during the crop growth and harvest.

Sincere thanks goes to Wendy, Ma, Sarith, Sita Ram, Arif, Fariba, Karthika, Rajesh and Jose for their generous help during the investigation.

I gratefully acknowledge the ACIAR for granting me the scholarship, their project LWR/2005/001 and people involved in that project.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my mother, sister, and brothers for their patience, encouragement, and much more moral and physical support throughout the life of the study.

Finally my heartfelt thanks goes to my wife, Mafruda Akter, and my son Ishmam for their understanding and sacrifice which made possible the completion of this study.

Dedicated to my beloved mother and departed soul of my father