

Comparative proteomics of *Giardia duodenalis* from humans and cattle

Robert Francis Lascelles Steuart
BSc Hons

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
of Murdoch University
2012

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

Robert Francis Lascelles Steuart

Abstract

Giardia duodenalis is a gastrointestinal parasite capable of infecting humans as well as domesticated animals, for example cattle. There are seven distinct genetic groups, termed assemblages A-G, with assemblages A and B able to infect humans and assemblage E specific to livestock, including cattle. The level of genetic variation between the assemblages has been studied over multiple loci and the phylogenetic relationship between these assemblages is well known. There is however, little information available on the protein differences between the assemblages. Proteins of the human infective assemblages A and B were compared using SDS-PAGE and 2D-PAGE to determine proteins of difference. Proteins determined to be assemblage-specific were then identified using mass spectrometry. In total, eleven proteins of difference were identified between assemblages A and B. Four proteins; alpha 2 giardin, GASP-180, UPL-1 and GLORF-C4, were chosen for further characterisation. Genetic analysis confirmed that alpha 2 giardin is absent from assemblage B and that the size variation seen in the GASP-180 protein is mirrored by a series of indels in a portion of the gene sequence. The UPL-1 gene did not show any variation indicating the protein variation seen is likely due to post translational modification. The GLORF-C4 protein, which is involved in the formation of cysts, was only identified in assemblage B. Therefore, the ability of assemblages A and B to undergo the encystment process was also studied. The assemblage B isolates produced fully formed cysts 24 hrs faster than assemblage A isolates. Analysis of levels of GLORF-C4 mRNA indicated that the gene is constitutively expressed in assemblage B and induced in assemblage A. The proteins of the human infective assemblages were then compared to those of the livestock infective assemblage E, with thirteen protein variants identified, the majority of which are the same as those

identified between assemblages A and B. The proteins identified in this study are the first protein variants documented between assemblages of *G. duodenalis*.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures.....	ix
List of Tables.....	xi
Acknowledgements.....	xii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 General Introduction.....	1
1.2 Life Cycle.....	4
1.3 Transmission	6
1.4 Pathogenicity.....	7
1.5 Variation in Giardia duodenalis	10
1.6 Proteomics.....	12
1.7 Proteomics of Giardia	14
1.7.1 Cell Structure and Biology.....	15
1.7.2 Host-Parasite Interactions	19
1.7.3 Protein Variation	21
1.8 Objective and aims	24
Chapter 2 General Materials and Methods	26
2.1 Isolates	26
2.2 Culture Medium	27
2.3 Culture Maintenance	28
2.4 Cryopreservation	29
2.5 Mass Culture	29
2.6 Sample Preparation	30
Chapter 3 One Dimensional Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis.....	32
3.1 Introduction	32
3.2 Materials and methods	33
3.2.1 Sample preparation.....	33
3.2.2 One dimensional electrophoresis	34
3.2.3 Gel Staining.....	37
3.2.4 Gel Imaging.....	37
3.2.5 Comparison of Gel Images	38
Results 3.3	38
3.3.1 Preliminary SDS-PAGE Analysis.....	38

3.3.2 Comparative SDS-PAGE Analysis	40
3.4 Discussion	43
3.4.1 Intra-assemblage Variation	43
3.4.2 Inter-assemblage variation	44
3.4.3 Comparison with published data	44
Chapter 4 Two Dimensional Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis.....	47
4.1 Introduction	47
4.2 Material and Methods	49
4.2.1 Sample preparation.....	49
4.2.2 Isoelectric Focusing	49
4.2.3 SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis.....	51
4.2.4 Protein Visualisation	53
4.3 Results	53
4.3.1 Protein Solubilisation Optimisation	53
4.3.2 Protein Concentration Optimisation.....	54
4.3.3 Gel Reproducibility	56
4.3.3 Inter Assemblage Variation	59
4.4 Discussion	60
4.4.1 Comparison of protein resolution between 2D-PAGE and SDS-PAGE... 60	
4.4.2 Optimisation of protein solubilisation.....	62
4.4.3 Optimisation of the protein concentration required for visualisation	63
4.4.4 Reproducibility of the 2D-PAGE system.....	64
4.4.5 Inter-assemblage protein variation	65
Chapter 5 Identification of Proteins	67
5.1 Introduction	67
5.2 Material and Methods	68
5.2.1 Gel spot excision	68
5.2.2 Gel Sample Processing.....	69
5.2.3 Mass Spectrometry	70
5.2.4 Bioinformatics	70
5.3 Results	71
5.3.1 Proteins Identified from SDS-PAGE	71
5.3.2 Proteins Identified from 2D-PAGE.....	75
5.4 Discussion	79
5.4.1 Proteins Identified with Mass spectrometry.....	79
5.4.2 Success of Proteins Identified from 2D-PAGE Gels	84
5.4.3 Protein Variation	85

5.4.4 Conclusions	89
Chapter 6 Genetic Characterisation of Protein Variation	90
6.1 Introduction	90
6.2 Materials and Methods	91
6.2.1 Gene sequence collection	91
6.2.2 Primer Design	92
6.2.3 DNA Extraction	93
6.2.4 Polymerase Chain Reaction	95
6.2.5 Sequencing	96
6.2.6 Phylogenetic analysis	98
6.3 Results	99
6.3.1 Alpha 2 giardin.....	99
6.3.2 GASP-180	104
6.3.3 Uridine phosphorylase like protein-1	106
6.4 Discussion	108
6.4.1 Alpha 2 giardin.....	108
6.4.2 Genetic Variation of Wee Kinase	110
6.4.3 GASP-180	112
6.4.4 Uridine Phosphorylase-like Protein	115
6.4.5 Conclusions	116
Chapter 7 Comparison of the encystation rate of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> isolates from assemblages A and B	118
7.1 Introduction	118
7.2 Materials and Methods	119
7.2.1 Encystation	119
7.2.2 Effect of Bile Source on Encystation	120
7.2.3 Effect of pH on Encystation	120
7.2.4 Effect of Sera on Encystation.....	120
7.2.5 Encystation Rate.....	121
7.2.6 Statistical Analysis	121
7.2.7 RNA Extraction and Conversion to cDNA.....	121
7.2.8 Quantitative Real Time PCR of <i>glorf-c4</i> Expression.....	123
7.3 Results	124
7.3.1 Effect of Bile on Encystation	124
7.3.2 Effect of Variation of pH on Encystation	125
7.3.3 Effect of Sera on Encystment.....	125
7.3.4 Variation in Encystation Rate	126

7.3.5 Comparison of <i>glorf-c4</i> Expression in Trophozoites	128
7.3.6 Analysis of <i>glorf-c4</i> Expression during Encystation	129
7.4 Discussion	130
7.4.1 Variation in Encystment Induction	131
7.4.2 Variation in Encystation Rate	134
7.4.3 Variation in <i>glorf-c4</i> gene expression	135
7.4.4 General Conclusions	136
Chapter 8 Comparison of Proteins between Human Infective <i>G. duodenalis</i> and a Livestock Assemblage (E) Isolate.....	137
8.1 Introduction	137
8.2 Materials and Methods	138
8.2.1 SDS-PAGE.....	138
8.2.2 Protein Identification by Mass Spectrometry.....	138
8.3 Results	138
8.3.1 SDS-PAGE.....	138
8.3.2 Protein Identification.....	139
8.4 Discussion	143
8.4.1 Identification of Variant Proteins.....	143
Chapter 9 General Discussion.....	150
9.1 Introduction	150
9.2 Implications of Protein Variation on Pathogenicity.....	152
9.2.1 Attachment	153
9.2.2 Cyst Production.....	156
9.3 Implications of Protein Variation on the Taxonomy of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> ..	158
9.3.1 Review of Taxonomy	158
9.3.2 Nucleotide variation vs Protein variation.....	159
9.3.3 Is <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> one species?.....	160
9.4 Comparison of electrophoretic methods for assessing protein variation in <i>Giardia duodenalis</i>	161
9.4.1 Number of Proteins	163
9.4.2 Resolution	164
9.5 Future Studies	165
References.....	173

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Cysts (▲) and trophozoites (●) of <i>G. duodenalis</i> derived from culture. ...5	5
Figure 1.2 Transmission Dynamics of <i>G. duodenalis</i>8	8
Figure 3.1 Schematic of Gel Assembly.....36	36
Figure 3.2 Trial SDS-PAGE for Protein Separation39	39
Figure 3.3 12.5% PAGE of TTP from <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> Assemblages B and A...41	41
Figure 3.4 10% PAGE of TTP from <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> Assemblages A and B.....42	42
Figure 4.1 2D-PAGE of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> isolate BAH 34c8 TTP extracted with MSS, pH 3-10 IPG strip and 12.5% polyacrylamide gel55	55
Figure 4.2 2D-PAGE of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> isolate BAH 34c8 TTP Extracted with PS2 Protocol pH 3-10 IPG strip and 12.5% polyacrylamide gel56	56
Figure 4.3 Protein Concentration Optimisation.57	57
Figure 4.4 Reproducibility of 2D Gel Images.....58	58
Figure 4.5 2D-PAGE of isolate BAH 2c2 (A)59	59
Figure 4.6 2D-PAGE of isolate BAH 34c8 (B)60	60
Figure 5.1 Protein bands of assemblage A and B isolates BAH 2c2 and BAH 34c8 excised and identified from SDS-PAGE.....72	72
Figure 5.2 Proteins excised and identified from assemblage A isolate BAH 2c2 2D-PAGE75	75
Figure 5.3 Protein spots of assemblage B isolate 34c8 excised and identified via mass spectrometry77	77
Figure 6.1 A2GEX PCR products run in a 1% agarose TAE gel stained with Sybr Safe.....100	100
Figure 6.2 Alph2ex PCR products run in a 1% agarose TAE gel stained with Sybr Safe.....100	100
Figure 6.3 Alph2int PCR products run in a 1% agarose TAE gel stained with Sybr Safe.....101	101
Figure 6.4 Alignment of assemblage B sequences obtained from the 1000bp amplicon of the Alpha2int PCR with the genomic Assemblage A Wee Kinase sequence.103	103
Figure 6.5 Axo3 PCR products run in a 1% agarose TAE gel stained with Sybr Safe104	104
Figure 6.6 Alignment of Axo3 PCR amplicon sequence from assemblages A and B with the assemblage A genomic sequence.105	105
Fig 6.7 UDP PCR products run in a 1% agarose TAE gel stained with Sybr Safe..106	106
Figure 6.8 Alignment of UDP PCR amplicon sequences from Assemblages A and B with the assemblage A genomic sequence.107	107

Figure 7.1 Percentage Encystation of Trophozoites incubated with 1% w/v Porcine and Bovine bile	124
Figure 7.3 Effect of Variation in pH on Encystation of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> from Assemblages A and B	125
Figure 7.2 Effect of New Born Serum and Foetal Bovine Serum on the Percentage of Trophozoites Undergoing Encystation.....	126
Figure 7.4 Encystation Rate for Assemblage A Isolates BAH 2c16 and BAH 3c3.	127
Figure 7.5 Encystation Rate for Assemblage B Isolates BAH 34c8 and BAH 12c14	128
Figure 7.6 Comparison of <i>glorf-c4</i> expression between Assemblages A and B trophozoites	129
Figure 7.7 Expression of <i>glorf-c4</i> before and 8 and 18 hours post induction for encystment.....	130
Figure 8.1 SDS-PAGE of Assemblages A and B and Comparing with Assemblage E	140
Figure 8.2 Protein Bands of Assemblage E Isolate P15c1 that were submitted for mass spectrometry	141

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Morphological Characterisation of <i>Giardia</i> sp.	1
Table 2.1 <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> isolates used in this study	27
Table 2.2 BS-I-33 <i>Giardia</i> culture medium.....	28
Table 3.1 Polyacrylamide Gel Formulations	35
Table 5.1 Proteins of assemblage A isolate BAH 2c2 visualised on SDS PAGE and identified with mass spectrometry	73
Table 5.2 Proteins of assemblage B isolate BAH 34c8 visualised on SDS-PAGE and identified with mass spectrometry	74
Table 5.3 Proteins of Assemblage A isolate BAH 2c2 visualised on 2D-PAGE identified by Mass Spectrometry	76
Table 5.4 Proteins of Assemblage B isolate BAH 34c8 visualised on 2D-PAGE identified by Mass Spectrometry	78
Table 5.5 Protein Variants of Assemblages A and B Identified Using SDS-PAGE and 2D-PAGE Coupled With Mass Spectrometry.....	86
Table 6.1 Primers used for PCR analysis of variant protein genes.....	94
Table 7.1 Primers Used for Quantitative Real Time PCR Assay	123
Table 7.2 Summary of data for the variation in encystment and expression of <i>glorf c4</i>	131
Table 8.1 Proteins of Assemblage E Isolate P15c1 Identified Using Mass Spectrometry form SDS-PAGE Gels.....	142
Table 8.2 Variant Proteins Identified by Mass Spectrometry and Their Position on Gels	144

Acknowledgements

There are many people I would like to thank for helping me to produce this thesis and the body of work that sits behind it. Firstly to my supervisors Prof Andrew Thompson, Dr Ryan O’Handley and Dr Richard Lipscombe whose support and encouragement through this process has been greatly appreciated, especially our meetings which would often leave me with many more ideas than I had time for. I would particularly like to thank Andy (Prof. Thompson) whose mentorship, guidance and ultimately friendship has been of tremendous benefit to me. I have had the great benefit to work with several visiting international scientists as part of this work and on other experiments surrounding it. The visits of Prof Timothy Paget were tremendously insightful and the work I conducted with Tim on GLORE-C4 forms a strong part of this thesis. My thanks also to Prof. Andre Buret, Dr. Thomas Geurden and Prof. John Barta who also visited during my studies and broadened my interests in parasitology. I have been very lucky to share an office space with some other fantastic students and their support and sometimes distraction was much needed, particular thanks to Drs. Carly Palmer, Nevi Parameswaran and Amanda Ash. Finally to my family, my grandparents who both died during my studies and who I know would be proud of me for completing; they were and still are an inspiration. To my mum, brother and sister for always being interested, asking and supporting me and to my adopted family for their support as well. Ultimately, my deepest thanks go to my own special family, to my wife who has put up with *Giardia* for way too long (and will probably have to do so for a lot longer) and the two little boys we have brought in to this world during my studies who have been corrupted from the start.

Thank you all!