



Murdoch
UNIVERSITY

MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY

This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination.

The definitive version is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.12.008>

Koinari, M., Karl, S., Elliot, A., Ryan, U. and Lymbery, A.J. (2013)
Identification of Anisakis species (Nematoda: Anisakidae) in marine fish hosts from Papua New Guinea. Veterinary Parasitology, 193 (1-3). pp. 126-133.

<http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/12579/>

Copyright: © 2012 Elsevier B.V.

It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Identification of *Anisakis* species (Nematoda: Anisakidae) in marine fish hosts from Papua New Guinea

Authors: M. Koinari, S. Karl, A. Elliot, U.M. Ryan, A.J. Lymbery



PII: S0304-4017(12)00656-5
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.12.008
Reference: VETPAR 6615

To appear in: *Veterinary Parasitology*

Received date: 18-10-2012
Revised date: 5-12-2012
Accepted date: 10-12-2012

Please cite this article as: Koinari, M., Karl, S., Elliot, A., Ryan, U.M., Lymbery, A.J., Identification of *Anisakis* species (Nematoda: Anisakidae) in marine fish hosts from Papua New Guinea, *Veterinary Parasitology* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.12.008

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Identification of *Anisakis* species (Nematoda: Anisakidae) in marine fish hosts from Papua**
2 **New Guinea**

3
4 M. Koinari^{1*}, S. Karl², A. Elliot¹, U. M. Ryan¹ and A.J. Lymbery³

5
6 ¹School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Western Australia
7 6150, Australia,

8 ²School of Medicine and Pharmacology, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western,
9 Australia, Australia,

10 ³Fish Health Unit, School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch University, Murdoch,
11 Western Australia 6150, Australia

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 **Corresponding author. Mailing address: School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch*
20 *University, 90 South Street, Murdoch, Western Australia, 6150, Australia. Phone: 61 89360 6379.*

21 *Fax: 61 89310 414. E-mail: M.Koinari@murdoch.edu.au*

22

23 **Abstract**

24 The third-stage larvae of several genera of anisakid nematodes are important etiological
25 agents for zoonotic human anisakiasis. The present study investigated the prevalence of potentially
26 zoonotic anisakid larvae in fish collected on the coastal shelves off Madang and Rabaul in Papua
27 New Guinea (PNG) where fish represents a major component of the diet. Nematodes were found in
28 seven fish species including *Decapterus macarellus*, *Gerres oblongus*, *Pinjalo lewisi*, *Pinjalo*
29 *pinjalo*, *Selar crumenophthalmus*, *Scomberomorus maculatus* and *Thunnus albacares*. They were
30 identified by both light and scanning electron microscopy as *Anisakis* Type I larvae. Sequencing
31 and phylogenetic analysis of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and the mitochondrial
32 *cytochrome C oxidase subunit II (cox2)* gene identified all nematodes as *Anisakis typica*. This study
33 represents the first in-depth characterization of *Anisakis* larvae from seven new fish hosts in PNG.
34 The overall prevalence of larvae was low (7.6%) and no recognised zoonotic *Anisakis* species were
35 identified, suggesting a very low threat of anisakiasis in PNG.

36

37 **Keywords:** Anisakid nematodes, *Anisakis typica*, marine fish, ITS, mt-DNA *cox2*, zoonotic, Papua
38 New Guinea.

39

40 1. Introduction

41 The family Anisakidae includes parasitic nematodes of marine fauna. They have a
42 worldwide distribution and a complex life-cycle which involves invertebrates, fish, cephalopods
43 and mammals (Chai et al., 2005). Anisakid nematodes can accidentally infect humans who can suffer
44 from several symptoms including sudden epigastric pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea and allergic
45 reaction (Sakanari and McKerrow, 1989; Audicana and Kennedy, 2008). Most cases of human
46 infection involve anisakid species belonging to the genus *Anisakis* Dujardin, 1845. There are nine
47 described species of *Anisakis*, which are further subdivided into two types. Type I consists of
48 *Anisakis simplex* sensu stricto (s.s), *A. pegreffii*, *A. simplex* C, *A. typica*, *A. ziphidarum* and *A.*
49 *nascettii* while Type II consists of *A. paggiae*, *A. physeteris* and *A. brevispiculata* (Mattiucci and
50 Nascetti, 2008; Mattiucci et al., 2009). Of these, only *A. simplex* s.s, *A. pegreffii* and *A. physeteris*
51 have been shown to cause infection in humans (Mattiucci et al., 2011; Arizono et al., 2012).

52 Anisakid nematodes can be differentiated based on their morphological characteristics and
53 molecular data. According to Berland (1961), larval morphological features including the absence
54 of a ventricular appendage and an intestinal caecum are useful for distinction between several
55 anisakid genera. *Anisakis* Type I or Type II larvae can be identified based on ventriculus length and
56 the presence of a tail spine (or mucron) (Berland, 1961). More recently, polymerase chain reaction
57 (PCR) based tools have been widely used for characterisation of anisakid species at multiple loci,
58 including ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions (Zhu et al., 1998; D'Amelio et al.,
59 2000; Nadler et al., 2005; Pontes et al., 2005; Abe et al., 2006; Umehara et al., 2006; Zhu et al.,
60 2007; Umehara et al., 2008; Kijewska et al., 2009) and the mitochondrial *cytochrome C* oxidase
61 subunit II (*cox2*) gene (Valentini et al., 2006; Mattiucci et al., 2009; Murphy et al., 2010; Cavallero
62 et al., 2011; D'Amelio et al., 2011; Setyobudi et al., 2011).

63 Anisakid nematodes are a major public health concern. In the last thirty years, there has
64 been a marked increase in the prevalence of anisakiasis throughout the world, due in part to
65 growing consumption of raw or lightly cooked seafood (Audicana and Kennedy, 2008). Over 90%

66 of cases of anisakiasis are from Japan where consumption of raw fish is popular, with most of the
67 rest from other countries with a tradition of eating raw or marinated fish, such as the Netherlands,
68 France, Spain, Chile and the Philippines (Chai et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2009).

69 Fish are one of the most important food sources in the coastal areas of Papua New Guinea
70 (PNG). A wide variety of fish species are caught and sold at local markets. Little is known about the
71 prevalence of zoonotic animal parasites including anisakids in fish or of anisakiasis in humans in
72 PNG (Koinari et al., 2012). A review paper mentioned *A. simplex* in skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus*
73 *pelamis*) in waters on the south coast of PNG, but did not provide any supporting information
74 (Owen, 2005). The present study was aimed at investigating the distribution of anisakid species in
75 the archipelago off the New Guinean northern coast and specifically to screen for zoonotic species
76 in fish using both morphology and PCR analysis of the ITS region and the mitochondrial *cox2* gene.

77

78 **2. Materials and methods**

79 *2.1. Parasite collection*

80 A total of 276 whole fresh fish were collected from markets in the coastal towns of Madang
81 and Rabaul from March to August 2011 (Fig. 1). The fish were necropsied and nematodes were
82 collected from the body cavities. The muscles of the fish were thinly sliced and investigated under
83 white light to check for nematode larvae. Nematodes were preserved in 70% ethanol and
84 transported to Murdoch University, Australia, for analysis. The prevalence of anisakids in each fish
85 host was expressed as the percentage of positive samples; with 95% confidence intervals calculated
86 assuming a binomial distribution (Rosza et al., 2000).

87 *2.2. Morphological analysis*

88 Whole nematodes were cleared in lactophenol for more than 48 hours and individually
89 mounted onto microscope slides. The body lengths of the nematodes were directly measured.
90 Images were taken with an Olympus BX50 light microscope equipped with Olympus DP70 Camera
91 at 40/100X magnification. The following features were measured: body width, oesophagus length,

92 ventriculus length and mucron length. Morphological identification was conducted according to
93 keys previously reported (Berland, 1961; Cannon, 1977).

94 Scanning electron micrographs (SEMs) were taken for representative specimens to study
95 further morphological details. SEMs were obtained on a Phillips XL30 scanning electron
96 microscope at the Centre for Microscopy Characterization and Analysis at the University of
97 Western Australia. Parasite samples were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde and 1% paraformaldehyde in
98 PBS for 60 min at 4°C and washed twice with PBS (pH = 7.4) in 1.5 mL eppendorf tubes. Samples
99 were dehydrated using a PELCO Biowave microwave processor (TedPella Inc., Redding, CA,
100 USA) by passage through increasing ethanol concentrations in water (33%, 50%, 66% and 100%)
101 followed by two washes in dry acetone. Samples were then dried in a critical point dryer (Emitech
102 850, Quorum Technologies, Ashford, UK), attached to aluminium sample holders and coated with a
103 5 nm thick platinum coating to enable surface electrical conduction.

104 2.3. Genetic characterisation and phylogenetic analysis

105 DNA from individual nematodes was isolated using a DNeasy® Tissue Kit (Cat. No. 69504,
106 Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The ITS rDNA region was amplified using primers NC5 5'-
107 GTAGGTGAACCTGCGGAAGGATCAT-3' and NC2 5'-TTAGTTTCTTTTCCCTCCGCT-3'
108 (Zhu et al., 1998) and the mt-DNA *cox2* gene was amplified using primers 210 5'-
109 CACCAACTCTTAAAATTATC-3' and 211 5'-TTTTCTAGTTATATAGATTGRTTYAT-3'
110 (Nadler and Hudspeth, 2000).

111 Each PCR was performed in a reaction volume of 25 µL using 1 µL of DNA, 1 x PCR
112 buffer (Kapa Biosystems, Cape Town, South Africa), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM (each) dNTP (Fisher
113 Biotech, Australia), 12.5 pmol of each primer and 0.5 U of *kapa* Taq DNA polymerase (Kapa
114 Biosystems, Cape Town, South Africa). Negative (no DNA template) and positive (genomic DNA
115 from L3 *Anisakis typica* larvae) controls were included in all PCR reactions. Thermal cycling was
116 performed in a Perkin Elmer Gene Amp PCR 2400 thermal cycler at conditions as previously
117 described (Valentini et al., 2006; Kijewska et al., 2009).

118 All amplicons were purified using an Ultra Clean® DNA purification kit (MolBio, West
119 Carlsbad, CA, USA). Sequencing was performed using the ABI Prism BigDye® terminator cycle
120 sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA
121 Analyser instrument according to manufacturer's instructions except that the annealing temperature
122 was lowered to 46 °C for the *cox2* locus. Sequences were analysed using FinchTV 1.4.0 (Geospiza,
123 Inc.; Seattle, WA, USA; <http://www.geospiza.com>) and compared with published sequences for
124 identification using the National Institute of Health's National Centre for Biotechnology
125 Information Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). Additional known
126 ITS and *cox2* nucleotide sequences were obtained from GenBank
127 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank>) for phylogenetic analysis.

128 MEGA5 (<http://www.megasoftware.net/>) was used for all phylogenetic analyses (Tamura et
129 al., 2011). The nucleotide sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), edited manually
130 and tested with MEGA5 model test to find the best DNA model to infer the phylogenetic trees.
131 Phylogenetic analysis with other known anisakid species was conducted using both neighbour-
132 joining (NJ) and maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis for both loci. Evolutionary relationships were
133 calculated using the Kimura two-parameter model for ITS sequences and the Tamura-Nei model for
134 *cox2* sequences with *Contracaecum osculatum* as an outgroup. Reliabilities for of both NJ and ML
135 trees were tested using 1000 bootstrap replications (Felsenstein, 1985) and bootstrap values
136 exceeding 70 were considered well supported (Hills and Bull, 1993). The nucleotide sequences
137 were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers: **JX648312-JX648326**.

138

139 **3. Results**

140 *3.1. Anisakid prevalence*

141 The overall prevalence of anisakids in fish from PNG was 7.6% (21/276, 95% CI=0.05-
142 0.11). Anisakid larvae were found in 7 fish species, at prevalences ranging from 2.9% to 100%
143 (Table 1). The larvae were observed mostly within the body cavities of the fish and their intensity

144 ranged from 1 to 6 per infected fish host with the exception of *Pinjalo pinjalo*, which had an
145 intensity of 120 larvae per fish, with larvae being found in many other body parts including
146 muscles, pyloric region and liver.

147 3.2 Morphology of *Anisakis* Type I larvae

148 Morphological analysis showed that all anisakid nematodes examined were *Anisakis* Type I larvae.
149 The larvae were white and cylindrical in shape. They measured between 20 mm to 36 mm in length
150 and 0.4 to 0.45 mm in width. SEM revealed that the cuticles were irregularly striated transversely at
151 5.5 μm intervals. The larvae had inconspicuous lips with six papillae, a prominent boring tooth and
152 excretory pore which opened ventrally at the cephalic end (Fig. 2, panels A and E). The mouth
153 opening led to a cylindrical striated oesophagus (length 1.6-2.1 mm), which was followed by a
154 slightly wider ventriculus (length 0.98-1.13 mm). The junction between oesophagus and ventriculus
155 was transverse (Fig. 2 panel B). The ventriculus connected obliquely with the intestine, without a
156 ventricular appendage and intestinal caecum (Fig. 2 panel C). The intestine filled the remaining part
157 of the body. The mucron was distinct and was located at the caudal end (length 17.5-18.0 μm) (Fig.
158 2 panels D, F and G).

159 3.3 Sequence and phylogenetic analysis of the ITS region

160 Amplification of the ITS rDNA generated an approximately 900 bp product. Both
161 neighbour-joining and maximum-likelihood analyses produced trees with similar topology.
162 Neighbour-joining analysis of the ITS nucleotide sequences from the present study with previously
163 reported sequences from GenBank clustered all the *Anisakis* Type I larvae examined with *Anisakis*
164 *typica* (Fig. 3). The ITS nucleotide sequences of all the *Anisakis* Type I larvae from the present
165 study exhibited 99.1% to 100% similarities to the published sequence of *Anisakis typica*
166 (AB432909) found in Indian mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagartha*) in Thailand and 96.1% to 97.6%
167 similarities to the published sequence of *Anisakis typica* (JQ798962) found in cutlassfish
168 (*Trichiurus lepturus*) from Brazil. The sequences exhibited 82.7% to 88.7% similarities with other
169 *Anisakis* species (Table 2).

170

171 Amplification of the *cox2* gene generated an approximately 629 bp product. As with the ITS
172 locus, neighbour-joining and maximum-likelihood analyses produced trees with similar topology.
173 Neighbour-joining analysis of *cox2* nucleotide sequences showed that all isolates clustered broadly
174 with *A. typica* (DQ116427) but revealed more variation. Two broad groups were produced with
175 subgroup I consisting of 5 isolates and *A. typica* reference sequence (DQ116427), and subgroup II
176 containing 16 isolates (Fig. 4). Based on genetic distance analysis, subgroup I had 98.9% to 99.3%
177 similarity to *A. typica* (DQ116427) while subgroup II had 92.4% to 94.6% similarity to *A. typica*
178 (DQ116427). The *cox2* nucleotide sequences from the present study shared 77.0% to 87.2%
179 similarity with other known *Anisakis* species (Table 2).

180

181 4. Discussion

182 Anisakid larvae were found in 7.6% (21/276) of the 7 fish species examined. The intensity
183 of infection was low (1 to 6) in all fish hosts except for *Pinjalo pinjalo* (120) (Table 1). Previous
184 studies have reported wide variation in prevalence and intensity of infection of anisakids in other
185 fish hosts (Costa et al, 2003; Farjallah et al., 2008a, b; Setyobudi et al., 2011). The relatively low
186 infection level found in the present study could be due to the fact that most of the fish hosts sampled
187 were relatively small in size (range 16-49 cm fork length) compared to previous studies. In general,
188 prevalence and parasite burden tends to increase with the size and the age of the fish host
189 (Setyobudi et al., 2011).

190 All nematodes in the present study were identified morphologically as *Anisakis* Type I
191 larvae, based on an oblique connection between the ventriculus and the intestine, lack of a
192 ventricular appendage and intestinal caecum, and the presence of a mucron (Berland, 1961; Cannon,
193 1977). Larvae of *A. typica* found in cutlassfish (*Trichiurus lepturus*) from Brazil shared similar
194 morphological characteristics with the *A. typica* larvae from the present study (Borges et al., 2012).

195

196 Phylogenetic analysis of DNA sequences indicated that all examined samples were *Anisakis*
197 *typica*. At the ITS locus, all isolates examined formed a single clade with *A. typica*. The comparison
198 of the ITS nucleotide sequences from this study with sequences previously deposited in Genbank
199 resulted in 96.1% to 97.6% similarities to *A. typica* found in cutlassfish (accession no. JQ798962)
200 from Brazil and 99.1% to 100% similarities to *A. typica* (accession no. AB432909) from Indian
201 mackerel in Thailand.

202 At the *cox2* locus, whilst the isolates clustered broadly with the reference *A. typica*
203 genotype, two distinct subgroups (I: 98.9% to 99.3% similarity and II: 92.4% to 94.6% similarity)
204 were identified. Previously reported *cox2* trees by Valentini et al. (2006) also showed similar
205 genetic divergence within the *Anisakis typica* clade. Furthermore, the sequence difference of 5.4%
206 to 7.6% between the subgroup II clade and the reference *A. typica* sequence is still within the range
207 found between conspecifics in other nematode taxa (Blouin et al., 1998).

208 According to Mattiucci and Nascetti (2006), *Anisakis* species form two sister clades and *A.*
209 *typica* is grouped within clade I, based on phylogenetic relationships inferred from allozyme and
210 mitochondrial gene markers. In the present study, *A. typica* clustered within clade I at the *cox2*
211 locus, consistent with previously reported phylogenetic trees (Valentini et al., 2006; Mattiucci et al.,
212 2009; Cavallero et al., 2011; Setyobudi et al., 2011). However, at the ITS locus, *A. typica* did not
213 cluster within clade 1 and formed a separate group to the two clades. Other studies have shown
214 similar tree topologies at the ITS locus (Kijewska et al., 2009; Cavallero et al., 2011) and according
215 to Cavallero et al. (2011), *A. typica* could form a distinct lineage (resulting in three clades, rather
216 than two, for the genus *Anisakis*). It should be noted, however, that the position of *A. typica* in both
217 the ITS tree and *cox2* tree was not well supported (<50% bootstrap support) in our study and
218 therefore more sampling of the species from a wider range of hosts and geographical areas is
219 needed to resolve this discrepancy.

220 The present study identified seven new fish species as hosts for *A. typica*; *Decapterus*
221 *macarellus*, *Gerres oblongus*, *Pinjalo lewisi*, *Pinjalo pinjalo*, *Selar crumenophthalmus*,

222 *Scomberomous maculatus* and *Thunnus albacares*. Previous studies have identified *A. typica* in
223 more than 15 different fish hosts, which have an epipelagic distribution in the Atlantic Ocean close
224 to the coast lines of Brazil, Mauritius, Morocco, Portugal and Madeira (Mattiucci et al., 2002;
225 Pontes et al., 2005; Marques et al., 2006; Farjallah et al., 2008a; Iniguez et al., 2009; Kijewska et
226 al., 2009, Borges et al., 2012). *Anisakis typica* has also been found in the Mediterranean Sea close
227 to Tunisia, Libya, Cyprus and Crete, and in the Indian ocean off Somalia (Mattiucci et al., 2002;
228 Farjallah et al., 2008b) and Australia (Yann, 2006). Furthermore *A. typica* has been found in Japan,
229 Taiwan, China, Thailand and Indonesia (Chen et al., 2008; Palm et al., 2008; Umehara et al., 2010).
230 Although it has been hypothesized that *A. typica* has a global distribution that extends from a 30°S
231 to a 35°N latitude (Mattiucci and Nascetti, 2006), a previous distribution model for anisakid species
232 has not included PNG (Kuhn et al., 2011).

233 In conclusion, all anisakids identified from PNG in the present study were *A. typica*, which
234 has not previously been associated with human infections. Further studies are needed to extend the
235 knowledge of anisakid species distribution in larger fish hosts and other seafood hosts in PNG
236 waters, but the present study results suggest that the danger from zoonotic anisakid species in PNG
237 is very low.

238

239 **Acknowledgements**

240 We are grateful to Dr. Rongchang Yang and Josephine Ng for helpful discussions.

241 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit
242 sectors. This study was approved by the Murdoch University Animal Ethics Committee (Permit
243 R2368/10).

244

245 **References**

- 246 Abe, N., Tominaga, K., Kimata, I., 2006. Usefulness of PCR-restriction fragment length
247 polymorphism analysis of the internal transcribed spacer region of rDNA for identification
248 of *Anisakis simplex* complex. JPN. J. Infect. Dis. 59, 60-62.
- 249 Arizono, N., Yamada, M., Tegoshi, T., Yoshikawa, M., 2012. *Anisakis simplex* sensu stricto and
250 *Anisakis pegreffii*: biological characteristics and pathogenetic potential in human anisakiasis.
251 Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 9, 517-521.
- 252 Audicana, M.T., Kennedy, M.W., 2008. *Anisakis simplex*: from obscure infectious worm to inducer
253 of immune hypersensitivity. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 21, 360-379.
- 254 Berland, B., 1961. Nematodes from some Norwegian marine fishes. Sarsia 2, 1-50.
- 255 Blouin, M.S., Yowell, C.A., Courtney, C.H., Dame, J.B., 1998. Substitution bias, rapid saturation,
256 and the use of mtDNA for nematode systematics. Mol. Biol. Evol. 15, 1719-1727.
- 257 Borges, J.N., Cunha, L.F., Santos, H.L., Monteiro-Neto, C., Portes Santos, C., 2012. Morphological
258 and molecular diagnosis of anisakid nematode larvae from cutlassfish (*Trichiurus lepturus*)
259 off the coast of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. PloS one 7, e40447.
- 260 Cannon, L.R., 1977. Some larval ascaridoids from south-eastern Queensland marine fishes. Int. J.
261 Parasitol. 7, 233-243.
- 262 Cavallero, S., Nadler, S.A., Paggi, L., Barros, N.B., D'Amelio, S., 2011. Molecular characterization
263 and phylogeny of anisakid nematodes from cetaceans from southeastern Atlantic coasts of
264 USA, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea. Parasitol. Res. 108, 781-792.
- 265 Chai, J.Y., Darwin Murrell, K., Lymbery, A.J., 2005. Fish-borne parasitic zoonoses: status and
266 issues. Int. J. Parasitol. 35, 1233-1254.
- 267 Chen, Q., Yu, H.Q., Lun, Z.R., Chen, X.G., Song, H.Q., Lin, R.Q., Zhu, X.Q., 2008. Specific PCR
268 assays for the identification of common anisakid nematodes with zoonotic potential.
269 Parasitol. Res. 104, 79-84.
- 270 Choi, S.J., Lee, J.C., Kim, M.J., Hur, G.Y., Shin, S.Y., Park, H.S., 2009. The clinical characteristics
271 of *Anisakis* allergy in Korea. Korean J. Intern. Med. 24, 160-163.

- 272 Costa, G., Pontes, T., Mattiucci, S., D'Amelio, S., 2003. The occurrence and infection dynamics of
273 *Anisakis* larvae in the black-scabbard fish, *Aphanopus carbo*, chub mackerel, *Scomber*
274 *japonicus*, and oceanic horse mackerel, *Trachurus picturatus* from Madeira, Portugal. J.
275 Helminthol. 77, 163-166.
- 276 D'Amelio, S., Mathiopoulos, K.D., Santos, C.P., Pugachev, O.N., Webb, S.C., Picanco, M., Paggi,
277 L., 2000. Genetic markers in ribosomal DNA for the identification of members of the genus
278 *Anisakis* (Nematoda: ascaridoidea) defined by polymerase-chain-reaction-based restriction
279 fragment length polymorphism. Int. J. Parasitol. 30, 223-226.
- 280 D'Amelio, S., Cavallero, S., Dronen, N.O., Barros, N.B., Paggi, L., 2011. Two new species of
281 *Contraecum* Railliet & Henry, 1912 (Nematoda: Anisakidae), *C. fagerholmi* n. sp. and *C.*
282 *rudolphii* F from the brown pelican *Pelecanus occidentalis* in the northern Gulf of Mexico.
283 Syst. Parasitol. 81, 1-16.
- 284 Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
285 throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32, 1792-1797.
- 286 Farjallah, S., Busi, M., Mahjoub, M.O., Slimane, B.B., Paggi, L., Said, K., D'Amelio, S., 2008a.
287 Molecular characterization of larval anisakid nematodes from marine fishes off the
288 Moroccan and Mauritanian coasts. Parasitol. Int. 57, 430-436.
- 289 Farjallah, S., Slimane, B.B., Busi, M., Paggi, L., Amor, N., Blel, H., Said, K., D'Amelio, S., 2008b.
290 Occurrence and molecular identification of *Anisakis* spp. from the North African coasts of
291 Mediterranean Sea. Parasitol. Res. 102, 371-379.
- 292 Felsenstein, J., 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution
293 39, 783-791.
- 294 Hills, D., M., Bull, J., J., 1993. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing
295 confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Syst. Biol. 42, 182-192.

- 296 Iniguez, A.M., Santos, C.P., Vicente, A.C., 2009. Genetic characterization of *Anisakis typica* and
297 *Anisakis physeteris* from marine mammals and fish from the Atlantic Ocean off Brazil. Vet.
298 Parasitol. 165, 350-356.
- 299 Kijewska, A., Dzido, J., Shukhgalter, O., Rokicki, J., 2009. Anisakid parasites of fishes caught on
300 the African shelf. J. Parasitol. 95, 639-645.
- 301 Koinari, M., Karl, S., Ryan, U., Lymbery, A.J., 2012. Infection levels of gastrointestinal parasites in
302 sheep and goats in Papua New Guinea. (Published online ahead of print Oct. 11th 2012). J.
303 Helminthol. DOI: 10.1017/S0022149X12000594
- 304 Kuhn, T., Garcia-Marquez, J., Klimpel, S., 2011. Adaptive radiation within marine anisakid
305 nematodes: a zoogeographical modeling of cosmopolitan, zoonotic parasites. PloS One 6,
306 e28642.
- 307 Lymbery, A.J., Cheah, F.Y., 2007. Anisakid nematode and anisakiasis. In: Murrell, K.D., Fried, B.
308 (Eds.), Food-borne Parasitic Zoonoses: Fish and Plant-Borne Parasites. Springer New York,
309 pp. 185-207.
- 310 Marques, J.F., Cabral, H.N., Busi, M., D'Amelio, S., 2006. Molecular identification of *Anisakis*
311 species from Pleuronectiformes off the Portuguese coast. J. Helminthol. 80, 47-51.
- 312 Mattiucci, S., Paggi, L., Nascetti, G., Portes Santos, C., Costa, G., Di Benedetto, A.P., Ramos, R.,
313 Argyrou, M., Cianchi, R., Bullini, L., 2002. Genetic markers in the study of *Anisakis typica*
314 (Diesing, 1860): larval identification and genetic relationships with other species of *Anisakis*
315 Dujardin, 1845 (Nematoda: Anisakidae). Syst. Parasitol. 51, 159-170.
- 316 Mattiucci, S., Nascetti, G., 2006. Molecular systematics, phylogeny and ecology of anisakid
317 nematodes of the genus *Anisakis* Dujardin, 1845: an update. Parasite (Paris, France). 13, 99-
318 113.
- 319 Mattiucci, S., Nascetti, G., 2008. Advances and trends in the molecular systematics of anisakid
320 nematodes, with implications for their evolutionary ecology and host-parasite co-
321 evolutionary processes. Adv. Parasitol. 66, 47-148.

- 322 Mattiucci, S., Paoletti, M., Webb, S.C., 2009. *Anisakis nascettii* n. sp. (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from
323 beaked whales of the southern hemisphere: morphological description, genetic relationships
324 between congeners and ecological data. *Syst. Parasitol.* 74, 199-217.
- 325 Mattiucci, S., Paoletti, M., Borriani, F., Palumbo, M., Palmieri, R.M., Gomes, V., Casati, A.,
326 Nascetti, G., 2011. First molecular identification of the zoonotic parasite *Anisakis pegreffii*
327 (Nematoda: Anisakidae) in a paraffin-embedded granuloma taken from a case of human
328 intestinal anisakiasis in Italy. *BMC Inf. Dis.* 11, 82.
- 329 Murphy, T.M., Berzano, M., O'Keeffe, S.M., Cotter, D.M., McEvoy, S.E., Thomas, K.A.,
330 Maoileidigh, N.P., Whelan, K.F., 2010. Anisakid larvae in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.)
331 grilse and post-smolts: molecular identification and histopathology. *J. Parasitol.* 96, 77-82.
- 332 Nadler, S.A., Hudspeth, D.S., 2000. Phylogeny of the Ascaridoidea (Nematoda: Ascaridida) based
333 on three genes and morphology: hypotheses of structural and sequence evolution. *J.*
334 *Parasitol.* 86, 380-393.
- 335 Nadler, S.A., D'Amelio, S., Dailey, M.D., Paggi, L., Siu, S., Sakanari, J.A., 2005. Molecular
336 phylogenetics and diagnosis of *Anisakis*, *Pseudoterranova*, and *Contracaecum* from
337 northern Pacific marine mammals. *J. Parasitol.* 91, 1413-1429.
- 338 Owen, I.L., 2005. Parasitic zoonoses in Papua New Guinea. *J. Helminthol.* 79, 1-14.
- 339 Palm, H.W., Damriyasa, I.M., Oka, L., Oka, I.B.M., 2008. Molecular genotyping of *Anisakis*
340 Dujardin, 1845 (Nematoda: Ascaridoidea: Anisakidae) larvae from marine fish of Balinese
341 and Javanese waters, Indonesia. *Helminthologia* 45, 3-12.
- 342 Pontes, T., D'Amelio, S., Costa, G., Paggi, L., 2005. Molecular characterization of larval anisakid
343 nematodes from marine fishes of Madeira by a PCR-based approach, with evidence for a
344 new species. *J. Parasitol.* 91, 1430-1434.
- 345 Rozsa, L., Reiczigel, J., Majoros, G., 2000. Quantifying parasites in samples of hosts. *J. Parasitol.*
346 86, 228-232.
- 347 Sakanari, J.A., McKerrow, J.H., 1989. Anisakiasis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2, 278-284.

- 348 Setyobudi, E., Jeon, C.H., Lee, C.H., Seong, K.B., Kim, J.H., 2011. Occurrence and identification
349 of *Anisakis* spp. (Nematoda: Anisakidae) isolated from chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*)
350 in Korea. Parasitol. Res. 108, 585-592.
- 351 Shamsi, S., Norman, R., Gasser, R., Beveridge, I., 2009a. Genetic and morphological evidences for
352 the existence of sibling species within *Contracaecum rudolphii* (Hartwich, 1964)
353 (Nematoda: Anisakidae) in Australia. Parasitol. Res. 105, 529-538.
- 354 Shamsi, S., Norman, R., Gasser, R., Beveridge, I., 2009b. Redescription and genetic
355 characterization of selected *Contracaecum* spp. (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from various hosts
356 in Australia. Parasitol. Res. 104, 1507-1525.
- 357 Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., Kumar, S., 2011. MEGA5: Molecular
358 evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and
359 maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28, 2731-2739.
- 360 Umehara, A., Kawakami, Y., Matsui, T., Araki, J., Uchida, A., 2006. Molecular identification of
361 *Anisakis simplex* sensu stricto and *Anisakis pegreffii* (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from fish and
362 cetacean in Japanese waters. Parasitol. Int. 55, 267-271.
- 363 Umehara, A., Kawakami, Y., Araki, J., Uchida, A., 2008. Multiplex PCR for the identification of
364 *Anisakis simplex* sensu stricto, *Anisakis pegreffii* and the other anisakid nematodes.
365 Parasitol. Int. 57, 49-53.
- 366 Umehara, A., Kawakami, Y., Ooi, H.K., Uchida, A., Ohmae, H., Sugiyama, H., 2010. Molecular
367 identification of *Anisakis* Type I larvae isolated from hairtail fish off the coasts of Taiwan
368 and Japan. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 143, 161-165.
- 369 Valentini, A., Mattiucci, S., Bondanelli, P., Webb, S.C., Mignucci-Giannone, A.A., Colom-Llavina,
370 M.M., Nascetti, G., 2006. Genetic relationships among *Anisakis* species (Nematoda:
371 Anisakidae) inferred from mitochondrial cox2 sequences, and comparison with allozyme
372 data. J. Parasitol. 92, 156-166.

373 Zhu, X., Gasser, R.B., Podolska, M., Chilton, N.B., 1998. Characterisation of anisakid nematodes
374 with zoonotic potential by nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 28, 1911-
375 1921.

376 Zhu, X.Q., Podolska, M., Liu, J.S., Yu, H.Q., Chen, H.H., Lin, Z.X., Luo, C.B., Song, H.Q., Lin,
377 R.Q., 2007. Identification of anisakid nematodes with zoonotic potential from Europe and
378 China by single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis of nuclear ribosomal DNA.
379 *Parasitol. Res.* 101, 1703-1707.

380

381

Accepted Manuscript

392 **Figure 1: Map of the study sites.** Samples were collected on the coastal shelves off Madang and
393 Rabaul in Papua New Guinea.

394

395 **Figure 2. *Anisakis* Type I larvae from *S. crumenophthalmus*.** These images are exemplary for all
396 larvae found in the present study. Light microscopy images show: **A.** Cephalic end of larva showing
397 the boring tooth and the excretory pore; **B.** ventriculus - oesophagus junction; **C.** ventriculus -
398 intestine junction; **D.** caudal end showing the mucron, anal opening and anal glands. Scanning
399 electron microscopy images show: **E.** cephalic end; **F.** rounded tail with a mucron; **G.** mucron. ag =
400 anal glands, ao = anal opening, bt = boring tooth, e = oesophagus, ep=excretory pore, int=intestine,
401 l = lips, mu = mucron, ve = ventriculus.

402

403 **Figure 3: Phylogenetic relationships between *Anisakis* species from the present study (*) and**
404 **other *Anisakis* species as inferred by neighbour-joining analysis of ITS rDNA.** The
405 evolutionary distances were computed using the Kimura-2 parameter method and the rate variation
406 among sites was modelled with a gamma distribution with *Contracaecum osculatum* as an
407 outgroup. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the
408 bootstrap test (1, 000 replicates) are shown at the internal nodes (> 50% only). Specimen codes are
409 given in Table 1.

410

411 **Figure 4: Phylogenetic relationships between *Anisakis* species from the present study (*) and**
412 **other *Anisakis* species inferred using the neighbour-joining analysis of *cox2* genes.** The
413 evolutionary distances were computed using Tamura-Nei model and the rate variation among sites
414 was modelled with a gamma distribution with *Contracaecum osculatum* as an outgroup. The
415 percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in a bootstrap test (1, 000
416 replicates) are shown next to the branches (> 50% only). Specimen codes are given in Table 1.

1 **Table 1: Fish species from which anisakid larvae were collected in the present study.**

2 N is the number of fish sampled, prevalence is the % of infected fish (95% CI in parentheses) and
 3 mean intensity (MI) is the mean number of larvae in the infected fish hosts \pm SD (range). Where no
 4 SD value was given, there was one or similar observation and SD could not be calculated.

5

Fish Species	N	Prevalence (CI)	MI \pm SD (min-max)	Specimen Code
<i>Decapterus macarellus</i> (Mackerel Scad)	29	6.9 (-0.03-0.17)	1	DM23, DM24
<i>Gerres oblongus</i> (Slender Silver-biddy)	54	3.7 (-0.02-0.09)	3 \pm 0.4 (2-4)	GO14, GO15
<i>Pinjalo lewisi</i> (White-spot Pinjalo Snapper)	14	50 (0.2-0.8)	5 \pm 0.92 (1-6)	PL1, PL5, PL8, PL9
<i>Pinjalo pinjalo</i> (Pinjalo)	1	100 (0.2-0.8)	120	PP1
<i>Scomberomous maculatus</i> (Spanish Mackerel)	3	33.3 (-1.1-1.8)	1	SM3
<i>Thunnus albacares</i> (Yellowfin Tuna)	34	2.9 (-0.3-0.09)	3	TA3
<i>Selar crumenophthalmus</i> (Bigeye Scad)	106	6.6 (0.02-0.11)	2.9 \pm 0.95 (1-3)	SC76, SC77, SC78, SC88, SC97, SC100, SC102

6

Table 2: Percentage similarity of the *Anisakis* species analysed in the present study and their closest relatives. At the ITS locus, comparison with *A. typica*, accession numbers AB432909 and JQ798962 were presented. *Anisakis* sp.* is conspecific with *A. nascettii* (Mattiucci et al., 2009).

Species compared	% similarity at:	
	ITS rRNA locus	<i>Cox2</i> locus
<i>A. typica</i>	96.1 - 100	92.4 - 99.3
<i>A. ziphidarum</i>	87.5 - 88.7	82.1 - 84.3
<i>A. pegreffii</i>	85.4 - 86.2	84.7 - 87.2
<i>A. simplex</i> s. s	85.6 - 86.3	84.7 - 86.7
<i>A. simplex</i> C	85.8 - 86.6	84.2 - 85.8
<i>Anisakis</i> sp.*	86.5 - 87.8	not analysed
<i>A. nascettii</i>	not analysed	83.9 - 86.7
<i>A. physeteris</i>	82.7 - 83.9	82.8 - 84.5
<i>A. brevispiculata</i>	78.6 - 80.1	77.0 - 79.1
<i>A. paggiae</i>	83.8 - 84.7	79.3 - 82.2

Figure 1






Figure 2






 0.01

