

# **The 100 Faces of *Cryptosporidium parvum***



**Hanna Edwards, BSc, MSc**

**School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences  
Murdoch University  
Western Australia**

**This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at  
Murdoch University, 2012**

# Declaration

I declare that this thesis is a true account of my own research and contains work, which has not been submitted for a degree at any other educational institution.

---

Hanna Edwards

## Acknowledgements

First of all I want to acknowledge the Australian tax payers. Without the support of a PhD scholarship from the Australian government (which is funded through tax) I would not have been able to undertake these studies and would have missed out on a great opportunity in life. Therefore, I'm thankful to everyone who has helped me in obtaining the scholarship which enabled me to complete this PhD thesis: My special thanks goes towards my husband Scott Edwards for his enthusiasm and interest in my carrier, Prof. Andrew Thomson and Prof. Robert Mead as well as Prof. Heinz-Joachim Haebler for the preparation of references which enabled my successful application.

I would like to thank my colleagues at the Centre for Microscopy, Characterisation and Analysis at the University of Western Australia for their assistance with sample preparation. The facilities at the Centre for Microscopy, Characterisation and Analysis are supported by University, State and Federal Government funding. Further I want to thank my colleagues at Murdoch University for advice with cell culture techniques and help with sample preparations. My special gratitude goes towards Tanya Armstrong and Wan Koh for their assistance with cell culture techniques and sample preparation. I would also like to acknowledge the graphic department at Murdoch University and express my gratitude for their preparation of graphics used in this study. My special gratitude goes towards my husband Scott Edwards, mother Christine Borowski and father Ernst-Gerhard Borowski for their support and steady help during my thesis writing progress. My special gratitude also goes towards my

children Emma, Lina and Luke Edwards for their patience with their working mama.

My greatest gratitude goes towards Dr. Peta L. Clode and Prof. Andrew R. C. Thompson for being the world's best supervisors. I thank them the most because they corrected hundreds of pages and many thousands of spelling and grammar mistakes, patiently, over and over again.

Equally I want to thank my examiners for taking on the task of proofreading my thesis.

Thank you

## Abstract

*Cryptosporidium parvum* is a protozoan enteric parasite of humans and livestock. *C. parvum* infection mainly affects the ileum, where it has the potential to cause severe enteric disease. Drugs for the treatment of cryptosporidiosis are still not available and the biology and life cycle of *C. parvum* remain incompletely understood. The present study gives new insight into the parasite's morphology, life cycle and host cell relationship.

This study utilised light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy and labeling of *C. parvum* surface receptors to examine infected cell cultures, cell-free cultures and oocyst stocks of *C. parvum*. Hence, this study compared different culture and different microscopic examination methods to determine the most suitable way to examine *C. parvum*'s morphology. Cell-free culture did not provide additional information to this study. However, it served as a valuable comparison for life cycle stages detected in the supernatant above cells which are expected to occur in the intestinal lumen of infected hosts. Scanning electron microscopy was the most suitable tool for obtaining information on the parasite's morphology, whereas transmission electron microscopy enabled a view into the interior of stages. Employing light microscopy in this study was essential to progressively monitor live samples and visualise stages in the supernatant above cells, which were not attached to host tissue. In the course of this study a protocol was developed, which enabled the visualisation of *Cryptosporidium* receptors on the surface of parasites and/or host cell material via immunogold labeling with scanning electron microscopy.

For the first time, the entire range of *C. parvum*'s life cycle stages has been morphologically characterised (including their interactions with host cells) and presented in one study. A better understanding of the parasite's biology, proliferation in host tissue and interactions with host cells will aid the drug development process.

Recent electron micrographs acquired in the course of this study revealed new life cycle stages, provided new information about the parasite's morphology and its relationship with host cells. New insight into the host cell invasion process of *C. parvum* sporozoites as well as merozoites I and II was obtained. Features of gliding motility of the invasive stages were visualised and explained. Phenomena including binary fission - commonly employed by bacteria for the production of two identical daughter stages from one parent stage - and syzygy - the pairing of gamonts to exchange genetic material, described in gregarines - , was observed and described. Extracellular gamonts and gamont-like stages were also characterised; developing from intracellular trophozoites to finally break host cell contact and take their place in the life cycle of *C. parvum*, travelling free in the intestinal lumen. The morphology of the two different types of oocysts has been described and findings on receptor expression in their outer membranes are presented. Furthermore, *C. parvum* receptors were identified in the apical membranes surrounding parasite stages. *C. parvum* surface receptors were also found on host cell microvilli in proximity to invading and/or gliding parasites.

Additionally, the present study observed the effect that a *C. parvum* infection exerts on host tissue with respect to necrosis and apoptosis. This study also poses new ideas regarding the parasite's host-dependent feeding behaviour.

# Publications

Part of the work presented in this thesis has been accepted for publication or presented in scientific conferences as described below:

## Publications in Journals

BOROWSKI, H., CLODE, P. L. & THOMPSON, R. C. (2008) Active invasion and/or encapsulation? A reappraisal of host-cell parasitism by *Cryptosporidium*. *Trends in Parasitology*.

BOROWSKI, H., THOMPSON, R. C., ARMSTRONG, T. & CLODE, P. L. (2009) Morphological characterization of *Cryptosporidium parvum* life-cycle stages in an in vitro model system. *Parasitology*, 1-14.

EDWARDS, H., THOMPSON, R. C., KOH, W. H. & CLODE, P. L. (2011) Labeling surface epitopes to identify *Cryptosporidium* life stages using scanning electron microscopy-based immunogold approach. *Molecular and Cellular Probes*, 1-8.

## Presentations at Conferences

BOROWSKI, H., CLODE, P. L. & THOMPSON, R. C. (2007) News about *Cryptosporidium parvum*: New insights into the invasion process, developmental stages and effects on host cells in vitro. ASP Conference 2007, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia.



CLODE, P. L., BOROWSKI, H., ARMSTRONG, T., KOH, W. H. & THOMPSON,  
R. C. (2009) SEM imaging of *Cryptosporidium parvum* life cycle stages.  
ICOPA Conference 2009, Oviato, Italy.

# Contents

<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>Publications</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>xiv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>xiv</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>xviii</b>
<b>1. General Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1. Introduction .....	1
1.2. Literature Review: “Active Invasion and/or Encapsulation: A Reappraisal of Host-Cell Parasitism by <i>Cryptosporidium</i> ” .....	2
1.3. Hypothesis .....	36
1.4. Aims of this Thesis .....	37
1.5. Study Design.....	38
<b>2. Materials and Methods</b> .....	<b>40</b>
2.1. Cell Culture .....	40
2.1.1. <i>C. parvum</i> Isolates and Preparation .....	40
2.1.2. Cell Line .....	40
2.1.3. Cryopreservation of Cells .....	40
2.1.4. Cell Culture .....	41
2.1.5. Pretreatment of Oocysts.....	41
2.1.6. Infection.....	42
2.1.7. Cell-Free Culture .....	43
2.1.8. Light Microscopy .....	43
2.2. Electron Microscopy.....	43
2.2.1. Fixation for SEM & TEM.....	43
2.2.2. Microwave Processing for SEM & TEM.....	44
2.2.3. Critical Point Drying for SEM.....	45
2.2.4. Coating of SEM Samples .....	45
2.2.5. Resin Infiltration for TEM.....	45
2.2.6. Microtoming and Staining of TEM Samples .....	46
2.2.7. Image Capturing for SEM.....	46
2.2.8. Image Capturing for TEM .....	46
2.3. Immunogold SEM .....	47

2.3.1. Antibodies .....	47
2.3.2. The Protocol for Immunogold Labeling of Inoculated Parasites ...	47
2.3.2.1. Cell Culture.....	47
2.3.2.2. Antibody Labeling of Infected HCT-8 Cultures.....	48
2.3.2.3. Antibody Labeling of Oocyst Stock .....	48
2.3.2.4. Antibody Labeling of Cell-Free Cultures .....	49
2.3.2.5. Fixation.....	49
2.3.2.6. Sample Processing for SEM.....	50
2.3.2.7. Image Capturing for SEM .....	50
<b>3. Results: Light Microscopy on Cell and Cell-free Cultures of <i>C. parvum</i></b>	<b>51</b>
3.1. Introduction .....	51
3.2. Aims.....	52
3.3. Methods .....	53
3.4. Results.....	53
3.5. Discussion.....	69
3.6. Conclusions .....	77
<b>4. Results: Morphology of the HCT-8 Host Cell Line .....</b>	<b>78</b>
4.1. Introduction .....	78
4.2. Aims.....	81
4.3. Methods .....	81
4.4. Results.....	81
4.4.1. Cell Morphology of Uninfected HCT-8 Cells.....	81
4.4.2. Cell Morphology of HCT-8 Monolayers Infected with <i>C. parvum</i> .....	94
4.5. Discussion.....	107
4.5.1 Uninfected HCT-8 Cells.....	107
4.5.2. Infected HCT-8 Cells .....	109
4.5.3. Conclusions.....	112
<b>5. Results: The Hundred Faces of <i>C. parvum</i>.....</b>	<b>113</b>
5.1. Introduction .....	113
5.2. Aims.....	114
5.3. Methods .....	115
5.4. Results and Discussions.....	115
5.4.1. Oocysts Excystation and Sporozoites .....	116
5.4.2. Host Cell Invasion .....	122
5.4.3. Trophozoite Development .....	128
5.4.4. Trophozoite Characteristics.....	140
5.4.5. Meronts I and Merozoites type I .....	156
5.4.6. Meronts II and Merozoites type II .....	170
5.4.7. Novel Meront-Like Stages .....	181
5.4.8. Gamonts.....	184
5.4.8.1. Microgamonts and Microgametocytes .....	185
5.4.8.2. Macrogamonts and other Gamonts .....	199
5.4.8.3. Attachment Zone .....	209

5.4.9. Zoite Development from within Parent Stage .....	218
5.4.10. What formed the Oocyst? .....	221
5.4.11. Host cell Parasitism .....	225
5.4.12. Atypical Features of <i>C. parvum</i> .....	228
5.4.13. Summaries .....	233
5.4.13.1. Scheme of Standard Trophozoite .....	233
5.4.13.2. The Host-Parasite Interface .....	234
5.4.13.3. Life Cycle .....	238
5.4.13.4. Summary of <i>C. parvum</i> Stages .....	243
5.4.14. Conclusions .....	243
5.5. Final Remarks .....	246
<b>6. Results: The Expression of <i>C. parvum</i> Surface Receptors .....</b>	<b>248</b>
6.1. Introduction .....	248
6.2. Aims .....	250
6.3. Methods .....	251
6.4. Results .....	253
6.4.1. Oocyst Stock .....	253
6.4.2. Cell-Free Culture .....	263
6.4.3. Cell Culture .....	266
6.4.3.1. Apical Membrane .....	267
6.4.3.2. Microvilli Interactions .....	277
6.4.3.3. <i>C. parvum</i> Stages only Identified via Immunogold Labeling .....	282
6.4.4. Negative Controls .....	287
6.5. Discussion .....	291
6.6. Conclusions .....	303
<b>7. General Discussion and Conclusions .....</b>	<b>305</b>
7.1. Comparisons of Results obtained with Different Methods .....	305
7.1.1. Light Microscopy .....	305
7.1.2. TEM .....	307
7.1.3. SEM .....	307
7.1.4. Immunogold Labeling .....	308
7.1.5. Cell-Free Culture .....	309
7.1.6. Cell Culture .....	311
7.1.7. Oocyst Stock .....	312
7.2. Summary of Results .....	313
7.2.1. Infected Host Cell Layers .....	313
7.2.2. Oocyst Excystation and Host Cell Infection .....	315
7.2.3. Host Cell Invasion .....	316
7.2.4. Trophozoite Receptor Expression .....	318
7.2.5. Feeder Organelle .....	319
7.2.6. Binary Fission and Syzygy .....	319
7.2.7. Meronts I .....	320
7.2.8. Meronts II .....	321
7.2.9. Gamonts .....	322
7.2.9.1. Microgamonts .....	322

7.2.9.2. Macrogamonts and Large Gamonts .....	323
7.2.10. Completion of the Life Cycle .....	324
7.2.11. Cell-Free Development .....	325
7.2.12. Unclassified Stages.....	325
7.2.13. Phylogenetic Affinity.....	326
7.3. Suggestions for Future Research .....	327
7.4. Concluding Remarks.....	333
<b>References.....</b>	<b>335</b>

## List of Tables

Tab. i	<i>C. parvum</i> receptors and their role in host cell parasitism	32
Tab. ii	<i>C. parvum</i> enzymes and their role in host cell parasitism	34
Tab. 1	Summary of findings over the 8 day period of cell culture	69
Tab. 2	Summary of <i>C. parvum</i> stages	242

## List of Figures

Fig. i	Life cycle of <i>C. parvum</i>	2
Fig. ii	Sporozoite penetration of the mucous barrier	9
Fig. iii	<i>C. parvum</i> gliding trails	10
Fig. iv	Sporozoite	14
Fig. v	Summary of the host cell infection process	22
Fig. vi	<i>C. parvum</i> 's niche	26
Fig. 1	Infected and non-infected HCT-8 monolayers after 24 hrs	54
Fig. 2	Infected and non-infected HCT-8 monolayers after 48 hrs	56
Fig. 3	Infected and non-infected HCT-8 monolayers after 72 hrs	58
Fig. 4	Infected and non-infected HCT-8 monolayers after 96 hrs	60
Fig. 5	Infected and non-infected HCT-8 monolayers after 5 days	62
Fig. 6	Infected and non-infected HCT-8 monolayers after 6 days	63
Fig. 7	Infected and non-infected HCT-8 monolayers after 7-8 days	64
Fig. 8	Cell free culture after 4 days	67
Fig. 9	Cell free culture after 5 days	68
Fig. 10	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells, 24 hrs negative control	83
Fig. 11	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells, 48 hrs negative control	85
Fig. 12	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells, 72 hrs negative control	87
		88
Fig. 13	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells, 96 hrs negative control	90
Fig. 14	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells, 5 days negative control	91
Fig. 15	Examples of HCT-8 cell features	93
Fig. 16	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells 24 hrs after infection	95
		96
Fig. 17	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells 48 hrs after infection	98
		99
Fig. 18	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells 72 hrs after infection	100
		101
Fig. 19	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells 96 hrs after infection	102
		104
Fig. 20	Monolayer of HCT-8 cells 5 days after infection	105
		106
Fig. 21	Infective oocysts and sporozoites	120
Fig. 22	Apical end elongation of sporozoites	121
Fig. 23	Sporozoite attachment to host cell 24hrs post inoculation	125
Fig. 24	Sporozoite host cell invasion	126
Fig. 25	Development of an intracellular feeding connection	127
Fig. 26	Host cell invasion and trophozoite development	134

Fig. 27	Trophozoite development 6 – 55 hrs post inoculation	135
Fig. 28	Co-invasion of <i>C. parvum</i> stages	136
Fig. 29	Trophozoites develop in different forms and shapes	137
Fig. 30	<i>C. parvum</i> stages 3 days post inoculation	138
Fig. 31	Example of a trophozoite	139
Fig. 32	Clusters of 4, 6 and 2 trophozoites	142
Fig. 33	Trophozoite formation by sporozoite directly next to oocyst	143
Fig. 34	Gliding trails	146
Fig. 35	Stimulation of microvilli expression by <i>C. parvum</i>	148
Fig. 36	<i>C. parvum</i> binary fission	151
Fig. 37	Syzygy	154
Fig. 38	Syzygy	155
Fig. 39	Meronts I 24 hrs post inoculation	157
Fig. 40	Meront I at 24hrs post inoculation	158
Fig. 41	Meronts I 5 days post inoculation	161
Fig. 42	Merozoites type I at day 1 of culture	162
Fig. 43	Merozoite type I 5 days post inoculation in dense host cell contact	163
Fig. 44	Merozoites type I with lateral protrusion	164
Fig. 45	Merozoite type I confirmed by immunogold labeling	166
Fig. 46	Merozoite type I attaching to host tissue via its lateral organelle	167
Fig. 47	Merozoite type I attaching to host tissue via its lateral organelle	167
Fig. 48	Merozoites type I adhering to each other via parasite-parasite surface receptor interactions	168
Fig. 49	Meront II with two internal merozoites type II	171
Fig. 50	Merozoite type II host cell invasion	173
Fig. 51	Meront II releasing three merozoites type II	174
Fig. 52	Merozoite type II in comparison to merozoite type I. Identified with immunogold labeling.	175
Fig. 53	Non-immunogold comparison picture of a merozoite type I and II	176
Fig. 54	Merozoites type I and II surrounded by host cell microvilli	176
Fig. 55	Merozoites type I and II embraced by host cell microvilli	177
Fig. 56	Two small merozoite type II on the host cell surface	178
Fig. 57	Larger merozoite type II on the host cell surface	179
Fig. 58	Large merozoite type II on the host cell surface.	179
Fig. 59	A novel meront II	183
Fig. 60	Microgamonts at the stage of microgametocyte release	188
Fig. 61	Microgamonts with a stork attached to the host cell	189
Fig. 62	Microgamonts and microgametocytes after 5 days of culture	190
Fig. 63	Magnification of Fig. 62b	191
Fig. 64	Two developing microgamonts	193
Fig. 65	Empty microgamont	194
Fig. 66	Microgametocyte on host cell surface	195
Fig. 67	Large <i>C. parvum</i> stages with microgametocytes adhering	196
Fig. 68	Magnifications of Fig. 67	197
Fig. 69	Microgametocyte adherent to a large <i>C. parvum</i> stage	198
Fig. 70	Matured macrogamont type1	201

Fig. 71	Macrogamonts/large gamonts	204
Fig. 72	Different stages of <i>C. parvum</i> origin engulfed by a tertiary host cell membrane	205
Fig. 73	Large gamont-like stages	207
Fig. 74	Large gamont-like stage identified with immunogold labeling	208
Fig. 75	Gamont attachment zones	211
Fig. 76	Release of entire <i>C. parvum</i> endogenous stages from their attachment zone	213
Fig. 77	Extracellular macrogamont with stork	217
Fig. 78	Light-micrographs of oocysts from water with internal sporozoites	218
Fig. 79	Trophozoite development from within parent stages	220
Fig. 80	TEM cross-section through a thin-walled oocyst	222
Fig. 81	Thin-walled oocysts newly formed 5 days post inoculation	224
Fig. 82	Thin-walled oocysts 6 hrs after inoculation of <i>C. parvum</i> oocyst stock	225
Fig. 83	<i>C. parvum</i> 's feeding connection	227
Fig. 84	TEM cross-section through <i>C. parvum</i> -infected HCT-8 cells	227
Fig. 85	Atypical parasite stages	229
Fig. 86	<i>C. parvum</i> stage similar to the one shown in Fig. 84e	231
Fig. 87	Long <i>C. parvum</i> stage attached to the host cell	232
Fig. 88	Schematic drawing of a typical trophozoite	233
Fig. 89	The host-parasite Interface	237
Fig. 90	Life Cycle of <i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>	238
Fig. 91	Receptors on oocyst surfaces	254
Fig. 92	Oocyst from inoculant on the host cell surface	255
Fig. 93	Excysted oocyst	256
Fig. 94	Immature and mature oocysts	257
Fig. 95	Immature and mature oocyst	258
Fig. 96	Round cyst-like stages attached to oocysts	260
Fig. 97	Single cyst-like stage	261
Fig. 98	Oocyst-like stage	261
Fig. 99	Large gamont-like stages in oocyst stock	262
Fig. 100	Meront from cell free culture	263
Fig. 101	Meront from cell free culture	264
Fig. 102	Oocyst-like stages in cell-free culture	265
Fig. 103	Overview of a 5-day infected monolayer	266
Fig. 104	Surface receptor expression on invading merozoites	268
Fig. 105	Surface receptor expression on early trophozoites	269
Fig. 106	Surface receptor expression on mature trophozoites	270
Fig. 107	Surface receptor expression on empty and newly formed <i>C. parvum</i> stages	271
Fig. 108	Four trophozoites on the host cell surface	272 273
Fig. 109	Surface receptor expression on atypical stages and stages in binary fission	274 275
Fig. 110	Surface receptors on a meront	276
Fig. 111	<i>C. parvum</i> surface receptors on host tissue	278
Fig. 112	<i>C. parvum</i> surface receptors on host cell microvilli embracing a	280



	merozoite	
Fig. 113	<i>C. parvum</i> surface receptors in gliding trails on host cells	281
Fig. 114	Merozoites type I engulfed by host cell microvilli	283
Fig. 115	Merozoites type II engulfed by host cell microvilli	284
Fig. 116	Surface receptors on microgametocytes	285 286
Fig. 117	Surface receptor labeling of large stage	287
Fig. 118	Negative control, merozoite type I	288
Fig. 119	Negative control, trophozoite and microgametocytes	289
Fig. 120	Negative control, host cell microvilli	290
Fig. 121	Negative control, host cell surface	291

## List of Abbreviations

a:	apical
ACBP:	fatty acid acyl-CoA binding protein
AGS:	human stomach adenocarcinoma
AIDS:	autoimmune deficiency syndrom
AP:	arginine aminopeptidase
AQP1:	aquaporin 1
ARP2/3:	actin related proteins 2 and 3
ASM :	acidic-sphingomyelinase
ATCC:	American type cell culture collection
ATP:	adenosine triphosphate
b:	rudimentary body
BSD:	backscattered electron detector/detection
c:	cyst
Caco:	human Caucasian colon adenocarcinoma cell line
<i>C. andersoni</i> :	<i>Cryptosporidium andersoni</i>
<i>C. baileyi</i> :	<i>Cryptosporidium baileyi</i>
CD:	cluster of differentiation
Cdc42:	cell division cycle 42
<i>C. muris</i> :	<i>Cryptosporidium muris</i>
cm :	centimetre(s)
cn :	contact region
CoA :	Coenzyme A
CP:	Cryptosporidial protein

Cpa:	<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>
CpABC:	<i>C. parvum</i> ATP-binding cassette protein
<i>C. parvum</i> :	<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>
CpATPase:	<i>C. parvum</i> adenosine triphosphatase
CPS:	<i>C. parvum</i> sporozoite protein
CSL:	<i>C. parvum</i> sporozoite ligand
c-Src:	cellular Src
db :	dense band
DMSO :	dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA :	Desoxyribonucleic acid
EM:	electron microscopy
ER :	endoplasmatic reticulum
et. al. :	and others
f :	feederorganelle
F-actin:	filamentous actin
Fas :	apoptosis stimulating fragment
FasL :	Fas ligand
FCS:	foetal calf serum
Fig.:	figure
Figs.:	figures
g:	gram(s)
G:	gamont
Gal:	Galactose
Gal/GalNAc:	N-acetylgalactosamin
GDP:	guanosine diphosphate

GEFs:	guanine nucleotide exchange factors
GP:	glycoprotein
GTP:	guanosine triphosphate
GTPase:	guanosine triphosphatase
gz:	gametocyte
H:	hole
HCT-8:	human ileocecal tumor (adenocarcinoma) epithelial cell line
HEPES:	4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid
HIV:	human immunodeficiency virus
hrs:	hours
Ig:	Immunoglobulin
INF:	interferron
j:	junction
k-Da:	kilo-Dalton
kV:	kilo-Volts
kx:	thousand times
l:	litre(s)
mAB:	monoclonal antibody
m:	membrane
M/Ma:	macrogamont
Mi:	microgamont
min:	minute(s)
MDCK:	Madin-Darby Canine kidney epithelial cell line
mf:	membrane folds
mg:	milligram(s)

ml:	milliliter(s)
mm:	millimetre(s)
mv:	microvillus, microvilli
mz:	merozoite
n:	nucleus
NK:	natural killer
nm:	nanometer
no:	nucleolus
O:	oocyst
p:	protein
P:	parasite
P <sub>3</sub> :	phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate
p34-Arc:	subunit protein with 34 k-Da of the actin-related protein complex (Arp2/3)
PBS:	phosphate buffered saline
PCR:	polymerase chain reaction
pH:	power of hydrogen
Ph:	pleckstrin homology domains
PI-3K:	phosphoinositide 3-Kinase
PM:	parasite membrane
psi:	pounds per square inch
PTPase:	protein tyrosine phosphatase
PV:	parasitophorous vacuole
PVM:	parasitophorous vacuole membrane
r :	residual body
rhp :	rhoptries

rpm:	revolutions per minute
RPMI:	Rapid prototyping and Manufacturing Institute
RT:	room temperature
s:	stork
SE:	secondary electron
sec:	second(s)
SEM:	scanning electron microscopy
SEMs:	sphingolipid-enriched membrane microdomains
SGLT1:	sodium/glucose cotransporter
sp./spp.:	species
sPLA <sub>2</sub> :	secretory phospholipase A <sub>2</sub>
Src:	a group of non-receptor tyrosine kinases
T:	trophozoite
TEM:	transmission electron microscopy
TKGFR:	tyrosine kinase growth factor receptor
TNF:	tumor necrosis factor
TRAP:	thrombospondin-related adhesive protein
TRAP-C1:	thrombospondin-related adhesive protein 1 of <i>C. parvum</i>
TSP:	thrombospondin
VASP:	vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein
VPSEM:	variable pressure scanning electron microscope
µg:	microgram(s)
µl:	microlitre(s)
µm:	micrometer(s)
x:	times

z: zoite