

**Parasites of the African painted dog (*Lycaon pictus*) in
captive and wild populations: Implications for conservation**

Amanda-Lee Ash

Bachelor of Animal and Veterinary Biosciences (Hons)

La Trobe University, Victoria



Faculty of Health Sciences

School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences

Murdoch University

Western Australia

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Murdoch University
2011

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any other tertiary educational institution.

.....

Amanda-Lee Ash

Abstract

The African painted dog (*Lycaon pictus*) is a highly endangered carnivore of sub-Saharan Africa, which in the last century has suffered a population decline of almost 99%. With only 3,000-5,500 animals remaining in the wild it is imperative to understand all threatening processes to which these animals may be exposed. The impact that parasites and other infectious agents have on wildlife has been increasingly recognized within conservation programs. Stressors such as human encroachment and habitat destruction are altering the incidence and effect that these pathogens have on wildlife populations, especially those endangered and under stress.

A parasitological study was conducted on captive and wild populations of the African painted dog over a three year period. Collaborations with three captive animal facilities and three *in situ* conservation groups within Africa allowed for a broad sample base from which variation in parasite prevalence and diversity could be identified. A combination of traditional microscopy techniques and molecular characterisation of parasite species were employed to obtain comprehensive data on the prevalence and diversity of gastrointestinal parasites observed in faecal samples collected from painted dogs.

Parasite prevalence within wild populations was 99% with a similar parasite community composition observed among all three wild populations. Five of the seven parasite genera observed in this study have not been reported before in this host. Additionally, molecular characterisations identified the potentially zoonotic species *Giardia duodenalis*, *Ancylostoma braziliense* and an ambiguous species of taeniid, all of which have also not been previously reported in this host.

The prevalence of parasites within captive populations was 15% with *Giardia duodenalis* being the dominant of the only two parasite species observed. The overall lack of prevalence and diversity of parasites observed in captive populations could be of significance for facilities involved in reintroduction programs. Particularly as immunologically naïve captive animals may be unable to cope with exposure to a ‘natural’ parasite load in the wild environment, leading to an ultimate decrease in reintroduction success.

Gastrointestinal parasites detected in faecal samples from wild and captive populations of the African painted dog during this study

	Wild	Captive
Parasite Taxon observed	Taeniid <i>Ancylostoma</i> <i>Spirometra</i> <i>Giardia</i> Coccidia <i>Sarcocystis</i> <i>Filaroides</i>	<i>Giardia</i> <i>Spirometra</i>

This study has obtained detailed baseline data of parasitism within populations of the African painted dog in captive and wild environments. The large proportion of new discoveries in this study demonstrates the paucity of information currently available on parasitism within this host species. It is hoped this information will assist in conservation efforts by a) recognising the challenges of parasite control in captive populations, particularly those involved in reintroduction and/or translocation programs, and b) being able to identify deviations from baseline parasite levels in wild populations which could be indications for emerging exotic and/or zoonotic disease.

Publications

Refereed journal articles:

Ash, A., Lymbery, A., Lemon, J., Vitali, S., Thompson, R.C.A. (2010). Molecular epidemiology of *Giardia duodenalis* in an endangered carnivore – the African painted dog. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 174, 206-212.

Conferences

Ash, A., Lymbery, A., Lemon, J., Thompson, R.C.A. (2010). Significance of parasitism in an endangered carnivore - the African painted dog. Conference Proceedings: XX11 International Congress of Parasitology, Melbourne, Australia. (Abstract)

Ash, A., Lymbery, A., Lemon, J., Vitali, S., Thompson, R.C.A. (2009). *Giardia duodenalis* isolates from captive and wild populations of the African painted dog (*Lycaon pictus*). Conference Proceedings: ASP & ARC/NHMRC Research Network for Parasitology Annual Conference. Sydney, Australia, pp 54. (Abstract)

Ash, A., Lymbery, A., Lemon, J., Thompson, R.C.A. (2009). Parasites of the African Painted dog (*Lycaon pictus*) in wild and captive populations: potential conservation impacts. Conference Proceedings: 22nd Conference of the World Association for the Advancement of Veterinary Parasitology (WAAVP), Calgary Canada, pp 86. (Abstract)

Ash, A., Lymbery, A., Lemon, J., Thompson, R.C.A. (2008) Parasites of the African painted dog (*Lycaon pictus*) in wild and captive populations: potential conservation

impacts. Conference Proceedings: 21st Australasian Wildlife Management Society Conference. Fremantle, Australia, pp 44. (Abstract)

Ash, A., Lymbery, A., Lemon, J., Thompson, R.C.A. (2007). Parasites of the African painted dog (*Lycaon pictus*) in wild and captive populations: potential conservation impacts. Conference Proceedings: ASP & ARC/NHMRC Research Network for Parasitology Annual Conference. Canberra, Australia, pp 95. (Abstract)

Acknowledgements

I would firstly like to thank my three supervisors, Andy Thompson, Alan Lymbery and John Lemon for their invaluable guidance throughout this project.

Secondly, the fabulous field assistance given by the staff of the *Zambian Carnivore Project* (Matt Becker, Egil Droge and Claire Harrision) and the *Wild Dog Project* (Robin Lines and Anna) for making my forays into deepest Africa a little less daunting. I now have the ability to navigate rough muddy roads in various 4WD vehicles and deal with angry elephants, hungry hyaena, thieving baboons and the ever present lion all in the quest for African painted dog poo. Additionally the staff at DeWildt wildlife trust (Alan and Gabby) for assistance and protection in roaming occupied enclosures for samples, whilst also chasing cheetah out of trees. And of course the keepers and vets at Perth and Monarto zoo.

Thirdly, the assistance I was given in the lab was lifesaving. I was fortunate in having access to expertise from various people including Cath Covacin, Aileen Elliot, Russ Hobbs and Louise Pallant, all of which made my task that much easier. Additionally, the post-grad office crowd were always available for a little light comic relief.

And finally, to all my family and friends who tried not to think I was completely mad for taking on a doctorate and for R who was a calming influence during the rough and rocky patches of field work, lab work and write up.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Publications	v
Conferences	v
Acknowledgements	vii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables	xiv
List of Figures	xviii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Parasites in wildlife	2
1.2 Importance of parasites in conservation	2
1.2.1 Direct impacts	4
1.2.2 Indirect impacts.....	8
1.2.3 Co-extinction events/biodiversity considerations	11
1.2.4 Assessing effects of human influences	12
1.3 The host, the African painted dog (<i>Lycaon pictus</i>)	14
1.3.1 Ecology of the African painted dog	15
1.3.2 Conservation issues for the African painted dog	16
1.4 Parasites and the African painted dog	17
1.4.1 Host ecology and parasites.....	17
1.4.2 Parasites recorded from the African painted dog	18
1.4.3 Gaps in current knowledge of parasitism in the African painted dog	20
1.5 Management of wild species	21

1.5.1 Captive environments	21
1.5.2 Reintroduction programs	23
1.5.3 Translocation programs	23
1.6 Goals for this study	24
Chapter 2 General materials and methods	27
2.1. Study design.....	28
2.2 Captive populations	29
2.2.2 Perth Zoo.....	29
2.2.3 Monarto Zoo	31
2.2.3 DeWildt Wildlife Trust.....	32
2.3 Wild populations	33
2.3.1 South Luangwa National Park – Zambia	33
2.3.2 Nyae Nyae Conservancy – Namibia	35
2.3.3 Hwange National Park – Zimbabwe	36
2.4 Sampling methodology	37
2.4.1 Ethics and permits.....	38
2.4.2 Locating packs	39
2.4.3 Sample collection.....	40
2.4.4 Sample movement.....	41
2.5 Parasitological techniques	42
2.5.1 Microscopy; qualitative analysis.....	42
2.5.2 Microscopy; quantitative analysis.....	44
2.5.3 Molecular characterisation.....	45

Chapter 3 Diversity and prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite fauna within captive and wild populations of the African painted dog	46
3.1 Introduction.....	47
3.2 Materials and methods	49
3.2.1 Sampling protocol.....	49
3.2.2 Parasite identification and quantification.....	51
3.2.3 Host and environmental variables.....	52
3.2.4 Data analysis	52
3.2.4.1 Descriptors used	52
3.2.4.2 Levels of testing.....	53
3.2.4.3 Statistical analysis	55
3.3 Results	56
3.3.1 Variation between captive and wild populations	56
3.3.2 Variation between packs	58
3.3.3 Variation among different geographic locations.....	60
3.3.4 Variation between different seasons	62
3.3.5 Variation between host age and sex	64
3.3.6 Parasite aggregation within hosts.....	64
3.4. Discussion.....	65
3.4.1 Parasite diversity.....	65
3.4.2 Influence of geographic, seasonal and demographic factors	68
3.4.3 Parasites of wild and captive populations	70
3.4.4 Conclusions.....	71
Chapter 4 Molecular epidemiology of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> in an endangered carnivore – the African painted dog.....	72
4.1 Introduction.....	73

4.2 Materials and methods	75
4.2.1 Sample collection.....	75
4.2.2 Parasitological techniques.....	75
4.2.3 DNA extraction.....	76
4.2.4 Amplification of 18S <i>r</i> RNA locus	76
4.2.5 Amplification of β -giardin locus.....	77
4.2.6 Amplification of the glutamate dehydrogenase locus.....	78
4.2.7 Data analysis	79
4.3. Results	80
4.3.1 Prevalence of infection	80
4.3.2 Molecular characterization of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> isolates	81
4.4. Discussion.....	84
4.4.1 Conclusions.....	89
Chapter 5 Hookworm species of the African painted dog and the significance of infection	90
5.1 Introduction.....	91
5.1.1 Hookworm in the canid host.....	92
5.1.2 The zoonotic potential of canid hookworm species.....	93
5.1.3 Hookworm in the African painted dog and other carnivores in Africa.....	94
5.1.4 Diagnosing hookworm infections	95
5.2 Materials and methods	96
5.2.1 Sample collection.....	96
5.2.2 Parasitological techniques.....	96
5.2.3 DNA extraction.....	97
5.2.4 Amplification of <i>Ancylostoma</i> spp. isolates at the ITS1 and ITS2 regions.....	97
5.2.5 Data analysis	98

5.3 Results	98
5.3.1 Prevalence of infection	98
5.3.2 Molecular characterisation of <i>Ancylostoma</i> spp. isolates	100
5.4 Discussion.....	101
5.4.1 Conclusions.....	106
Chapter 6 Detecting <i>Taenia</i> species of the African painted dog	108
6.1 Introduction.....	109
6.2 Materials and methods	114
6.2.1 Sample collection.....	114
6.2.2 Parasitological techniques.....	115
6.2.3 Identification of proglottids	115
6.2.4 DNA extraction.....	116
6.2.5 Amplification of Taeniid isolates at the 12S <i>r</i> RNA locus	116
6.2.6 Data analysis	117
6.3 Results	118
6.3.1 Prevalence of Infection	118
6.3.2 Identification of taeniid spp. proglottids	120
6.3.3 Molecular characterisation of taeniid sp. isolates	121
6.3.4 Phylogenetic analysis of <i>Taenia</i> spp. isolates	124
6.4 Discussion.....	125
6.4.1 Conclusions.....	129
Chapter 7 General discussion.....	130
7.1 Conservation status of the African painted dog.....	131
7.2 Increasing importance of studies of parasites	131

7.3 Parasitological techniques	132
7.4 Implications for biodiversity conservation	133
7.5 Parasitism in natural populations	134
7.5.1 Baseline data	134
7.5.2 Parasite threats to painted dogs	135
7.5.3 Zoonoses/anthroozoonoses	136
7.6 Parasitism in captive populations.....	137
7.7 Project limitations and future research	138
7.7.1 Sample collection and analysis	138
7.7.2 Continued surveillance.....	139
7.7.3 Threat of emerging disease	140
7.7.4 Captive management.....	140
Appendix 1. Taenia spp. proglottid key. From Verster (1969).....	142
References	143

List of Tables

Table 1.1	An overview of the major factors of the host/parasite relationship. Positive effects are those that are assumed to be beneficial to an individual or population of hosts; negative effects are assumed to be harmful to an individual or population of hosts.....	4
Table 1.2	A brief natural history of parasites observed in the African painted dog.....	19-20
Table 3.1	Number of faecal samples obtained from all captive and wild populations during the study period.....	50
Table 3.2	A hierarchical list of comparisons made between host groups with descriptions of the data used at each level.....	54
Table 3.3	The prevalence (with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) and diversity of GI parasites detected in faecal samples from all captive and wild populations of the African painted dog.....	57
Table 3.4	Prevalence (with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) of GI parasites detected in faecal samples from two packs within the Zambian study population.....	58
Table 3.5	The overall prevalence (with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) and diversity of GI parasites detected in faecal samples from three wild populations - Zambia, Zimbabwe and Namibia.....	61

Table 3.6	Prevalence of the main GI parasites (with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) detected in faecal samples from all wild populations in wet and dry seasons.....	62
Table 3.7	Aggregation of parasite species within host populations in Zambia, Namibia and Zimbabwe using the Index of Discrepancy values (<i>D</i>)....	65
Table 3.8	GI parasite genera observed in this study and in the published literature.....	65
Table 4.1	Prevalence of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> (with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) detected in faecal samples from captive and wild populations of the African painted dog.....	80
Table 4.2	Genotypic characterisation of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> isolates from individual African painted dogs at the 18S <i>rRNA</i> , β -giardin and <i>gdh</i> loci. * Wild animals over 2yrs of age were allocated as Adult.....	82
Table 4.3	Prevalence (with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) and genotypic characterisation of <i>Giardia</i> isolates obtained in a captive population of African painted dogs over a three year period.....	83
Table 4.4	Assemblages of <i>Giardia duodenalis</i> observed in both wild canids and domestic dogs noted in the literature and this study. Number of times reported in literature is presented as (r=).....	86
Table 5.1	Prevalence of <i>Ancylostoma</i> spp. (with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) detected in faecal samples from captive and wild populations of the African painted dog.....	99

Table 5.2	Prevalence of <i>Ancylostoma</i> spp. observed in the Zambian and Namibian populations of the African painted dog over a three year period. Sample size (n) and 95% confidence intervals in parentheses.....	99
Table 5.3	Genotypic characterisation of <i>Ancylostoma</i> spp. isolates obtained from wild populations of the African painted dog within Zambia and Namibia. Percentages for matched identities with published sequences in parentheses.....	100
Table 5.4	Summary of the nucleotide variations detected between the painted dog isolate from Zambia (Z-Ka01) and reference sequences for <i>A. caninum</i> and <i>A. duodenale</i> . Alignment was conducted in Sequencher™ 4.8 and dots indicate identity with the first sequence.....	101
Table 6.1	Definitive and intermediate hosts of <i>Taenia</i> spp. infecting African carnivores.....	112
Table 6.2	Prevalence of taeniids (with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) detected in faecal samples from wild populations of the African painted dog.....	119
Table 6.3	Prevalence of taeniids observed in the Zambian and Namibian populations of the African painted dog over a three year period. Sample size (n) and 95% confidence intervals in parentheses.....	119
Table 6.4	Species identification of taeniid proglottids obtained from painted dog populations.....	120
Table 6.5	Genotypic characterisation of taeniid spp. species isolates obtained from wild populations of the African painted dog within Zambia, Namibia	

and Zimbabwe. Percentages for matched identities with published sequences on GenBank in parentheses.....122

Table 6.6 Summary of the nucleotide variations detected between taeniid isolates from Zambian and Namibian populations of the African painted dog and reference sequences for *T. multiceps* and *T. serialis* (GenBank accession numbers GQ228818, DQ408418 and EU219546, DQ104238). Alignment was conducted in Sequencher™ 4.8 and dots indicate identity with the first sequence.....123

Table 6.7 Pairwise comparison of sequence variation (%) within the 12S *rRNA* loci of *T. multiceps* and *T. serialis* reference sequences and taeniid isolates obtained from Namibian and Zambian populations of the African painted dog.....124

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Location of painted dog study sites within Australia.....	29
Figure 2.2	Painted dog exhibit at Perth Zoo showing the water feature and animals resting in the shade.....	30
Figure 2.3	Painted dog exhibit at Monarto zoo showing the animals in the large fenced enclosure.....	31
Figure 2.4	Painted dog enclosure at DeWildt Wildlife Trust showing grassy and shady environment.....	32
Figure 2.5	Locations of the painted dog study populations within Africa.....	33
Figure 2.6	South Luangwa National Park, Zambia. Open grassland area with acacia and mopane woodland in the background, which is typical of the habitat frequented by painted dog populations.....	34
Figure 2.7	Nyae Nyae Conservancy, Namibia. One of the few sandy roads available to use, surrounded by thick grass and acacia trees.....	35
Figure 2.8	Game managed area of Hwange National Park, Zimbabwe. Open area with miombo woodland and acacia in the background, typical for painted dog habitat.....	37
Figure 2.9	The left and right side views of an individual painted dog from the Katete pack in Zambia. ID number P3 0308.....	40
Figure 2.10	Parasite ova of taeniid spp. and <i>Giardia</i> spp. cysts observed during microscopy analysis of painted dog faecal samples. Morphology and	

	size are substantially different between the two genera, allowing for unambiguous identification.....	43
Figure 3.1	Parasite load (N), species richness (S) and species evenness (H) in captive and wild populations of the African painted dog.....	56
Figure 3.2	Parasite load (N), species richness (S) and species evenness (H) in two packs within the Zambian study population.....	59
Figure 3.3	A multidimensional scaling plot of data from two African painted dog packs within the Zambian population based on Bray-Curtis similarity coefficients of parasite infracommunity composition.....	59
Figure 3.4	Parasite load (N), species richness (S) and species evenness (H) in three wild populations of the African painted dog.....	60
Figure 3.5	Parasite load (N), species richness (S) and species evenness (H) between wet and dry seasons in all wild populations of the painted dog.....	63
Figure 3.6	A multidimensional scaling plot of data from wet and dry environments of three wild populations based on Bray-Curtis similarity coefficients of parasite infracommunity.....	63
Figure 5.1	Depiction of the lifecycle of <i>Ancylostoma caninum</i> in domestic dogs adapted from www.Apaws.org	92
Figure 6.1.	The lifecycle of a taeniid species depicting the transmission cycle between predator (definitive host) and prey (intermediate host).....	111
Figure 6.2	A depiction of the genital atrium of <i>T. crocutae</i> as an example of the morphological features used in identification of proglottids. From Verster (1969). Appendix 1 displays the proglottid key used for identifying samples obtained in this study.....	116

Figure 6.3 Multiplex PCR of taeniid isolates from wild painted dog populations at the 12S *rRNA* locus. Lanes 2-11 are painted dog samples from Zambia and Namibia. Lane 13 is the negative control; 14-16 are the positive controls for *Taenia sp.*, *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis*.....121

Figure 6.4 Phylogenetic relationships, inferred using the Neighbour-joining method between taeniid isolates from African painted dogs and reference isolates of *T. multiceps* and *T. serialis* based on sequence data of the 12S *rRNA* locus. Representatives of *Taenia sp.* used for comparison are labelled with accession numbers as published on GenBank, with *E. granulosus* as the out-group. Numbers at nodes refer to bootstrap values (1500 replicates) > 20%.
.....125