

Development of Murdoch University Pilot Plant Maintenance & Demonstration Programs

“A report submitted to the School of Engineering and Energy, Murdoch University in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Engineering”

Shane Mackay

Bachelor of Engineering

Department of Engineering and Energy

Supervisor: Associate Professor Graeme Cole

Associate Supervisor: Dr. Linh Vu



MURDOCH
UNIVERSITY

PERTH, WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Executive Summary

The structure of the Instrumentation and Control Engineering stream at Murdoch University exposes students to significant practical components as well as extensive development of theoretical knowledge. One of the most industry-like practical components that these students have access to is the Murdoch University Pilot Plant. For this reason, it is important that the Pilot Plant is operating smoothly and kept as up to date as possible. The main purpose of this thesis paper is to ultimately improve the performance of the Pilot Plant and hopefully enable it to become an even more valuable resource.

Throughout the time spent on this thesis paper, various improvements and new aspects have been developed and implemented into the Pilot Plant. One of the first ideas explored through this thesis was a significant recoding of the way instrumentation was activated and controlled, enabling all device control function blocks to be operated when the mode attribute is set to program. It is envisaged that this will allow for significantly less operator confusion as has been experienced since the recent upgrade. Further to this, a significant portion of this thesis examined the development and implementation of both maintenance and demonstration programs. Existing research provided evidence that sequential control modules would be the easiest method for coding the maintenance program. This program was designed to activate both upon user demand and automatically on a preset time to cycle various aspects of the Pilot Plant that are susceptible to seizing during extended periods of dormancy. Minimal running time was an important aspect that needed to be considered and this was achieved, with the program completing in two minutes.

The thesis then goes on to explore the development and implementation of the demonstration program. The demonstration program is quite similar to the maintenance program as it also employs sequential control modules. Its design allows for a systematic approach to turning on pumps and waiting until predefined conditions are met to allow the Pilot Plant to reach a steady state operating condition without any input from an operator. This was successfully achieved with a fully automated program from start to finish which it is envisioned will aid during times when tours of the Pilot Plant are being performed, allowing the tour guide to simply press a button and direct full focus to the explanation about the Pilot Plant. Finally this thesis explores the adaption and creation of new human machine interface pages to be implemented into Station to take full advantage of the newly developed code. Three new pages are created to allow easy integration of the new program settings, while minor modifications are also performed, to make operation of the Pilot Plant significantly simpler.

Through the significant research and development undertaken throughout this thesis, it has become evident that there are many more opportunities and directions that further projects could take to build upon this knowledge base and further improve the Murdoch University Pilot Plant and ultimately the Instrumentation and Control Engineering stream.

Acknowledgements

For their enormous assistance in this project thanks are given to the following individuals:

Project Supervisor: Associate Professor Graeme R Cole,
Lecturer, Murdoch University

Assistant Project Supervisor: Dr. Linh Vu
Lecturer, Murdoch University

Mr Will Stirling
Technical Officer, Murdoch University

Mr John Boulton
Technical Officer, Murdoch University

Jolene Mackay
For her continued support

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	I
Acknowledgements	II
Table of Contents	III
Table of Figures	IV
List of Tables	IV
List of Appendices	V
Acronyms	V
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1. Project Background and History	1
1.2. Project Scope	2
1.3. Project Objectives	3
1.1.1 General Pilot Plant Maintenance	4
1.1.2 Fixing Existing Issues	4
1.1.3 Implementation of Control Loops Tuning through Station HMI	4
1.1.4 Development and Implementation of Pilot Plant Maintenance Program	5
1.1.5 Development and Implementation of Pilot Plant Demonstration Program	5
1.4. Project Revisions	5
1.5. Thesis Structure	6
CHAPTER 2: Technical Review of Experion PKS	7
2.1 Analysis of Available Documentation	7
2.2 Control Execution Environment – C300 Controller	8
2.3 Configuration Studio	9
2.4 Control Builder	10
2.4.1 HMI Display Builder	12
2.4.2 Station	13
CHAPTER 3: Existing Plant Modifications	15
3.1 Operation of FBs in Experion PKS	15
CHAPTER 4: Implementation of Control Loop Tuning	22
CHAPTER 5: Development of Maintenance Program	31
5.1 Sequential Control Module	32
5.2 Maintenance Program Design	35
5.3 Maintenance Program Testing	39
CHAPTER 6: Development of Demonstration Program	42
6.1 Demonstration Program Design	42
6.2 Demonstration Program Testing	47

CHAPTER 7: Development of HMI	50
CHAPTER 8: Concluding Remarks	55
8.1 Project Conclusions	55
8.2 Proposed Future Works.....	56
Bibliography.....	58
Appendices	59

Table of Figures

Figure 2.1 - Honeywell C300 Controller located in Pilot Plant Control Cubicle	8
Figure 2.2 - Screen Shot Configuration Studio	10
Figure 2.3 - Screen Shot of Control Builder	11
Figure 2.4 - Screen Shot HMIWeb Display Builder	13
Figure 3.1 - Devctla Face Block Experion PKS[3]	17
Figure 3.2 - Sample CM Coding Update for SV104.....	18
Figure 3.3 – Warning Light & Warning Buzzer	19
Figure 4.1 - Previous PID Point Detail Tuning Parameter Selection[3]	22
Figure 4.2 - Control Loop Tuning Code.....	24
Figure 4.3- Control Loop Tuning Parameters HMI	27
Figure 4.4 - HMI and CM code interaction	28
Figure 4.5 - Cyclone Underflow Tank Pump to Ball Mill Tank Flow Control Loop	29
Figure 4.6 - Raw Water Addition Valve to Non- Linear Tank Level Control Loop	30
Figure 5.1 - Typical Handler Containment	33
Figure 5.2 – Basic SCM code implementation.....	34
Figure 5.3 - Invoke Handler for Maintenance SCM.....	36
Figure 5.4 - Sample Maintenance Program SCM	37
Figure 5.5 - Maintenance Program HMI Additions	39
Figure 5.6 - Maintenance Program Testing through Storage Tank and Ball Mill Tank HMI	40
Figure 6.1 -Demonstration Program Main Handler Invoke	43
Figure 6.2 - Selection of Demonstration SCM with corresponding HMI equivalent	44
Figure 6.3 - Demonstration Program HMI Additions	46
Figure 6.4 -Demonstration Program Abort Handler Invoke.....	46
Figure 6.5 - Demonstration Program Stop Handler Invoke	47
Figure 7.1 – Control Loop Tuning Parameters HMI.....	51
Figure 7.2 - Warning Light & Warning Buzzer HMI Addition	51
Figure 7.3 - Level 2 "Storage Tanks" Old HMI Design	52
Figure 7.4 - Level 2 "Storage Tanks" New HMI Design.....	53

List of Tables

Table 1.1 - Instruments	2
Table 2.1 - Installed Input Output Modules for Pilot Plant.....	9
Table 4.1 - Control Equation Type Enumeration.....	25
Table 4.2 - Control Algorithm Types[3][1].....	25
Table 5.1 - Maintenance Program Testing Observation	41

List of Appendices

Appendix A.10	Thesis (Electronic)
Appendix A.20	Pilot Plant Upgrade CM Export
Appendix A.21	Control Loop Tuning CM Export
Appendix A.22	Maintenance Program Export
Appendix A.23	Demonstration Program Export
Appendix A.30	Maintenance Program 2 nd Phase Export
Appendix A.31	Full Pilot Plant Upgrade 2 nd Phase CEE Export
Appendix A.32	HMIWeb Display Screen Modifications

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
ASM	Abnormal Situation Management
CEE	Control Execution Environment
CM	Control Module
CVP	Output of PID in percentage
FB	Function Block
HMI	Human Machine Interface
IO	Input/Output
IOM	Input/Output Module
K	Proportional/Gain Term
L ⁻¹	Inverse Laplace Transform
MEDE	Microsoft Excel Data Exchange
MV	Manipulated Variable
OP	Operating Point
PID	Proportional Integral Derivative
PKS	Process Knowledge System
PLC	Programmable Logic Controller
PV	Process Variable
PVP	Process Variable in Percentage
S	Laplace Operator
SCM	Sequential Control Modules
SP	Set Point
SPP	Set Point in Percentage
T1	Integral Time (minutes)
T2	Derivative Time (minutes)
α	Filter Constant