Behaviour of trace elements in arsenian pyrite in ore deposits
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Abstract. As-bearing pyrite is one of the main hosts for Au and other trace elements in epithermal, Carlin and mesothermal (orogenic) Au deposits. Bailey and published SIMS, EMPA, LA-ICP-MS and PIXE analyses of pyrite from these deposits suggest that the solubility of Ag, Te, Hg, Sb and Pb in arsenian pyrite is controlled by As-content in a manner similar to that previously reported for Au by Reich et al., (2005). The trace elements can be divided into two groups that exhibit different solubility limits: i) Au, Ag, Te, Hg and Bi i) Sb and Pb. HRTEM and HAADF-STEM observations reveal nanoparticles with compositions of Sb-As-Fe-Ni, Sb-Pb-Te, Pb-Bi, PbS and Ag in arsenian pyrite above the solubility limit. Most nanoparticles are between 5 and 200 nm, with some containing Pb reaching 500 nm. Pyrite from Carlin-type and epithermal deposits contains larger amounts of Sb and/or As than pyrite from higher-temperature orogenic gold/mesothermal deposits. This suggests that the solubility of trace elements in pyrite appears to decrease with increasing temperature.
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1 Introduction

Pyrite is the main, and sometimes the only, common sulphide mineral in many low and moderate-temperature ore deposits. Trace element contents and zoning in pyrite, whether of the growth or sectoral type, provide useful information about the compositional evolution of the mineralizing fluids that formed the deposits. Information on the trace element content of pyrite helps to i) recognize the sequence of pulses and the chemistry of the hydrothermal fluids (e.g., Large et al., 2007; Deditius et al., 2009b; accepted); ii) identify the nature of the Au-Ag complexing agents. (i.e., Te-complexes may enhance the incorporation of Au and Ag into pyrite; e.g., Pals et al., 2003; Kesler et al., 2007). Arsenic is the most abundant and thus most important minor element that is incorporated into pyrite in most hydrothermal ore deposits, and it has an important control on incorporation of Au. Reich et al. (2005) have shown that the concentration of Au in pyrite is related to the arsenic content. Additional elements that are found in pyrite include Ag, Sb, Te, Se, Pb, Bi, Hg, Cu, Zn, Ni and Co, and their compositional ranges and relation to As remain poorly understood.

There is also very little information on the form of the trace elements in the pyrite structure. Are they present in solid solution or as nanoparticles that are “invisible” to analyses by secondary ion-mass spectrometry (SIMS), laser-ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS), and electron microprobes analysis (EMPA)? Another important aspect is the oxidation state of the trace elements. This is important in distinguishing between possibilities for monovalent and coupled substitutions in pyrite. The most investigated element, gold, has been found to occur as Au and Au\(^{3+}\), although Au\(^{5+}\) has also been suggested (Chouinard et al., 2005). The oxidation state of As was determined to be As\(^{3+}\) and As\(^{5+}\), utilizing X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), respectively (Simon et al. 1999, Deditius et al. 2008). The most recent studies also revealed amorphous As-Fe-S nanoinclusions in arsenian pyrite, which suggest that As may occur as the native element in pyrite (Deditius et al., 2009a).

This report examines the solubility limits of trace elements including Ag, Te, Sb, Pb, Bi, Hg, Cu, Zn, Co, Ni and Se in pyrite, with particular attention to their concentration as a function of increasing As-content. The compilation of published and new SIMS, LA-ICP-MS, EMPA analyses is supplemented by nano-scale observations using high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron (HAADF-STEM) and high-resolution (HRTEM) microscopy. We also evaluate the relation of these trace elements to the Au-content of pyrite. Pyrites included in this study are from orogenic gold and other mesothermal deposits, as well as low- and high-sulfidation epithermal deposits and Carlin deposits (Table 1). The behaviour of these trace elements as a function of temperature is also investigated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the deposit</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yanacocha</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Deditius et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo Viejo</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Deditius et al. (accepted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlin-type</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Emsbo et al. (2003); Reich et al. (2005); new analyses;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emperor</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Pals et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Arm</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Griffin et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sukhi Log</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>Large et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendigo-Stawell zone</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>Wood and Large (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roudný</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Zachariáš et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Deposits containing arsenian pyrite that have been analyzed. E-epithermal; OG–orogenic gold; M-mesothermal;

2 Relations and geologic setting of trace elements in arsenian pyrite

When considered as a single data set, analyses from all of the deposits in Table 1 show a generally positive correlation of Sb with Au, Ag, Hg and Cu, and of Te with Au and Ag. Lead correlates positively with Sb in orogenic gold deposits. Only Carlin-type deposits show a positive correlation between Au and Se. Bismuth correlates positively with Pb, and Co correlates with Ni in all analysed samples. These general trends agree with previous observations indicating that As has a positive correlation with Se and Cu (Griffin et al. 1991); Pb with Bi and Au with Sb (Wood and Large 2007); Sb with Pb (Morey et al. 2008); Au with Ag, Au with Cu, Pb and Te (Pals et al. 2003; Large et al. 2007).

The chemical state and form of gold in the matrix of arsenian pyrite at nanoscale levels have been discussed by Palenik et al. (2004) and Reich et al. (2005). New HAADF-STEM and HRTEM observations reveal that some of the trace elements in arsenian pyrite form nanoparticles which differ in their chemical composition and size. Pyrite from Pueblo Viejo has nano-inclusions of Sb-Pb-Te (~100nm in size), and Pb-Bi (20x100nm elongated inclusions) that are surrounded by polycrystalline pyrite. Carlin-type deposits contain nano-inclusions of Fe-Sb-As-Ni, PbS and Ag (Fig. 1a) that measure 50-200nm, 200-500nm and 5-50nm, respectively, were found in pyrite. Silver forms the smallest nanoparticles in arsenian pyrite, 5-50 nm, the same size as reported for native Au 5-50 (Reich et al. 2006). These observations suggest that native metals form the smallest particles, followed by larger sulfosalts/amalgams, and sulfides form the largest crystals in the matrix of As-pyrite. The combination of TEM analyses with SIMS and EMPA data are the basis for our proposal of several different solubility limits for the trace elements in arsenian pyrite, for example Sb (Fig. 1b).

3 Solubility of trace elements in arsenian pyrite

We interpret these relations to indicate that arsenic facilitates the incorporation of trace elements, (in addition to Au) into the pyrite structure. This is due to the structural distortion and/or charge imbalance that is created when As substitutes for sulphur or iron or even when it is present in a zero-valent state (e.g., Fleet and Mumin 1997; Reich et al. 2005; Deditius et al. 2008, 2009). The divalent Co and Ni and to a lesser extent Zn and mono/divalent Cu have limited solid solution in pyrite, substituting for Fe (Makovicky 2006; and references therein).

Concentration limits for Ag, Te, Hg, Pb, Bi and Sb in arsenian pyrite appear to be similar to those for Au, with respect to the slope of the line illustrated on Fig. 1b. However, concentration limits for Pb and Sb are one order of magnitude higher than the limit for Au, Ag, Te and Hg. The lack of adequate EMPA analyses of Bi and the presence of Pb-Bi nanoparticles in arsenian pyrite prevent efforts to identify an upper limit for Bi.

The temperature of precipitation controls the
amounts of trace elements that are soluble in arsenian pyrite and thus the occurrence and the size of nanoparticles. In heating experiments of natural arsenian pyrite from low-temperature Carlin-type deposit, Au nanoparticles <2nm in size dissolve at temperatures ~370°C, and the Au migrates to accumulate as larger particles, ~8nm in size (Reich et al. 2006). How this process operates under natural conditions is not known, in part because there are no TEM data for nanoparticles in pyrite from higher temperature systems such as orogenic gold deposits. The reported coarsening of the larger nanoparticles with increasing temperature (Reich et al. 2006) may be responsible for the observed decrease in the concentration of Au, Ag, Sb, Pb and Te in the arsenian pyrite under mesothermal conditions. This would be due to exsolution and precipitation of their own mineral phases as suggested by Mumin et al. (1994) and Large et al. (2007).

3 Conclusions

The concentration of Au, Ag, Te, Sb, Pb, Bi and Hg increases in arsenian pyrite as a function of increasing As-content. Concentrations of these elements also appear to decrease with increasing temperature of deposition for pyrite. Copper, Co, Ni and Zn do not show concentration limits in pyrite with respect to As-content. HAADF-STEM and TEM-EDX analyses of various types of nanoparticles reveal that they differ in size and chemical composition. The size of most nanoparticles of metals and amalgams vary from ~5-200nm, and PbS forms crystals larger than 200 nm. We proposed that the measured concentration limits represent solubility limits that can be used to distinguish between analyses of the trace elements in arsenian pyrite, that reflect the solid-solution, versus those that contain nanoparticles.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Carl Henderson for help with EMPA analyses conducted at the Electron Microbeam Analysis Laboratory (EMAL) at the University of Michigan. The authors are also thankful to the anonymous reviewer for comments that improved the clarity of the presented work. Support for this study was provided by NSF EAR 052093 to Utsunomiya and Kesler. Financial support (Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists) was provided by Japan Society of Promotion of Science (JSPS) to Utsunomiya.

References