Anisotropic contribution to the van der Waals and the Casimir-Polder energies for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ molecules near surfaces and thin films
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In order to understand why carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and methane (CH$_4$) molecules interact differently with surfaces, we investigate the Casimir-Polder energy of a linearly polarizable CO$_2$ molecule and an isotropically polarizable CH$_4$ molecule in front of an atomically thin gold film and an amorphous silica slab. We quantitatively analyze how the anisotropy in the polarizability of the molecule influences the van der Waals contribution to the binding energy of the molecule.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The van der Waals force, and more generally, the Casimir-Polder force are topics of considerable interest in a wide range of interdisciplinary subjects from pure to applied sciences [1]. These forces describe the interaction between two neutral polarizable objects in nonretarded and retarded limits, respectively. They could play an important role in nanoscale devices [2,3], stability of trapped Bose-Einstein condensate [4,5], dynamical Casimir effect and friction [6–10], surface adsorption [11], etc. For a more comprehensive view of the subject see [1,12,13].

In this paper we shall investigate the anisotropic characteristic of the van der Waals and the Casimir-Polder interactions, which has precursors going back to Axilrod and Teller in 1943 [14], and Craig and Power in 1969 [15,16]. The anisotropic polarizabilities of the interacting objects lead to preferential orientation of the atom or molecule above the surface. This could play a significant role in preferential adsorption of the molecules such as carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and methane (CH$_4$) [17,18].

From previous studies [19,20] we know that an isotropically averaged CO$_2$ molecule and an isotropic CH$_4$ molecule adhere to surfaces with very similar van der Waals energies. In the present paper we generalize this by developing a formalism to include the effect of anisotropic properties of the atom or molecule interacting with the perpendicularly anisotropic surface (see Fig. 1). This has been partially addressed earlier in [21,22].

The arrangement of the electron cloud in a CH$_4$ molecule is such that overall it has zero static dipole moment. This means that in a uniform electric field the molecule has no preferred orientation, and the induced dipole is simply formed parallel to the electric field. That is, the polarizability tensor for CH$_4$ is isotropic even though the molecule is not spherical. The nonsphericity of the molecule is expressed as anisotropy in higher order polarizability tensors (quadrupole, octupole, etc.). A CO$_2$ molecule, on the other hand, is linearly polarizable. In particular, we study the contribution from anisotropic polarizabilities to the Casimir-Polder interaction energy of a CO$_2$ and a CH$_4$ molecule in front of an atomically thin gold film and an amorphous silica slab. We choose an isotropic surface (amorphous silica) and an anisotropic surface (gold) in order to study the effect of anisotropy of the surface on the interaction as well. The dielectric function of amorphous silica is calculated using density functional theory (DFT). For gold, we explore the data of the dielectric function available in Ref. [23] also based on DFT. The dielectric function data of gold films of different thicknesses available in this reference facilitate the study of the variation of the interaction energy with film thickness.

In Sec. II, we present the formalism of the Casimir-Polder interaction energy between a completely anisotropic molecule and a dielectric slab which is anisotropic in the direction perpendicular to the surface. In Sec. III, we briefly summarize the method used for the calculation of the dielectric properties of the slabs. We also briefly describe the procedure used to obtain the anisotropic polarizabilities of the molecules. The anisotropic polarizabilities of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ molecules are obtained from ab initio calculations [24,25]. Together the dielectric properties thus obtained are used to determine how the difference in the nature of polarizabilities of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ distinguishes their interaction energies near a surface. We present our results in Sec. IV, and end with a few conclusions in Sec. V.
Consider an anisotropically polarizable molecule described by a frequency dependent molecular polarizability
\[ \alpha(\omega) = \alpha_1(\omega) \hat{e}_1 + \alpha_2(\omega) \hat{e}_2 + \alpha_3(\omega) \hat{e}_3, \]
(1)
at a distance \( d \) above an anisotropically polarizable dielectric slab of thickness \( d \). The dielectric slab is described by dielectric permittivity
\[ \varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon^\perp(\omega) \hat{n}_\perp + \varepsilon^\parallel(\omega) \hat{z} \hat{z}, \]
(2)
where \( \perp \) components are in the \( x\)-\( y \) plane containing the dielectric slab and the \( \parallel \) component is normal to the surface of the slab (see Fig. 1). For an isotropic material such as amorphous silica, we can set \( \varepsilon^\perp = \varepsilon^\parallel \). Magnetic permeabilities for both the molecule and the dielectric slab are set to 1.

Here, the principal axes of the molecule are
\[ \hat{e}_1 = \cos \beta \hat{\theta} + \sin \beta \hat{\phi}, \]
(3a)\[ \hat{e}_2 = -\sin \beta \hat{\theta} + \cos \beta \hat{\phi}, \]
(3b)\[ \hat{e}_3 = \hat{r}, \]
(3c)
where \( \beta \) is the rotation about the unit vector \( \hat{e}_3 \), and \( \hat{r}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi} \) are the unit vectors in the spherical polar coordinates,
\[ \hat{r} = \sin \theta \cos \phi \hat{x} + \sin \theta \sin \phi \hat{y} + \cos \theta \hat{z}, \]
(4a)\[ \hat{\theta} = \cos \theta \cos \phi \hat{x} + \cos \theta \sin \phi \hat{y} - \sin \theta \hat{z}, \]
(4b)\[ \hat{\phi} = -\sin \phi \hat{x} + \cos \phi \hat{y}. \]
(4c)

Our configuration with an anisotropic molecule above a dielectric slab with isotropic polarizability in the \( x\)-\( y \) plane renders the interaction energy independent of \( \phi \). The interaction energy, neglecting quadrupole and higher moments, at zero temperature in the Fourier transformed space is
\[ E = -\frac{\hbar c}{e} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \int_{0}^{\infty} k_\perp d k_\perp \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_k}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-2\kappa d}}{2\kappa} I(i\xi), \]
(5)
which is a generalization of the result given in Ref. [21]. The details of the derivation leading to Eq. (5) has been omitted for brevity. Here,
\[ I(i\xi) = r^H[k^2(\hat{k}_\perp \cdot \alpha \cdot \hat{k}_\perp) + k_\perp^2 (\hat{z} \cdot \alpha \cdot \hat{z})] \\
- r^E \xi^2[(\hat{z} \times \hat{k}_\perp) \cdot \alpha \cdot (\hat{z} \times \hat{k}_\perp)]. \]
(6)

The particular choice of \( (\hat{k}_\perp, \hat{z} \times \hat{k}_\perp, \hat{z}) \) basis facilitates separation of TM (transverse magnetic) and TE (transverse electric) modes. Specifically,
\[ \hat{k}_\perp = \cos \phi_k \hat{x} + \sin \phi_k \hat{y}, \]
(7a)\[ \hat{z} \times \hat{k}_\perp = -\sin \phi_k \hat{x} + \cos \phi_k \hat{y}. \]
(7b)
\( r^H \) and \( r^E \) are the reflection coefficients for TM and TE modes:
\[ r^H = -\frac{k^H - \kappa}{k^H + \kappa} \frac{(1 - e^{-2\kappa d})}{1 - (\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}^H / \varepsilon^H)^2 e^{-2\kappa d}}, \]
(8a)\[ r^E = -\frac{k^E - \kappa}{k^E + \kappa} \frac{(1 - e^{-2\kappa d})}{1 - (\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}^E / \varepsilon^E)^2 e^{-2\kappa d}}, \]
(8b)
where
\[ \kappa^H = \sqrt{k^H_\perp + \frac{\xi^2}{c^2}}, \kappa^S = \sqrt{k^S_\perp + \frac{\xi^2}{c^2}}. \]
(9a)\[ \kappa^E = \sqrt{k^E_\perp + \frac{\xi^2}{c^2}}. \]
(9b)
The interaction energy after performing the \( \phi_k \) integration is
\[ E = -\hbar c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{c} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{k_\perp d k_\perp}{2\pi} e^{-2\kappa d} \left\{ k_\perp^2 r^H \alpha_3 \\
+ \left( \frac{\xi^2}{c^2} (r^H - r^E) + k^2_\perp r^H \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\xi^2}{c^2} (r^H - r^E) - k^2_\perp r^H \right) \times \left( \alpha_2 - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} + \frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{2} \cos 2\beta \right) \sin^2 \theta \right\}, \]
(10)
where we have suppressed the frequency dependence. The orientation dependence appears only in the last term, which vanishes for \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \pi \).

A. Validity of weak approximation

The energy calculated in Eq. (10) is valid in the weak approximation, which was described in [21,22]. The validity of the weak approximation is decided by convergence of the series of the logarithm in the multiple scattering formula for the interaction energy. This series is in essence captured by defining an effective polarizability of an atom above the plate [10]
\[ \alpha_{\text{eff}} = \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \cdot \Gamma \cdot \alpha + \cdots, \]
(11)
where \( \Gamma \) is Green’s dyadic for the dielectric slab [21] and \( \alpha \) is the atomic polarizability defined in Eq. (1). To get an estimate of the validity of our approximation we note that at low frequencies the TM mode dominates
\[ \Gamma \approx \frac{1}{16 \pi a^3} \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon + 1}, \]
(12)
where \( (\epsilon - 1)/(\epsilon + 1) \approx 1 \) at low frequency. Our approximation involves keeping only the first term in Eq. (11), which is
valid if
\[
\left( \frac{\alpha}{16\pi} \right)^{1/3} \sim a.
\]
For a typical value of the atomic polarizability used in this paper, \( \alpha \sim 4 \, \text{Å}^3 \), we can check that the separation distance should be larger than 0.4 Å. However, the continuum picture is not valid at such short distances. Consequently, we would only expect our result to be meaningful at distances above some angstroms, or \( \zeta_n > 0.1 \) (1 a.u. corresponds to 100 Å).

**B. Perfect conductor limit**

In the retarded (Casimir-Polder) regime, the molecular polarizability gets contribution from its static value at zero frequency. The perfect conductor limit \((r^H \to 1\) and \(r^P \to -1\)) in this retarded regime reproduces the known result for the Casimir-Polder energy between an anisotropic molecule and a perfectly conducting slab:
\[
E = -\hbar c \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{8\pi a^3},
\]
where the last term in Eq. (10) uniformly integrates to zero. Thus, in this case the orientation of the molecule has no effect on the interaction energy.

**C. Temperature dependence**

To account for the temperature \((T)\) dependence, we simply replace the integration over imaginary frequencies by a summation over discrete Matsubara frequencies \(\zeta_n\):
\[
E = -2k_B T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk_\perp k_\perp e^{-2ka} \frac{e^{-2}\zeta_n}{2k} I(i\zeta_n),
\]
where \(\zeta_n = 2\pi nk_BT/\hbar\), \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, and the prime indicates that the \(n = 0\) term should be divided by 2. \(I(i\zeta_n)\) is given by Eq. (6), with \(\zeta\) replaced by \(\zeta_n\).

**D. Nonretarded limit**

In the nonretarded London–van der Waals limit \(\zeta, a \ll 1\), where \(\zeta\) is some characteristic frequency of the polarizability or permittivity, we can omit the frequency dependence except in the material properties. Then, the finite temperature interaction energy between an anisotropic molecule at a distance \(a\) above an isotropic half-space Eq. (10) turns out to be
\[
E^{NR} = -2k_B T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk_\perp k_\perp e^{-2ka} \left\{ \alpha_3 + \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} \right) \right\} \left( \zeta_n \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \alpha_3 - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} + \frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{2} \cos 2\beta \right] \sin^2 \theta.
\]
using \(r^H \to \Delta = (\epsilon - 1)/(\epsilon + 1)\) and \(r^P \to 0\), where \(\Delta\) and \(\alpha_i\) are functions of \(\zeta_n = 2\pi nk_BT/\hbar\). This energy is proportional to \(1/a^3\).

**III. DIELECTRIC FUNCTION AND POLARIZABILITY**

All calculations for amorphous silica were carried out using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [28] functional. Projector augmented wave pseudopotentials [29,30] were used to model the effect of core electrons. The nonlocal parts of the pseudopotentials were treated in the real space for the Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics (BOMD) and in the reciprocal space for all other DFT calculations. The structure of amorphous silica was generated using the BOMD simulations of a 72-atom supercell with different annealing-quenching temperature protocols similar to earlier studies [31,32]. The dielectric properties of amorphous silica were then calculated using the scissors-operator approximation (\(\Delta = 3.6\)) for PBE calculations. The dielectric function on the imaginary frequency axis was determined using the Kramers-Kronig dispersion relation. The low-energy spectra are verified by calculating the static dielectric constants from the Born effective charges. The static dielectric constant was found to be \(4.08 \pm 0.11\). The details of the calculations of anisotropic dielectric functions for gold sheets were presented by Boström et al. in Ref. [23]. We plot the parallel and perpendicular dielectric constants as defined in Eq. (2) of different thicknesses of gold films, and of amorphous silica in Fig. 2.

The anisotropic polarizability tensors at imaginary frequencies for \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{CH}_4\) were calculated using the quantum chemistry package MOLPRO [33]. Calculations were performed at the coupled clusters, singles and doubles (CCSD) level of theory. The correlation-consistent aug-cc-pVQZ basis set [34] was used. The geometries of the molecules were first optimized by energy minimization before being used in polarizability calculations. All calculations were done at room temperature. The polarizabilities were calculated in free space. It is plausible

![FIG. 2. (Color online) The perpendicular and parallel dielectric constants for \(N = 1, 3, 6,\) and 15 atomic layers of gold, and for an amorphous silica slab (written as a-SiO₂ in the figure) in terms of the Matsubara frequencies. The perpendicular components of the 3-, 6-, and 15-atomic-layer-thick gold films almost overlap. The dielectric constants at zero frequency are shown on the y axis. 1 a.u. = \(6.57968 \times 10^{15}\) Hz = 27.212 eV.](image)
that proximity to a surface may induce some distortion of
the electron cloud of the molecule, introducing a degree of
anisotropy into the dipolar polarizability. The degree of any
such surface-induced anisotropy is likely to be small compared
with the native anisotropy found in molecules such as CO2.
This is supported by the estimate given in Sec. II A. We show
the anisotropic polarizabilities of CO2 and CH4 molecules
in Fig. 3.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

For a linear molecule such as CO2, the two most notable
configurations are the parallel and perpendicular orientations
with respect to the dielectric slab, and we are interested in the
change in interaction energies in going from one orientation to
the other. By parallel orientation, we refer to the configuration
in which ê1 is aligned along z, while perpendicular orientation
refers to the case when they make an angle θ = π/2. A CO2
molecule has anisotropy in one direction in its diagonal basis
as shown in Fig. 3. Thus, choosing ê3 along the unique linear
axis of the molecule, it is obvious from Eq. (10) that there is no
β dependent term in the interaction energy. In this particular
choice of axes, the unique linear axis of the CO2 molecule is
perpendicular to the surface when θ = 0 (parallel orientation)
and parallel to the surface when θ = π/2 (perpendicular orientation).

The curves in Fig. 4 show the Casimir-Polder interaction energies of a CO2 and a CH4 molecule for different θ orientations placed at a distance of 10 Å from an amorphous silica slab. As expected, a methane molecule being highly isotropic shows no change in energy with change in θ. A CO2 molecule, on the other hand, exhibits a slight change in the interaction energy at different orientations. The curve in Fig. 4 shows that the CO2 molecule has lower energy at the parallel orientation (θ = 0) than at the perpendicular orientation (θ = π/2) near an amorphous silica slab. Thus, the molecule is most stable when its unique linear axis is aligned perpendicular to the slab. Irrespective of their orientations, the magnitude of the energy is larger for a CO2 molecule than for a CH4 molecule. These interaction energies are, however, very small compared to k_BT. They become comparable when the molecule is very near the surface (see insets of Figs. 6 and 7). It should be noted that the dielectric continuum picture of our model breaks down at the small distance limit (roughly below 10 Å). A more rigorous quantum chemistry calculation will be required to take into account the effects due to surface, bonding, etc.

To make an estimate within our model with regard to the observed preference of CO2 over CH4 molecules in surface adsorption, we calculate the energy of a system consisting of an amorphous silica slab with CO2 and CH4 molecules in the parallel orientation at, say, 8 Å and CH4 molecules at, say, 5 Å from the slab mimicking the condition when the CO2 gas is being injected. We then consider the reverse system when the CO2 molecules are at 5 Å and CH4 molecules at 8 Å. The difference in the interaction energies between the two configurations is 0.078 k_BT, which is roughly 18% compared to the energy in the first configuration. Thus, the second system with CO2 near the surface is more favorable. As stated earlier, at such small separation distances there would be considerable contributions to the interaction energy from other effects.

In Fig. 5, we plot curves for the variation of molecule-surface interaction energy with respect to θ for a CO2 molecule near gold films of different thicknesses. As can be observed from the figure, thicker films give larger magnitudes of interaction energies. Only the interaction energy with the one-atomic-layer-thick gold film displays appreciable difference in comparison with the energy curve for N = 15 atomic-layer-thick gold film while the interaction energies with the three and six-atomic-layer-thick gold films gradually approach that of 15-atomic-layer-thick gold film. From Figs. 4 and 5, we can see that the trends of the energy curves are alike but the molecules have energies larger in magnitude for a gold
FIG. 5. (Color online) Interaction energies in units of \(k_B T\) of a CO\(_2\) molecule for different \(\theta\) orientations near gold films of \(N\)-atomic-layer thickness. The corresponding energies for a CH\(_4\) molecule are \(-57.9, -77.8, -84.8,\) and \(-87.8\) in units of \(10^{-3}k_B T\) for \(N = 1, 3, 6,\) and 15, respectively.

film compared to the 100-Å-thick amorphous silica. We also provide the corresponding energies for a CH\(_4\) molecule near gold films of varying thickness in the caption of Fig. 5.

In Fig. 6, we fix the CO\(_2\) molecule in the parallel and perpendicular orientations and plot the interaction energy with respect to separation distance from the amorphous silica slab on a logarithmic scale. The energy curve for CH\(_4\), which is orientation independent, is also shown. A small difference in the energy curves for the parallel and perpendicular orientations is observed for a CO\(_2\) molecule. The interaction energy is larger in magnitude for a CO\(_2\) molecule than for a CH\(_4\) molecule at all separation distances from the slab owing to greater polarizabilities of a CO\(_2\) molecule. The curves follow the \(1/a^3\) dependence of the nonretarded approximation (16) up to a separation distance of a few angstroms, and gradually deviate. The inset figure shows the interaction energy in the small molecule-slab separation distance limit (on a linear scale). Figure 7 shows similar curves near a 15-atomic-layer-thick gold film.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Comparing the interaction energies (in units of \(k_B T\)) of CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) molecules at the parallel (\(\theta = 0\)) and the perpendicular (\(\theta = \pi/2\)) orientations at a varying distance from an amorphous silica slab. The interaction energy for a methane molecule is independent of \(\theta\) orientation. The inset figure shows the small distance limit. The axis labels and the legends of the outer figure hold for the inset figure as well.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Same as in Fig. 6, but for a 15-atomic-layer-thick gold film.

in magnitude for a CO\(_2\) molecule than for a CH\(_4\) molecule at all separation distances from the slab owing to greater polarizabilities of a CO\(_2\) molecule. The curves follow the \(1/a^3\) dependence of the nonretarded approximation (16) up to a separation distance of a few angstroms, and gradually deviate. The inset figure shows the interaction energy in the small molecule-slab separation distance limit (on a linear scale). Figure 7 shows similar curves near a 15-atomic-layer-thick gold film.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we present a generalized expression for the interaction energy between a completely anisotropic molecule and a dielectric slab polarizable in the direction perpendicular to the surface. Applying this to the specific case of a linearly polarizable CO\(_2\) molecule and an isotropically polarizable CH\(_4\) molecule, we show that anisotropy influences the van der Waals energy to a small degree. The parallel orientation (\(\theta = 0\)) is more favored in comparison to the perpendicular orientation (\(\theta = \pi/2\)) in the case of a CO\(_2\) molecule. In subsequent studies, it will be interesting to incorporate the effects of finite size of the molecule in which one has to carefully consider different radii of the anisotropic molecule in different directions for determination of the interaction energy for different orientations; in other words, go beyond the dipole approximation. Very recently, Bimonte et al. pointed out the importance of the role of the curvature of the surface on preferred orientation of the particle [35]. In the future, we hope that it will prove possible to transcend the limitations of the continuum approximation, to get more reliable estimates of Casimir-Polder energies at very short distances than we can provide here.
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