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Abstract

The process of evolution underpins all in biology, directs research and provides a unifying explanation for the history and diversity of life. The study of evolutionary biology draws on many disciplines (from molecular biology to ecology to palaeontology), and has applications in numerous areas, such as medicine, conservation, and agriculture. How then do we use evolution to train university undergraduates in a meaningful way, and what do we want them to learn? There are many aspects of evolution that could be taught at the undergraduate level, and content will vary depending on the course-context. Some basic components include the evidence for evolution, microevolution, speciation and macroevolution. However, teaching evolution offers exciting opportunities to convey more than just content. Evolutionary biology immerses students in the process of science and should encourage them to think critically and to carefully analyse concepts, problems and evidence. It offers students nearing graduation the opportunity to draw together their learning across different areas in biology, asking them to synthesise their thinking and appreciate how problems in evolution can be analysed with multidisciplinary tools. In this paper we argue the importance of teaching evolution and justify its place in the teaching curriculum by providing examples of its wide applications, and by using case studies to illustrate the value of inquiry learning in teaching evolution at all undergraduate levels.
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Introduction

According to Murdock (1945, as cited in Wilson 1998), all human cultures studied have accounts of the origin of biodiversity. Modern biologists are in overwhelming agreement that the best explanation of how existing biodiversity arose is evolution, in which all living species are descendants of the earlier ones and share a single common ancestor. From the early 18th century onwards evidence has accumulated steadily to support the evolutionary viewpoint, with an explosive increase of convincing molecular evidence during the late 20th and early 21st centuries (see, for example, Nardi et al. 2003; Tarlinton et al. 2006; Endicott et al. 2009).

Given the natural human curiosity about the mechanisms behind the origin and diversity of life, as well as the agreement of almost all biologists that the explanation lies in evolution, we believe that it follows naturally that evolution should be an important part of teaching biology at all levels of our education system. It may therefore come as a surprise to some that evolution is a recent addition to the curricula in some Western countries (Chinsamy & Plaganyi 2008; Sanders & Ngxola 2009), or that in some cases there are strong campaigns to remove evolution from biology curricula (Bleckmann 2006).

We believe that researchers and teachers in the biological sciences should always look critically at the subject matter they present to ensure that it remains factually accurate, up-to-date and relevant to the needs of students in contemporary society. Knowledge is expanding at a rapid rate, yet University degree remain fixed at three-year lengths, so there is great competition for space in a crowded curriculum. It is helpful if material in the curriculum performs multiple functions to facilitate the breadth of objectives that students need to achieve within the short time of their degrees. Justifying the teaching of evolution within this scenario requires answers to three important questions. They are:

1. Why teach evolution? – how do we justify the teaching time when so many exciting biological developments are jostling for a share of students’ time?

2. What should we teach? – exactly what parts of a dynamic and expanding discipline should be selected for the undergraduate curriculum at its different levels?

3. How should we teach it? – what examples and pedagogical techniques are appropriate to make the subject relevant and interesting for modern students?

In this paper we give our answers to all these questions, in the hope of providing resources to colleagues teaching evolutionary biology for the first time and giving important background to educational researchers wishing to use the teaching of evolution as a case study. Given the breadth of evolutionary biology, we are unable to explain all background biology and evolutionary theory for each example that we present. However, we feel that a wide breadth of examples is critical to illustrate the breadth and the diversity available for teaching. Therefore while our descriptions
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are often short, we do provide primary and secondary references so that interested readers may follow up topics in greater depth. We also present some examples as more detailed case studies, to illustrate the teaching elements within them. Our examples are necessarily selective and inclined toward our personal interests in evolutionary ecology rather than other equally important areas such as palaeontology.

Why teach evolution?

*The theory of evolution unifies modern biology.*

Undergraduate students studying biology or related disciplines will study the diversity of life on Earth, looking in detail at the different taxonomic groups, and comparing how they live and function in their specific environment. This taxonomic understanding is very important for all biologists. However, knowing *what* biodiversity is out there is only one level of understanding of the biological world; delving into questions about *why* that biodiversity exists, takes scientific minds to the next level. Studying evolution attempts to answer some of the most fundamental questions that humans can ask about the natural world, including:

*Where did all the diversity come from?* – as Samways (1994) points out, we know many physical constants in the natural world with great certainty, yet we cannot state confidently how many different species of life are on Earth. We do know, though, that there are a great many and have a good idea of the relative abundances of different groups. How was all this diversity formed?

*Why are organisms like they are?* – why, for example, do cetaceans breathe air when they live exclusively in aquatic environments, whereas most other aquatic vertebrates use gills?

*Why do they do what they do?* – in many mammals, males compete for access to females. In contrast, in Mormon crickets females compete for access to males (Gwynne 1981). Why should this be?

As Dobzhansky (1973) observed ("Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution"), evolution unifies all biology in answering these questions. It can be thought of as a play unfolding within a theatre of ecology in which human beings themselves are included in the cast (Groom et al. 2006). The theory of evolution explains a great array of seemingly otherwise unrelated facts, from the universality of the DNA code amongst all living things, through to changes in the genetic make-up of populations over time, the similar biogeographic distributions of similar organisms, and the order of appearance of animal taxa, such as fish, amphibians, reptiles and mammals, in the fossil record. In that way, it provides a cohesive and unifying foundation for all of the life sciences.

As a result, evolution is studied using multidisciplinary tools, such as molecular biology, population genetics, population ecology, embryology and development, taxonomy and phylogeny, biogeography, palaeontology and studies in speciation, comparative anatomy, behaviour, physiology and biochemistry. Undergraduate units in evolution cannot attempt to offer technical training in each of these specialist areas, although they may offer training in one or two, if these are of common relevance to the student cohort. Ultimately evolution provides a framework to synthesize students' previous knowledge and provides an understanding of how each field of study can be applied to answer universal questions in biology.

**Evolution exemplifies the scientific method**

A further reason for teaching evolution is to illustrate the scientific method in action through inquiry-based learning, by which students discover knowledge for themselves by applying scientific methods rather than being shown passively (Finn et al. 2002). Alters & Nelson (2002) observed that this type of approach "...presents science as a process of critical thinking that provides a model for critical thinking elsewhere in the student's life."

In particular, studies in evolutionary biology require both observational and experimental approaches. Studies of comparative anatomy or of a series of fossils represent very good examples of observational science because there is no experimental manipulation, no control and no independent replication. However, predictions can be made on the basis of theory and tested through structured observations. Biogeography and the fossil record provide good examples of this approach in action (see, for example, the discussion of Antarctic palaeoecology in Coyne 2009, pp. 102–103).

Evolutionary biology also provides numerous opportunities to illustrate the principles of experimental methods to test hypotheses. The classic textbook example has long been the case of industrial melanism in the moth *Biston betularia* in the United Kingdom. In Kettlewell's (1973) original experiments, dark and light morphs were exposed on different backgrounds. Their differing vulnerability to predation by birds in terms of their contrast to the background was demonstrated (for a review of more recent developments in this example, see Ridley 2004). Variations of this exercise are still practised with high school and undergraduate students using both field based (Barker 1983) and computer based activities (Gendron & Staddon 1984; Calver & Wooler 1998).

A key point that should be noted in the example above is that the work begins with a hypothesis, requires experimental and control treatments (given by characteristics of the backgrounds and the objects or shapes displayed against them) and needs adequate replication in the sampling to allow convincing tests of the original hypothesis. If desired, statistical analysis can also be taught in such exercises when students process their data. At the very least, students can also practice presentation techniques by using tables and graphs in written reports describing their experiments.

**Understanding evolution has many practical applications**

Any teacher of modern students is familiar with the catch cry: 'what is this all good for?'

Fortunately, evolutionary thinking applies to many different fields. For example, students of conservation biology should be aware that long-term conservation of biodiversity requires that we also conserve the process that renews it: evolution. This requires, amongst other
## Atlantic-Mediterranean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aves (1)</th>
<th>Costa Rica (15)</th>
<th>Florida (21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida (3)</td>
<td>Cyprus (10)</td>
<td>Aves (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suriname (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ascension (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ascension (34)</td>
<td>Brazil (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guinea Bissau (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 1. Evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) in the green turtle Chelonia mydas. UPGMA dendogram of mtDNA analysed from 13 rookeries in green turtles (study by Bowen et al. 1992). A major phylogeographic break is evident between the Indo-Pacific and Atlantic Ocean, indicating two separate ESUs, within which are multiple management units. Figure reproduced from Moritz (1994), with permission from Elsevier. Numbers in brackets indicate sample sizes (number of nests).

### What should we teach?

Teachers of evolution are inevitably confronted with the difficult question of selecting which aspects of evolution to teach in introductory and specialist biology units. We argue that the difference between the introductory and the specialist units is one of breadth rather than depth, so the major themes of evolution should be at least touched on for beginning students. We also argue that all material should be presented in the context of scientific method, so that science is understood as a process rather than a body of knowledge to be memorised, and that practical examples should be used wherever possible of the topic’s relevance to contemporary life. Content might vary depending on the course-context in which evolution is taught, but there are some basic principles that should be covered and can be found in the chapter headings of any good evolutionary text.

### Evidence for evolution

First and foremost should be a clear understanding of the evidence for evolution. A range of evidence from different disciplines and on different scales can show that (i) populations within species show evolutionary change in heritable traits (population studies of microevolution, breeding of domesticated plants and animals), (ii) this within-species change can lead to the evolution of new species (biogeography of ring species, transitional forms in the fossil record, genetics of polyploidy in plants), (iii) all life on earth shares a common ancestry (lineages within the fossil record, comparative anatomy and embryology, molecular biology and genetics, vestigial traits in morphology, development and genetics). This material can be made contemporary and exciting by including recent examples of all these characteristics (see Coyne 2009, especially chapters 2 and 3). The text books by Freeman & Herron (2007) and Ridley (2004) present insightful and scientifically rigorous chapters reviewing the evidence for evolution, and see Irwin (2001) for a review of ring species.

### Microevolution – small scale changes in gene frequencies within populations

Students should also gain a clear understanding of microevolution, its four contributing processes (natural selection, mutation, gene flow and genetic drift) and how they contribute to gene frequency change in populations. There are good Australian examples of microevolution. One is the response of snake species to the introduction of the cane toad Bufo marinus to Australia. The cane toad was introduced in 1935 to control insect pests, principally of the cane beetle, but was ineffective in its primary role. Nevertheless, it thrived under Australian conditions and spread from its original introduction sites in Queensland across much of northern Australia. While native snakes prey on the cane toads, far from controlling toad numbers snakes were often killed by the toad’s venom. Snakes with large heads relative to their body size can eat larger toads and therefore ingest more venom. However, if the snake is heavy it can tolerate more venom. Recent studies of two snake species vulnerable to poisoning by cane toads, show that both species have evolved smaller heads and heavier bodies (Phillips & Shine 2004).
Other examples of microevolution include: the evolution of beak shape in Galápagos finches (see Peter and Rosemary Grant and colleagues, Freeman & Herron 2007); adaptive divergence in body size in sockeye salmon (Hendry 2001); evolution of life-history traits in guppies (Reznick et al. 1997); and the evolution of beak length in soapberry bugs (Carroll & Boyd 1992; Carroll et al. 1997; Carroll et al. 2001; Carroll et al. 2005; for an overview see Freeman & Herron 2007). All these examples are particularly useful as sound examples of microevolution, because rather than just illustrating phenotypic change, they have also explicitly tested the criterion that the traits involved are genetically based and therefore heritable: a key component of the microevolutionary process.

Studies of natural selection can be used to test each of Darwin's four postulates about populations that inevitably lead to natural selection (Darwin 1859). Darwin's four postulates, which Freeman & Herron (2007) illustrate with examples of snapdragons and Galápagos finches, are:

(i) Individuals within populations are variable
(ii) The variations among individuals are, at least in part, passed from parents to offspring
(iii) In every generation, some individuals are more successful at surviving and reproducing than others, and
(iv) The survival and reproduction of individuals are not random: instead they are tied to the variation among individuals. The individuals with the most favourable variations, those who are better at surviving and reproducing, are naturally selected.

As a consequence, individuals with characteristics well-suited to their environment increase in the population (Freeman & Herron 2007).

Speciation
Integral to understanding evolution is the study of how speciation occurs. Students should appreciate how species are defined, and the difficulties of reaching a consensus species concept. Different modes of speciation should also be explored, including how speciation may occur in space (such as allopatric or sympatric speciation), and what mechanism/s might be involved (such as natural selection, genetic drift, sexual selection or more sudden karyotypic changes) (Freeman & Herron 2007).

Macroevolution – large scale change beyond speciation
The final essential component in general undergraduate studies of evolution is an understanding of the difference between microevolution and macroevolution, and an appreciation of macroevolutionary patterns. Students should gain an overview of the history of life on earth, an appreciation of the fossil record and how it contributes to our understanding of the relationships of organisms, as well as our understanding of patterns and processes (sensu McNamara 2009), and an overview of patterns of extinction and radiation. It is impossible to gain a detailed understanding of the fossil record and how it can be studied in a general evolutionary unit, however, some key topics can be studied in detail to gain the depth of thinking required from advanced students. During detailed reading about the evolution of Cambrian fossil fauna, for example, students can encounter both fossil and molecular evidence which contributes to scientific understanding of whether this truly was an 'explosive' evolutionary event (Mapstone & Mapstone 2003; Peterson et al. 2005). Students can then be encouraged to critically evaluate what these two disciplines tell us about how and when the Cambrian fauna evolved. They can also integrate their ecological knowledge when they encounter discussions on the role of ecological triggers in Cambrian evolution.

New directions in evolutionary research
Evolution for advanced students should not just stay on steady ground, but should also explore the frontiers of evolutionary science. Students should be readily aware that there are many questions still unanswered, with differing viewpoints and models currently being tested (McBride et al. 2009). Delving into some of these issues, for example the importance of genetic drift versus natural selection in driving molecular evolution (see Freeman & Herron 2007 for an overview), provides insight into how research can be used to evaluate different hypotheses, and provides another stimulus for critical thinking.

How should we teach it?
Here we explore specific case studies in more detail to illustrate the teaching elements within them, and thus show how evolution can be taught as inquiry learning, in which students focus on the process of science rather than learning content by rote (Finn et al. 2002).

Evolution as a stimulus for teaching critical thinking skills
Developing critical thinking and analysis skills should be central in teaching evolution. In particular, advanced undergraduates can synthesize their learning to solve problems, thereby developing their analytical thinking skills.

The availability of information by electronic sources means that the role of the university teacher has shifted somewhat from disseminating information, to facilitating access to the most accurate, useful and relevant types of information. It is useful for students to reflect on just how accurate some of the 'fast information' they access might be. One way to stimulate critical reflection is to have students read an online news article from a mainstream news outlet which reports on the findings of evolutionary research. The article could be reporting on bacterial conjugation, human evolution, population genetics or any topic with an evolutionary slant (see Table 1 for a listing of useful online news sites). Students should read with a critical eye, looking at whether there are significant compromises in how the scientific principles are explained for a mainstream audience. The students' job is then to find the published research article on which the news story is based (using clues given in the news story, such as authors and date). They should also read around the topic to gain a deeper understanding of the original research before writing a
critique examining how accurately and effectively the news story reports the research from the scientific paper. It can help for students to have small-group discussions, facilitated by the tutor/lecturer, where they examine the research and any oversimplifications made in the news story, and explore some of the reasons why those oversimplifications might be there. Through the critique process they should gain an understanding of just how superficial scientific information is when it comes from these ‘fast’ sources. There is no substitute for finding the original research and reading it critically.

The Honey Possum – a showcase for developing hypotheses and testing them with structured observations

Sex is a sure subject for sparking interest amongst undergraduate students as well as being a highly relevant topic to explore from the perspective of evolution. One sexual athlete worthy of attention is the Australian honey possum *Tarsipes rostratus*. Honey possums are endemic to the south-west corner of Western Australia, and feed exclusively on nectar and pollen (Wooler et al. 1984; Wooler et al. 2004). Although tiny and weighing 6–9 g, males of this species produce the longest sperm recorded for any marsupial (Cummins & Woodall 1985). Their testes represent approximately 4.2% of their total body weight (Renfree et al. 1984), making them much larger than those of any other marsupial, most of whom have a relative testes mass of less than 1% (Taggart et al. 1998), and amongst the largest recorded for eutherian mammals (Kenagy & Trombulak 1986; Breed & Taylor 2000).

In our own teaching, the honey possum example becomes a case study in sexual selection. Sexual selection arises due to asymmetries in reproductive success within and between the sexes (Freeman & Herron 2007). One form of sexual selection is sperm competition, which occurs as a consequence of female multiple mating, where the gametes of two or more males compete to fertilize a given set of ova (Birkhead & Møller 1998; Parker 1998; Anderson & Dixon 2002). Before using this example students should be familiar with the basics of sperm competition and the characteristics it selects for in males. Extensive research has found that in species where females mate with more than one male in a single reproductive event (multiple mating), the relative testes mass is greater than in species where females mate with a single male (Gomendio et al. 1998). Larger testes indicate a larger investment in spermatogenesis (Harvey & Harcourt 1984; Møller 1988; 1989). This observation is seen across a range of taxa including primates, ungulates, other eutherian mammals, marsupials, birds, fish, frogs, and butterflies (Birkhead & Møller 1998, chapters and references therein). This observational evidence is tested by experimental evolution in the laboratory, where testes mass has been shown to increase in response to polyandrous mating (e.g., see Hosken & Ward 2001). It is further used to predict the direction of evolution, as in feathertail gliders, where testes mass was used to predict sperm competition (Taggart et al. 1998); a fact later confirmed using genetic studies (Parrott et al. 2002).

Thus, using this research as a springboard, the large relative testes size in the honey possum (but not necessarily sperm length, see: Gomendio & Roldán 1991; Gomendio et al. 1998; Gage & Freckleton 2003) predicts that this species has sperm competition and multiple mating. In addition the students will also discover that there are other intriguing features about the honey possum that indicate sexual selection, such as a strong skew in the operational sex ratio (sensu Emlen & Oring 1977; Reynolds 1996). At any one time more males than females are ready to breed because males can produce sperm in all months, while females in the population are asynchronous in their timing of oestrus, due to continuous year-round breeding, embryonic diapause and a brief oestrus duration (Bryant 2004). This creates competition amongst males; another flag to students that sexual selection is at work.

The case study can be further developed by exploring the genetic research on whether there is sperm competition in the honey possum. Female honey possums and the 2–4 pouch-young they were carrying, were genotyped at four highly polymorphic microsatellite loci using fluorescently labelled DNA primers (Bryant 2004; Bryant unpublished data). Offspring genotypes were compared to the maternal genotype, and paternal alleles were identified (see Figure 2) to distinguish multiply sired litters (multiple paternity). In all mammals, all ova are released simultaneously, thus multiple paternity provides unquestionable evidence of sperm competition (Gomendio et al. 1998).
These genetic methods confirmed sperm competition in the honey possum, with multiple paternity in 86% litters (n=35), using a minimum number of sires per litter method, and in 95% litters (n=41), using an estimated number of sires method based upon the relatedness of litter mates (Bryant 2004; Bryant unpublished data). This indicates that multiple mating is frequent in female honey possums, provides evidence for a high risk of sperm competition amongst males, and confirms sexual selection in a species which has a large investment in sperm production.

The honey possum is therefore an excellent example for inquiry learning about sexual selection, because students must approach the issues using scientific method. They begin with observations, proceed to predictions and then see how those predictions are tested through careful collection of observational data. Using examples of research in teaching makes evolutionary investigation accessible to students, who might otherwise only read about the high profile research highlights in text books, magazines and international journals. It demonstrates that sound discoveries in evolution can be made on a local scale. Local examples, provide interest and evidence that evolution is a dynamic process, not only observed over geological timescales, but also happening in the bush just outside one’s backdoor. We have also found that our own students respond well to hearing what their own faculty are researching. However, other case studies could be substituted here with the same effect, exploiting students’ inherent interest in ‘home industry’.

**Trinidad guppies – developing hypotheses and testing them with manipulative experiments**

The next example, the Trinidadian Guppy, is also studied following scientific methods. Once again, students begin with an observation and make a prediction, but in this case the prediction is put to an experimental test. Thus, this example illustrates good principles of experimentation in illustrating evolutionary phenomena.

The Trinidad Guppy *Poecilia reticulata* is a wild freshwater fish found in mountain streams in the tropical forests of north-eastern South America and adjacent islands (Endler 1980). Generally, guppies living under high predation conditions are found downstream of waterfalls, together with the pig cichlid *Crenicichla alta* and other species of cichlids that prey on large, mature guppies (Reznick et al. 1997). Guppies living under low predation conditions are found upstream where large predators cannot traverse up waterfalls or rapids, in these populations guppies are found with *Rivulus hartii*, an omnivore that sometimes preys on juvenile guppies.

Endler’s (1980) classic experiments on the guppies investigated natural selection on the colouration patterns (bright spots and patches of colour) found on adult male guppies. The colour genes are not expressed in females. Endler (1980) hypothesized that males in streams with cichlid fishes had fewer spots due to selection pressure from predation, and he tested this in two ways. Firstly, he created a mixed guppy population from a variety of streams, divided these fish randomly amongst artificial ponds, and to each pond added either a cichlid fish, *Rivulus*, or no other fish. Over 19 months the guppies in the control ponds and *Rivulus* ponds exhibited an increase in the average number of spots due to sexual selection, whereas the guppies in the cichlid ponds exhibited a decrease in the average number of spots associated with predation (Endler 1980). Secondly, Endler tested this effect in the wild in the Aripo river in Trinidad. He translocated guppies from a stream where they were living with cichlids, to a stream with *Rivulus* but no guppies, and compared them to a control stream where guppies were already living with *Rivulus*. After about two years, the average number of spots in the translocated guppy population had increased to become similar to the *Rivulus*-only guppies, diverging from the lower number of spots found in the cichlid-stream guppies.

EvoDeeaker® (SimBio Virtual Labs 2010) provides a computer simulation ‘How the guppy got its spots’ (SimBio Virtual Labs 2009) which allows students to investigate guppy spot brightness first hand, rather than just reading about the Endler experiments. It is useful if students are not exposed to the Endler experiments until after they have done at least some initial hypothesis building and experimentation of their own. Using EvoDeeaker® software, students can design and carry out
experiments to test their hypotheses in a complex environment with a range of streams, artificial ponds and predators. Under the simulation, spot brightness decreases under predation from cichlids, in comparison to controls, similar to the findings of Endler's (1982) experiments. There are opportunities for the more advanced and motivated students to refine their experimentation, after a few initial experiments, and include testing, for example, for the impact of different types of cichlids, different abundances of cichlids, the impact of *Rivulus*, and the impact of different stream environments, and to record the observation that spot brightness increases when predation pressure is released (due in the wild to sexual selection, Endler 1980). This allows depth in the learning of both the process of natural selection and the research skills needed to study it. The approach used in the guppy exercise is constructivist: where a problem is proposed, alternatives are put forward, and evidence is gathered to address the problem, and this type of approach is thought to increase learning and retention (Alters & Nelson 2002).

The guppy work also provides linkages to learning about life history evolution. Reznick and co-workers (1987; 1990; 1997) have investigated predation pressure as a driver of life history evolution by performing translocation experiments. The work started with observations by these workers and others (Reznick et al. 1997 and references therein) that guppies from high predation populations have a higher mortality rate and faster life history strategy than guppies from low predation populations (Reznick & Endler 1982; Reznick et al. 1997). They mature earlier, at a smaller size, and they reproduce more frequently, with each litter containing more young of a smaller size than low-predation guppies, as predicted under life-history theory (Reznick & Endler 1982). These differences have a genetic basis (Reznick 1982).

The descendants of guppies that were translocated from high to low predation, matured at a later age and larger size than those in the control population under high predation (Table 2), and produced fewer, larger offspring (Reznick & Bryga 1987; Reznick et al. 1990; Reznick et al. 1997). This response was measured after 11 years in the Aripo River and at both 4 and 7.5 years in the El Cedro River, with a slower response in the female traits than in the male traits, likely due to a lower heritability (Reznick et al. 1997). Thus the differences in life history traits observed in high and low predation populations of guppies were shown through experimental evidence to be a consequence of these high and low predation environments. The primary selection force is thought to be predation, along with a role from selection based on differences in resource availability in high and low predation communities (see Reznick et al. 2001).

The research undertaken in this case study exemplifies how observations can be taken through to experimentation to test the actual drivers of natural selection on gene frequencies in populations, and how they have shaped life histories in the past. Students can also reflect on experimental design, especially the use of controls, to develop their critical thinking skills (Alters & Nelson 2002). It also provides a clear example of natural selection occurring rapidly over ecological timescales (albeit through selection imposed by experimental means), dispelling the misconceptions that evolution only happens extremely slowly over geological timescales (which probably arises due to problems with the term 'gradual' in descriptions of natural selection: it can refer purely to the process as being incremental rather than the rate being constant; see Ridley 2004, box 21.1), and that only events at the speciation level and above count as true evolution (for a student-friendly article see Holmes 2005). Addressing student misconceptions is a key part of the learning process (Alters & Nelson 2002). Finally, for advanced students, this example can be used in discussions about the processes of micro- versus macroevolution. Reznick et al. (1997) use this example to show that selection among individuals within populations can occur rapidly enough to account for the periods of rapid change observed in the fossil record, and thus contribute to the debate on whether the pattern of punctuated equilibrium must be explained using different processes to those of microevolution (see also Svensson 1997).

**Student background: an important issue**

In choosing examples to be presented, it is important to be aware of students' backgrounds. For example, many topics in evolution can be explored using sophisticated mathematical models. We have presented none of these, because in our experience many students lack the mathematical background necessary to fully appreciate these examples. However, instructors whose students are not restricted in this way may prefer to develop some of the mathematical approaches. This could include, for example, statistical analyses of the results of experiments (Ruxton & Colegrave 2006) or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement (at maturity)</th>
<th>Control (high predation)</th>
<th>Experimental (low predation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aripo River (11 years/18.1 generations)</td>
<td>Male age (days) 48.6</td>
<td>58.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male size (mg) 67.5</td>
<td>76.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female age (days) 85.6</td>
<td>93.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female size (mg) 162.3</td>
<td>189.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cedro River (4 years/6.9 generations)</td>
<td>Male age (days) 60.6</td>
<td>72.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male size (mg) 56.0</td>
<td>62.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female age (days) 94.1</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female size (mg) 116.5</td>
<td>118.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cedro River (7.5 years/12.7 generations)</td>
<td>Male age (days) 47.5</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male size (mg) 71.5</td>
<td>74.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female age (days) 75.8</td>
<td>80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female size (mg) 141.8</td>
<td>152.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Reznick et al. 1997
introductions to mathematical modelling (Calver & Wooller 1998), and a detailed treatment of quantitative concepts in population genetics ( Ridley 2004).

Instructors need to assess the cultural appropriateness of examples too. Many hereditary human diseases are interesting teaching examples, but some students may be particularly sensitive about these matters. Similarly, while our honey possum example is interesting to our Australian students, we can well imagine why it would be an inappropriate example in other cultural contexts.

Religious perspectives and beliefs are a sensitive issue. We are not advocating discussion of creation science or intelligent design alongside evolution, but some students come to classes prejudiced against evolution (Chinsamy & Plagányi 2008; Sanders & Ngxola 2009) and we agree with Slingsby (2009) that these prejudices should be treated with 'philosophical neutrality'. Anticipating these views is as much a part of preparation as mastering the subject matter or dealing with students' poor backgrounds in mathematics or English. Excellent suggestions on responding to students fearful or hostile to studying evolution are discussed with sensitivity from a range of cultural perspectives in Jones & Reiss (2007). Instructors may also find value in Dobzhansky (1973), who argues the compatibility of evolution and religion.

After the classroom

We would hope that students introduced to some of the processes and ideas that we described above will appreciate that evolution does not simply equal speciation. Rather, we hope that they will understand that it is a dynamic process occurring at generational as well as geological timescales. Using examples in teaching that can contribute to a range of areas in evolutionary thinking, helps to encourage synthetic and critical thinking in our students. They should also have a clearer idea of scientific evidence and scientific process, especially developing predictions from hypotheses and submitting these predictions to observational and experimental tests. Students should be able to apply their critical thinking, powers of synthesis and logic not only to evolutionary problems, but to other issues that they encounter in all areas of life.
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