Physical activity patterns and function three months after arthroscopic partial meniscectomy
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Abstract

Objectives: To compare physical activity levels, subject-reported function, and knee strength in 21 arthroscopic partial meniscectomy (APM) patients (age 45.7 (6.06) years, BMI 27.3(5.96) Female 60%) 3 months post-surgery with 21 healthy controls (age 43.6 (5.71) years, BMI 24.5(4.2) Female 60%) matched at the cohort level for age, gender and BMI.

Design: Case control study

Methods: Physical activity intensity, number of steps, and minutes spent in activity were objectively quantified using an accelerometer-based activity monitor worn for 7 days. The Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) and concentric quadriceps strength were used to evaluate function post-surgery. Differences in activity levels and functional outcomes between the APM and control participants were assessed using t-tests, while multiple linear regression was used to quantify the best predictors of physical activity.

Results: APM patients engaged in a similar duration of activity to controls (469.0 (128.39) minutes vs. 497.1 (109.9) minutes), and take a similar number of steps per day (9227 (2977) vs. 10383 (3501), but performed their activity at lower levels of intensity than controls. Time spent in moderate ($r^2 = 0.19$) and hard ($r^2 = 0.145$) intensity physical activity was best predicted by the Symptoms sub-scale of the KOOS for both controls and APM patients.

Conclusions: APM patients participate in similar activity however at a lower level, with the reduction in activity at higher intensities related to the presence of symptoms of knee osteoarthritis.
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Introduction

Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy (APM) is a common knee surgery used to treat meniscal damage of the knee.\textsuperscript{1-3} Despite the surgery being successful in correcting physical dysfunction,\textsuperscript{4} APM can result in limitations in patient-relevant functional outcomes.\textsuperscript{5} A common complaint from APM patients is decreased levels of physical activity post-surgery compared to pre-injury.\textsuperscript{5,6} The Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) is a questionnaire that was specifically designed for younger, more active populations, a similar group to those who commonly undergo APM surgery.\textsuperscript{7-9} Data from KOOS studies has shown that meniscal surgery populations report increased pain and difficulties in participating in sport and recreational activities at 3 months,\textsuperscript{5} 6-18 months,\textsuperscript{10} and 4 years\textsuperscript{5} post-operatively. However this questionnaire only assesses difficulty experienced in performing physical activity, and does not quantify how these difficulties affect the intensity and time spent in these activities.

Research into physical activity levels in knee surgery and knee osteoarthritis populations has typically focused on the number of minutes spent in activity or the number of steps taken. These measures are most commonly recorded from self-reported questionnaires.\textsuperscript{3,8,11} However, physical activity is not only made up of duration and quantity, but involves a third dimension: intensity which is not usually addressed by these questionnaires. Activity monitors can objectively assess activity intensity, along with time spent in activity and number of steps taken.\textsuperscript{12,13}

Meniscal surgery has been shown to lead to increased risk of knee osteoarthritis.\textsuperscript{1,2,14,15} APM surgery has also been associated with reduced concentric knee extension strength.\textsuperscript{16} This decreased muscle strength is also associated with the development of knee osteoarthritis.\textsuperscript{17,18} There is a relationship between decreased muscle strength and decreased levels of physical activity in both the general and knee osteoarthritis populations.\textsuperscript{5,17} This suggests that maintaining healthy physical activity levels may protect against the loss of muscle strength and therefore the development of osteoarthritis.
The aims of this paper were to i) describe relationships that may exist between KOOS and KOOS sub-scores with physical activity duration and intensity measured with an accelerometer; ii) compare daily physical activity duration and intensity between APM and matched control participants; and iii) identify and describe relationships between APM surgery, KOOS, KOOS sub-scores and physical activity duration and intensity. It was hypothesized that i) activity monitors will be able to objectively quantify the duration, quantity and intensity of physical activity in APM participants; ii) the duration and intensity of APM patients’ physical activity will be less than matched controls; and iii) those APM patients who report greater levels of pain and difficulty as quantified by the PAIN and SYMPTOMS subscales of the KOOS, will be more likely to show decreased levels of activity.

**Methods**

Twenty-one APM patients and 21 controls were manually selected from a large database based on the ability to match two cohorts on sex, BMI and age, although the following procedures were undertaken for the entire data set. Matching was performed at this level due to the retrospective creation of the two groups. Primary consideration was given to 1) individuals with complete data sets and 2) gender matching. APM participants had undergone APM for an isolated meniscal tear a mean of 11 (SD 6) weeks prior to data collection and were recruited from a number of metropolitan orthopaedic clinics, while control participants were recruited via community newspaper advertisements. Both APM and CON participants were screened and excluded if they had clinical (surgery reports checked in APM participants) and/or radiographic evidence of knee osteoarthritis, previous or current back, hip, knee, or ankle joint disease, pain, or injury; any form of arthritis; diabetes; cardiac, circulatory, or neurological conditions; multiple sclerosis; stroke; lower limb fractures; bone or joint conditions; and any other disease or injury that may affect gait patterns or predispose to knee osteoarthritis. APM participants were also screened according to the following inclusion/exclusion criteria: isolated arthroscopic meniscectomy of one side of the knee only; no damage to anterior cruciate, medial or lateral collateral ligaments; maximum of one chondral defect <2cm on the tibial and fibular surfaces, as assessed by the surgeon during arthroscopy; no previous
medically documented injuries or surgeries to the knee ligament, cartilage or meniscus; and aged between 35-55 years and BMI <30. This study was approved by the University of Western Australia Human Research Ethics Committee, and all participants provided informed, written consent.

Daily physical activity levels were recorded using an Actigraph AM7164-2.2 (Actigraph, Pensacola, FL, USA) physical activity monitor. The Actigraph contains a uniaxial accelerometer which detects vertical accelerations between 0.05 and 2 G. Sampling epoch was set at 60 seconds for this study. The validity and reliability of the Actigraph physical activity monitor has previously been demonstrated. Each participant wore the Actigraph on an adjustable belt that was secured firmly around the waist for seven consecutive days. Waist placement was chosen for two reasons. It has been validated and it enables direct comparison with previous studies that have investigated physical activity in early knee OA.

For each participant, the mean daily duration of activity in minutes, and the mean number of minutes per day spent in light, moderate, and hard activity levels were calculated. Activity levels were defined by accelerometer counts, downloaded using Actilife X and parameterised using custom Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) scripts in which hard activities were defined by greater than 5725 counts/min (6.0 METS), moderate activities were between 1953 and 5724 counts/min (3.0 – 5.99 METS), while light activities were between 5 and 1952 counts/min (<2.99METS). Mean daily step count information from the accelerometer was also analysed. Activity data from individual days were visually inspected to identify days in which the accelerometer was not worn. All included participants had 7 valid days of accelerometer data.

Knee pain and function was scored using the KOOS questionnaire, previously determined as being appropriate to assess a younger and more active population. The KOOS is a self-administered questionnaire that groups items into the following subscales: PAIN; SYMPTOMS; Activities of Daily Living (ADL); Sport and Recreation (S&R); and Quality of Life (QOL). Each item of the KOOS has a five point Likert-type scale from 0 to 4. Knee pain and function scores were created from the responses for items in the respective KOOS subscales. These were summed to give a subscale score,
and transformed to a normalised 0 to 100 scale, with a score of 100 indicating normal function and a score of zero indicating difficulties. Normalised scores for each of the 5 subscales were used in the subsequent analyses, as well as the overall KOOS score, which was the average of all subscale scores as per previously published use of the KOOS questionnaire.

Height and body mass were measured and BMI calculated from these values. In addition, the participants’ maximum isometric and isokinetic knee extension (quadriceps) strength was measured at 180°/s across the range of 0° to 90° of knee flexion using a Biodex isokinetic dynamometer (Chattanooga, Shirley, NY, USA). Participants repeated each strength test three times, with the best effort used for analysis. Peak concentric quadriceps strength was normalised by dividing by body mass × height (kg.m).

Meteorological data were acquired for each date an activity monitor was worn by a participant, and included as covariates to eliminate any confounding effects of weather on activity levels. Specifically, maximum temperature (MAX; degrees Celsius) and rainfall (RAIN; mm) were selected as the two climate variables with the greatest potential to affect physical activity levels.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical data analyses were performed using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago). Physical activity duration, KOOS, and KOOS sub-scores were compared between the CON and APM groups using independent samples t-tests. Prior to undertaking statistical testing the data was assessed for normality. The associations between KOOS subscales and physical activity intensity level were assessed using pearson product-moment correlations, to investigate relationships between subjective self-report of difficulty performing activity matched objective measures of intensity and time. Finally a backwards stepwise linear regression was performed on the APM participants to identify the most important variable affecting those physical activity levels found to be significantly different from the control group, with the following variables entered as predictors: age; BMI; sex; maximum daily temperature; rainfall; quadriceps concentric strength; and KOOS sub-scales SYMPTOMS and PAIN. Significance was set at p<0.05 for all analyses.
Results

No statistical differences in age, BMI, quadriceps concentric strength, minutes spent in light activity, or mean number of steps per day were found between APM patients and controls (Table 1). Independent samples t-tests identified significant differences for number of minutes spent in moderate and hard physical activity, as well as for the overall KOOS score and each of its subscales (Table 1), indicating the two groups were differentiated only by the intensity of physical activity and knee function.

Light physical activity was not significantly correlated with any of the KOOS scales. Moderate physical activity was positively correlated with Symptoms, S & R, QOL and overall KOOS score (Table 2). Hard physical activity was shown to correlate with Pain, Symptoms, QOL and overall KOOS score. SYMPTOMS emerged as the only significant predictor variable for both the number of minutes spent in moderate activity, ($R^2 = 0.149$, $p = 0.015$) and the number of minutes spent in hard activity ($R^2 = 0.145$, $p = 0.017$).

Discussion

The first general aim of this study was to examine relationships between KOOS and KOOS sub-scores and physical activity duration and intensity in otherwise healthy persons who had undergone APM for an isolated meniscal tear. Physical activity monitors have been shown to have greater reliability and accuracy in recording physical activity than surveys. The current results showed that no KOOS score was significantly correlated to every day, light intensity activity. Significant correlations were only shown at higher levels of intensity for those sub-scales of the KOOS most likely to be associated with more vigorous activity or pain and discomfort. The poor correlation between the KOOS and activity monitors, particularly for ADL and S&R subscales, suggest they are not directly quantifying the same factor. The efficacy of the KOOS in accurately identifying changes in, and factors affecting, actual levels of physical activity in APM patients is therefore questionable.
It is not possible to derive specific information regarding the duration, quantity or intensity of physical activity by APM patients from the KOOS questionnaire. This data however is provided by the activity monitor. Whilst it was able to differentiate between APM patients and controls in regards to the amount of difficulty involved in performing activities, due to the KOOS design it could not identify how the activity levels of those APM patients were different to the controls. Future investigations into the exercise and activity levels of APM patients will need to take this into account. This can be achieved by using accelerometry to directly measure physical activity, and the KOOS questionnaire as a more general overview of broad function and symptoms.

Other aims of this study were compare of duration and intensity of physical activity between APM patients and controls, and to identify those factors influencing activity levels. It was found that for the mean number of STEPS per day, minutes spent in LIGHT activity, and total TIME spent in activity, there were no significant differences between the two groups. This indicates that APM patients engage in similar quantity (steps) and duration (total time) of basic physical activity, and perform similar levels of daily activities at light intensity. What did differentiate the APM from the control participants were the minutes spent in MODERATE and HARD activity, with the APM patients found to spend significantly less time engaged in each level of intensity. Thus, it would appear that APM patients, while engaging in similar exercise/daily activity routines to non-surgery controls, do not perform that activity to the same level of intensity, remaining instead at the lower, light level of intensity. Significant differences were also found for each of the KOOS measure subscales, particularly S&R and QOL, indicating that it was higher-intensity activities such as sport that caused APM patients more difficulty. This results are similar to those found by Thorlund and colleagues\textsuperscript{29} in a APM population at 2 years. A possible confounder is that the ADL subscale of the KOOS also yielded a statistical difference between the two populations. This may mean that whilst the APM patients reported more discomfort engaging in daily activities through the KOOS they still performed them. This is reflected in similar results at light intensities recorded by the activity monitor.

The SYMPTOMS subscale of the KOOS was found to be the best predictor of time spent in both the MODERATE and HARD activity intensity levels in the APM population. This appears to
hold true across the entire sample population, with those with increased symptoms of knee dysfunction being less likely to engage in higher intensity activities. This would have possible rehabilitation and treatment ramifications, as programs may need to be tailored to take into account the relative intensity of a recovery exercise, and how this will affect adherence by the patient.

Whilst there was not a significant difference in strength between the APM patients and controls, APM patients have been shown in the literature to be weaker than healthy individuals.16, 29, 30 This includes work published from the larger cohort from which the current study’s population was drawn.16 Given the relationship between physical activity levels and muscle strength in knee osteoarthritis patients,31, 32 the link between APM surgery and knee osteoarthritis development,33 and the recent suggestion that knee extension strength may play a role in facilitating the development of knee osteoarthritis following APM surgery,16 these results may offer an insight as to how this muscle weakness could develop within APM patients. Individuals who undergo APM surgery may not participate in physical activity at sufficient intensity to maintain or improve muscle strength post-surgery. Individuals who have undergone partial meniscectomy tend have maintained quadriceps weakness at six months following surgery,34 with strength decrements reported up to four years post surgery.5 However the nature of this study makes it unable to provide conclusive evidence on this hypothesis. As only one time point was measured it may be possible that strength had, 1) recovered to normal levels following 3 months, or 2) may subsequently decline, particularly in those patients who go onto develop knee joint osteoarthritis. Further work is needed to provide stronger evidence for a relationship between physical activity and quadriceps strength. This should included both a larger sample size and ideally be of longitudinal design.

To date this is the only study that we are aware has used an objective measure of actual physical activity, particularly intensity, on an APM population, in conjunction with a surrogate measure such as the KOOS. These results not only offer support for the use of objective measures of activity such as accelerometers with APM patients, but also provide information regarding the specific activity patterns of this population. Non-participation in higher intensity activity such as sport, whilst most likely being due to patients consciously or subconsciously protecting the affected
joint,\textsuperscript{35,36} could also have detrimental repercussions on the strength and functional rehabilitation of the joint following APM.\textsuperscript{5} Similarly, participants who reported increased symptoms of knee pain and dysfunction were less likely to participate in higher intensity activity, regardless of whether they were an APM patient or control participant. Future investigations into the rehabilitation of APM patients will need to take into account this reduced activity intensity, and the associated potential for a loss of muscle strength around the knee. This could be achieved by consistently implementing a strength-building intervention post-surgery. This work will need to be accompanied by work investigating the role that increased exercise intensity plays on patient symptoms and recovery time. Other factors that may have a potential influence on actual physical activity and overall function, including physiological factors such a fear or re-injury or low expectations based on clinician information.

This study was cross-sectional investigation of arthroscopic partial meniscectomy patients <12 weeks post-surgery, making it unable to define direct, causative relationships between factors affecting activity levels. Included patients were aged 35-55, meaning the results of this study are valid for a younger, active pre-osteoarthritic sample. We included patients with either medial or lateral meniscectomies in the analysis, which is generally consistent with previous methods and allows these results to be compared to existing literature.\textsuperscript{1,2,10} Cohorts were also not matched on occupation. As occupation has the potential to influence activity and function, this factor should be included in future studies. A final limitation of the study is the small sample size utilised. This has the potential to limit the predictive ability of the regression, however we believe that the results from the regression provide important information regarding potential reasons for reduced activity in APM populations. This information can be used to drive both future research and clinicians.

Conclusions

Persons who had undergone APM 8 to 12 weeks performed a similar amount of physical activity as controls when matched for age, BMI and sex at the cohort level, however spent less time at moderate and high physical activity levels. Time spent by APM participants in moderate and hard intensity levels of activity was best predicted by the SYMPTOMS subscale of the KOOS.
Practical Implications

- Accelerometry provides more detail on physical activity in patients who have undergone APM than activity data from KOOS, in particular exercise intensity. However, Pain and Symptoms subscales on KOOS provide important information as to reasons behind changes in physical activity.

- Those who have undergone AMP have the same number of total daily steps as healthy controls but have reduced activity at higher intensity levels. Practitioners should take this into account when designing rehabilitation programs.

- Time spent in higher levels of activity is best predicted by subjectively reported symptoms. Reducing or treating knee symptoms in patients who have undergone APM may allow them to undertake higher intensity physical activity.
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Table 1. Descriptive statistics and t-test results control group and arthroscopic partial meniscectomy group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CON subset</th>
<th>APM subset</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (yrs)</strong></td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex (% of females)</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QOL</strong></td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S &amp; R</strong></td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADL</strong></td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptoms</strong></td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain</strong></td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KOOS</strong></td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Light Activity (mins/day)</strong></td>
<td>423.6</td>
<td>471.8</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate Activity (mins/day)</strong></td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hard Activity (mins/day)</strong></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Activity (mins/day)</strong></td>
<td>497.1</td>
<td>469.0</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steps per day</strong></td>
<td>10383</td>
<td>9227</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak Concentric Quadriceps Strength (N/kg*m)</strong></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI – Body Mass Index; KOOS - Knee Osteoarthritis outcome Scale; The following are KOOS subscales: QOL – Quality of Life; S&R – Sport and Recreation; ADL – Activities of Daily Living.
Table 2. Significant Pearson correlations between actigraph physical activity levels and KOOS questionnaire sub-scales for both APM patients and control participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Light activity</th>
<th>Moderate activity</th>
<th>Hard activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>-0.173</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.326*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>0.381*</td>
<td>0.366*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>-0.131</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S &amp; R</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>0.424**</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QOL</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>0.456**</td>
<td>0.331*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
<td>0.433**</td>
<td>0.338*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* denotes p < 0.05  
** denotes p < 0.01

KOOS- Knee Osteoarthritis outcome Scale; The following are KOOS subscales: QOL – Quality of Life; S&R – Sport and Recreation; ADL – Activities of Daily Living.